# Equidistribution and the analytic properties of a strange class of L-functions

Daniel Miller

August 10, 2016

#### 1 Motivation

Let  $E_{/\mathbf{Q}}$  be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication. By an old theorem of Faltings [Fal83], the quantities

$$a_p(E) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p) = \operatorname{tr} \rho_{E,l}(\operatorname{fr}_p)$$

determine E up to isogeny. That is, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  satisfy  $a_p(E_1) = a_p(E_2)$  for all E, then  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are isogenous. The starting point of this investigation is the corollary of a theorem of Harris, that the collection  $\{\operatorname{sgn} a_p(E)\}_p$  in fact determines E up to isogeny. Ramakrishna had the insight that this fact means the "strange L-function"

$$L_{\text{sgn}}(E, s) = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - \text{sgn} \, a_p(E) p^{-s}}$$

determines E up to isogeny. In this note, I define a more general class of strange L-functions, and show that their analytic properties are closely tied to the equidistribution of the  $a_p(E)$ .

Here is a brief discussion of this generalization in the case of a non-CM curve  $E_{/\mathbf{Q}}$ . It is convenient to repackage the traces of Frobenius as follows:

$$\theta_p(E) = \cos^{-1}(a_p(E)/2\sqrt{p}).$$

The Hasse Bound guarantees that the  $\theta_p(E)$  are well-defined angles laying in the interval  $[0,\pi]$ . Write  $\mathrm{dST}=\frac{2}{\pi}\sin^2\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta$ . Then the Sato–Tate conjecture (now a theorem [BL+11]) tells us that for any continuous function  $f\colon [0,\pi]\to \mathbf{C}$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\pi(C)} \sum_{p \leqslant C} f(\theta_p) - \int_0^{\pi} f \, dST \right| = o(1)$$

as  $C \to \infty$ . It is well-known that this follows from the analytic continuation (past  $\Re s = 1$ ) and non-vanishing except at s = 1 of all the L-functions

 $L(\text{sym}^k E, s)$  [Ser68, A.1, Th.1]. We take as our starting point the much stronger conjecture, due to Akiyama–Tanigawa [AT99], that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\pi(C)} \sum_{p \leqslant C} f(\theta_p) - \int_0^{\pi} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{ST}} \right| = O_f(C^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon})$$

for all continuous f. (Their conjecture is actually more general; we will discuss the precise statement later.) They prove that this conjecture implies the Riemann Hypothesis for E. I prove that not only does their conjecture imply the Riemann Hypothesis for all  $L(\operatorname{sym}^k E, s)$ , it also does for all the strange L-functions

$$L_f(E, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - f(\theta_p(E))p^{-s}}$$

These results make perfect sense in a much more general context, and I will prove them there. In section 2 I set up this context and carefully define strange L-functions. In section 3, I prove basic analytic properties of the strange L-functions and connect their analytic properties with the equidistribution of a sequence. In section 4, I apply these results where "everything is known," i.e. varieties over function fields. Finally, in section 5, I apply the general results to the following cases: a non-CM elliptic curve  $E_{/\mathbf{Q}}$ , the product  $E_1 \times E_2$  of a pair of non-isogenous non-CM elliptic curves over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and the Jacobian of a generic genus-2 curve  $C_{/\mathbf{Q}}$ .

### 2 Definitions

Let  $\mathbf{D} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . Write  $\mathbf{D}^{\infty}$  for the set of sequences in  $\mathbf{D}$  indexed by the primes, i.e.  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{D}^{\infty}$  is  $(z_2, z_3, \dots)$ . The space  $\mathbf{D}^{\infty}$  is compact, and comes naturally equipped with the (product) Lebesgue measure, normalized to have mass 1.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $z \in \mathbf{D}^{\infty}$ . The associated strange L-function is given by

$$L(\boldsymbol{z},s) = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - z_{p}p^{-s}},$$

wherever this product converges.

Elementary topology tells us that  $L : \mathbf{D}^{\infty} \times \mathbf{C}^{\Re > 1} \to \mathbf{C}$  is continuous. We will see that for fixed  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{D}^{\infty}$ , the analytic properties of  $L(\mathbf{z}, s)$  are closely tied to estimates for the sums  $A_{\mathbf{z}}(x) = \sum_{p \leqslant x} z_p$ . One often gets such estimates in the context of equidistribution, which we consider next.

