

# Equidistributed sequences and the analytic properties of a strange class of $L$ -functions

Daniel Miller

August 11, 2016

## 1 Motivation

Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication. By an old theorem of Faltings, the quantities

$$a_p(E) = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbf{F}_p) = \mathrm{tr} \rho_{E,l}(\mathrm{fr}_p)$$

determine  $E$  up to isogeny. The starting point of this investigation is the corollary of a theorem of Harris, that the collection  $\{\mathrm{sgn} a_p(E)\}_p$  in fact determines  $E$  up to isogeny. Ramakrishna had the insight that this fact means the “strange  $L$ -function”

$$L_{\mathrm{sgn}}(E, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \mathrm{sgn} a_p(E)p^{-s}}$$

determines  $E$  up to isogeny. In this note, I define a more general class of strange  $L$ -functions, and show that their analytic properties are closely tied to the equidistribution of the  $a_p(E)$ .

Here is a brief discussion of this generalization in the case of a non-CM curve  $E/\mathbf{Q}$ . It is convenient to repack these traces of Frobenius as follows:

$$\theta_p(E) = \cos^{-1}(a_p(E)/2\sqrt{p}).$$

The Hasse Bound guarantees that the  $\theta_p(E)$  are well-defined angles laying in the interval  $[0, \pi]$ . Write  $\mu_{\mathrm{ST}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta$ . Then the Sato–Tate conjecture (now a theorem) tells us that for any continuous function  $f: [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , we have:

$$\left| \frac{1}{\pi(C)} \sum_{p \leq C} f(\theta_p) - \int_0^\pi f \, d\mu_{\mathrm{ST}} \right| = o(1)$$

as  $C \rightarrow \infty$ . It is well-known that this is equivalent to the analytic continuation of all the  $L$ -functions  $L(\mathrm{sym}^k E, s)$ . We take as our starting point the stronger conjecture, due to Akiyama–Tanigawa [AT99], that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\pi(C)} \sum_{p \leq C} f(\theta_p) - \int_0^\pi f \, d\mu_{\mathrm{ST}} \right| = O_f(C^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}).$$

They prove that this conjecture implies the Riemann Hypothesis for  $E$ . I prove that not only does their conjecture imply the Riemann Hypothesis for all  $L(\text{sym}^k E, s)$ , it also does for all the strange  $L$ -functions

$$L_f(E, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - f(\theta_p(E))p^{-s}}$$

These results make perfect sense in a much more general context, and I will prove them there. In [section 2](#) I set up this context and carefully define strange  $L$ -functions there. In [section 3](#), I prove basic analytic properties of the strange  $L$ -functions, and in [section 4](#), I prove the main results connecting the analytic properties of strange  $L$ -functions with the equidistribution of a sequence. Finally, in [section 6](#), I apply the general results to the following cases: a non-CM elliptic curve  $E/\mathbf{Q}$ , the product  $E_1 \times E_2$  of a pair of non-isogenous non-CM elliptic curves over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and the Jacobian of a generic genus-2 curve  $C/\mathbf{Q}$ .

## 2 Definitions

Let  $\mathbf{D} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ . Write  $\mathbf{D}^\infty$  for the set of sequences in  $\mathbf{D}$  indexed by the primes, i.e.  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}^\infty$  is  $(\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}^\infty$ . The associated *strange  $L$ -function* is given by

$$L(\lambda, s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_p p^{-s}},$$

wherever this product converges.

We will see that the analytic properties of  $L(\lambda, s)$  are closely tied to estimates for the sums  $A_\lambda(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \lambda_p$ . One often gets such estimates in the context of equidistribution, which we consider next.

For the remainder of this section, let  $X$  be a compact separable metric space with no isolated points. We write  $X^\infty$  for the space of sequences in  $X$  indexed by rational primes, i.e. points  $\mathbf{x} \in X^\infty$  are of the form  $\mathbf{x} = (x_2, x_3, \dots)$ . By [\[Eng89, Cor. 2.3.16 & Th. 4.2.2\]](#), the compact space  $X^\infty$  is metrizable and separable, also with no isolated points.

**Definition 2.2.** For  $\mathbf{x} \in X^\infty$  and  $C > 0$ , write  $\mathbf{x}^C$  for the probability measure given by

$$\int_X f \, d\mathbf{x}^C = \mathbf{x}^C(f) = \frac{1}{\pi(C)} \sum_{p \leq C} f(x_p).$$

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $X$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{x}$  is  *$\mu$ -equidistributed* if  $\mathbf{x}^C \rightarrow \mu$  weakly, i.e.  $\mathbf{x}^C(f) \rightarrow \mu(f)$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ . In fact, we can extend this to not-necessarily-continuous functions as follows:

**Theorem 2.3** (Mazzone). *Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $X$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be bounded and measurable. Then  $f$  is continuous almost everywhere if and only if  $\mathbf{x}^C(f) \rightarrow \mu(f)$  for all  $\mu$ -equidistributed  $\mathbf{x}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows directly from the proof of [Maz95, Th. 1].  $\square$

Fix a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$ , and write  $C^{\text{ae}}(X, \mu)$  for the space of bounded, almost-everywhere continuous functions  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Endowed with the supremum norm  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ ,  $C^{\text{ae}}(X, \mu)$  is a Banach space.*

*Proof.* This is an elementary corollary of the fact that a countable union of measure-zero sets has measure zero.  $\square$

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $f \in C^{\text{ae}}(X, \mu)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in X^\infty$ . The associated *strange L-function* is defined as

$$L_f(\mathbf{x}, s) = L(f(\mathbf{x}), s) = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - f(x_p)p^{-s}}$$

for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  for which the product converges.

