DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

B Plus Tree: Bulk Loading (Top Down Vs Bottom Up)

Group Members:

- 1. Divyanshu Talwar (2015028)
- 2. Shashwat Malik (2015092)

Objective:

- To use External Merge Sort for sorting the data
- To implement Bulk Loading for building the B+ Tree in two ways:
 - Top-down Approach
 - Bottom-Up Approach
- To compare the performance of both approaches and compare them with insertion without sorting.
- Analyze the quality of indices created from each of the algorithms (height, number of nodes)

What is done?

- Generated 1000 random numbers to form the input of the B+ Tree.
- Ran External Merge Sort on the file containing the random numbers.
- Insert into the B+ Tree using Bulk Loading (both top-down and bottom-up) approach.

• Comparison of the two approaches:

• Normal Insert approach:

■ Total number of nodes: 1060

■ Height of the tree: 8

- Time taken in Bottom-Up insertions 26460587e-09 nanoseconds.
- See the bplustreeNormal.out file to check the final output (the B+ Tree formed).

• Top-Down approach:

■ Total number of nodes: 751

■ Height of the tree: 7

- Time taken in Bottom-Up insertions 23991027e-09 nanoseconds.
- See the bplustreetopdown.out file to check the final output (the B+ Tree formed).

o Bottom-Up approach:

■ Total number of nodes: 504

■ Height of the tree: 7

- Time taken in Bottom-Up insertions 20706230e-09 nanoseconds.
- See the bplustreeBottomUp.out file to check the final output (the B+ Tree formed).

It's evident from the above mentioned results that, the Bottom-Up approach is more efficient than Top-Down approach. And bulk-loading is more efficient than normal insertions.

Also, bulk-loading is the more space efficient as compared to normal insertions.

Thus, bottom-up is the best, then comes top-down, and the least desired is normal insertion.

• References:

- External_Merge_Sort http://www.ashishsharma.me/2011/08/external-merge-sort.html
- B + Tree structure https://gist.github.com/mikelikesbikes/4742901