For the remainder of this section, let X be a compact separable metric space with no isolated points. We write  $X^{\infty}$  for the space of sequences in X indexed by rational primes, i.e. points  $\boldsymbol{x} \in X^{\infty}$  are of the form  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ . By [Eng89, Cor.2.3.16, Th.4.2.2], the compact space  $X^{\infty}$  is metrizable and separable, also with no isolated points.

**Definition 2.2.** For  $x \in X^{\infty}$  and C > 0, write  $x^{C}$  for the probability measure given by

 $\int_X f \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}^C = \boldsymbol{x}^C(f) = \frac{1}{\pi(C)} \sum_{p \leqslant C} f(x_p).$ 

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on X. Recall that  $\boldsymbol{x}$  is  $\mu$ -equidistributed if  $\boldsymbol{x}^C \to \mu$  weakly, i.e.  $\boldsymbol{x}^C(f) \to \mu(f)$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ . In fact, we can extend this to not-necessarily-continuous functions as follows:

**Theorem 2.3** (Mazzone). Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on X and let  $f: X \to \mathbf{C}$  be bounded and measurable. Then f is continuous almost everywhere if and only if  $\mathbf{x}^C(f) \to \mu(f)$  for all  $\mu$ -equidistributed  $\mathbf{x}$ .

*Proof.* This follows directly from the proof of [Maz95, Th.1].

Fix a Borel measure  $\mu$  on X, and write  $C^{\text{ae}}(X,\mu)$  for the space of bounded, almost-everywhere continuous functions  $f \colon X \to \mathbf{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Endowed with the supremum norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ ,  $C^{\mathrm{ae}}(X, \mu)$  is a Banach space.

*Proof.* This is an elementary corollary of the fact that a countable union of measure-zero sets has measure zero.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $f \in C^{ae}(X,\mu)^{\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leq 1}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{x} \in X^{\infty}$ . The associated *strange* L-function is defined as

$$L_f(x,s) = L(f(x),s) = \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1 - f(x_p)p^{-s}}$$

for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  for which the product converges.

Our typical source of a strange L-function is as follows. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and  $X = G^{\natural}$ , the space of conjugacy classes of G. Then  $G^{\natural}$  inherits the Haar measure from G. Given any sequence  $\mathbf{x} \in (G^{\natural})^{\infty} = G^{\natural,\infty}$  and function  $f \in C^{\mathrm{ae}}(G^{\natural})^{\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leq 1}$ , we can define  $L_f(\mathbf{x}, s)$ . This is related to Serre's L-functions from [Ser68, A.2] as follows.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let G be a compact connected Lie group,  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$  an irreducible unitary representation of G. Then there exist functions  $\lambda_{\rho}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\rho}^{\deg \rho} \colon G^{\natural} \to S^{1}$ , continuous away from the set  $\{\det(1-\rho)=0\}$ , such that for every  $x \in G^{\natural}$ , there are angles  $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{\deg \rho} \in [0, 2\pi)$ , satisfying  $\theta_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \theta_{\deg \rho}$ , such that  $\lambda_{\rho}^{j}(x) = e^{i\theta_{j}}$  and moreover

$$\det(1 - \rho(x)t) = \prod_{j=0}^{\deg \rho} (1 - \lambda_{\rho}^{j}(x)t).$$

*Proof.* This follows easily from [KS99, Lem.1.0.9].

Recall that for  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ , Serre defines  $L(\rho, s) = \prod_p \det(1 - \rho(x_p)p^{-s})^{-1}$ . Using his notation, there is the identity

$$L(
ho,s) = \prod_{j=1}^{\deg 
ho} L_{\lambda^j_
ho}(oldsymbol{x},s).$$

The rest of our definitions concern discrepancy, which for now we define only in a special context. Let G be a compact connected semisimple Lie group. We will define discrepancy for sequences in  $G^{\natural}$ .

Let  $G^{\operatorname{sc}}$  be the simply-connected cover of G. Choose a maximal torus  $T \subset G^{\operatorname{sc}}$ ; let  $W = \operatorname{N}(T)/T$  be the Weyl group. Let  $\mathfrak{t} = \operatorname{Lie}(T)$  and recall that the kernel of  $\exp \colon \mathfrak{t} \twoheadrightarrow T$  is generated by the nodal vectors associated to the root system  $\operatorname{R}(G^{\operatorname{sc}},T)$  [Lie<sub>7-9</sub>, 9.6 Pr.11]. Write  $\{t_1,\ldots,t_r\}\subset\mathfrak{t}$  for these vectors. The exponential map  $\exp \colon \mathfrak{t} \to T$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{t}/(\langle t_i \rangle \rtimes W) \to G^{\natural}$ . Given  $x = (x_1,\ldots,x_r) \in [0,1]^r$ , write

$$I_x = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r a_i t_i : a_i \in [0, x_i] \right\} \subset \mathfrak{t}.$$

**Definition 2.7.** With the setup as above, let  $\mu, \nu$  be probability measures on  $G^{\natural}$ . The discrepancy between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is

$$\operatorname{disc}(\mu,\nu) = \sup_{x \in [0,1]^r} |\mu(\exp I_x) - \nu(\exp I_x)|.$$

If  $\nu = dx$ , the Haar measure on  $G^{\natural}$ , we simply write  $\operatorname{disc}(\mu)$  for  $\operatorname{disc}(\mu, dx)$ . The Koksma–Hlawka inequality bounds the difference between the Haar integral and weighted average of a function on  $G^{\natural}$  in terms of the discrepancy of the sequence and the variation of the function.

The following result is essential:

**Theorem 2.8** (Koksma, Hlawka). Let G be as above. Let  $f: G^{\natural} \to \mathbf{C}$  be such that  $f \, \mathrm{d} x$  is a measure with bounded variation. Then

$$\left| \boldsymbol{x}^{C}(f) - \int f \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq \mathrm{Var}(f) \, \mathrm{disc}(\boldsymbol{x}^{C}).$$

Proof. This is [Ökt99, Th. 3.2].

We will often use the soft version of this inequality. Namely, assume  $\int f dx = 0$ . Then  $|\mathbf{x}^C(f)| \ll_f \operatorname{disc}(\mathbf{x}^C)$  as  $C \to \infty$ . Here is another way of putting it. The sequence  $f(\mathbf{x})$  has  $|A_{f(\mathbf{x})}(C)| \ll_f \pi(C) \operatorname{disc}(\mathbf{x}^C)$ .

#### 3 Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $z \in \mathbf{D}^{\infty}$ . Then L(z,s) defines a holomorphic function on the region  $\{\Re s > 1\}$ . Moreover, on that region,

$$\log L(\boldsymbol{z}, s) = \sum_{p^n} \frac{z_p^n}{np^{ns}}.$$

*Proof.* Expanding the product for L(z, s) formally, we have

$$L(\boldsymbol{z},s) = \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\prod_{p|n} z_p^{v_p(n)}}{n^s}.$$

An easy comparison with Riemann's zeta function tells us that the series expansion is holomorphic on  $\{\Re s > 1\}$ . By [Apo76, Th. 11.7], the product formula holds on the same region. The formula for  $\log L(z,s)$  comes from [Apo76, 11.9 Ex.2].

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume  $A_{\boldsymbol{z}}(x) \ll x^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ ,  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Then  $\log L(\boldsymbol{z}, s)$  is holomorphic on  $\{\Re > \alpha\}$ .

*Proof.* Split the sum for  $\log L$  into two pieces:

$$\log L(\boldsymbol{z}, s) = \sum_{p} \frac{z_p}{p^s} + \sum_{p} \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{z_p^n}{n p^{ns}}.$$

For each p, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \geqslant 2} \frac{z_p^n}{np^{ns}} \right| \leqslant \sum_{n \geqslant 2} p^{-n\Re s} = p^{-2\Re s} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-\Re s}}.$$

Elementary analysis gives

$$1 \leqslant \frac{1}{1 - p^{-\Re s}} \leqslant 2 + 2\sqrt{2},$$

so the second piece of  $\log L(z,s)$  converges absolutely when  $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ . By [Ten95, II.1 Th.10], our bound on  $A_z(x)$  yields the holomorphy of  $\sum z_p p^{-s}$  on  $\{\Re > \alpha\}$ .

Corollary 3.3. Let G be a compact connected semisimple Lie group,  $\mathbf{x} \in G^{\natural,\infty}$  satisfy  $\operatorname{disc}(\mathbf{x}^C, \operatorname{d}x) \ll C^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$ . Then for every  $f \in C^{\operatorname{ae}}(G^{\natural})^{\|\cdot\| \leq 1}$ ,  $L_f(\mathbf{x}, s)$  has analytic continuation to  $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$ , and satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis, for all f bounded and almost-everywhere continuous with  $\mu(f) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Koksma–Hlawka tells that if  $\mu(f) = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}^C(f) \ll C^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ . Thus the sequence  $f(\mathbf{x})$  satisfies  $A_{f(\mathbf{x})}(x) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ , and the result follows from Theorem 3.2.

# 4 Strange L-functions over function fields

Let k be a finite field of characteristic p and cardinality q. Let  $C_{/k}$  be a nice curve in the sense of Poonen (i.e., C is smooth, projective, and geometrically integral). Write K = k(C) for the function field of C. Fix a non-empty open subset  $U \subset C$  and a geometric point  $\infty \in U(\bar{k})$ . Fix a prime  $l \neq p$  and an embedding  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_l} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ .

**Definition 4.1.** An *l*-adic sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on U is *good* if the following conditions hold.

1.  $\mathcal{F}$  is pure of weight zero.

2. Let 
$$G = \overline{\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\pi_1(U_{\overline{k}}, \infty))}^{\operatorname{Zar}}$$
. Assume  $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\pi_1(U, \infty)) \subset G(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ .

For any good sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $ST(\mathcal{F})$  be a maximal compact subgroup of  $G(\mathbf{C})$ . For each  $u \in U$ , there is a well-defined conjugacy class  $\theta(u) = \rho(\operatorname{fr}_u)^{\operatorname{ss}} \in \operatorname{ST}(\mathcal{F})^{\natural}$ . For any C > 0, write

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathcal{F}}^{C} = \frac{1}{\#\{u \in U : q_{u} \leqslant C\}} \sum_{q_{u} \leqslant C} \delta_{\theta(u)}.$$

Katz proves an equidistribution estimate for the  $\theta(u)$ 's.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\sigma$  be a non-trivial irreducible representation of  $ST(\mathcal{F})$ . Then

$$|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathcal{F}}^{C}(\operatorname{tr}\sigma)| \ll_{\mathcal{F}} \dim(\sigma)C^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. This is [Kat88, p.39].

Now let  $C^{\natural}(ST(\mathcal{F}))$  be the space of functions  $f: ST(\mathcal{F})^{\natural} \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying:

$$||f||^{\natural} = \sum_{\sigma} \dim(\sigma) |\widehat{f}(\sigma)| < \infty.$$

For such functions, we have:

$$|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathcal{F}}^{C}(f) - \mu(f)| \ll_{\mathcal{F}} \|f\|^{\natural} C^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus for any  $f \in C^{\sharp}(ST(\mathcal{F}))$ , the strange L-function  $L_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathcal{F}}, s)$  has analytic continuation to  $\{\Re s > \frac{1}{2}\}$  and satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $z \in \mathbf{D}^{\infty}$ , and assume  $\log L(z,s)$  has analytic continuation to  $\{\Re > \alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , and that for  $\sigma > \alpha$ , we have  $|\log L(z, \sigma + it)| \ll |t|^{1-\epsilon}$ . Then  $|A_{\mathbf{z}}(x)| \ll x^{\tilde{\alpha} + \epsilon}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that we can write

$$\log L(\boldsymbol{z}, p) = \sum_{p} \frac{z_p}{p^s} + \sum_{p} \sum_{n \geqslant 2} \frac{z_p^n}{np^{ns}} = \sum_{p} \frac{z_p}{p^s} + O(\zeta(2\Re s)).$$

Thus, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , our bound on  $|\log L(z, \sigma + it)|$  implies the same bound for  $\sum_{p^s} \frac{z_p}{p^s} \text{ on } \{\Re > \alpha + \epsilon\}.$  Let  $\gamma_T = \gamma_{1,T} + \gamma_{2,T} - \gamma_{3,T} - \gamma_{4,T}$  be the following contour:

$$\gamma_{1,T}(t) = (\alpha + \epsilon) + it \qquad t \in [-T, T]$$

$$\gamma_{2,T}(t) = t + iT \qquad t \in [\alpha + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$$

$$\gamma_{3,T}(t) = (1 + \epsilon) + it \qquad t \in [-T, T]$$

$$\gamma_{4,T}(t) = t - iT \qquad t \in [\alpha + \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon].$$

