Mathematical & Computational Finance II Lecture Notes

The Black-Scholes World

October 13 2015 Last update: December 4, 2017

1 The Minimal Hedge

Last time we had that if f_T (previously denoted h_T) is the payoff of a European contingent claim which may be exercised at time T we need that

$$f_T \in \mathcal{F}_T$$
 (i.e. f_T is measurable at time T)
$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}[e^{-rT}f_T] < \infty \quad \text{(i.e. the discounted payoff is integrable wrt } \mathbb{P})$$

Then we can say that the rational/no-arbitrage price is

$$C(T, f_T) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}f_T]$$

where \mathbb{Q} is our risk neutral measure. To construct \mathbb{Q} we take $\Theta = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$ (under the Black-Scholes model) and have \mathbb{Q} be defined by, for $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\Lambda_t = e^{-\int_0^t \Theta_u dB_u - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta_u^2 du} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = \int_A \Lambda d\mathbb{P} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_T \quad \text{and}$$

$$W_t = B_t + \int_0^t \Theta_u du$$

and we have the result where W_t is a Brownian motion on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q})$. We also had found a minimal hedge/portfolio process $H^* = (H^0, H^1)$ given by

$$H_t^1 = \frac{\gamma_t}{\sigma} \frac{e^{rt}}{S_t^1}$$

$$H_t^0 = N_t - e^{rt} S_t^1 H_t^1$$

where $N_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rt}f_T|\mathcal{F}_t]$ and γ_t is known to exist by the Martingale Representation Theorem such that $N_t = N_0 + \int_0^t \gamma_s dW_s$. We had determined that the value $C(T, f_T)$ is the amount of initial capital needed to replicate the option payoff using the portfolio process H^* .

2 Derivation of the Black-Scholes Price in the Risk Neutral Framework

On $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ (the real world space) we have

$$dS_t^0 = rS_t^0 dt$$

$$dS_t^1 = \mu S_t^0 dt + \sigma S_t^1 dB_t$$

and on the risk neutral space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Q})$ we have

$$dS_t^0 = rS^0 dt$$

$$dS_t^1 = rS_t^1 dt + \sigma S_t^1 dW_t$$

Using Itô's formula we can show that the solution to the SDE for S_t^1 , in the risk neutral space, is

$$S_t^1 = S_0^1 e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma W_t}$$

Theorem: Risk Neutral Pricing Theorem. In the Black-Scholes model any option defined by a nonnegative \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variable, say f_T which is square integrable under \mathbb{Q} (and thus \mathbb{P}), is replicable. The value at time $t \in [0, T]$ of any replicating portfolio is

$$V_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-r(T-t)}f_T|\mathcal{F}_t]$$

Proof. We could sketch this proof but we've basically already done it using the Martingale Representation Theorem, etc... The only modification is that now we have to deal with (T-t) appearing.

If we assume $f_T = f(S_T)$ then we have

$$V_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{O}}[e^{-r(T-t)}f(S_T)|\mathcal{F}_T]$$

Note that

$$\frac{S_T^1}{S_t^1} = \frac{S_0^1 \exp\left[\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)T - \sigma W_T\right]}{S_0^1 \exp\left[\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t - \sigma W_t\right)\right]}
\iff S_T^1 = S_t^1 e^{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)\left(T - t\right) + \sigma\left(W_T - W_t\right)}
= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{-r(T - t)} f\left(S_t^1 e^{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)\left(T - t\right) + \sigma\left(W_T - W_t\right)}\right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

Noting that $W_T - W_t$ is independent of our filtration and S_t^1 is \mathcal{F}_t measurable.

Theorem: "FACT". On a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ let X and Y be random variables and \mathcal{A} a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{G} . Suppose X is \mathcal{A} -measurable and Y is independent of \mathcal{A} , then for any bounded measurable function f(X,Y) define some other function $\phi(X)$ as

$$\phi(X) = \mathbb{E}[f(x, Y)] \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}$$

then we have

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x,Y)|\mathcal{A}] = \phi(X) = \mathbb{E}[f(x,Y)]$$

Basically, this is telling us that if we take a point x (since X is A measurable we have that x is known) and we can just compute the ordinary expectation as desired.

