

CHAPTER 1 Prerequisites

* $g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable fns
 $\Rightarrow f \circ g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable.

* $F_X(x) = P_X((-\infty, x]) = P(X \leq x) = p$
 $\Rightarrow x = F_X^{-1}(p)$ where $F_X: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

* $E_X(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

* $E(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x f_X(x) dx$ for continuous random variables

* $E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_X(x_i) x_i$ for discrete random variables.

* Chebychev's inequality -
 $x > 0$, $g(\cdot) \geq 0$ on \mathbb{R}^+

$$P(|X| > x) \leq g(x)^{-1} E(g(|X|))$$

* $\text{Var}(X) = E((X - E[X])^2)$

* $\text{Var}(X) = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$

* $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = E((X - E[X])(Y - E[Y]))$

* $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \text{Var}(Y)}} \in [-1, 1]$

* Cauchy-Schwarz equality -

$$\begin{aligned} |E(XY)|^2 &\leq E(|X||Y|)^2 \\ &\leq E(|X|^2) E(|Y|^2). \end{aligned}$$

* $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \text{Corr}(X, Y) = 0 \Rightarrow X$ and Y are uncorrelated or orthogonal.

* X_1, \dots, X_n - pairwise uncorrelated r.v.s

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \text{Var}(X_i)$$

* X_1, \dots, X_n independent r.v.s
 g_1, \dots, g_n measurable fns such that

$$E(g_1(x_1) \dots g_n(x_n)) < +\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow E(g_1(x_1) \dots g_n(x_n)) = E(g_1(x_1)) \dots E(g_n(x_n))$$

* Conditional prob of X given Y

$$P(X \in A | Y \in B) = \frac{P(X \in A, Y \in B)}{P(Y \in B)}$$

* Conditional density

$$f(x|y) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} & \text{if } f_Y(y) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

* Properties of the conditional expectation :-

(i) $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$x_1, x_2, Y \text{ r.v.s}$$

$$E(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 | Y) = a_1 E(x_1 | Y) + a_2 E(x_2 | Y)$$

(ii) $E(E(X|Y)) = E(X)$

(iii) X independent of Y
 $E(X|Y) = E(X)$

(iv) constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$E(a|Y) = a$$

(v) $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ measurable fns

$$x, y \text{ r.v.s}$$

$$E(g(y)x|Y) = g(y) E(X|Y)$$

(vi) (x, y_1, \dots, y_n) independent of Z

$$E(X|y_1, \dots, y_n, Z) = E(X|y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

* Bernoulli distribution

$$k = \{0, 1\}, p \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$P(X=1) = p, \quad P(X=0) = 1-p$$

$$E(X) = p, \quad \text{Var}(X) = p(1-p).$$

* Uniform distribution

$$X \sim U([a, b])$$

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} (b-a)^{-1} & \text{if } x \in [a, b] \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$E(X) = (a+b)/2$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = (b-a)^2/12$$

* Normal distribution

$$x \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$f_x(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

* Student's t-distribution

$$f_x(x) = \frac{\Gamma((N+1)/2)}{\sqrt{N\pi} \Gamma(N/2)} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{N}\right)^{-\frac{N+1}{2}}$$

* Central Limit Theorem

Let $(X_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s, each having mean μ and finite non-zero variance σ^2 and let $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then the distribution of the standardized sample mean tends to the standard normal distribution i.e., for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$P\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \leq x\right) \rightarrow \Phi(x).$$

* The cumulative distribution function of X is

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(x) &= F_X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= P(X_1 \leq x_1, X_2 \leq x_2, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) \end{aligned}$$

* The density function of X is

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x) &= f_X(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{x_n} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{n-1}} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} f(y_1, \dots, y_n) dy_1 dy_2 \dots dy_n \end{aligned}$$

* Level = $P(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_0 \text{ is true})$

power = $P(\text{Reject } H_0 | H_1 \text{ is true})$

CHAPTER 2 Stationary time series and seasonality

log-returns $P_t, t=1, \dots, n$

$$r_t = \log(P_t) - \log(P_{t-1}), t=1, \dots, n-1.$$

* Transformation logarithmic -

(i) to stabilize variance,

(ii) removes/reduces the skewness of the data.

