

# Counterexamples related to the Sato–Tate conjecture

---

Daniel Miller

25 April 2017

Cornell University

# Outline

Motivation and background

Discrepancy and Dirichlet series

Main theorem

Idea of the proof

## Motivation and background

---

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ .

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . (Hasse)

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . (Hasse)

Satake parameter:  $\theta_p = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \right)$ .



# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . (Hasse)

Satake parameter:  $\theta_p = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \right)$ .

Sato–Tate measure:  $\mathrm{ST} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta$

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . (Hasse)

Satake parameter:  $\theta_p = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \right)$ .

Sato–Tate measure:  $\mathrm{ST} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta$  (Haar measure on  $\mathrm{SU}(2)^{\natural}$ ).

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . (Hasse)

Satake parameter:  $\theta_p = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \right)$ .

Sato–Tate measure:  $\mathrm{ST} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta$  (Haar measure on  $\mathrm{SU}(2)^{\natural}$ ).

**Theorem (Taylor et. al.)**

$\{\theta_p\}$  is equidistributed with respect to  $\mathrm{ST}$ .

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . (Hasse)

Satake parameter:  $\theta_p = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \right)$ .

Sato–Tate measure:  $\mathrm{ST} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta$  (Haar measure on  $\mathrm{SU}(2)^{\natural}$ ).

**Theorem (Taylor et. al.)**

$\{\theta_p\}$  is equidistributed with respect to  $\mathrm{ST}$ .

Quantify rate of convergence of  $\frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} \delta_{\theta_p}$  to  $\mathrm{ST}$ .

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $l$  prime,  $\rho_l: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$ .

**Fact:**  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho_l(\mathrm{fr}_p) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p)$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ . (Hasse)

Satake parameter:  $\theta_p = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \right)$ .

Sato–Tate measure:  $\mathrm{ST} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$  (Haar measure on  $\mathrm{SU}(2)^{\natural}$ ).

**Theorem (Taylor et. al.)**

$\{\theta_p\}$  is equidistributed with respect to  $\mathrm{ST}$ .

Quantify rate of convergence of  $\frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} \delta_{\theta_p}$  to  $\mathrm{ST}$ .

Use discrepancy (Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic).

# Akiyama–Tanigawa Conjecture

$$D_N = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int 1_{[0, x)}(\theta) \, dST(\theta) \right|.$$

# Akiyama–Tanigawa Conjecture

$$D_N = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int 1_{[0, x)}(\theta) \, dST(\theta) \right|.$$

**Conjecture (Akiyama–Tanigawa)**

$$D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

# Akiyama–Tanigawa Conjecture

$$D_N = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int 1_{[0, x)}(\theta) \, dST(\theta) \right|.$$

## Conjecture (Akiyama–Tanigawa)

$$D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

There is a variant of this conjecture for CM elliptic curves.



# Akiyama–Tanigawa Conjecture

$$D_N = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int 1_{[0, x)}(\theta) \, dST(\theta) \right|.$$

## Conjecture (Akiyama–Tanigawa)

$$D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

There is a variant of this conjecture for CM elliptic curves.

## Theorem (Akiyama–Tanigawa)

*Akiyama–Tanigawa conjecture  $\Rightarrow$  Riemann hypothesis for  $E$ .*

# Akiyama–Tanigawa Conjecture

$$D_N = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int 1_{[0, x)}(\theta) \, dST(\theta) \right|.$$

## Conjecture (Akiyama–Tanigawa)

$$D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

There is a variant of this conjecture for CM elliptic curves.

## Theorem (Akiyama–Tanigawa)

*Akiyama–Tanigawa conjecture  $\Rightarrow$  Riemann hypothesis for  $E$ .*

## Theorem (Mazur)

*Akiyama–Tanigawa conjecture  $\Rightarrow$  Riemann hypothesis for  $\text{sym}^k E$*

**Theorem (Bucar–Kedlaya).** Assume analytic continuation of  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k E, s)$ , GRH, and functional equation for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

## Related results

**Theorem (Bucar–Kedlaya).** Assume analytic continuation of  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k E, s)$ , GRH, and functional equation for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