Our typical source of a strange  $L$ -function is as follows.  $X = G^\natural$ , the space of conjugacy classes in a compact Lie group, and  $f: G^\natural \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  one of the “angles” of [KS99]. More precisely, let  $G$  be a compact Lie group and  $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{U}(d)$  an irreducible representation. Following [KS99, Le. 1.0.9], write  $\varphi_1^\rho, \dots, \varphi_d^\rho$  for the sequence of functions  $G^\natural \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$  such that for each  $x \in G^\natural$ , the unitary conjugacy class  $\rho(x)$  has eigenvalues  $e^{i\varphi_1^\rho(x)}, \dots, e^{i\varphi_d^\rho(x)}$ , and  $\varphi_1^\rho(x) \leq \dots \leq \varphi_d^\rho(x)$ . We have, using Serre’s notation  $L(\rho, s)$ , the identity:

$$L(\rho, s) = \prod_{j=1}^{\deg \rho} L_{\exp(i\varphi_j^\rho)}(\mathbf{x}, s).$$

The rest of our definitions concern discrepancy, which for now we define only in a special context. Let  $X = [0, a_1) \times \dots \times [0, a_r)$ . Given  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in X$ , we write  $[0, x) = [0, x_1) \times \dots \times [0, x_r)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Given  $X$  as above, and  $\mathbf{x} \in X^\infty$ , the *star-discrepancy* of  $\mathbf{x}$  with respect to a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is:

$$\text{disc}(\mathbf{x}^C, \mu) = \sup_{x \in X} |\mathbf{x}^C(1_{[0, x)}) - \mu(1_{[0, x)})|.$$

The following result is essential:

**Theorem 2.7** (Koksma–Hlawka). *Let  $X$  be as above. Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be such that  $f \, d\mathbf{x}$  is a measure with bounded variation. Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $X$ . Then*

$$|\mathbf{x}^C(f) - \mu(f)| \leq \text{Var}(f) \text{disc}(\mathbf{x}^C, \mu).$$

*Proof.* This is [Ökt99, Th. 3.2].  $\square$

### 3 Preliminary results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{D}^\infty$ . Then  $L(\lambda, s)$  defines a holomorphic function on the region  $\{\Re s > 1\}$ . Moreover, on that region,*

$$\log L(\lambda, s) = \sum_{p^n} \frac{\lambda_p^n}{p^{ns}}.$$

*Proof.* Expanding the product for  $L(\lambda, s)$  formally, we have

$$L(\lambda, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\prod_{p|n} \lambda_p^{v_p(n)}}{n^s}.$$

An easy comparison with Riemann's zeta function tells us that the series expansion is holomorphic on  $\{\Re s > 1\}$ . By [Apo76, Th. 11.7], the product formula holds on the same region. The formula for  $\log L(\lambda, s)$  comes from [Apo76, 11.9 Ex. 2].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $A_\lambda(x) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$ . Then  $L(\lambda, s)$  converges on  $\{\Re > \frac{1}{2}\}$ , and  $\log L(\lambda, s)$  has no poles on that region.*

*Proof.* Standard reductions reduce this to showing that

$$\sum_p \frac{\lambda_p}{p^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_p \frac{\log(p)\lambda_p}{p^s}$$

converge on that region. We deal with  $\sum \log(p)\lambda_p p^{-s}$ ; the other is similar. Use Abel summation:

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\lambda_p}{p^s} = \frac{\log x}{x^s} A_\lambda(x) - \int_2^x \frac{1-s \log t}{t^{s+1}} A_\lambda(t) dt.$$

We show that the first term approaches zero and that the integral converges absolutely. We have:

$$\left| \frac{\log x}{x^s} A_\lambda(x) \right| \ll \frac{\log x}{x^{\Re s}} x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, the exponent of  $x$  is negative. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_2^x \frac{1}{t^{s+1}} |A_\lambda(t)| dt &\ll \int_2^x \frac{1}{t^{\Re s+1}} t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} dt \\ \int_2^x \frac{\log t}{t^{s+1}} |A_\lambda(t)| dt &\ll \int_2^x \frac{\log t}{t^{\Re s+1}} t^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Both these integrals converge because  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary.  $\square$