* A stochastic process $X = (X_t, t \in T)$ is called iid noise with mean μ and variance σ^2 if the sequence of r.v.s $(X_t, t \in T)$ is i.i.d. with $E[X_t] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}[X_t] = \sigma^2 \forall t \in T$. $X_n \sim \text{IID}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

* Eg of iid noise is the binary process - flipping of a fair coin.

$$P(X_1 = 1) = P(X_1 = -1) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

$$E[X_1] = 0 \quad \text{Var}[X_1] = 1.$$

* $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ i.i.d noise

$$\begin{cases} S_0 = 0 \\ S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = S_{n-1} + X_n, n \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

$(S_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ is a random walk.

* A time series X is said to be a Gaussian time series if all finite dimensional vectors are multivariate Gaussian distributed. A useful fact of the multivariate normal distribution is that any linear combination of the components of a multivariate normal random vector is also normal.

$[(X_t, t \in T)]$ is Gaussian iff $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_{t_i}$ is Gaussian, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

* Let $X = (X_t, t \in T)$ be a stochastic process with $\text{Var}(X_t) < +\infty \forall t \in T$. The mean $f_X: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of X is $f_X(t) = E[X_t] \forall t \in T$.

The covariance $f_X: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$\forall r, s \in T \quad f_X: T \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is } f_X(r, s) = \text{cov}(X_r, X_s) = \frac{E[(X_r - E[X_r])(X_s - E[X_s])]}{(X_s - E[X_s])}$$

* Let $X = (X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a time series with $\text{Var}(X_t) < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. The time series X is called (weakly) stationary if

$$(i) \exists \mu \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_X(t) = \mu \forall t \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) f_X(r, s) = f_X(r+k, s+k) \forall r, s, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

* A time series $X = (X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ (for which $\text{Var}(X_t) < \infty \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$ is not necessarily true) is said to be strictly stationary if the r.v.s (X_1, \dots, X_n) and $(X_{1+k}, \dots, X_{n+k})$ have the same joint distributions $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

* A weakly stationary Gaussian time series is also strictly stationary since the normal distribution is completely determined by its mean/covariance.

* Let X be a stationary time series.

Auto covariance f_X (ACVF) $f_X: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of X is $f_X(k) = \text{cov}(X_{t+k}, X_t)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Autocorrelation f_X (ACF) $f_X: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ of X is $f_X(k) = \frac{f_X(k)}{f_X(0)}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

* f_X is well-defined due to the stationarity of X and since autocovariance f_X is symmetric i.e., $f_X(k) = f_X(-k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

* A stochastic process $X = (X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is called a white noise with mean μ and variance σ^2 if it is a stationary process with $E[X_t] = \mu, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$f_X(k) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 & \text{if } k=0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad X \sim WN(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

* iid noise \Rightarrow white noise.

* white noise \Rightarrow iid noise if the random variables are independent and identically distributed.

* A Gaussian white noise is iid, since random variables that are uncorrelated and jointly normal are independent.

* Let $X = (X_t, t \in \mathbb{N})$ be a time series.

Sample mean: $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n X_t$

Sample autocovariance fn: $\hat{f}_X(k) = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n-k} (X_{t+k} - \bar{X})(X_t - \bar{X})$

$$k = 0, \dots, n-1$$

* X - stationary time series with μ and $\text{Var}X$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2] = 0$$

$$\text{if } \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_X(k)| < +\infty$$

* Reasonable $n \geq 50, k \leq n/4$.

* Sample autocovariance function / R-D sample covariance matrix

$$\hat{\Gamma}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\gamma}(0) & \hat{\gamma}(1) & \dots & \hat{\gamma}(k-1) \\ \hat{\gamma}(1) & \hat{\gamma}(0) & \dots & \hat{\gamma}(k-2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}(k-1) & \hat{\gamma}(k-2) & \dots & \hat{\gamma}(0) \end{pmatrix}$$

* $\hat{\Gamma}_k$ is non-negative definite.

* Sample autocorrelation matrix

$$\hat{R}_k = \hat{\gamma}(0)^{-1} \hat{\Gamma}_k. \quad (\text{non-singular if } \hat{\gamma}(0) \neq 0)$$

* If $\hat{\gamma}(k)$ displays a periodic behaviour i.e., the peaks at lag k are similar to the peaks at lag $k - K$, then there is evidence of the presence of a seasonal component.

