Interactive Multimedia

Game Project

Marking scheme 2016/2017

This project is weighted at 50%

Corrections will ONLY take place during lab time in week 12.

This project is a demonstration of the student's ability to understand the topics covered during the semester. Game implementations that are too similar to the GG9 lab (in style and/or functionality), cannot showcase any meaningful level of understanding. Therefore, projects of that nature are not worthy of a passing grade.

SURNAME: (CAPS)	FIRSTNAMI (CAPS)	E:	Student Number:	
				С
	Interactive Multimedia	game project		
	MARKING SCHEME		"	<u> </u>
sources.txt	list of sources is present, (1) name of file in	name of file in project (2) URL where it came from		
	coverage does it list where ALL the asset	ts came from		
Basic quality	correctness it all works! (no compile erro	rrectness it all works! (no compile errors / buttons work / UI display and update correctly etc.)		
	originality & value added (USP) - and year:	2-level of technically challenging featur	es added	
	consistency & coherence - gameplay and I	ook-and-feel		
	Usability & Playability it should be easy t	o use, easy (and fun) to play and both	levels winnable	
Core contents	ents level 1 (easy) / 2 (challenging in non-trivial way, e.g. not just changing numbers)			
	at least 3 menu screens			
Basic features	Demonstration of a range of UI elements a	and their properties (e.g. static/changir	ng text, images, sliders)	
	Using buttons to navigate between scenes			
	basic 'collisions' between player and object	cts, and/or objects objects		
	inventory (picking up objects & dynamic U	I display of what being carried)		
	animation of positions/properties, using A	nimations & Animator Controllers		
	timers, and their graphical display			
	audio sound effects			
	audio looping background sound (e.g. m	usic)		

Code Quality	Unity project component identifiers: efficient use of resources e.g. use of 'prefab' objects, parent/child objects in the hierarchy, folder structure Code indentation and layout & code identifiers: Classes / Methods / Variables		
		С	В
		okay	above average
Code quality	good separation of responsibility into classes // use of Enums where appropriate		
	use of non-Monobehaviour classes whereever possible		
	public variables only for Unity drag-and-drop // good use of getters/setters		
	good comments & plenty of them		
	documentation comments for every class, method and variable		
	generated API documentation		
	avoiding duplication of code through use of arguments and class hierarchies		
Technical challenge	(small) Use of triggers and variables in Animator Controllers		
	= (small) instantiation of 'prefab' objects dynamically – through Instantiate at run time		
	(small) the triggering of actions / destruction of objects when a sound finishes playing		
	(small) simple use of random spawn points		
	(small) game manager classes		
	(small) different actions depending on what being 'carried' at time of collision		
	(small) clever use of the Camera/ player controller to change the Players view/experience		
	(advanced) Throwing/shooting (instantiating GO, apply velocity, destroy GO)		
	(advanced) Use of invincibility period base on a game event (pick up shield etc)		
	(advanced) Scrolling background /building dynamic levels from text files and/or prefabs		

B+

Please circle recommended grade

A

Code excellence

Project quality

OTHER: advanced features going beyond the brief / module tutorial topics (e.g. editor extensions)	
e.g. (this list is not exhaustive)	
Coop/ two person play	
Networked multiplayer gameplay	
Use of peripheral input device other than keyboard/mouse (e.g. gamepad, joystick, touchscreen)	
Saving data outside the scene (PlayerPrefs) or outside the project (XML)	
Unit testing - good coverage of at least 2 non-trivial classes	
interesting AI / agent-based behavior for computer-controlled agents	
building levels from text files and prefabs	
sophisticated use of waypoints	
Substantial Use of 3D unity features (not covered during the semester)	
sophisticated use of Nav Meshes	
majority of project components and features are of excellent quality	
majority of project components and reatures are of excellent quality	

<mark>Fail</mark>

D

Grade recommended:

Internal Grader: