

Evolutionary Algorithms

Inteligencia Artificial en los Sistemas de Control Autónomo
Máster Universitario en Ingeniería Industrial

Departamento de Automática

Objectives

- Describe the most relevant EAs

Bibliography

- Eiben, A.E. and Smith, J.E. Introduction to Evolutionary Computing. Springer 2003.

Table of Contents

- I. Genetic Algorithms
 - Introduction
 - Representation
 - Mutation
 - Recombination
 - Selection
2. Genetic Programming
 - Introduction
 - Representation
 - Mutation
 - Recombination
 - Initialization
 - Bloat in Genetic Programming
3. Evolution Strategies
 - Introduction
 - Representation
 - Mutation
 - Recombination
 - Parent and survivor selection
4. Working with an Evolutionary Algorithm
 - Search phases
 - Fitness dynamics
 - When EAs are useful
 - Advanced EAs
5. Case studies
 - Case study I: Transonic wing shape optimization
 - Case study II: 9th GTOC

Genetic Algorithms

Introduction (I)

Introduced by Holland in the 70's

- John H. Holland “Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems”, MIT Press
- GA is the most popular EA
- Usually EAs confused with GA

Canonical GA (which is not canonical)

- Fixed length strings
- Binary codification
- Holland's Theorem

Representation	Bit strings
Recombination	1-point
Mutation	Bit flip
Parent select	Fitness prop
Survivor select	Generational

Genetic Algorithms

Introduction (II)

GAs are a family of algorithms, with common features

- Representation in strings, named **chromosomes**
- Mutation and recombination
- Usually fixed length


GAs are like a toolbox with customizable components

- Representations, genetic operators, selections mechanism, ...
- These components are interdependent

Rule of thumb: Small genotype changes \Rightarrow Small phenotype changes

Genetic Algorithms

Introduction (III)



Genetic Algorithms

Representation: Binary



One of the oldest and widely used codifications

- Consequence of Holland's Theorem
- Strong historical influence

Often used to codify non-binary information (not recommended)

- Pure binary codification
- Gray coding
- Custom codification



Hint: Use binary codification to represent binary information

Genetic Algorithms

Representation: Integer

4	3	2	1	0	4	2	3	3
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Chromosome as a sequence of integers

- More natural codification for many problems
- Optimization of integer values
- Integer representation ($\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{\text{North, East, South, West}\}$)

Genetic Algorithms

Representation: Floating-point

1.1	0.2	3.0	33.2	0.0	-3.2	130.1	88.3	-7.1
-----	-----	-----	------	-----	------	-------	------	------


Chromosome as a sequence of floating-point values

- Common in optimization problems
- Solutions with continuous nature

Genetic Algorithms

Representation: Floating point (II)

ANN encoding with a GA



(Source)

Genetic Algorithms

Representation: Permutation

4	3	2	5	6	1
---	---	---	---	---	---

Some problems involve order

- Sequence of integers
- No repeated numbers
- Range of valid numbers
- Special genetic operators


Information can be contained in

- The locus (position)

$$[3, 1, 2, 4] \Rightarrow [C, A, B, D]$$

- The allele (value)

$$[3, 1, 2, 4] \Rightarrow [B, C, A, D]$$



Integer codification to solve TSP

Genetic Algorithms

Mutation

Mutation: Genetic operator that uses one parent

- Introduces randomness into the genotype
- Depends on representation

Main objectives

- Avoid local minima (premature convergence)
- Enhances exploration

Often dependent on the **mutation rate**

- Significant influence in the algorithm behaviour
- Higher mutation rate, higher exploration

Genetic Algorithms

Mutation for binary representations

Flip bit with probability p_m



Optimal p_m depends on the problem and goals

- Need of high fitness population
- Need of high fitness individual
- Need of genetic diversity
- Modality of the problem
- Algorithm dynamics

Rule of thumb: $p_m = \frac{1}{\text{length}}$

Genetic Algorithms

Mutation for integer representations

Two main mutations applied to each gene



- **Random resetting:** Choose new random value with p_m
- **Creep mutation:** Add small (positive or negative) random value with p_m