* Given a dataset, the first thing is to check if there is a temporal structure to it i.e., if it is something other than iid noise. If $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ is a sequence of iid r.v.s with finite variance, then the sample autocorrelations $\hat{\gamma}(k)$, $k=1, 2, \dots$, for suff large k approx iid and $N(0, \sigma^2)$ distributed. Given a dataset (y_1, \dots, y_n) , an informal hypothesis test is to check if 95% of the computed values of $\hat{\gamma}$ should fall between the bounds ± 1.96 if the dataset is a realization of Y . Otherwise reject the null hypothesis of Y being iid noise. Theoretically, we check if the temporal structure to the data by hypothesis testing.

* Portmanteau test / Box-Pierce test

$$H_0: Y \sim IID(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$H_1: Y \neq IID(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\text{Test Statistic } \lambda = n \sum_{i=1}^k \hat{\gamma}(i)^2.$$

Null hypothesis is rejected at $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, if $\lambda \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha, k}$.

* Ljung-Box test

$$x = n(n+2) \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\hat{\gamma}(i)^2}{n-i}. \quad [x^2_{0.95, 4} = 9.49]$$

* Data $x_t = (x_t, t=1, \dots, 205)$
log returns $y_t = (y_t, t=1, \dots, 204)$ $y_t = \log(y_{t+1}) - \log(y_t)$
Absolute $|y_t| = (|y_t|, t=1, \dots, 204)$

Good idea to apply a couple of transformations to the data when testing for iid, so that we do not fail to reject the null hypothesis by mistake.

* Goal of forecasting a stationary time series with m and σ is to predict $(X_{t+h}, h \geq 0)$ in terms of $(X_t, t=1, \dots, n)$. We find

best predictors in the sense of min mean squared errors.

* X, Y r.v.s. Y is approximation of X .
is $MSE(Y, X) = E[(Y-X)^2]$.

* Best predictor of X_t for $t \in \mathbb{N}$ given X
 $b_t(X^u) = E(X_t | X^u)$

* Best linear predictor of X_t is
 $b_t(X^u) = a_0 + a_1 X_{t_0} + a_2 X_{t_1} + \dots + a_n X_{t_n}$

prediction equations

$$(i) E(X_t - b_t^L(X^u)) = 0,$$

$$(ii) E(X_{t+j} (X_t - b_t^L(X^u))) = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n.$$

$$* a_0 = \mu \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)$$

$$\Gamma_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)' = (\gamma(t-t_0), \dots, \gamma(t-t_n))'$$

$$\Gamma_n = (\gamma(t_{n+1}-i - t_{n+1}-i))_{i,j=1}^n$$

$$MSE(b_{t_0}^L(X^u), X_t) = E((b_{t_0}^L(X^u) - X_t)^2) \\ = \gamma(0) - (a_1, \dots, a_n) (\gamma(t-t_0), \dots, \gamma(t-t_n))'$$

$$* AR(1) \quad X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} = Z_t, \quad Z \sim WN(0, \sigma^2), \quad |\phi_1| < 1.$$

Z_t is uncorrelated with X_{t-j} for $j \neq 0$.

$$\gamma_X(0) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi_1^2}$$

$$\gamma_X(k) = \frac{\sigma^2 \phi_1^{|k|}}{1 - \phi_1^2}$$

$$b_{n+1}^L(X^u) = \phi_1 X^u$$

$$MSE(b_{n+1}^L(X^u), X_{n+1}) = \sigma^2.$$

$$* MA(1) \quad X_t = Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1}, \quad Z \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$\gamma_X(0) = (1 + \theta_1^2) \sigma^2$$

$$\gamma_X(1) = \gamma_X(-1) = \theta_1 \sigma^2$$

$$\gamma_X(h) = 0 \quad \forall |h| \neq 1.$$

$$\text{mt: } \sum_{i=0}^k MSE(b_{n+1}^L(X^u), X_{n+i}) = (1 + \theta_1^2) \sigma^2 - \theta_1 \sigma^2 \theta_1^k$$

* Stochastic process X can be split into $X_t = m_t + s_t + y_t$. This is called the classical decomposition model. $m: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a slowly changing fn called the trend component, $s: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fn of period d referred to as the seasonal component i.e., $s_{t+d} = s_t$ and $\sum_j s_j = 0$ and $y = (y_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is a stationary time series with mean zero.