**Theorem (Thorner).** Same result (with explicit constants) for a modular form of arbitrary weight .

## Related results

**Theorem (Bucar–Kedlaya).** Assume analytic continuation of  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k E, s)$ , GRH, and functional equation for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

**Theorem (Thorner).** Same result (with explicit constants) for a modular form of arbitrary weight .

**Theorem (Niederreiter).** Let  $E/F$ , where  $F$  is a function field. Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

## Related results

**Theorem (Bucar–Kedlaya).** Assume analytic continuation of  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k E, s)$ , GRH, and functional equation for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

**Theorem (Thorner).** Same result (with explicit constants) for a modular form of arbitrary weight .

**Theorem (Niederreiter).** Let  $E/F$ , where  $F$  is a function field. Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

**Theorem (Rosengarten).** For  $G = \mathrm{ST}(M)$  over a function field,  $\mathrm{GRH}(M) \Rightarrow D_N \ll N^{-\alpha_G+\epsilon}$ , where  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mathrm{rk} G \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Related results

**Theorem (Bucar–Kedlaya).** Assume analytic continuation of  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k E, s)$ , GRH, and functional equation for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

**Theorem (Thorner).** Same result (with explicit constants) for a modular form of arbitrary weight .

**Theorem (Niederreiter).** Let  $E/F$ , where  $F$  is a function field. Then  $D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$ .

**Theorem (Rosengarten).** For  $G = \mathrm{ST}(M)$  over a function field,  $\mathrm{GRH}(M) \Rightarrow D_N \ll N^{-\alpha_G+\epsilon}$ , where  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mathrm{rk} G \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Common ingredient.** Erdős–Turán–Koksma inequality: from a bound on  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} \mathrm{tr} \rho(x_p) \right|$  to a bound on  $D_N$ .

A. Pande: is the Sato–Tate conjecture a Galois-theoretic result?



A. Pande: is the Sato–Tate conjecture a Galois-theoretic result?

### **Theorem (Pande)**

*Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an infinitely ramified representation  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that  $\theta_p \in B_{\epsilon}(\pi/2)$  for a density one set of primes.*

A. Pande: is the Sato–Tate conjecture a Galois-theoretic result?

### **Theorem (Pande)**

*Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an infinitely ramified representation  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that  $\theta_p \in B_{\epsilon}(\pi/2)$  for a density one set of primes.*

### **Theorem (Khare–Rajan)**

*Any  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  is ramified at a density zero set of primes.*

A. Pande: is the Sato–Tate conjecture a Galois-theoretic result?

## **Theorem (Pande)**

*Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an infinitely ramified representation  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that  $\theta_p \in B_\epsilon(\pi/2)$  for a density one set of primes.*

## **Theorem (Khare–Rajan)**

*Any  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  is ramified at a density zero set of primes.*

**Question (Serre):** can you *a priori* control the ratio  $\frac{\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x)}{\pi(x)}$ ?

A. Pande: is the Sato–Tate conjecture a Galois-theoretic result?

## **Theorem (Pande)**

*Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an infinitely ramified representation  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that  $\theta_p \in B_\epsilon(\pi/2)$  for a density one set of primes.*

## **Theorem (Khare–Rajan)**

*Any  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  is ramified at a density zero set of primes.*

**Question (Serre):** can you *a priori* control the ratio  $\frac{\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x)}{\pi(x)}$ ?

**Answer (Khare–Larsen–Ramakrishna):** no!

**Q1.** Can Pande's results be strengthened to yield equidistribution?

# Questions

- Q1.** Can Pande's results be strengthened to yield equidistribution?
- Q2.** If so, can the measure be specified?

- Q1.** Can Pande's results be strengthened to yield equidistribution?
- Q2.** If so, can the measure be specified?
- Q3.** Can the rate of convergence of empirical measures to the true measure be specified?

# Questions

- Q1.** Can Pande's results be strengthened to yield equidistribution?
- Q2.** If so, can the measure be specified?
- Q3.** Can the rate of convergence of empirical measures to the true measure be specified?
- Q4.** Can the growth of  $\pi_{\text{ram}(\rho)}(x)$  be controlled?