Moving on, with

$$F(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{-r(T-t)}f\left(x, (r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)+\sigma(W_T-W_t)\right)\right]$$

we have that

$$V_t = F(t, S_t^1)$$

and $\sigma(W_T - W_t)$ is just normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2(T - t)$ so this is easily computable, and using the standard normal density we have

$$F(t,x) = e^{-r(T-t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(x e^{(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t) + \sigma\sqrt{(T-t)}z}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz$$

From this we have successfully reduced the Black-Scholes option pricing problem to a relatively simple integration problem.

Lemma 1. For constant k > 0 we have that the conditional probability under the measure \mathbb{Q}

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{1}_{S_T > k} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \Phi\left[\frac{\log(\frac{S_t}{K}) + (T - t)(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}\right]$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the normal CDF and the conditional \mathcal{F}_t means that we are given all the information available to about the system up to time t (i.e. the price). We prove this using basic calculus & some integration tricks:

Proof. Let

$$d_2 = \frac{\log(\frac{S_t}{K}) + (T - t)(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$

so that

$$V_0 = e^{-rt} \Phi[d_2]$$

Note that since $S_T \equiv S_t e^{(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)+\sigma(W_T-W_t)}$ we have

$$S_T > K \iff S_t e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t) + \sigma(W_T - W_t)} > K$$

$$\implies \log(S_t) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t) + \sigma(W_T - W_t) > \log(K)$$

$$\implies W_T - W_t > \frac{-\log(\frac{S_t}{K}) - (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t)}{\sigma}$$

For brevity let $Y_t = \frac{\log(\frac{S_t}{K}) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t)}{\sigma}$ so that we have $W_T - W_t > -Y_t$, hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{1}_{W_T - W_t > -Y_t} | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

Since we have that Y_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable and $(W_T - W_t)$ is independent of our filtration we may use our theorem above ("FACT") so that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{1}_{W_T - W_t > -Y_t} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{1}_{W_T - W_t > -Y_t}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T - t)}} \int_{-Y_t}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2(T - t)}z^2} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T - t)}} \int_{-\infty}^{Y_t} e^{-\frac{1}{2(T - t)}z^2} dz$$

With the substitution

$$u(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{T-t}} \implies d[u(z)] = \frac{dz}{\sqrt{T-t}}$$

we have

$$u(Y_t) = \frac{\log(\frac{S_t}{K}) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}} \equiv d_2$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T - t)}} \int_{-\infty}^{Y_t} e^{-\frac{1}{2(T - t)}z^2} dz = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(T - t)}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2(T - t)}[u\sqrt{T - t}]^2} \left[\sqrt{T - t} du\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du$$

$$= \Phi[d_2]$$

as desired. \Box

2.1 The Binary Option

Consider the example of a binary option with payoff

$$h(S_T) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_T > K \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We say that this European-style contingent is a "cash-or-nothing" call option. We can find the correct price for this option using the lemma above. In this example we consider time t=0,

$$V_0 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}h(S_T)]$$

$$= e^{-rT}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[h(S_T)] \quad \text{(since } e^{-rt} \text{ is known)}$$

$$= e^{-rT}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}]$$

$$= e^{-rT}\Phi[d_2]$$

with d_2 to be defined as earlier.