* Backward shift operator $B X_t = X_{t-1}$.

* lag-d differencing operator $\nabla_d X_t = (I - B^d) X_t = X_t - X_{t-d}$

APTER 3 Linear time series models

A time series X is called an autoregressive process of order p or AR(p) process if X is stationary and if $\forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X_t - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j X_{t-j} = \zeta_t$, where $\zeta_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$.

* A time series X is called a moving average process of order q or MA(q) process if X is stationary and if $\forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X_t = \gamma_t + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \zeta_{t-j}$ where $\zeta_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$.

* A time series X is an ARMA(p, q) process if X is stationary and if $\forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X_t - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j X_{t-j} = \zeta_t + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \zeta_{t-j}$, where $\zeta_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$ and the polynomials $(1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j z^j)$ and $(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j z^j)$ have no common zeros.

* $X \rightarrow ARMA(p, q)$ with mean μ
 $X - \mu \rightarrow ARMA(p, q)$

* ARMA(p, q) can be re-written as $\Phi(B)X_t = \Theta(B)\zeta_t$

* A stationary solution X of eqn (*) exists and is unique if and only if $\Phi(z) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j z^j \neq 0 \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| = 1$.

* An ARMA(p, q) process X is causal or a causal fn of Z if \exists a real-valued sequence $(\psi_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ | $\sum_{j=0}^p |\psi_j| < \infty$ and $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^p \psi_j Z_{t-j} \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\rightarrow MA(\infty)$

* An ARMA(p, q) process X is causal iff $1 - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j z^j \neq 0 \neq 0 \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \leq 1$.

* A causal ARMA(p, q) process has a unique stationary solution.

* Since X is causal, $\Phi(z)\Phi(z)^* = \Theta(z)$
 Or, $(\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 z + \dots)(1 - \phi_1 z - \dots - \phi_p z^p) = (1 + \theta_1 z + \dots + \theta_q z^q)$

* An ARMA(p, q) process X is invertible if \exists a real-valued sequence $(\kappa_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^q |\kappa_j| < \infty$ and $X_t = \sum_{j=0}^q \kappa_j X_{t-j} \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\rightarrow AR(\infty)$

* An ARMA(p, q) process is invertible iff $1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j z^j \neq 0 \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \leq 1$.

* For ARMA(p, q) process, $\nu(k) = E(X_{t+k} X_t) = \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^q \psi_j \psi_{j+k}$

* For ARMA(p, q) process, $\nu(k) - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j \nu(k-j) = \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^{q-p} \theta_{k+j} \psi_j, 0 \leq k \leq q$
 $\nu(k) - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j \nu(k-j) = 0, k > q$

where $\psi_j = 0$ for $j < 0$, $\theta_0 = 1$, $\theta_j = 0$ for $j \notin \{0, \dots, q\}$.

* For ARMA(p, q) process,

$$\alpha(0) = 1$$

$$\alpha(k) = \phi_{kk}, k \geq 1$$

= last component of Φ_k

$$\phi_k = ((\alpha(i-j))_{i,j=1}^k)^{-1} (\nu(1), \nu(2), \dots, \nu(k))'$$

* To choose an appropriate AR(p) model is to look at the sample PACF $\hat{\rho}$. If $\hat{\rho}(k)$ is significantly different from zero, $k=1, \dots, p$, for $k > p$, an AR(p) model is a good choice for the data. By C.L.T, around 95% of the sample PACF values beyond lag p should fall within the bounds $\pm 1.96/\sqrt{n}$ which is justified by the fact that the sample PACF values at lags greater than p are approximately independent $N(0, n^{-1})$ distributed r.v.s.