# Questions

- Q1.** Can Pande's results be strengthened to yield equidistribution?
- Q2.** If so, can the measure be specified?
- Q3.** Can the rate of convergence of empirical measures to the true measure be specified?
- Q4.** Can the growth of  $\pi_{\text{ram}(\rho)}(x)$  be controlled?
- Q5.** Can anything be said about the  $L$ -functions associated with  $\rho$ ?

# Questions

**Q1.** Can Pande's results be strengthened to yield equidistribution?

**Q2.** If so, can the measure be specified?

**Q3.** Can the rate of convergence of empirical measures to the true measure be specified?

**Q4.** Can the growth of  $\pi_{\text{ram}(\rho)}(x)$  be controlled?

**Q5.** Can anything be said about the  $L$ -functions associated with  $\rho$ ?

**Answer:** Yes! to Q1–Q5.

# Discrepancy and Dirichlet series

---

## Definition

Let  $\{\theta_p\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, \pi]$ ,  $\mu$  a measure on  $[0, \pi]$ . The *discrepancy* is

$$D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int_0^x d\mu \right|.$$

## Definition

Let  $\{\theta_p\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, \pi]$ ,  $\mu$  a measure on  $[0, \pi]$ . The *discrepancy* is

$$D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int_0^x d\mu \right|.$$

**Fact:**  $\{\theta_p\}$  are  $\mu$ -equidistributed if and only if  $D_N \rightarrow 0$ .

## Definition

Let  $\{\theta_p\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, \pi]$ ,  $\mu$  a measure on  $[0, \pi]$ . The *discrepancy* is

$$D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int_0^x d\mu \right|.$$

**Fact:**  $\{\theta_p\}$  are  $\mu$ -equidistributed if and only if  $D_N \rightarrow 0$ .

**Fact:**  $\frac{\log N}{N} \ll D_N$ . The *van der Corput sequence* achieves this.

## Definition

For  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$L(\mathrm{sym}^k \rho, s) = \prod_p \det \left( 1 - \mathrm{sym}^k \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_p} \end{pmatrix} p^{-s} \right)^{-1}$$

## Definition

For  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s) = \prod_p \det \left( 1 - \text{sym}^k \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_p} \end{pmatrix} p^{-s} \right)^{-1}$$

## Definition

For  $f: [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  of bounded variation with  $\mu(f) = 0$ ,

$$L_f(s) = \prod_p (1 - f(\theta_p) p^{-s})^{-1}$$



## Definition

For  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s) = \prod_p \det \left( 1 - \text{sym}^k \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_p} \end{pmatrix} p^{-s} \right)^{-1}$$

## Definition

For  $f: [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  of bounded variation with  $\mu(f) = 0$ ,

$$L_f(s) = \prod_p (1 - f(\theta_p) p^{-s})^{-1}$$

**Example (Ramakrishna):**  $L_{\text{sgn}}(s) = \prod_p (1 - \text{sgn}(a_p) p^{-s})^{-1}$ .

### Theorem (M.)

*If  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} f(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .*

# Dirichlet series—basic facts

## Theorem (M.)

*If  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} f(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .*

## Corollary

*If  $D_N \ll N^{-\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .*

# Dirichlet series—basic facts

## Theorem (M.)

*If  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} f(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .*

## Corollary

*If  $D_N \ll N^{-\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .*

## Definition

$$U_k(\theta) = \frac{\sin((k+1)\theta)}{\sin \theta} = \text{tr sym}^k \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Dirichlet series—basic facts

## Theorem (M.)

If  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} f(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .

## Corollary

If  $D_N \ll N^{-\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .

## Definition

$$U_k(\theta) = \frac{\sin((k+1)\theta)}{\sin \theta} = \text{tr sym}^k \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Theorem

If  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .

## Main theorem

---

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .



# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .
3. Fix a function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$  which increases slowly to infinity.

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .
3. Fix a function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$  which increases slowly to infinity. We will have  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ .

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .
3. Fix a function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$  which increases slowly to infinity. We will have  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ .
4. Fix an absolutely continuous probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \pi]$ , with bounded probability density function.

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .
3. Fix a function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$  which increases slowly to infinity. We will have  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ .
4. Fix an absolutely continuous probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \pi]$ , with bounded probability density function. The angles  $\{\theta_p\}$  will be  $\mu$ -equidistributed.

