

Galois representations for CM counterexample

Daniel Miller

March 30, 2017

Let K/\mathbf{Q} be a finite Galois extension, $\{\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ a sequence in $(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^d$ indexed by primes of K , such that $\left| \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} r(\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right| = O(1)$ for all nontrivial $r \in X^*(\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^d$. Suppose $\{\vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ is a sequence with $|\vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}} - \theta_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\infty} \ll N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. We wish to establish a bound $\left| \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} r(\vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right| \ll x^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}$.

Now, Taylor's theorem (the multivariate version) tells us that for any $f \in C^{\infty}((\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z})^d)$, we have near (a_1, \dots, a_d) :

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = f(a_1, \dots, a_d) + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{df}{dx_i}(a_1, \dots, a_d)(x_i - a_i) + O(|x - a|_{\infty}^2).$$

In particular, I think we can say that $|f(x) - f(y)| \ll |x - y|$, the implied constant depending on the max of the $\left| \frac{df}{dx_i} \right|_{\infty}$. In particular, we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} r(\vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} r(\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}) \right| + \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} |r(\theta_{\mathfrak{p}}) - r(\vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}})| \\ &\ll 1 + \sum_{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x} |\theta_{\mathfrak{p}} - \vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\infty} \\ &\ll \int_1^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} = \sqrt{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hopefully we can say something similar about discrepancy. Now suppose we have a “fake sequence” $\{\vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. We want to construct a Galois representation

$\rho: G_K \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}_l^{\times})^d$, possibly infinitely ramified, such that $\rho(\text{fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times} ??$

$$\rho(\text{fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}) / N(\mathfrak{p})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(F \otimes \mathbf{Q}_l)^{\times} \simeq (\mathbf{Q}_l^{\times})^d$$

Let's consider the case when F is a quadratic CM field, and

$$\text{ST} \subset (\mathbf{R}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{m}})^{N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}=1}(\mathbf{C}).$$

Note that $R_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{C}) = (F \otimes \mathbf{C})^\times = (\mathbf{C}^\times)^2$, and $N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(z_1, z_2) = z_1 \bar{z}_2$. So ST is a maximal compact subgroup of $\{(z_1, \bar{z}_1^{-1})\}$ consisting of those $|z_1| = 1$. We want a Galois representation $\rho: G_K \rightarrow (F \otimes \mathbf{Q}_l)^\times$ such that $\rho(\text{fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in F$ is 1-Weil. (Maybe? Maybe 0-Weil).

We can assume that K is a CM field. Then $\mathbf{A}_K^\times/K^\times$ should be a bit easier to understand. We can choose a prime l at which F splits, so that $F \otimes \mathbf{Q}_l = (\mathbf{Q}_l)^2$.

First of all, $\mathbf{A}_K = \mathbf{C} \times \prod' K_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so $\mathbf{A}_K^\times = \mathbf{C}^\times \times \prod' K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$. We are interested in maps $\mathbf{A}_K^\times/K^\times K_\infty^\times \rightarrow F_l^\times$.

$\rho: G_K \rightarrow F_l^\times$, each $\rho(\text{fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in F$ is \mathfrak{p} -Weil of weight 1. The renormalization $\rho(\text{fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}) N(\mathfrak{p})^{-1/2}$, which lies in a compact torus in $(R_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_m)^{N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}=1}(\mathbf{C})$, needs to be close to $\vartheta_{\mathfrak{p}}$

Fix a finite prime \mathfrak{p} of K . In the elliptic curve case, $(R_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_m)^{N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}=1}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{C}^\times$, with embedding $F^\times \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$. (There are two embeddings, but the subfield is the same.)

We are trying to solve the equation $N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(x) = N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/2}$.

Make things very explicit. Let $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, which is a well-defined subfield of \mathbf{C} . Fix \mathfrak{p} a finite prime of F ; then there is a set $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in F : N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(x) = N(\mathfrak{p})\}$.

In $\mathbf{Q}(i)$, we have $\mathfrak{p} = (1 + 4i)$, with $N(\mathfrak{p}) = 5$. What elements have $|x| = \sqrt{5}$, i.e. $N(x) = N(\mathfrak{p})$? In that case, x and $1 + 4i$ will differ by a unit. In other words, there are only finitely many such x , and $\#T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is bounded.

