



Browser Exploitation (Firefox Rant)

Compass Security Schweiz AG Werkstrasse 20 Postfach 2038 CH-8645 Jona Tel +41 55 214 41 60 Fax +41 55 214 41 61 team@csnc.ch www.csnc.ch






Browser security

Compass Security Schweiz AG Werkstrasse 20 Postfach 2038 CH-8645 Jona Tel +41 55 214 41 60 Fax +41 55 214 41 61 team@csnc.ch www.csnc.ch

Browser security




Browser code size



Developer Count (2015)





Browser Security



Browsers:

Similar size like an OS

Support a shitload of file formats (PDF, GIF/PNG/JPEG, SVG, ...)

Can "upload" your own code (Javascript) to be executed!





Compass Security Schweiz AG Werkstrasse 20 Postfach 2038 CH-8645 Jona

Tel +41 55 214 41 60 Fax +41 55 214 41 61 team@csnc.ch www.csnc.ch



Rant: Firefox (2016)

Good:

- → Full ASLR
- → (Except on OSX for 3 years... and nobody noticed)

Bad:

- No Sandbox (yet)
- ♦ No 64 bit (yet)
- No process-per-tab (yet)
- No (professional) source code auditing / SDL
- No (professional) fuzzing
- ★ Lots of untrusted, unaudited 3rd party addons, extensions etc.



Rant: Firefox (2017)

Good:

- → Full ASLR
- (Except on OSX for 3 years... and nobody noticed)

Bad:

- → No Sandbox (yet) -> "will be released soon" (since 3 years)
- ♦ No 64 bit (yet) -> 64 bit exists, but default is 32 bit
- → No process-per-tab (yet) -> "will be released soon"
- No (professional) source code auditing / SDL
- → No (professional) fuzzing -> More fuzzing is being done.
- ★ Lots of untrusted, unaudited 3rd party addons, extensions etc.

But: The Firefox rendering engine (Gecko) will be replaced by Servo, written in Rust!



Rant: Firefox (2019)

Good:

- Full ASLR
- (Except on OSX for 3 years... and nobody noticed)

Bad:

- No Sandbox (yet) -> is there?
- → No 64 bit (yet) -> 64 bit default
- → No process-per-tab (yet) -> "will be released soon"
- No (professional) source code auditing / SDL
- → No (professional) fuzzing -> More fuzzing is being done.
- ★ Lots of untrusted, unaudited 3rd party addons, extensions etc.

But: The Firefox rendering engine (Gecko) will be replaced by Servo, written in Rust!



The history of "secure browsers"

- → Waterfox, brave, iridium, pale moon, epic, avg secure browser...
- → Some "secure browsers" completely disabled Same-origin-policy, ASLR, DEP etc.
- ★ Making them the most insecure browsers

My professional opinion:

- → Most secure: Chrome, Edge
- → Close: IE11
- Don't use: Firefox (sorry), or any other browsers (Safari, IE8/9)
- Really don't use: Torbrowser
 - Based on Firefox ESR (Long term support)
 - ★ Every Torbrowser version therefore contains dozens, if not hundreds of publicly known exploits
 - → Monocolture...



What Is The Most Secure & Private Web Browser For 2019?

Best Secure Browsers that Protect Your Privacy

FEBRUARY 25, 2019 By SVEN TAYLOR - 67 COMMENTS

Ranked: Security and privacy for the most popular web browsers in 2019

internet privacy internet security • 15 min read

-> Privacy. Is. Not. Security. <-



2014: George Hotz (geohot, wrote first PlayStation 3 and iOS/iPhone Exploits) wrote the first Chromebook Exploit for pwnium. And:

"Before pwnium, I had a few days extra, so I figured, why not try Firefox. Firefox, at least ca 2013, was about on par with a hard CTF problem. It took my 24 hours. 24 hours, full 0-day in Firefox.

A lot of people use this browser. Don't use it. Use Chrome."

USENIX Enigma 2016 - Timeless Debugging

https://youtu.be/eGl6kpSajag?t=178



Even the FBI has Firefox Exploits...