* For an AR(p) model,

$$\nu(k) - \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j \nu(k-j) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \in \{1, \dots, p\} \\ \sigma^2, & k = 0 \end{cases}$$

\rightarrow Yule-Walker equations

* Yule-Walker estimation

$$(\hat{\phi}_1, \dots, \hat{\phi}_p) = \hat{R}_p^{-1} (\hat{\gamma}(1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(p))'$$

$$\hat{\gamma}^2 = \hat{\gamma}(0) / (1 - (\hat{\gamma}(1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(p)) \hat{R}_p^{-1} (\hat{\gamma}(1), \dots, \hat{\gamma}(p))')$$

$$\text{where } \hat{R}_p = (\hat{\gamma}(i-j))_{i,j=1}^p$$

* Maximum likelihood estimators of σ^2, ϕ, θ determined $\hat{\sigma}^2 = n^{-1} S(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta})$ and $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\theta}) = \arg \min_{(\phi, \theta)} L(\phi, \theta)$ from

$$\text{Here } S(\phi, \theta) = \sum_{j=1}^n v_{j-1}^{-1} (X_j - \hat{X}_j)^2$$

where \hat{X}_j and v_{j-1}^{-1} can be computed using the parameters ϕ, θ .

l is the function given by

$$l(\phi, \theta) = \ln(n^{-1} S(\phi, \theta)) + n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \ln v_{j-1}.$$

The minimization of l is done numerically.

* For MA(a) process, a

$$\gamma(k) = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^k \theta_j \theta_{j+k} & |k| \leq a, \\ 0 & |k| > a. \end{cases}$$

* An autocorrelation function with " a peaks and then zero" along with a slowly decaying partial autocorrelation function indicates a MA(a) model.

* A partial autocorrelation function with " p peaks and then zero" along with a slowly decaying autocorrelation function indicates an AR(p) model.

* AICc - Akaike's information criterion
↓
biased-corrected

* BIC - Bayesian information criterion

* AICC criterion - Choose p, q, ϕ_p and θ_q to minimize

$$-2 \ln L(\phi_p, \theta_q, S(\phi_p, \theta_q)/n) + 2n \frac{p+q+1}{n-p-q-2}$$

where $\phi_p = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)$ and $\theta_q = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q)$.

* BIC criterion - Choose p and q to minimize

$$(n-p-q) \ln \left(\frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{(n-p-q)} \right) + n(1 + \ln \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2}) + (p+q) \ln \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{t=1}^n X_t^2 - n\hat{\sigma}^2 \right)}{(p+q)} \right),$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ denotes the maximum likelihood estimate of the white noise variance.

* Model building for ARMA processes

(1) Remove trend and seasonality until the data can be modeled as a stationary ~~process~~ series.

(2) Identify the Order of the ARMA model for the time series by either looking at the ACF / PACF or by fitting successively higher order ARMA (p, q) to the data and choose a no. of candidate models with small AICC and/or BIC values.

(3) Estimate the final candidate using maximum likelihood.

(4) Compute the residuals \hat{R}_t for different models and check that they are consistent with the specific distribution and temporal covariance structure for Z_t . The final model is chosen to be the one with residuals \hat{R}_t most like Z_t . Another alternative is to reserve some test data at the start of the process and then compute forecasts for the test data using the candidate models. Then one chooses the final model as the one with the minimum forecast error.

* A SARIMA model without any seasonality is called an ARIMA model.

* Let X be a stochastic process and d a nonnegative integer. Then X is an ARIMA (p, d, q) process if the process Y defined by $Y_t = (1-B^d) X_t$ is a causal ARMA (p, q) process.

* We assume that we are given a Gaussian ARMA (p, q) process $(Z_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2))$. Then for any fixed values ϕ, θ, σ^2 , since the innovations $X_1 - \hat{X}_1, \dots, X_n - \hat{X}_n$ are uncorrelated and Gaussian, they are independent, where $\hat{X}_1 = 0$, $\hat{X}_j = b_j^T ((x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}))$, $j \geq 2$.

CHAPTER 4

ARCH and GARCH processes

Why we use these models?

① Since γ_t is IID, so is x_t which means that for two time points s and t , x_s and x_t should be independent.

② Volatility clustering i.e., periods of low and high variance tend to cluster together.

③ x_t should be Gaussian but one observes "fat tails" (i.e., large positive and negative jumps more frequently than one would expect from a normal distribution). This means that the kurtosis $E(x_t^4)/E(x_t^2)^2$ is greater than 3, which is the value under the Gaussian IID model.