## 4 Main results

Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be an elliptic curve, or more generally, let  $M$  be a motive. The associated analytic  $L$ -function  $L(M, s)$  is of the form

$$L(M, s) = \prod_p P_p(M, p^{-s})^{-1},$$

where the  $P_p(M, t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$  have absolute value 1. In the case of  $E/\mathbf{Q}$ , we have  $pt^2 - a_pt + 1$ , which are normalized to

$$(t - e^{i\theta_p})(t - e^{-i\theta_p}) = t^2 - 2\cos(\theta_p)t + 1 = t^2 - \frac{a_p}{\sqrt{p}}t + 1.$$

Let  $d = \deg P_p(M, t)$ . Then we can write

$$P_p(M, t) = (t - e^{i\theta_p^{(1)}}) \dots (t - e^{-i\theta_p^{(d)}}),$$

where  $\theta^{(1)} < \dots < \theta^{(d)}$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Then

$$L(M, s) = L(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(1)}, s) \dots L(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(d)}, s)$$

More general example:

$$L(\text{sym}^k E, s) = L(\boldsymbol{\theta}^k, s) L(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{k-1}, s)$$

## 5 Connection to Serre's perspective

Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group,  $G^\natural$  the space of conjugacy classes in  $G$ , and  $\mathbf{x}$  a sequence in  $G^\natural$ . Given  $\rho \in \hat{G}$ , Serre defines an  $L$ -function

$$L(\rho, s) = \prod_p \det(1 - \rho(x_p)p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Given  $x \in G^\natural$ , the matrix  $\rho(x)$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_p^{(1), \rho}, \dots, \lambda_p^{(\deg \rho), \rho}$  whose angles form a nondecreasing sequence in  $[0, 2\pi]$ . The functions  $\lambda_p^{(j), \rho}: G^\natural \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  are almost-everywhere continuous, and

$$L(\rho, s) = \prod_{j=0}^{\deg \rho} L(\lambda_p^{(j), \rho}, s) = \prod_{j=0}^{\deg \rho} L_{\lambda^{(j), \rho}}(\mathbf{x}, s).$$

## 6 Applications

Recall, following [Bug08] that the *irrationality exponent*  $\mu(\alpha)$  a real irrational number  $\alpha$  is the supremum of all real numbers  $\mu$  such that

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < q^{-\mu}$$

for infinitely many  $p/q \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Bugeaud proves that for any  $\mu \geq 2$ , there is an element  $\xi_\mu$  of the Cantor set with  $\mu(\xi_\mu) = \mu$ . Moreover, by [KN74, ?], for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the sequence  $x_n = n\alpha \bmod 1$  has discrepancy  $\text{disc}(\mathbf{x}^C) = \Omega(C^{-\frac{1}{\mu(\alpha)-1}-\epsilon})$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $X = S^1$  with the natural Haar measure. For every  $\eta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there is a sequence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_2, x_3, \dots) \in (S^1)^\infty$  such that for all  $f \in C^\infty(S^1)^{\|\cdot\|_\infty \leq 1}$ , the function  $\log L_f(\mathbf{x}, s)$  has analytic continuation to  $\{\Re > \frac{1}{2}\}$ , but for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $|\text{disc}(\mathbf{x}^C)| = \Omega(C^{-\eta-\epsilon})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu > 3$ , and let  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_2, x_3, \dots\}$  be the sequence  $x_{p_n} = e^{2\pi i n \xi_\mu}$ . To prove that  $\log L_f(\mathbf{x}, s)$  has analytic continuation to  $\{\Re > \frac{1}{2}\}$ , we need only to prove that  $|A_{\exp(2\pi i m \mathbf{x})}(t)| \ll t^{1/2}$ , uniformly for each  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . This follows easily from:

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i m n \alpha} \right| \leq \frac{|-1 + e^{2\pi i M n \alpha}|}{|-1 + e^{2\pi i a m}|} \leq \frac{1}{2} m(\eta - 1) \ll_\eta m$$

□

## References

- [AT99] Shigeki Akiyama and Yoshio Tanigawa. “Calculation of values of  $L$ -functions associated to elliptic curves”. In: *Math. Comp.* 68.227 (1999), pp. 1201–1231.
- [Apo76] Tom M. Apostol. *Introduction to analytic number theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [Bug08] Yann Bugeaud. “Diophantine approximation and Cantor sets”. In: *Math. Ann.* 341.3 (2008), pp. 677–684.
- [Eng89] Ryszard Engelking. *General topology*. Second. Vol. 6. Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics. Translated from the Polish by the author. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [KS99] Nicholas M. Katz and Peter Sarnak. *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy*. Vol. 45. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [KN74] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter. *Uniform distribution of sequences*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], 1974.
- [Maz95] Fernando Mazzone. “A characterization of almost everywhere continuous functions”. In: *Real Anal. Exchange* 21.1 (1995/96).
- [Ökt99] G. Ökten. “Error reduction techniques in quasi-Monte Carlo integration”. In: *Math. Comput. Modelling* 30.7-8 (1999), pp. 61–69.