2.2 The "Asset-or-Nothing" Option

Consider the example of an option with payoff

$$h(S_T) = \begin{cases} S_T & \text{if } S_T > K \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We call this the "asset-or-nothing" call option. By our risk neutral pricing formula we have that, at time t=0,

$$V_0^{AON} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}S_T \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}]$$

This is similar to the "all-or-nothing" option but we now have the included S_T term. We proceed by recall our substitution for $S_T = S_t e^{(r-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)+\sigma(W_T-W_t)}$ and evaluating at t=0 to get

$$\begin{split} V_0^{AON} &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT} S_0 e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - 0) + \sigma(W_T - W_0)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T + \sigma W_T} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}] \end{split}$$

Again recalling our lemma we have

$$\begin{split} V_0^{AON} &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T + \sigma W_T} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{W_T > -Y_0}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T + \sigma W_T} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{-W_T < Y_0}] \quad \text{(by symmetry of } W_T \sim N(0,T)) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T - \sigma(-W_T)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{-W_T < Y_0}] \quad \text{(to make all our } W_T \text{ terms the same sign)} \\ &= S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-\sigma(-W_T)} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{-W_T < Y_0}] \quad \text{(taking out what is known)} \\ &= S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{-\infty}^{Y_0} e^{-\sigma z} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}z^2} dz \end{split}$$

From here we use the substitution

$$u = \frac{z}{\sqrt{T}} \iff z = u\sqrt{T} \implies dz = \sqrt{T} du$$

 $\therefore u(Y_0) = d_2$

So we simplify our integral to

$$V_0^{AON} = S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\infty}^{Y_0} e^{-\sigma z} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}z^2} dz$$

$$= S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\sigma u\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2T}(u\sqrt{T})^2} \left[\sqrt{T} du\right]$$

$$= S_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\sigma u\sqrt{T}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2} du$$

$$= S_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2 - \sigma u\sqrt{T} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 T} du$$

We realize that our integrand is a perfect square (or complete the square to see this),

$$-\frac{1}{2}u^{2} - \sigma\sqrt{T}u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}T = -\frac{1}{2}(u^{2} + 2\sigma\sqrt{T}u + \sigma^{2}T)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}(u + \sigma\sqrt{T})^{2}$$

So our integral becomes

$$V_0^{AON} = S_0 e^{-rT} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u + \sigma\sqrt{T})^2} du$$

Substituting again

$$v = u + \sigma\sqrt{T} \implies dv = du$$

 $\therefore v(d_2) = d_2 + \sigma\sqrt{T}$

Letting $d_1 = d_2 + \sigma \sqrt{T}$ we have

$$V_0^{AON} = S_0 \int_{\infty}^{d_2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(u + \sigma\sqrt{T})^2} du$$
$$= S_0 \int_{\infty}^{d_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}v^2} dv$$
$$= S_0 \Phi[d_1]$$

Therefore we conclude that the correct price at time t=0 for a European-style "asset-or-nothing" call option is

$$V_O^{AON} = S_0 \Phi[d_1]$$

with d_1 defined as above.

3 The European Call Option

We claim that the Black-Scholes time t=0 price of a European call option with payoff

$$h(S_T) = (S_T - K)^+$$

is

$$C_0 = S_0 \Phi[d_1] - K e^{-rT} \Phi[d_2]$$

That is, we claim that the correct price is identical to a portfolio of 1 long "asset-or-nothing" call options and and K short "cash-or-nothing" call options. Where

$$d_1 = \frac{\log(\frac{S_0}{K}) + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$$
$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T} = \frac{\log(\frac{S_0}{K}) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}}$$

Proof. By the risk neutral pricing argument we have that

$$C_0 = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}(S_T - K)^+]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}(S_T - K)\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}S_T\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}K\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}S_T\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}] - K\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-rT}\mathbb{1}_{S_T > K}]$$

$$= S_0\Phi[d_1] - Ke^{-rT}\Phi[d_2]$$

In generality if we're at time t, for $0 \le t < T$, we have

$$C_t = S_t \Phi[d_1(t)] - Ke^{-r(T-t)} \Phi[d_2(t)]$$

where

$$d_1(t) = \frac{\log(\frac{S_t}{K}) + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$
$$d_2(t) = d_1(t) - \sigma\sqrt{T - t} = \frac{\log(\frac{S_t}{K}) + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T - t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T - t}}$$