* A stochastic process is a random variance model if $x_t = \sigma_t \gamma_t$ $\forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $\gamma_t = (\gamma_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is IID $(0, 1)$ and $\sigma_t = (\sigma_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is an unspecified stochastic process called the volatility. If σ_t can be written as a deterministic fn. of $(\gamma_s, s \leq t) \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then it is said to be causal. (independent of future)

* The main idea behind ARCH and GARCH processes is to incorporate the possibility of volatility clustering. One possibility would be to let σ_t be a function of time, but then we could end up with the variance of x_t being non-constant, so that we would have a non-stationary model which would be hard to do estimate from data. Instead we allow for non-constant conditional variance

$$\text{Var}(x_t | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots) = E((x_t - E(x_t))^2 | x_{t-1}, x_{t-2}, \dots) + \text{constant} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This is called conditional heteroscedasticity. This is accomplished by letting σ_t^2 in $x_t = \sigma_t \gamma_t$ be an ARMA-like process.

* A stochastic process $x = (x_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is called an ARCH(p) process if it is stationary and if it satisfies the ARCH equations

$$x_t = \sigma_t \gamma_t, \text{ where } \gamma_t \sim \text{IID}(0, 1),$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j x_{t-j}^2,$$

$$\alpha_j \geq 0, \alpha_j \neq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, p.$$

ARCH - autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity

* Since x is a causal ARCH(p) process, σ_t^2 can be written as a deterministic fn. of $(\gamma_s, s \leq t-1)$ and is therefore independent of the random variables $(\gamma_s, s \geq t)$.

$$* E(x_t^2) = \frac{\sigma_0}{1 - \alpha_1} \text{ where } 1 - \alpha_1 > 0.$$

* If $E(x_t^4) < \infty$, it can be shown that $(x_t^2, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is an AR process, a fact that can be useful in the identification of ARCH processes.

* A stochastic process $x = (x_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is called a GARCH(p, q) process if it is a stationary solution to the GARCH equations

$$x_t = \sigma_t \gamma_t, \text{ where } \gamma_t \sim \text{IID}(0, 1)$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j x_{t-j}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i \sigma_{t-i}^2$$

$$\text{with } \alpha_j \geq 0, \alpha_j \neq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, p, \\ \beta_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, q.$$

* Existence of a GARCH(1,1) process -

If $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 < 1$, there exists a stationary solution $x = (x_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ to the GARCH(1,1) equations that is given by the equation

$$x_t = \sigma_t \gamma_t \text{ where } \gamma_t \sim \text{IID}(0, 1) \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_0 \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^q (\alpha_1 x_{t-i}^2 + \beta_1)(\alpha_1 x_{t-2}^2 + \beta_1) \dots (\alpha_1 x_{t-q+1}^2 + \beta_1) \right)$$

It is unique, strictly stationary and causal. Conversely if $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \geq 1$, then there is no non-zero stationary soln. to the GARCH(1,1) equations for which σ_t can be written as a deterministic fn. of $(\gamma_s, s \leq t) \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

* For causal GARCH(p, q) process, since σ_t only depends on $(\gamma_s, s \leq t)$, it is independent of γ_t and we get for $\text{Cov}(x_t, x_s) = E(x_t x_s \sigma_t \sigma_s) = E(x_t) E(\sigma_t \sigma_s) = 0$.

* For GARCH(1,1) model, the kurtosis is

$$\frac{E(x_t^4)}{E(x_t^2)^2} = \frac{4\mu_4(1 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1)^2)}{1 - \beta_1^2 - 2\alpha_1\beta_1 - 4\mu_2\alpha_1^2}.$$

* Existence of a GARCH(p, q) process -
 If $\alpha(1) + \beta(1) = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j < 1$, there exists a unique weakly and strictly stationary causal soln $X = (X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ to the GARCH(p, q) eqns and a real-valued sequence $(\psi_j)_{j=0}^\infty$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\psi_j| < \infty$ and σ_t^2 is given by

$$\sigma_t^2 = \psi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^q \psi_j X_{t-j}^2.$$

Conversely, if $\alpha(1) + \beta(1) = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j \geq 1$, then no stationary and causal solution to the GARCH(p, q) eqns for which σ_t^2 can be written as a determinate fn of $(Z_s, s < t)$ $\forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$ exists.