Genetic Algorithms

Mutation for floating-point representations

Set new value with value drawn from a distribution

- **Uniform mutation** Choose new random value from $[L, U]$ with p_m
- **Non-uniform mutation** Usually adding a value drawn from a zero-mean gaussian distribution



Genetic Algorithms

Mutation for permutation representations

Genes are no longer independent

- No gene mutation, p_m affects the whole chromosome

Swap mutation



Insert mutation



Scramble mutation



Inversion mutation



Genetic Algorithms

Recombination

Recombination creates one individual from two or more parents

- Also known as crossover (specially for two parents)
- Basic feature in GA
- Parents selection mechanism needed

Usually applied to all new individuals

- Not used when elitism is applied
- Sometimes applied with $p_c \in [0, 5, 1]$

Objectives of recombination


- Combine parents' behavior \Rightarrow No new genetic material
- Constructive role
- Enhances exploitation

Genetic Algorithms


Recombination: Binary and integer representations

Three crossover mechanisms for binary and integer encodings

One-point crossover



Two-points crossover



Uniform crossover



Genetic Algorithms

Recombination: Floating point representations (I)

Discrete recombination

- Analogous to binary recombination
- No new genetic material

Arithmetic recombination

- Combines the parents' genes
- Weighted sums of genes: $z_i = \alpha x_i + (1 - \alpha) y_i$
- Usually, $\alpha = 0,5$ (average values)
- Different arithmetic recombinations

Genetic Algorithms

Recombination: Floating point representations (II)

Whole arithmetic recombination (All genes are included)



Simple arithmetic recombination (Similar to one-point crossover)



Single arithmetic recombination (Similar to uniform crossover)




Genetic Algorithms

Recombination: Permutation representations

Specialized recombinations

- Partially Mapped Crossover
- Edge Crossover
- Order Crossover
- Cycle Crossover



Genetic Algorithms

Selection

Two purposes for selection

- Parent selection: Individuals to generate offspring
- Survivor selection: Individuals to remplace

Usually same methods applied to both

Genetic Algorithms


Selection: Fitness Proportional Selection

Selection probability proportional to fitness

- Premature convergence
- Lack of selective pressure for close fitness values
- Selective pressure not customizable
- Susceptibility to function transposition

Historically relevant

$$p_i = \frac{f_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n f_j}$$



Genetic Algorithms

Selection: Ranking Selection

Selection probability proportional to rank

- Individuals are sorted by fitness
- Arbitrary rank to probability mapping
- Avoid problems with super individuals
- Selective pressure independent of fitness
- Selective pressure not customizable

Linear mapping

$$P_{\text{lin,rank}}(i) = \frac{(2-s)}{\mu} + \frac{2i(s-1)}{\mu(\mu-1)}$$

$$1,0 < s < 2,0$$

Exponential mapping

$$P_{\text{exp,rank}}(i) = \frac{1-e^{-i}}{c}$$

c = normalization factor

Genetic Algorithms

Selection: Tournament Selection

Algorithm of tournament size k

1. Select randomly k chromosomes
2. Compute their fitness
3. Select the fittest one
4. Go to 1

Customizable selective pressure

- Depends on k and μ

De facto standard

- Good for parallel computation
- Efficient implementation

Usually $k = 2$ in GA, in GP $k = 7$

Genetic Algorithms

Selection: Survival selection

Two strategies

- Generational (all the population is replaced)
- Steady-state (partial replacement)

Survival selection algorithms

- Fitness-Based Replacement (inverse of the previous ones)
- Age-Based Replacement
- Elitism

Genetic Programming

Introduction (I)

GP is a family of algorithms

- Evolve programs
- Self-programming computers
- GP, Linear GP, Cartesian GP, EDA, ...


