

# Stellenbosch Camp December 2017

## Senior Test 5

### Solutions

- Note,  $p$  cannot be 2 since  $(2^{2-1} - 1)/2 = 1/2$  is not an integer. Therefore, we must have  $p$  odd. In order for  $\frac{2^{p-1}-1}{p}$  to be a square, we must also have that  $2^{p-1} - 1 = pa^2$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can factorize the left-hand side as a difference of squares:  $2^{p-1} - 1 = (2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1)(2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1)$ .  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1$  and  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1$  are relatively prime since their gcd must divide their difference (i.e. 2) but they are both odd (due to  $p \geq 3$ ). So, in fact, we must have that one bracket is a square and the other is a square times  $p$ . For  $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have two cases:

**Case 1:**  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1 = k^2$ ,  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1 = pq^2$

We can write  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = k^2 - 1 = (k-1)(k+1)$ . Both  $k-1$  and  $k+1$  must then be powers of 2 which differ by 2. The only such numbers are 2 and 4. This sets  $k = 3$  and further implies that  $\frac{p-1}{2} = 3$  and so  $p = 7$ . Check:  $2^{7-1} - 1 = 64 - 1 = 7 \cdot 3^2$  and so  $\frac{2^{7-1}-1}{7}$  is indeed a square.

**Case 2:**  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1 = k^2$ ,  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1 = pq^2$  Let's look at  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1 = k^2$  modulo 4. All powers of 2 are 0 (mod 4) apart from  $2^0 = 1 \equiv 1$  and  $2^1 = 2 \equiv 2$  (mod 4). Since, squares may only be 0 or 1 (mod 4), we must have  $\frac{p-1}{2} = 0$  or 1 in order for  $2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1 \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4) respectively. We cannot have  $\frac{p-1}{2} = 0$  since then  $p = 1$  which is not prime. However,  $\frac{p-1}{2} = 1$  gives  $p = 3$  which is prime. Check:  $2^{3-1} - 1 = 2^2 - 1 = 3 \cdot 1^2$  and so  $\frac{2^{3-1}-1}{3}$  is indeed a square.

Therefore, there are two solutions, namely  $p = 3$  and  $p = 7$ .

- We consider partitioning the chessboard into 8 diagonal sets. Specifically, if we label some two squares as  $(i_1, j_1)$  and  $(i_2, j_2)$ , then these two squares are in the same set if and only if  $i_1 - j_1 \equiv i_2 - j_2 \pmod{8}$ . Since this divides the chessboard into 8 sets of 8 squares each, by pigeonhole principle, this implies one such set contains at least 3 pieces, since  $17 = 8 \cdot 2 + 1$ . As no two pieces in the same set lie in the same row or column, this completes the proof.
- Let  $O$  be the midpoint of  $BC$ . As  $ABC$  is right-angled, we have  $O$  the centre of the circle through  $ABC$ . Let  $N$  be the intersection of  $BP$  and  $OC'$  and let  $M$  be the intersection of  $CI$  and  $OC'$ . Note that  $OC'$  is parallel to  $AC$  by the midpoint theorem. Hence  $\angle OMC = \angle ACM = \angle OCM$  as  $CI$  is the angle bisector of  $\angle ACB$ . Hence,  $OM = OC$  which implies  $O$  lies on the circumcircle of  $ABC$ . As  $AMCB$  cyclic, we have  $\angle AMC = \angle ABC = 45^\circ$ .

Note that  $\angle AMC' = \angle AMC + \angle CMO = 45^\circ + 22.5^\circ = 67.5^\circ$ . Also,  $\angle BNC' = 90^\circ - \angle C'BN = 67.5^\circ$ . Since  $AC' = C'N$  this implies  $\triangle AMC'$  and  $\triangle BNC'$  congruent, hence  $C'$  is the midpoint of  $MN$ .

The claim that  $X$  is the midpoint of  $PC$  then follows since  $\triangle MIN$  is similar to  $\triangle CIP$  and  $C'IX$  collinear.

- Austrian Mathematical Olympiad 2011, Final Round, part 2, day 1*

Since 3 pins (P) or 2 brackets (B) may not lie in a row, they may not do so on an individual brick. This means that there are only three different types of brick: PBPP (type A); PPBP (type B); BPB (type C). Naming the number of possible patterns of  $n$  bricks with a brick of type A at the end  $a_n$ , and analogously  $b_n$  and  $c_n$  for types B and C, the number we wish to determine is  $s_n = a_n + b_n + c_n$ . Due to the restrictions on the bricks, we see that  $a_{n+1} = b_n + c_n$ ,  $b_{n+1} = c_n$  and  $c_{n+1} = a_n + b_n$  with starting values  $a_1 = b_1 = c_1 = 1$ . This yields:

$$s_{n+1} = s_n + (b_n + c_n) = s_n + (a_{n-1} + b_{n-1} + c_{n-1}) = s_n + s_{n-1}$$



6. Let  $a_n$  be the number on the blackboard after the  $n^{\text{th}}$  step and let  $i_n = a_n - a_{n-1}$  be the increase at the  $n^{\text{th}}$  step. We claim that for any  $n$ , either  $i_n = 1$  or  $a_n = 3n$ . We shall prove this by induction.

Note that we have  $i_1 = i_2 = i_3 = i_4 = 1$  and  $i_5 = 5 \neq 1$  but  $a_5 = 15$ . Hence the base case is proven. Let's assume that for some natural  $n$  we have  $i_n \neq 1$  and  $a_n = 3n$ . Let  $k$  be the least natural number such that  $i_{n+k} \neq 1$ . Note that

$$i_{n+k} = \gcd(n+k, i_{n+k-1}) = \gcd(n+k, 3n+k-1) = \gcd(n+k, 2k+1)$$

If  $2k+1$  is prime, we hence obtain  $i_{n+k} = 2k+1$  prime and  $a_{n+k} = 3n+k-1+2k+1 = 3(n+k)$ . This would prove the induction hypothesis, which would hence solve the problem.

We are now only left to prove  $2k+1$  is prime. Assume for contradiction  $2k+1$  is not prime. Consider any prime divisor  $p|\gcd(n+k, 2k+1)$ . We have

$$p|2k+1, p \neq 2k+1 \implies p \leq \frac{2k+1}{3} \implies p < k$$

Now consider

$$i_{n+k-p} = \gcd(n+k-p, 3n+k-p-1) = \gcd(n+k-p, 2k+1-2p)$$

Now, since  $p|n+k, 2k+1$ , we have  $p|\gcd(n+k-p, 2k+1-2p)$  which implies  $i_{n+k-p} \neq 1$  contradicting the minimality of  $k$ .

Hence  $2k+1$  is prime, which completes the proof.

