

# Counterexamples related to the Sato–Tate conjecture

---

Daniel Miller

21 April 2017

Cornell University

# Outline

Motivation

Discrepancy and Dirichlet series

Main theorem

Idea of the proof

# Motivation

---

# Sato–Tate Conjecture

$E/\mathbf{Q}$  non-CM elliptic curve,  $a_p = \text{tr } \rho_l(\text{fr}_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ .

Satake parameter:  $\theta_p = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \right)$ .

Sato–Tate measure:  $ST = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$  (Haar measure on  $SU(2)^{\natural}$ ).

**Theorem (Taylor et. al.)**

$\{\theta_p\}$  is equidistributed with respect to  $ST$ .

Quantify rate of convergence of  $\frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} \delta_{\theta_p}$  to  $ST$ .

Use discrepancy (Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic).

# Akiyama–Tanigawa Conjecture

$$D_N = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int_0^x dST \right|.$$

## Conjecture (Akiyama–Tanigawa)

$$D_N \ll N^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}.$$

There is a variant of this conjecture for CM elliptic curve.

## Theorem (Akiyama–Tanigawa)

*Akiyama–Tanigawa conjecture  $\Rightarrow$  Riemann Hypothesis for  $E$ .*

## Theorem (Mazur)

*Akiyama–Tanigawa conjecture  $\Rightarrow$  Riemann Hypothesis for  $\text{sym}^k E$*

Pande: is the Sato–Tate conjecture a Galois-theoretic result?

## **Theorem (Pande)**

*Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an infinitely ramified representation  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that  $\theta_p \in B_\epsilon(\pi/2)$  for a density one set of primes.*

## **Theorem (Khare–Rajan)**

*Any  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  is ramified at a density zero set of primes.*

**Question (Serre):** can you *a priori* control the ratio  $\frac{\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x)}{\pi(x)}$ ?

**Answer (Khare–Larsen–Ramakrishna):** no!

# Questions

**Q1.** Can Pande's results be strengthened to yield equidistribution?

**Q2.** If so, can the measure be specified?

**Q3.** Can the rate of convergence of empirical measures to the true measure be specified?

**Q4.** Can the ratio  $\frac{\pi_{\text{ram}(\rho)}(x)}{\pi(x)}$  be controlled?

**Q5.** Can anything be said about the  $L$ -functions associated with  $\rho$ ?

**Answer:** Yes! to Q1–Q5.

# Discrepancy and Dirichlet series

---



## Definition

Let  $\{\theta_p\}$  be a sequence in  $[0, \pi]$ ,  $\mu$  a measure on  $[0, \pi]$ . The *discrepancy* is

$$D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \frac{1}{\pi(N)} \sum_{p \leq N} 1_{[0, x)}(\theta_p) - \int_0^x d\mu \right|.$$

$\{\theta_p\}$  are  $\mu$ -equidistributed if and only if  $D_N \rightarrow 0$ .

**Fact:**  $\frac{\log N}{N} \ll D_N$ . The *van der Corput sequence* achieves this.

# Dirichlet series

## Definition

For  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s) = \prod_p \det \left( 1 - \text{sym}^k \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_p} \end{pmatrix} p^{-s} \right)^{-1}$$

## Definition

For  $f: [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  of bounded variation with  $\mu(f) = 0$ ,

$$L_f(s) = \prod_p (1 - f(\theta_p) p^{-s})^{-1}$$

**Example:**  $L_{\text{sgn}}(s) = \prod_p (1 - \text{sgn}(a_p) p^{-s})^{-1}$ .

# Dirichlet series—results

## Theorem

If  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} f(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .

## Corollary

If  $D_N \ll N^{-\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L_f(s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .

## Definition

$$U_k(\theta) = \frac{\sin((k+1)\theta)}{\sin \theta} = \text{tr sym}^k \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta_p} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## Theorem

If  $\left| \sum_{p \leq N} U_k(\theta_p) \right| \ll N^{\alpha+\epsilon}$ , then  $L(\text{sym}^k \rho, s)$  admits a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \alpha$ .

## Main theorem

---

# Ingredients

1. Fix a rational prime  $l \geq 7$ .
2. Fix an odd, absolutely, weight 2 representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{F}_l)$ .  $\rho$  will be a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ .
3. Fix a function  $h: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 1}$  which increases slowly to infinity. We will have  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ .
4. Fix an absolutely continuous measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, \pi]$ , with bounded probability density function. The angles  $\{\theta_p\}$  will be  $\mu$ -equidistributed.
5. Fix  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The discrepancy  $D_N$  will decay like  $\pi(N)^{-\alpha}$ .

# Theorem

Let  $l$ ,  $\bar{\rho}$ ,  $h$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\alpha$  be as above. Then there exists  $\rho: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}_l)$  such that

1.  $\rho \equiv \bar{\rho} \pmod{l}$ .
2.  $\pi_{\mathrm{ram}(\rho)}(x) \ll h(x)$ . (Yes to Q4.)
3. For each unramified  $p$ ,  $a_p = \mathrm{tr} \rho(\mathrm{fr}_p) \in \mathbf{Z}$  and satisfies the Hasse bound.
4.  $D_N(\{\theta_p\}, \mu) = \Theta(\pi(x)^{-\alpha})$ . (Yes to Q1–Q3.)
5. If  $(\theta \mapsto \pi - \theta)_* \mu = \mu$ , then for each odd  $k$ ,  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k \rho, s)$  satisfies the Riemann hypothesis. (Yes to Q5.)

## Idea of the proof

---

# Prescribing discrepancy decay

## Theorem

*If  $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_2, x_3, x_5, \dots)$  in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $|D_N - \pi(N)^{-\alpha}| \ll \pi(N)^{-1}$ .*

**Fact:** Discrepancy is invariant under pushforward by  $\cos$  and  $\cos^{-1}$ .

**Idea:** Construct  $\rho$  so that  $\frac{a_p}{2\sqrt{p}} \approx x_p$ .

**Fact:** If  $(x_p^{(1)})$  is a sequence with  $|x_p - x_p^{(1)}| \ll p^{-1/2+\epsilon}$ , then  $D_N^{(1)} = \Theta(\pi(N)^{-\alpha})$ .



# Lifting Galois representations

What is a deformation?

Weight?

What does it take to lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ ?

## Lifting Galois representations—first stage

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^2$ .

## Lifting Galois representations—inductive step

Lift from  $\mathbf{Z}/l^n$  to  $\mathbf{Z}/l^{n+1}$ .

If  $f \in C([0, \pi])$ ,  $f \circ \cos^{-1}: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is Lipschitz, and  $f(\pi - \theta) = -f(\theta)$ , then  $L_f(\rho, s)$  has a nonvanishing analytic continuation to  $\Re > \frac{1}{2}$  (Riemann hypothesis).

Questions?