In general, in the quadratic CM case, $|x| = N(x)^{1/2}$, so we are looking at $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in F : N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(x) = N(\mathfrak{p})\}$. If $N(x) = N(\mathfrak{p})$, then the ideal $\mathfrak{p} \mid x$, which means that in fact $\mathfrak{p} = \langle x \rangle$. If \mathfrak{p} is principal, then generators differ by units, and O_F^\times is finite.

1 Possible concrete case

Let's address abelian 2-folds with CM. Let A/K be an abelian 2-fold; then $\text{End}_K(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has rank 4 over \mathbf{Q} . If A has CM, then $F = \text{End}_K(A)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ has $[F : \mathbf{Q}] = 4$. The field F is totally imaginary, so $\text{rk } O_F^\times = 1$. The motivic Galois group G_A^1 should be a two-dimensional torus. In particular, we want to "cut out" a two-dimensional subgroup of $(R_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_m)^{N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}=1}$, which is three-dimensional. Let F^+ be the totally real subfield of F . Then $(R_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_m)^{N_{F/F^+}=1}$ is such a group.

Fix a prime \mathfrak{p} of K . We are looking for prospective "Frobenius at \mathfrak{p} " in F^\times , such that for all $\sigma: F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $|\sigma(x)| = N(\mathfrak{p})^{1/2}$.

Fundamentally, this is the problem. Let F be a CM field with $[F : \mathbf{Q}] = 2g$. Then $\text{rk } O_F^\times = g - 1$.

Let $\ell: F_\infty^\times = \mathbf{C}^g \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^g$ be the map $\ell(z_1, \dots, z_g) = (\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_g|)$. Then $\ell(O_F^\times)$ is a discrete lattice in $(\mathbf{R}^g)^{\text{tr}=0}$.

2 Product formula

Suppose $\sum x_p p^{-s}$ converges conditionally when $\Re s > 1/2$. We wish to prove that for $x_n = \prod x_p^{v_p(n)}$, the series $\sum a_n n^{-s}$ also converges conditionally when $\Re s > 1/2$. Write $\text{supp}(n) \leq x$ if all primes dividing n are $\leq x$. We know that the product $\prod (1 - x_p p^{-s})^{-1}$ converges conditionally. So, note that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{1 - x_p p^{-s}} = \sum_{\text{supp}(n) \leq x} x_n n^{-s} = \sum_{p \leq x} x_p p^{-s} + \sum_{\substack{S \subset \{p \leq x\} \\ \#S > 1}} \sum_{?}$$

$$\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - x_p p^{-s})^{-1} = \prod_{p \leq x} \sum_{r \geq 0} x_p^r p^{-rs} = \sum_{n \geq 1: \text{supp}(n) \subset \{p \leq x\}} x_n n^{-s}.$$

Question: if $\{p \leq x\} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a, b \geq 1} x_{p_1}^a x_{p_2}^b p_1^{-as} p_2^{-bs} &= \left(\sum_{a \geq 1} (x_{p_1} p_1^{-s})^a \right) \left(\sum_{b \geq 1} (x_{p_2} p_2^{-s})^b \right) \\ &= \frac{x_{p_1} p_1^{-s}}{1 - x_{p_1} p_1^{-s}} \frac{x_{p_2} p_2^{-s}}{1 - x_{p_2} p_2^{-s}} \\ &\leq x_{p_1} x_{p_2} p_1^{-s} p_2^{-s} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{\text{supp}(n) \leq x} x_n n^{-s} = \sum_{p \leq x} x_p p^{-s} + O \left(\sum_{S \subset \{p \leq x\}} p_S^{-\Re s} \right)$$

The question is: does $\sum_{n \leq x} x_n n^{-s}$ converge? We already know that $\sum_{\text{supp}(n) \leq x} x_n n^{-s}$ converges.

$$\sum_{n \leq x} x_n n^{-s} = \sum_{\text{supp}(n) \leq x} x_n n^{-s} - \sum_{\text{supp}(n) \leq x, n > x} x_n n^{-s}$$

Can we show that $\sum_{\text{supp}(n) \leq x, n > x} x_n n^{-s}$ converges / approaches zero in some way?