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .
3. Fix a function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$  which increases slowly to infinity. We will have  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ .
4. Fix an absolutely continuous probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \pi]$ , with bounded probability density function. The angles  $\{\theta_p\}$  will be  $\mu$ -equidistributed.
5. Fix  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ .

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely irreducible, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .
3. Fix a function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$  which increases slowly to infinity. We will have  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ .
4. Fix an absolutely continuous probability measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \pi]$ , with bounded probability density function. The angles  $\{\theta_p\}$  will be  $\mu$ -equidistributed.
5. Fix  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ . The discrepancy  $D_N$  will decay like  $\pi(N)^{-\alpha}$ .

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that



## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ .

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,  $\log^{10^{10}} x$ ,

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,  $\log^{10^{10}} x$ ,  $A^{-1}(x)$ )

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,  $\log^{10^{10}} x$ ,  $A^{-1}(x)$ )
3. For each unramified  $p$ ,  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho(\mathrm{fr}_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and satisfies the Hasse bound.

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,  $\log^{10^{10}} x$ ,  $A^{-1}(x)$ )
3. For each unramified  $p$ ,  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho(\mathrm{fr}_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and satisfies the Hasse bound.
4.  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ .



## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,  $\log^{10^{10}} x$ ,  $A^{-1}(x)$ )
3. For each unramified  $p$ ,  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho(\mathrm{fr}_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and satisfies the Hasse bound.
4.  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ . (Yes to Q1–Q3.)

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,  $\log^{10^{10}} x$ ,  $A^{-1}(x)$ )
3. For each unramified  $p$ ,  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho(\mathrm{fr}_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and satisfies the Hasse bound.
4.  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ . (Yes to Q1–Q3.)
5. If  $(\theta \mapsto \pi - \theta)_* \mu = \mu$ , then for each odd  $k$ ,  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k \rho, s)$  satisfies the Riemann hypothesis.

## Theorem (M.)

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.  $\log x$ ,  $\log^{10^{10}} x$ ,  $A^{-1}(x)$ )
3. For each unramified  $p$ ,  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho(\mathrm{fr}_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and satisfies the Hasse bound.
4.  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ . (Yes to Q1–Q3.)
5. If  $(\theta \mapsto \pi - \theta)_* \mu = \mu$ , then for each odd  $k$ ,  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k \rho, s)$  satisfies the Riemann hypothesis. (Yes to Q5.)

## Idea of the proof

---

## Theorem

*If  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots)$  in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $|D_N - \pi(N)^{-\alpha}| \ll \pi(N)^{-1}$ .*

## Theorem

*If  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots)$  in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $|D_N - \pi(N)^{-\alpha}| \ll \pi(N)^{-1}$ .*

(Can have  $x_p = \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}}$  for  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  satisfying the Hasse bound.)

## Theorem

*If  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots)$  in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $|D_N - \pi(N)^{-\alpha}| \ll \pi(N)^{-1}$ .*

(Can have  $x_p = \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}}$  for  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  satisfying the Hasse bound.)

**Fact:** Discrepancy is invariant under pushforward by  $\cos$  and  $\cos^{-1}$ .

# Prescribing discrepancy decay

## Theorem

If  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots)$  in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $|D_N - \pi(N)^{-\alpha}| \ll \pi(N)^{-1}$ .

(Can have  $x_p = \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}}$  for  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  satisfying the Hasse bound.)

**Fact:** Discrepancy is invariant under pushforward by  $\cos$  and  $\cos^{-1}$ .

**Idea:** Construct  $\rho$  so that  $\frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \approx x_p$ .



# Prescribing discrepancy decay

## Theorem

If  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots)$  in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $|D_N - \pi(N)^{-\alpha}| \ll \pi(N)^{-1}$ .

(Can have  $x_p = \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}}$  for  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  satisfying the Hasse bound.)

**Fact:** Discrepancy is invariant under pushforward by  $\cos$  and  $\cos^{-1}$ .

**Idea:** Construct  $\rho$  so that  $\frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \approx x_p$ .

**Fact:** If  $(x_p^{(1)})$  is a sequence with  $|x_p - x_p^{(1)}| \ll p^{-1/2+\epsilon}$ , then  $D_N^{(1)} = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ .

## Lifting Galois representations

Construct  $\rho$  as  $\varprojlim \rho_n$ , where  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ .

## Lifting Galois representations

Construct  $\rho$  as  $\varprojlim \rho_n$ , where  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ .

For all  $n$ , require  $\det \rho_n \equiv \kappa \pmod{l^n}$

## Lifting Galois representations

Construct  $\rho$  as  $\varprojlim \rho_n$ , where  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ .

For all  $n$ , require  $\det \rho_n \equiv \kappa \pmod{l^n}$  ( $l$ -adic cyclotomic character)

## Lifting Galois representations

Construct  $\rho$  as  $\varprojlim \rho_n$ , where  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ .

For all  $n$ , require  $\det \rho_n \equiv \kappa \pmod{l^n}$  ( $l$ -adic cyclotomic character)

Passage from  $\rho_n$  to  $\rho_{n+1}$  is governed by  $H^i(G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

# Lifting Galois representations

Construct  $\rho$  as  $\varprojlim \rho_n$ , where  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ .

For all  $n$ , require  $\det \rho_n \equiv \kappa \pmod{l^n}$  ( $l$ -adic cyclotomic character)

Passage from  $\rho_n$  to  $\rho_{n+1}$  is governed by  $H^i(G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

**Theorem (K–L–R).** Fix a finite set  $U$  of primes. Then there exists a finite set  $N$  of primes such that

$$H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}, R \cup N}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{p \in R} H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \times \prod_{p \in U} H^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$$

# Lifting Galois representations

Construct  $\rho$  as  $\varprojlim \rho_n$ , where  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ .

For all  $n$ , require  $\det \rho_n \equiv \kappa \pmod{l^n}$  ( $l$ -adic cyclotomic character)

Passage from  $\rho_n$  to  $\rho_{n+1}$  is governed by  $H^i(G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

**Theorem (K–L–R).** Fix a finite set  $U$  of primes. Then there exists a finite set  $N$  of primes such that

$$H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}, R \cup N}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{p \in R} H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \times \prod_{p \in U} H_{\mathrm{nr}}^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$$

**Corollary:** Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ , can choose  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p)$  for all  $p$  in a finite set.

# Lifting Galois representations

Construct  $\rho$  as  $\varprojlim \rho_n$ , where  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ .

For all  $n$ , require  $\det \rho_n \equiv \kappa \pmod{l^n}$  ( $l$ -adic cyclotomic character)

Passage from  $\rho_n$  to  $\rho_{n+1}$  is governed by  $H^i(G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$

**Theorem (K–L–R).** Fix a finite set  $U$  of primes. Then there exists a finite set  $N$  of primes such that

$$H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}, R \cup N}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{p \in R} H^1(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho}) \times \prod_{p \in U} H^1_{\mathrm{nr}}(G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Ad}^0 \bar{\rho})$$

**Corollary:** Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$ , can choose  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p)$  for all  $p$  in a finite set. (Finitely many more ramified primes.)



## Controlling ramified primes

Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/I^n)$  and choices of  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p)$   $(\bmod I^{n+1})$ , need to add finite set  $N$  to  $R_n$ .

## Controlling ramified primes

Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/I^n)$  and choices of  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p) \pmod{I^{n+1}}$ , need to add finite set  $N$  to  $R_n$ .

Each  $p \in N$  is chosen from a positive-density set of primes.

## Controlling ramified primes

Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/I^n)$  and choices of  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p) \pmod{I^{n+1}}$ , need to add finite set  $N$  to  $R_n$ .

Each  $p \in N$  is chosen from a positive-density set of primes.

So  $p$  can be arbitrarily large!

## Controlling ramified primes

Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$  and choices of  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p) \pmod{l^{n+1}}$ , need to add finite set  $N$  to  $R_n$ .

Each  $p \in N$  is chosen from a positive-density set of primes.

So  $p$  can be arbitrarily large!

If  $\pi_R(x) \leq h(x) \ (\forall x)$ , can force this for  $\pi_{R \cup N}(x)$ .

## Controlling ramified primes

Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$  and choices of  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p) \pmod{l^{n+1}}$ , need to add finite set  $N$  to  $R_n$ .