By [?],

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\gamma_{3,T}} \sum_{p} \frac{z_p}{p^s} x^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} =^* \sum_{p \leqslant x} z_p.$$

Let h(z) be the analytic continuation of  $\sum z_p p^{-s}$  to  $\{\Re > \alpha\}$ . Since  $\int_{\gamma} h(z) \frac{dz}{z} = 0$ , we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{p \leqslant z} z_p \right| \ll \left| \int_{\gamma_{T,1}} h(z) x^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} \right| + \left| \int_{\gamma_{T,2}} h(z) x^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} \right| + \left| \int_{\gamma_{T,4}} h(z) x^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} \right|.$$

We know that  $|h(\sigma + it)| \ll |t|$ , so we can bound:

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_{T,2}} h(z) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} \right| = \left| \int_{\alpha+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon} \frac{h(t+iT)x^{t+iT}}{t+iT} \,\mathrm{d}t \right| \ll (1+\alpha)x^{1+\alpha}T^{-1},$$

and similarly for  $\int_{\gamma_{4,T}}$ . Finally, we note that

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_{T,1}} h(z) x^z \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z} \right| \ll \int_{-T}^{T} |t|^{1-\epsilon} \frac{x^{\alpha+\epsilon}}{(\alpha+\epsilon)^2 + t^2} \, \mathrm{d}t \ll x^{\alpha+\epsilon}.$$

Letting  $T \to \infty$  we obtain the desired result.

## 5 Applications

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $E_{/\mathbf{Q}}$  be a non-CM elliptic curve, and put  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}(E)$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{disc}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^C) \ll C^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$ . Then if  $f \in C^{\operatorname{ae}}([0,\pi],\operatorname{ST})^{\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leqslant 1}$ , the strange L-function  $L_f(\boldsymbol{\theta},s)$  has analytic continuation to  $\{\Re > \frac{1}{2}\}$  and satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis. In particular, this holds for all  $L(\operatorname{sym}^k E,s)$ .

*Proof.* The first conclusion follows from Corollary 3.3. The second part follows from the fact that any  $L(\operatorname{sym}^k E, s)$  can be written as a product of  $L_f$ 's, namely the  $L_{\lambda_{\operatorname{sym}^k}^j}$ 's in section 2.

**Theorem 5.2.** Fix  $f \in C^{ae}([0,\pi],ST)^{\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \leq 1}$  that is not almost everywhere constant.

Let  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  be two non-isogenous, non-CM elliptic curves over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Assume the Akiyama-Tanigawa conjecture for the product  $E_1 \times E_2$ . Then for any  $f: [0, \pi] \to \mathbf{C}$  that is not almost everywhere

#### References

[AT99] Shigeki Akiyama and Yoshio Tanigawa. "Calculation of values of L-functions associated to elliptic curves". In: *Math. Comp.* 68.227 (1999), pp. 1201–1231.

- [Apo76] Tom M. Apostol. Introduction to analytic number theory. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [BL+11] Tom Barnet-Lamb et al. "A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II". In: *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 47.1 (2011), pp. 29–98.
- [Lie<sub>7-9</sub>] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters* 7–9. Elements of Mathematics. Translated from the 1975 and 1982 French originals by Andrew Pressley. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [Eng89] Ryszard Engelking. General topology. Second. Vol. 6. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics. Translated from the Polish by the author. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [Fal83] G. Faltings. "Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern".In: Invent. Math. 73.3 (1983), pp. 349–366.
- [Kat88] Nicholas M. Katz. Gauss sums, Kloosterman sums, and monodromy groups. Vol. 116. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1988.
- [KS99] Nicholas M. Katz and Peter Sarnak. Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy. Vol. 45. American Mathematical Society ety Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Maz95] Fernando Mazzone. "A characterization of almost everywhere continuous functions". In: Real Anal. Exchange 21.1 (1995/96).
- [Ökt99] G. Ökten. "Error reduction techniques in quasi-Monte Carlo integration". In: *Math. Comput. Modelling* 30.7-8 (1999), pp. 61–69.
- [Ser68] Jean-Pierre Serre. Abelian l-adic representations and elliptic curves. McGill University lecture notes written with the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Ten95] Gérald Tenenbaum. Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory. Vol. 46. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Translated from the second French edition (1995) by C. B. Thomas. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.