

Equidistributed subgroups in compact Lie groups

Daniel Miller

February 1, 2017

Let G be a compact connected Lie group, $\Gamma \subset G$ a dense free subgroup with two generators γ_1, γ_2 . For $n \rightarrow \infty$, write Γ_n for the set of all products of n letters taken from $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$. Put

$$\mu_n(f) = 2^{-n} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} f(\gamma).$$

Claim: μ_n converge to the Haar measure of G . It is sufficient to prove that $\mu_n(\text{tr } \rho) \rightarrow 0$ for all non-trivial irreducible ρ .

Recall the left-translation operators

$$L_\gamma f(x) = f(\gamma^{-1}x).$$

Fact:

$$\mu_n = \left(\frac{L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2}}{2} \right)^n.$$

What do we have to prove:

$$\left\| \left(\frac{L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2}}{2} \right)^n \right\| < 1$$

for all n , otherwise...

1 General perspective

Let G be a compact connected semisimple group. Then there is a dense subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$ generated by two elements. Claim: for “almost all” pairs γ_1, γ_2 , the group $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle$ is free and dense in G .

For any n , let Γ_n be the “ball” in Γ consisting of all products of n elements from the set $\{\gamma_1^{\pm 1}, \gamma_2^{\pm 1}\}$. Consider

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{\#\Gamma_n} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \delta_\gamma.$$

Claim: if $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ (so ρ is an irreducible unitary representation of G) then $\mu_n(\text{tr } \rho) \rightarrow 0$.

Note that:

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left(L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + L_{\gamma_2} + L_{\gamma_2^{-1}} \right) \Big|_{x=0}$$

What is $\delta_\gamma * f$?

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_\gamma * f)(S) &= \iint 1_S(xy) \, d\delta_\gamma(x) f(y) \, dy \\ &= \int 1_S(\gamma y) f(y) \, dy \\ &= \int 1_S(y) f(\gamma^{-1}y) \, dy \\ &= \int_S L_\gamma f. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\delta_\gamma * f = L_\gamma f$. Also, let's see what is

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_\gamma * \delta_\eta)(S) &= \iint 1_S(xy) \, d\delta_\gamma(x) d\delta_\eta(y) \\ &= \int 1_S(\gamma y) \, d\delta_\eta(y) \\ &= 1_S(\gamma \eta) \\ &= \delta_{\gamma\eta}(S). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $\delta_{\gamma_1} * \delta_{\gamma_2} = \delta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}$.

So, if $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle$ is free on two generators, then for

$$\mu = \frac{1}{4} \left(\delta_{\gamma_1} + \delta_{\gamma_2} + \delta_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + \delta_{\gamma_2^{-1}} \right)$$

the measure μ^{*n} is the n -th “empirical measure” μ_n above.

2 Simpler perspective

As before, let G be a compact semisimple Lie group, and let $\Gamma \subset G$ be a dense free subgroup on two generators γ_1, γ_2 . Note that

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}} f(\gamma_{\sigma(1)} \gamma_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \gamma_{\sigma(n)}) = \left(\frac{L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2}}{2} \right)^n f(1).$$

In particular, if $f = \text{tr } \rho$ for some $\rho \in \widehat{G}$, we want to bound (in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$)

$$\sum_{n \leq N} (L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2})^n$$

If $\rho \in \widehat{G}$, then fundamentally we want to bound:

$$\left\| \sum_{n \leq N} (L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2})^n \text{tr } \rho \right\|_\infty.$$

It will help if we first note that

$$\sum_{n \leq N} (L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2})^n = ((L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2})^{N+1} - (L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2}))(L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2} - 1)^{-1}.$$

So the operator norm of the sum is bounded above by $\|L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2} - 1\|^{-1}$. Note that if $(L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2} - 1)f = \lambda f$, then $(L_{\gamma_1} + L_{\gamma_2})f = (\lambda + 1)f$.

3 Towards a legitimate proof

As is very familiar by now, let G be a compact semisimple group, $\Gamma = \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \subset G$ a dense free subgroup on two generators. We claim that for $f \in C^\infty(G^\natural)$,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \sum_{\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\}} f(\gamma_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \gamma_{\sigma(n)}) = \sum_{n \leq N} (L_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + L_{\gamma_2^{-1}})^n f(1) \ll_f 1.$$

First, it definitely holds that

$$(L_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + L_{\gamma_2^{-1}} - 1) \sum_{n \leq N} (L_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + L_{\gamma_2^{-1}})^n = ((L_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + L_{\gamma_2^{-1}})^{N+1} - (L_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + L_{\gamma_2^{-1}}))$$

Valid question: does $L_{\gamma_1^{-1}} + L_{\gamma_2^{-1}} - 1$ have any zeros?

Suppose $f(\gamma_1^{-1}x) + f(\gamma_2^{-1}x) = f(x)$ for some smooth function f .