Each  $p \in N$  is chosen from a positive-density set of primes.

So  $p$  can be arbitrarily large!

If  $\pi_R(x) \leq h(x) \ (\forall x)$ , can force this for  $\pi_{R \cup N}(x)$ .

$\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\bar{\rho})}(x) \leq h(x)$  may not hold—scale  $h$  to make this true!

## Controlling ramified primes

Given  $\rho_n: G_{\mathbf{Q}, R_n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/l^n)$  and choices of  $\mathrm{tr} \rho_{n+1}(\mathrm{fr}_p) \pmod{l^{n+1}}$ , need to add finite set  $N$  to  $R_n$ .

Each  $p \in N$  is chosen from a positive-density set of primes.

So  $p$  can be arbitrarily large!

If  $\pi_R(x) \leq h(x) \ (\forall x)$ , can force this for  $\pi_{R \cup N}(x)$ .

$\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\bar{\rho})}(x) \leq h(x)$  may not hold—scale  $h$  to make this true!

**Fact:** constant in  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$  only depends on  $\bar{\rho}$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—first stage

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^2$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—first stage

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^2$ .

Fix a large finite set  $U_1$  of primes.



## Lifting Galois representations—first stage

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^2$ .

Fix a **large** finite set  $U_1$  of primes.

For  $p \in U_1$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_1(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l}$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—first stage

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^2$ .

Fix a **large** finite set  $U_1$  of primes.

For  $p \in U_1$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_1(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l}$ .

We can ensure  $\left| \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} - x_p \right| \leq \frac{l}{2\sqrt{p}}$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—first stage

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^2$ .

Fix a **large** finite set  $U_1$  of primes.

For  $p \in U_1$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_1(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l}$ .

We can ensure  $\left| \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} - x_p \right| \leq \frac{l}{2\sqrt{p}}$ .

For  $N \leq \max U_1$ ,  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—first stage

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^2$ .

Fix a **large** finite set  $U_1$  of primes.

For  $p \in U_1$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_1(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l}$ .

We can ensure  $\left| \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} - x_p \right| \leq \frac{l}{2\sqrt{p}}$ .

For  $N \leq \max U_1$ ,  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ .

Make  $U_1$  so large that for  $p > \max U_1$ ,  $l^2 < \log p$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ . (1–5 hold)

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ . (1–5 hold)

Fix a really huge  $U_{n+1} \supset U_n$ .



## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ . (1–5 hold)

Fix a **really huge**  $U_{n+1} \supset U_n$ .

For  $p \in U_{n+1} \setminus U_n$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_n(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l^n}$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ . (1–5 hold)

Fix a **really huge**  $U_{n+1} \supset U_n$ .

For  $p \in U_{n+1} \setminus U_n$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_n(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l^n}$ .

We can ensure  $\left| \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} - x_p \right| \leq \frac{l^n}{2\sqrt{p}}$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ . (1–5 hold)

Fix a **really huge**  $U_{n+1} \supset U_n$ .

For  $p \in U_{n+1} \setminus U_n$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_n(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l^n}$ .

We can ensure  $\left| \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} - x_p \right| \leq \frac{l^n}{2\sqrt{p}}$ . ( $l^n \ll \log p$ ).

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ . (1–5 hold)

Fix a **really huge**  $U_{n+1} \supset U_n$ .

For  $p \in U_{n+1} \setminus U_n$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_n(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l^n}$ .

We can ensure  $\left| \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} - x_p \right| \leq \frac{l^n}{2\sqrt{p}}$ . ( $l^n \ll \log p$ ).

For  $N \leq \max U_{n+1}$ ,  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

Have already chosen  $a_p$  for  $p \in U_n$ . (1–5 hold)

Fix a **really huge**  $U_{n+1} \supset U_n$ .

For  $p \in U_{n+1} \setminus U_n$ , can choose  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  subject only to  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$  and  $a_p \equiv \text{tr } \rho_n(\text{fr}_p) \pmod{l^n}$ .

We can ensure  $\left| \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} - x_p \right| \leq \frac{l^n}{2\sqrt{p}}$ . ( $l^n \ll \log p$ ).

For  $N \leq \max U_{n+1}$ ,  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ .

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \text{ implies RH for } L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s).$$

# Riemann hypothesis

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \text{ implies RH for } L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s).$$

When  $k$  is odd,  $U_k(\pi - \theta) = -U_k(\theta)$ .



# Riemann hypothesis

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \text{ implies RH for } L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s).$$

When  $k$  is odd,  $U_k(\pi - \theta) = -U_k(\theta)$ .

Enumerate the primes  $p_1 = 2, q_1 = 3, p_2 = 5, q_2 = 7, \dots$

# Riemann hypothesis

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \text{ implies RH for } L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s).$$

When  $k$  is odd,  $U_k(\pi - \theta) = -U_k(\theta)$ .

Enumerate the primes  $p_1 = 2, q_1 = 3, p_2 = 5, q_2 = 7, \dots$

If  $\theta_{q_i} \approx \pi - \theta_{p_i}$ , then  $U_k(\theta_{q_i}) \approx -U_k(\theta_{p_i})$

# Riemann hypothesis

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \text{ implies RH for } L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s).$$

When  $k$  is odd,  $U_k(\pi - \theta) = -U_k(\theta)$ .

Enumerate the primes  $p_1 = 2, q_1 = 3, p_2 = 5, q_2 = 7, \dots$

If  $\theta_{q_i} \approx \pi - \theta_{p_i}$ , then  $U_k(\theta_{q_i}) \approx -U_k(\theta_{p_i})$  (within  $p_i^{-1/2}$ ).

# Riemann hypothesis

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \text{ implies RH for } L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s).$$

When  $k$  is odd,  $U_k(\pi - \theta) = -U_k(\theta)$ .

Enumerate the primes  $p_1 = 2, q_1 = 3, p_2 = 5, q_2 = 7, \dots$

If  $\theta_{q_i} \approx \pi - \theta_{p_i}$ , then  $U_k(\theta_{q_i}) \approx -U_k(\theta_{p_i})$  (within  $p_i^{-1/2}$ ).

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| = \left| \sum_{p_i, q_i \leq N} (U_k(\theta_{p_i}) + U_k(\theta_{q_i})) \right| \ll \sum_{n \leq N} n^{-1/2}$$

# Riemann hypothesis

How can we make  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$ ,  $k$  odd, satisfy the Riemann hypothesis?

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} \text{ implies RH for } L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s).$$

When  $k$  is odd,  $U_k(\pi - \theta) = -U_k(\theta)$ .

Enumerate the primes  $p_1 = 2, q_1 = 3, p_2 = 5, q_2 = 7, \dots$

If  $\theta_{q_i} \approx \pi - \theta_{p_i}$ , then  $U_k(\theta_{q_i}) \approx -U_k(\theta_{p_i})$  (within  $p_i^{-1/2}$ ).

$$\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| = \left| \sum_{p_i, q_i \leq N} (U_k(\theta_{p_i}) + U_k(\theta_{q_i})) \right| \ll \sum_{n \leq N} n^{-1/2} \\ \ll N^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

## Consequences

If  $f \in C([0, \pi])$ ,  $f \circ \cos^{-1}: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is Lipschitz, and  $f(\pi - \theta) = -f(\theta)$ , then  $L_f(\rho, s)$  has a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \frac{1}{2}$  (Riemann hypothesis).

# Consequences

If  $f \in C([0, \pi])$ ,  $f \circ \cos^{-1}: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is Lipschitz, and  $f(\pi - \theta) = -f(\theta)$ , then  $L_f(\rho, s)$  has a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \frac{1}{2}$  (Riemann hypothesis).

For  $\mu$  any “bump measure,” there exists  $\rho$  with  $\{\theta_\rho\}$   $\mu$ -equidistributed.

# Consequences

If  $f \in C([0, \pi])$ ,  $f \circ \cos^{-1}: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is Lipschitz, and  $f(\pi - \theta) = -f(\theta)$ , then  $L_f(\rho, s)$  has a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \frac{1}{2}$  (Riemann hypothesis).

For  $\mu$  any “bump measure,” there exists  $\rho$  with  $\{\theta_\rho\}$   $\mu$ -equidistributed.

Can get equidistribution with respect to  $\mu$  with non-continuous probability distribution functions.



Questions?