As Ars has reported before, to breach the security normally afforded by Tor, the FBI deployed a "network investigative technique" (NIT). In a related case prosecuted out of New York, an FBI search warrant affidavit described both the pornography available to Playpen's 150,000 members and the NIT's capabilities. As a way to ensnare users, the FBI took control of Playpen and ran it for 13 days in 2015 before shutting it down. During that period, with many users' Tor-enabled digital shields down—revealing their true IP addresses—the government was able to identify and arrest the 135 child porn suspects.

Joshua Yabut, another researcher who also analyzed the code, told Ars it exploits a so-called use-after-free bug that requires JavaScript to be enabled on the vulnerable computer. Yabut went on to say the code is "100% effective for remote code execution on Windows systems." The exploit code, the researcher added, adjusts the memory location of the payload based on the version of Firefox being exploited. The versions span from 41 to 50, with version 45 ESR being the version used by the latest version of the Tor browser. The adjustments are an indication that the people who developed the attack tested it extensively to ensure it worked on multiple releases of Firefox. The exploit makes direct calls to kernel32.dll, a core part of the Windows operating system.

| | Microsoft | Google | Mozilla |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Explorer 11 | Edge | Chrome | Firefox |
| AppContainer (EPM) | AppContainer | AppContainer | |
| Х | Х | Х | Х |
| XX | XX | Х | ASLR |
| | Х | | |
| Х | Х | Х | |
| | | Х | |
| | | | |
| Х | Х | | |
| | Х | | |
| | | | |
| | Х | Х | |
| | AppContainer (EPM) X XX | AppContainer (EPM) X X XX XX XX X X X X X X X | AppContainer (EPM) X X X XX XX XX XX XX XX XX |

Table 9. Comparison of mitigations in web browsers.

Per Origin Tab or not? (2019)



| ☐ firefox.exe | 0.07 | 174 560 K | 266 828 K | 65484 Mozilla Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Medium |
|-------------------|--------|-------------|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|---------------|
| firefox.exe | | 87 212 K | 73 108 K | 52864 Mozilla Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Medium |
| firefox.exe | 0.01 | 125 528 K | 124 788 K | 70944 Mozilla Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Low |
| firefox.exe | < 0.01 | 140 056 K | 153 040 K | 50296 Mozilla Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Low |
| firefox.exe | 0.02 | 67 020 K | 118 236 K | 55180 Mozilla Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Low |
| firefox.exe | 0.11 | 225 392 K | 226 040 K | 72356 Mozilla Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Low |
| firefox.exe | 0.06 | 230 928 K | 247 524 K | 42656 Mozilla Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Low |
| procexp64.exe | 1.66 | 78 336 K | 96 292 K | 53096 Sysintemals - www.sysinter | Enabled (permane ASLR | | Medium |
| Snipping Tool.exe | 0.94 | 4 468 K | 20 408 K | 48144 Microsoft Corporation | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Medium |
| ☐ chrome.exe | 0.57 | 384 640 K | 388 564 K | 77480 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Medium |
| chrome.exe | | 2 316 K | 2 504 K | 15968 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Medium |
| chrome.exe | | 2 096 K | 1 684 K | 21812 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Medium |
| chrome.exe | 0.15 | 110 592 K | 119 828 K | 64544 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Medium |
| chrome.exe | | 36 428 K | 28 084 K | 15748 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | 0.03 | 83 420 K | 84 020 K | 19560 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | 0.03 | 79 672 K | 58 116 K | 18224 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | | 35 036 K | 8 088 K | 16388 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | | 90 872 K | 24 284 K | 13868 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | | 57 120 K | 14 200 K | 2920 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | 0.01 | 253 520 K | 243 976 K | 4664 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | < 0.01 | 31 844 K | 36 460 K | 8752 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | | 38 628 K | 49 304 K | 61820 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | < 0.01 | 237 936 K | 237 112 K | 35256 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | < 0.01 | 159 300 K | 110 608 K | 7256 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | | 27 900 K | 6 900 K | 17756 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | 1.01 | 1 226 620 K | 832 212 K | 1976 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | < 0.01 | 154 976 K | 152 564 K | 49312 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | | 34 708 K | 24 500 K | 49996 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| o chrome.exe | | 53 476 K | 56 388 K | | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | | 17 696 K | | 48132 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | < 0.01 | 154 420 K | 142 756 K | 2812 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | | 48 940 K | 43 004 K | 19224 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| chrome.exe | | 25 328 K | 17 184 K | 36196 Google Inc. | Enabled (permane ASLR | CFG | Untrusted |
| | 0.00 | 201 220 1/ | 2C2 000 IZ | E0040 CI- I | FLI-4 (ACLD | CEC | l lata rata d |


www.csnc.ch

Sandbox



Browser Sandbox?