* For GARCH(p, q) process,

$$E[X_t^2] = E[\sigma_t^2] = \frac{\kappa_0}{1 - \alpha(1) - \beta(1)}.$$

Under the assumption that $E[\sigma_t^4] < \infty$, we can derive that $(X_t^2, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is an ARMA(max{ p, q }, q) process with generating polynomials $\phi(z) = 1 - \alpha(z) - \beta(z)$ and $\theta(z) = 1 - \beta(z)$ with mean $\kappa_0/(1 - \alpha(1) - \beta(1))$, i.e., a process that can be represented by

$$X_t^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{\max(p, q)} (\kappa_i + \beta_i) X_{t-i} = \kappa_0 + \eta_t - \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j \eta_{t-j}$$

where $\kappa_i = 0$ for $i > p$, $\beta_i = 0$ for $i > q$ and $\eta_t = X_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$ can be shown to be white noise.

* Conditional maximum likelihood estimation - The conditional maximum likelihood estimators $(\hat{\kappa}_0, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_p, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_q, \hat{\sigma}_z^2)$ are obtained as the values that maximize the conditional likelihood fn

$$L(\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, \sigma_z^2) = \prod_{t=p+1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_t} f_Z(X_t)$$

where f_Z is the density of the white noise Z and σ_z^2 is any other parameter Z depends on. The likelihood fn is a fn of the parameters $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q$ via σ_t , which is computed recursively, along with supposing that $\sigma_t = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2}, t \leq 0$

$$X_t = 0, t \leq 0$$

(b) here $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the sample variance of $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$

Equivalently, one obtains $(\hat{\kappa}_0, \dots, \hat{\kappa}_p, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_q)$ as the values that minimize $-\ln L(\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q)$

* The residuals for this estimation are numbers $(x_t / \hat{\sigma}_t, t = p+1, p+2, \dots, n)$ where $\hat{\sigma}_t$ is the approx forecasted conditional variance given $(Z_s, s < t)$.

* $Z \sim \text{IID } N(0, 1)$

$$-\ln L(\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \left(\ln 2\pi + \ln \hat{\sigma}_t^2 + \frac{x_t^2}{\hat{\sigma}_t^2} \right)$$

* Two-pass estimation of GARCH -

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta}_i &= \hat{\alpha}_i && \text{Use M.L method to estimate} \\ \hat{\alpha}_i &= \hat{\alpha}_i - \hat{\beta}_i && \text{parameters of ARMA } X^2 \\ &&& \text{denoted by } \hat{\alpha}_i \text{ and } \hat{\beta}_i. \end{aligned}$$

* AICc criterion

$$-2 \frac{n}{n-p} \ln L(\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, \sigma_z^2) + 2n \frac{m+1}{n-m-2}$$

m = sample size, n = no. of non-zero est. para.

* A time series X is said to be an ARMA-GARCH process if it is an ARMA(p, q) process driven by GARCH(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) noise i.e., if it is stationary and $X_t - \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{p}} \phi_j X_{t-j} = \sigma_t Z_t + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{q}} \theta_i \sigma_{t-i} Z_{t-i}$

where $Z \sim \text{IID } N(0, 1)$, the polynomials $(1 - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i z^i)$ and $(1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j z^j)$ have no common zeros and $\sigma_t^2 = \kappa_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{p}} \alpha_i \sigma_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{q}} \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2$

with $\kappa_0 > 0, \alpha_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \bar{p}, \beta_j > 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, \bar{q}$

* $X \rightarrow \text{ARMA-GARCH with mean } \mu$

$\Rightarrow X - \mu \rightarrow \text{ARMA-GARCH}$

* A stochastic process $X = (X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is called an EGARCH(p, q) process if it is stationary and satisfies the EGARCH eqn $X_t = \sigma_t Z_t$, where $Z \sim \text{IID } N(0, 1)$ has a symmetric distribution i.e., Z_t and $-Z_t$ have the same distribution.

$$\ln(\sigma_t^2) = \kappa_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j g(Z_{t-j}) + \sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i \ln(\sigma_{t-i}^2)$$

where $g(x) = x + \lambda(|x| - E(|x|))$ and $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_p, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

* EGARCH(p, q) $\Rightarrow E(Z_t | \mathcal{Y}_t) = 0 \forall t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

② $g(x) = (g(Z_{t-1}), t \in \mathbb{Z}) \sim \text{WN}(0, 1 + \lambda^2 \text{Var}(Z_t))$

③ $(\ln(\sigma_t^2), t \in \mathbb{Z})$ is an ARMA($q, p-q$) with mean $\mu = \kappa_0 / (X_p'(1 - \beta(1)))$.