3.1 The European Put Option

We could go through these steps again to derive a price for a European-style put option, but if we already have the price of a call option then its instead quicker to do so using put-call parity:

$$C - P = S_t - e^{-r(T-t)}K$$

So,

$$P_t = e^{-r(T-t)}K - S_t + \left(S_t\Phi[d_1(t)] - Ke^{-r(T-t)}\Phi[d_2(t)]\right)$$

= $Ke^{-r(T-t)}\left(1 - \Phi[d_2(t)]\right) - S_t\left(1 - \Phi[d_1(t)]\right)$

By symmetry of the normal distribution, $1 - \Phi(x) = \Phi(-x)$, we have

$$P_t = Ke^{-r(T-t)}\Phi[-d_2(t)] - S_t\Phi[-d_1(t)]$$

To have done this rigorously we would need to prove put-call parity, but we'll call it sufficient for now.

4 Hedging

When we were constructing the hedging process $H^* = (H^0, H^1)$ we figured out the ratios for each component

$$H_t^1 = \frac{\gamma_t e^{rt}}{\sigma S_t^1}$$
$$H_t^0 = N_t - \frac{\gamma_t}{\sigma}$$

but were left without the γ_t guaranteed to exist by the Martingale Representation theorem. If we're actually going to do anything with this process we'll need to make this γ_t component explicit. Fortunately we have that

$$V_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[e^{-r(T-t)}f(S_t^1 \cdot \exp\left[(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t) + \sigma(W_T - W_t)\right]\middle|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

By our "FACT" we know this is just a function of the asset price and time

$$V_t = e^{-r(T-t)} F(T-t, S_t^1)$$

We can prove (it's not easy) that in this model F is differentiable with respect to both t and x, so, we may write

$$G(t,x) = F(T-t,e^{rt}x)$$

then we have

$$V_t e^{-rt} = e^{-rT} G(t, e^{-rt} S_t^1)$$
$$\overline{V}_t = e^{-rT} G(t, \overline{S}_t^1)$$

Applying Itô's formula gives us

$$\overline{V}_t = e^{-rT} \left[G(0, \overline{S}_0^1) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \, du + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \, d\overline{S}_u^1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \, d\langle \overline{S}_{(\cdot)}^1 \rangle_u \right]$$

Under the probability measure \mathbb{Q} we know that \overline{S}^1 and \overline{V} are martingales thus no drift term should appear in their SDEs. Therefore, since we know that

$$d\overline{S}_{t}^{1} = \overline{S}_{t}^{1} \sigma dW_{t} \quad \text{(notice no drift in } dt)$$
$$d\langle \overline{S}_{(\cdot)}^{1} \rangle_{t} = (\overline{S}_{t}^{1} \sigma)^{2} dt$$

we must have that

$$\begin{split} \overline{V}_t &= e^{-rT} \left[G(0, \overline{S}_0^1) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \, du + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \, d\overline{S}_u^1 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \, d\langle \overline{S}_u^1 \rangle_u \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} \left[G(0, \overline{S}_0^1) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \, du + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \overline{S}_u^1 \sigma \, dW_u + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \big(\overline{S}_u^1 \sigma \big)^2 \, du \right] \\ &= e^{-rT} \left[G(0, \overline{S}_0^1) + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) (\overline{S}_u^1)^2 \sigma^2 \right) du + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(u, \overline{S}_u^1) \overline{S}_u^1 \sigma \, dW_u \right] \end{split}$$

has as its drift term du be equal to zero. That is, we must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}G(u,\overline{S}_u^1) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}G(u,\overline{S}_u^1)(\overline{S}_u^1)^2\sigma^2 = 0$$

Using

$$G(T,x) = F(0, e^{rT}x) = f(e^{rT}, x)$$

$$G(t,x) = F(T - t, e^{rt}x)$$

we apply the chain rule for partial derivatives on our equation above to obtain the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(u,S_u^1) + rS_t^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(u,S_u^1) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(S_t^1)^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} F(u,S_u^1) = 0$$