- → Isolate "dangerous" code in a separate process
- ★ Communicate with Main Parent Process (Network, FS, Graphics, ...)
- ★ Child code cannot access filesystem, create processes, ...




Sandbox?

Current Status

| Sandbox | Trunk | Beta | | Release | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | Level | Level | Version | Level | Version |
| Windows (content)₽ | Level 5 | Level 5 | Fx60 | Level 5 | Fx60 |
| Windows (compositor)& | Level 0 [1] | | | | |
| Windows (GMP)₽ | enabled | enabled | | enabled | |
| Windows 64bit (NPAPI Plugin)& | enabled | enabled | | enabled | |
| OSX (content)& | t)⊌ Level 3 Level 3 Fx56 | | Level 3 | Fx56 | |
| OSX (GMP)₽ | enabled | enabled | | enabled | |
| OSX (Flash NPAPI)& | disabled | disabled | | disabled | |
| Linux (content)& | Level 3 | Level 3 | Fx57 | Level 3 | Fx57 |
| Linux (GMP)@ | enabled | enabled | | enabled | |

© Compass Security Schweiz Delayed Mitigations



| | | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Job Level | JOB_RESTRICTED & | JOB_LOCKDOWN | JOB_LOCKDOWN | | |
| A | Access Token Level | USER_LIMITED | USER_LIMITED | USER_LIMITED | | |
| | Alternate Desktop | no | YES | YES | | |
| | Alternate Windows Station | no | no | | | |
| | Initial Integrity Level | INTEGRITY_LEVEL_LOW | INTEGRITY_LEVEL_LOW | INTEGRITY_LEVEL_LOW | | |
| | Delayed Integrity Level | INTEGRITY_LEVEL_LOW | INTEGRITY_LEVEL_LOW | INTEGRITY_LEVEL_LOW | | |
| | Mitigations | MITIGATION_BOTTOM_UP_ASLR MITIGATION_HEAP_TERMINATE MITIGATION_SEHOP MITIGATION_DEP_NO_ATL_THUNK MITIGATION_DEP MITIGATION_EXTENSION_POINT_DISABLE | MITIGATION_BOTTOM_UP_ASLR MITIGATION_HEAP_TERMINATE MITIGATION_SEHOP MITIGATION_DEP_NO_ATL_THUNK MITIGATION_DEP MITIGATION_EXTENSION_POINT_DISABL BLE MITIGATION_IMAGE_LOAD_NO_REMOTE | MITIGATION_BOTTOM_UP_ASLR MITIGATION_HEAP_TERMINATE MITIGATION_SEHOP MITIGATION_DEP_NO_ATL_THUNK MITIGATION_DEP MITIGATION_EXTENSION_POINT_DISABLE MITIGATION_IMAGE_LOAD_NO_REMOTE MITIGATION IMAGE LOAD NO LOW LABEL | MITIGATION_BOTTOM_UP_ASLR MITIGATION_HEAP_TERMINATE MITIGATION_SEHOP MITIGATION_DEP_NO_ATL_THUNK MITIGATION_DEP MITIGATION_EXTENSION_POINT_DISABLE MITIGATION_IMAGE_LOAD_NO_REMOTE MITIGATION_IMAGE_LOAD_NO_LOW_LABEL MITIGATION_IMAGE_LOAD_PREFER_SYS32 | |
| - | Delayed Mitigations | MITIGATION_STRICT_HANDLE_CHECKS | MITIGATION_STRICT_HANDLE_CHECKS | | | |

MITIGATION_DLL_SEARCH_ORDER

MITIGATION_DLL_SEARCH_ORDER

References



Look Mom, I don't use Shellcode

- → Browser Exploitation Case Study for IE11
- ♦ Moritz Jodeit
- ★ EKO12 (Ekoparty Security Conference)
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PbIpd89efX8&index=14&list=PLdgOScViwomMZQymL2SWKh5BLfMhDijB