[p' is the first $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta_j \neq 0$.]

A stochastic process $X = (X_t, t \in T)$ on some index set T is a Markov process if its conditional distribution function satisfies

$$P(X_h | X_0, S(t)) = P(X_h | X_t) \text{ for } h \geq t.$$

If X is a discrete-time stochastic process, i.e., $T = \mathbb{N}$ or \mathbb{Z} , then the property becomes $P(X_h | X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots) = P(X_h | X_t)$ for $h \geq t$ and the process is known as Markov chain.

* Example -

A time series $X = (X_t, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ follows a Markov switching autoregressive model (MSA) with two states if it satisfies

$$X_t = \begin{cases} C_1 + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_{1i} X_{t-i} + \gamma_{1t} & \text{if } S_t = 1, \\ C_2 + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_{2i} X_{t-i} + \gamma_{2t} & \text{if } S_t = 2 \end{cases}$$

where S assumes values in $\{1, 2\}$ and is a Markov chain with transition probabilities

$$P(S_t = 2 | S_{t-1} = 1) = w_1,$$

$$P(S_t = 1 | S_{t-1} = 2) = w_2$$

with $w_1, w_2 \in [0, 1]$. The time series $\gamma_1 = (\gamma_{1t}, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $\gamma_2 = (\gamma_{2t}, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ are $IID(0, \sigma^2)$ noises and independent of each other.

* Parametric bootstrap -

Given data (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) , we want to forecast x_{n+h} for $h > 0$. We denote this forecast by \hat{x}_{n+h} . The parametric bootstrap computes forecasts of x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+h} sequentially as follows. For $i = 1, \dots, h$ repeat:

(i) Generate a random sample of the driving noise at time $n+i$ according to the underlying model.

(ii) Compute \tilde{x}_{n+i} using the generated sample, the model, the data, and the previous forecasts $\hat{x}_{n+1}, \dots, \hat{x}_{n+i-1}$.

(iii) Repeat the prev two steps K times to get K realizations $(\tilde{x}_{n+i}^{(k)}, k = 1, \dots, K)$. A point forecast for x_{n+i} is then obtained via $\hat{x}_{n+i} = K^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{x}_{n+i}^{(k)}$.

* Directional measure.

		Predicted		Up	Down	
		Up	Down			
Actual	Up	m_{11}	m_{12}	m_{10}	m_{20}	
	Down	m_{21}	m_{22}			
		m_{01}	m_{02}	m		

$$m = N - n = m_{01} + m_{02} = m_{10} + m_{20}$$

$$\text{Test Statistic } g^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^L \frac{(m_{ij} - m_{i0}m_{0j}/m)^2}{m_{i0}m_{0j}/m}$$

We say that our forecasts are better than random choice at level α if $g^2 \geq g_{1-\alpha}^2$ where $g_{1-\alpha}^2$ is the $1-\alpha$ percentile of the χ^2 distribution with 1 degree of freedom.

[For eg, the forecasts are better than random choice at the 5% level if $g^2 \geq g_{0.95}^2$]

$$g_{0.95}^2 = 3.841,$$

① Testing data

iid
seasonality
stationarity
(non)linearity
(u)ARCH effects

background of data
ACVF
Stochastic Variance
plot / visualize

② Model fitting

order selection (ARMA/GARCH)
parameter estimation (ARMA/GARCH)
nonparametric methods

③ Forecasting

best (linear) predictors
ARMA forecasting
parametric bootstrap

one step
ahead
iid (distr)

④ Error analysis

HJSF
evaluation/prediction
sub sample

nonlinear
method-
confidence
intervals