

Absolute continuity and Fourier coefficients

Daniel Miller

November 18, 2016

Consider the compact Lie group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. It has an obvious maximal torus, namely

$$T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}.$$

The Weyl group is

$$W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

whose non-trivial element acts on T by $\theta \mapsto -\theta$. It is well-known that the map $T/W \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)^{\natural}$ is a bijection. We use it to make a couple definitions. First, note that for any function on T , we will write

$$f(\theta) = f \left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & \\ & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Moreover, for $f \in L^1(T)$, we have the Fourier coefficients:

$$\widehat{f}(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) e^{-im\theta} d\theta.$$

Definition 1. A function $f \in L^1(\mathrm{SU}(2)^{\natural})$ is *absolutely continuous* if it is the descent of a W -invariant absolutely continuous function on T . In other words, $\mathrm{AC}(T/W) = \mathrm{AC}(T)^W$.

Recall that $f \in C(T)$ is absolutely continuous if there exists $g \in L^1(T)$ for which

$$f(\theta) = f(0) + \int_0^\theta g(t) dt, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Note that if $f \in \mathrm{AC}(T/W)$, the corresponding g may not descend to T/W .

Theorem 1. If $f \in \mathrm{AC}(T/W)$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\widehat{f}(m) = -\frac{i}{m} \widehat{g}(m)$.

Proof. We compute directly:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}(m) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(f(0) + \int_0^\theta g(t) dt \right) e^{-im\theta} d\theta \\
&= \frac{f(0)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-im\theta} d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(t) \int_t^{2\pi} e^{-im\theta} d\theta dt \\
&= \frac{i}{2m\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(t) (e^{-2\pi im} - e^{-imt}) dt \\
&= \frac{ie^{-2\pi im}}{2\pi m} \int_0^{2\pi} g(t) dt - \frac{i}{2\pi m} \int_0^{2\pi} g(t) e^{-imt} dt \\
&= -\frac{i}{m} \widehat{g}(m).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that for $k \geq 0$, we write $S_k f$ for the k -th partial Fourier series for f , namely

$$S_k f(x) = \sum_{|m| \leq k} \widehat{f}(m) e^{imx}.$$

It is known that if f is absolutely continuous, then $S_k f \rightarrow f$ uniformly. We give a quantitative bound on the rate of convergence.

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in \text{AC}(T/W)$. Then*

$$\|S_k f - f\|_\infty \ll k^{-1/2} \cdot \|f'\|_2.$$

Proof. Let g be such that $f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x g(t) dt$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_k f(x) - f(x)| &\leq \sum_{|m| > k} |\widehat{f}(m)| \\
&\ll \sum_{|m| > k} \frac{1}{m} |\widehat{g}(m)| \\
&\leq \sqrt{\sum_{|m| > k} m^{-2}} \sqrt{\sum_{|m| > k} |\widehat{g}(m)|^2} \\
&\ll k^{-1/2} \cdot \|f'\|_2,
\end{aligned}$$

using Cauchy–Schwartz for the third inequality and the Plancherel theorem for the fourth. □

Theorem 3. *Fix $x \in T$ with $\omega_0(x)$ finite, and let $x_n = nx \bmod \pi \in T/W$. Then if $f \in \text{AC}(T/W)$ with $\int_0^\pi f(t) dt = 0$, we have*

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} f(x_n) \right| \ll ?$$

Proof. We begin by splitting the sum in the theorem into two parts. Let $k \geq 0$ be arbitrary. Then

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} f(x_n) \right| \leq \sum_{|m| \leq k} |\widehat{f}(m)| \left| \sum_{n \leq N} e^{imx_n} \right| + \sum_{n \leq N} |S_k f(x_n) - f(x_n)|.$$

Recall that $|\widehat{f}(m)| \leq \frac{1}{|m|} |\widehat{g}(m)|$ and the Fourier coefficients of g are bounded. Moreover, we already know that

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} e^{imx_n} \right| \ll_{\epsilon, x} |m|^{\omega_0(x) + \epsilon},$$

which tells us that

$$\sum_{|m| \leq k} |\widehat{f}(m)| \left| \sum_{n \leq N} e^{imx_n} \right| \ll_f \sum_{|m| \leq k} |m|^{-1 + \omega_0(x) + \epsilon} \ll_f \frac{1}{\omega_0(x) + \epsilon} (k^{\omega_0(x) + \epsilon} - 1)$$

Combining everything with the previous bound on $\|S_k f - f\|_\infty$, we get

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} f(x_n) \right| \ll_{f, x, \epsilon} \log(k) |m|^?$$

□

... we only get $\ll N^{\alpha(\omega_0(x) + \epsilon)} + N^{1 - \alpha/2}$. Best that can be done is

$$\max(aw, 1 - a/2)$$

$$aw = 1 - a/2$$

$$(w + 1/2)a = 1$$

$$a = 1/(w + 1/2)$$

So, for $w \in (1/2, 1)$, the best power of N we can get as a bound for the sums

is

$$\frac{w}{w + 1/2}$$

As $w \rightarrow 1$, the power is $< 2/3$.