GP introduced by Koza in the 90's

Koza, J.R. "Genetic Programming: On the Programming of Computers by Means of Natural Selection", MIT Press. 1992


GA and ES focused on optimization

- GP focused on Machine Learning

Optimization



Modelling



Simulation



Genetic Programming

Introduction (II)

Example: Credit scoring problem within a bank. Develop a model describing good customers

Id	Children	Salary	Status	Credit
Id-1	2	45.000	Married	0
Id-2	0	30.000	Single	1
Id-3	1	40.000	Married	1
Id-4	2	60.000	Divorced	1
...				
Id-X	2	50.000	Married	1

Possible model:

IF (children=2) AND (Salary>80.000) THEN good ELSE bad

Genetic Programming

Introduction (III)


General form

```
IF (Formula)
  THEN good
  ELSE bad
```

In EC terms

Phenotype: Formula

Fitness: Classification accuracy



(children=2) AND (Salary>80.000)

Genetic Programming

Representation (I)

GP representation differs in two aspects

- Nonlinear structure
- Variable size


New representation and genetic operators

- Same selection (done in phenotypic space)

Genetic Programming


Representation (II)

Arithmetic formula




$$\left(2\pi + ((x+3) - \frac{y}{5+1})\right)$$

Logical formula



$$(x \wedge \text{true}) \rightarrow ((x \vee y) \vee (z \leftrightarrow (x \vee y)))$$

Program



```

~~I~~I~~Ii=1;
~~I~~I~~Iwhile (i<20)
~~I~~I~~I~~Ii = i+1;
~~I~~I~~I}
~~I~~I~~I

```

Genetic Programming

Representation (III)

Two types of nodes

- **Function set** Internal nodes. It has an associated number of attributes
- **Terminal set** Leaves of the tree

Danger: Inviabile trees


- Grammar-aware GP variants
- Strongly Typed Genetic Programming (STGP), Grammatical Evolution (GE), ...

(Complex representation example)

Genetic Programming

Mutation (I)

Application of genetic operators in GP contrast to GA




Genetic Programming

Mutation (II)

Subtree mutation


1. Select a random node
2. Delete subtree
3. Add new random subtree



Parameters

- Probability of choosing a terminal node

Highly correlated with **code bloat**



Genetic Programming

Mutation (III)

Alternative mutation operators

- Size-fair subtree mutation
- Node replacement mutation (point mutation)
- Hoist mutation
- Shrink mutation

Genetic Programming



Recombination (I)

Subtree crossover

1. Take a random node from both parents
2. Swap subtrees

Parameters

- Probability of choosing a terminal node



Genetic Programming

Recombination (II)

Alternative recombination operators

- Homologous crossover
- Uniform crossover
- Size-fair crossover
- Node replacement mutation (point mutation)
- Hoist mutation
- Shrink mutation


Genetic Programming

Initialization


Three initialization methods

- **Full.** Introduces non-terminals nodes until max depth
- **Grow.** Introduces terminal or non-terminal with equal probability
- **Ramped half-n-half.** Applies full or grow with equal probability

Full (D=2)



Grow (D=2)



Genetic Programming




Bloat in Genetic Programming

Code bloat: Uncontrolled grow of tree sizes

- Intrinsic to variable-length representations
- Undesirable effects
- Perhaps, the worse problem in GP

Countermeasures

- Depth limitation in genetic operators
- Parsimony pressure
- Tree pruning
- Multiobjective techniques



Genetic Programming

Example of reporting

Cuadro 1: Main parameters used to obtain the approximations for secrets ID in the Genetic Tango attack against David-Prasad authentication protocol.

Parameter	ID
Population	500
Generations	10
Terminal Set	A, B, D, E, F, P_{ID1} , P_{ID2}
Function set	And, or, xor
Fitness	Hamming distance to secret
Fitness tags	5
Fitness sessions	100
Min. depth	1
Max. depth	3
Selection	Lexicographic tournament
Tournament size	4
Crossover	0.9
Reproduction	0.1
Elitism size	1
Terminals	0.1
Non terminals	0.9
Initialization	Rampld H-H

Evolution Strategies

Introduction (I)

Introduced by Rechenberg and Schwefel in the 60's

- Motivated by wing shape optimization
- Real-function optimization

ES properties

- Emphasis on mutation
- Mutation is gaussian noise
- Self-adaptation

Representation	Real-valued vectors
Recombination	Discrete
Mutation	Gaussian perturbation
Parent selection	Uniform
Survivor selection	(μ, λ) or $(\mu + \lambda)$
Speciality	Self-adaptation

Evolution Strategies

Introduction (II)

Example of basic ES

- Representation: Vector of real values
- Recombination: Not used
- Mutation: Gaussian noise with **step-size** σ

Adaptative σ (**1/5 rule**)

- Theoretical foundations
- Based on the ratio of success mutations (p_s)
- After k iterations a new σ is computed

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \sigma/c & \text{if } p_s > 1/5, \\ \sigma \cdot c & \text{if } p_s < 1/5, \\ \sigma & \text{if } p_s = 1/5 \end{cases}$$

where $0,817 \leq c \leq 1$ is a parameter

Evolution Strategies

Representation

Nowdays ES is usually self-adapted

- Step size (σ) is included in the genotype
- Evolution includes variables and parameters

One or more σ values

- One σ : $\langle \underbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}_{\bar{x}}, \sigma \rangle$
- Several: $\sigma : \langle \underbrace{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n}_{\bar{x}}, \underbrace{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n_\sigma}}_{\bar{\sigma}} \rangle$

Evolution Strategies

Mutation

Genetic operators to modify σ

- Mutation with one step size:

$$x'_i = x_i + N_i(0, \sigma')$$

$$\sigma' = \sigma \cdot e^{\cdot N(0, \tau)}, \tau \propto 1/\sqrt{n}$$

τ is analogous to learning rate in ANN

- Mutation with n step sizes:

$$x'_i = x_i + N_i(0, \sigma_i)$$

$$\sigma' = \sigma \cdot e^{\cdot N(0, \tau') + N_i(0, \tau)}$$

with $\tau' \propto 1/\sqrt{2n}$ and $\tau \propto 1/\sqrt{2\sqrt{n}}$

Evolution Strategies

Recombination

Secondary operator in ES

- **Discrete recombination.** Like uniform crossover in GA
- **Intermediate recombination.** Like arithmetic crossover in GA

ES tends to use **global recombination**

- More than two parents

Evolution Strategies

Parent and survivor selection

The whole population is seen as parent

- Select individual with uniform probability
- No selective pressure in parent selection

After creating the offspring, the λ fittests individuals are selected

- Deterministic procedure

Two selection mechanisms depending on who can be selected

- (μ, λ) **selection**. Only the offspring.
- $(\mu + \lambda)$ **selection**. Parents and offspring

(μ, λ) selection is more popular

Working with an Evolutionary Algorithm


Search phases

Initial phase: Random distribution, high genetic diversity

Advanced phase: Begins to converge

Convergence: Around one or few points, low genetic diversity



Premature convergence if population not located in global maxima



(Animation)

Working with an Evolutionary Algorithm


Fitness dynamics



Few long runs or many short runs?

Working with an Evolutionary Algorithm

When EAs are useful



Working with an Evolutionary Algorithm

Advanced EAs


- Multiobjective Evolutionary Algorithms (MOEAs)
- Optimization with constraints
- Coevolution
- Dynamic optimization
- Islands models
- Memetic algorithms
- Hyperheuristics

Case studies

Case study I: Transonic wing shape optimization

Problem: Design a wing shape for transonic flight

- Maximize lift



Holst T.L., Pulliam T.H. (2003) Transonic Wing Shape Optimization Using a Genetic Algorithm. In: IUTAM Symposium Transsonicum IV. Fluid Mechanics and its Applications, vol 73. Springer.

Case studies

Case study II: 9th Global Trajectory Optimization Competition

GTOC: Global Trajectory Optimization Competition

- Proposed by ESA Advanced Concepts Team
- Difficult trajectory optimization problems
- (More info)

GTOC 9: The Kessler Run

- 123 orbiting debris
- Remove debris
- Design multiple missions

(Video) (Solution) (Acta Futura special issue)