# **Macroeconometrics**

Lecture 13 SVARs: Bayesian estimation I

**Tomasz Woźniak** 

Department of Economics University of Melbourne

### Estimating models with sign restrictions

### Useful readings:

Rubio-Ramírez, Waggoner & Zha (2010) Structural Vector Autoregressions: Theory of Identification and Algorithms for Inference, Review of Economic Studies

#### Materials:

An R file L13 mcxs.R for the reproduction of the example for Algorithm 1 and 2

### Objectives.

- ► To present general estimation algorithms of SVAR models with exclusion or sign restrictions
- ► To work with procedures taking Bayesian estimation of VARs as a starting point
- ► To introduce the identification of structural shocks using sign restrictions

### Learning outcomes.

- ▶ Understanding the rotations of the structural system
- ► Generating random draws of rotation matrices
- ► Sampling random draws of parameters with appropriate restrictions

# Bayesian VARs

$$p(A, \Sigma|Y, X) = p(A|Y, X, \Sigma)p(\Sigma|Y, X)$$

$$p(A|Y, X, \Sigma) = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}_{K \times N}(\overline{A}, \Sigma, \overline{V})$$

$$p(\Sigma|Y, X) = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{W}_{N}(\overline{S}, \overline{\nu})$$

$$\overline{V} = (X'X + \underline{V}^{-1})^{-1}$$

$$\overline{A} = \overline{V}(X'Y + \underline{V}^{-1}\underline{A})$$

$$\overline{\nu} = T + \underline{\nu}$$

$$\overline{S} = \underline{S} + Y'Y + \underline{A'}\underline{V}^{-1}\underline{A} - \overline{A'}\overline{V}^{-1}\overline{A}$$

## Bayesian Structural VARs

$$B_0 y_t = b_0 + B_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + B_p y_{t-p} + u_t$$

### The concept for the sampling algorithm

**Sample** draws from the posterior distribution of  $(A, \Sigma)$  to get

$$\left\{A^{(s)}, \Sigma^{(s)}\right\}_{s=1}^{S}$$

**Compute** draws from the posterior distribution of a triangular SVAR system

$$\tilde{B}_0^{(s)} = \operatorname{chol}\left(\Sigma^{(s)}, \operatorname{lower}\right)^{-1} \qquad \tilde{B}_+^{(s)} = \tilde{B}_0^{(s)} A^{(s)}$$

**Compute or sample** an orthogonal matrix  $Q^{(s)}$  that is consistent with the restrictions

**Compute** draws of parameter matrices with desired restrictions from the target posterior distribution

$$B_0^{(s)} = Q^{(s)} \tilde{B}_0^{(s)} \qquad B_+^{(s)} = Q^{(s)} \tilde{B}_+^{(s)}$$



### Identification of models with exclusion restrictions

$$QB_0y_t = Qb_0 + QB_1y_{t-1} + \dots + QB_py_{t-p} + Qu_t$$

All of the structural VARs are identified up to a rotation matrix.

SVARs identified with exclusion restrictions are identified to a special case of a rotation matrix, that is, a diagonal matrix with each of the diagonal elements equal to  $\pm 1$ 

$$Q = D$$

Individual equations and the structural shocks are identified up to a sign.

See more on normalization as a solution to this problem

**Algorithm 1** described below transforms any SF parameters  $(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$  to parameters such that the restrictions of interests hold. These parameters are denoted by  $(B_+, B_0)$ .

**Algorithm 1** works for exactly identified models, that is, the restrictions of interest to be imposed on the system must exactly identify the model. The appropriate conditions should be verified.

**Algorithm 1** is applicable to any parameters  $(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$ , e.g.:

Maximum likelihood estimates

**Bootstrapped** parameters sampled from their empirical distribution in an appropriate bootstrap procedure

Posterior draws in Bayesian inference

Let  $(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$  be any value of the structural parameters.

### Algorithm 1.

- **Step 1** Set n = 1
- Step 2 Form matrix

$$ilde{\mathbf{R}}_n = egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_n f( ilde{B}_+, ilde{B}_0) \\ q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

If 
$$n=1$$
, then  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1=\mathbf{R}_1f(\tilde{B}_+,\tilde{B}_0)$ 

- **Step 3** Compute vector  $q_n = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{n\perp}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_n q_n = 0$  where  $X_{\perp}$  is the orthogonal complement of matrix X
- **Step 4** If n = N, stop. If not, set n = n + 1 and go to **Step 2**

**Return** 
$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1' & \dots & q_N' \end{bmatrix}' \quad B_+ = Q\tilde{B}_+ \quad B_0 = Q\tilde{B}_0$$
  
Parameters  $(B_+, B_0)$  are such that the restrictions hold.

### Orthogonal complement matrix.

To compute the orthogonal complement matrix of an  $M \times N$  matrix X where M > N

**Compute** the QR decomposition of matrix X where Q is an orthogonal matrix

```
Return the last M-N columns of matrix Q as and (M-N)\times N matrix X_{\perp}
```

Restrictions for IRFs on horizons 0 and  $\infty$  for a model with p=1

$$f(B_{+}, B_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{0} \\ \Theta_{\infty} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{0}^{-1} \\ (B_{0} - B_{1})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Which requires setting

These matrices are of ranks  $r_1 = 2$ ,  $r_2 = 1$ , and  $r_3 = 0$  respectively. The model is exactly identified.

It suffices to consider matrices with non-zero rows

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{R}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let the estimated RF parameters  $(A, \Sigma)$  be:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -1.25 & 0.25 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 4.25 & 2.5 \\ 1 & 2.5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute initial values of SF parameters  $\tilde{B}_0 = \operatorname{chol}(\Sigma)^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{B}_0 A$ 

$$\tilde{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -0.75 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \tilde{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.25 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

These are the estimates of parameters that maximize the likelihood function or are drawn from the posterior distribution, however, they are subject to a likelihood invariant transformation by premultiplying by a rotation matrix that that will impose zero restrictions on appropriate elements.

Construct function  $f(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$ :

$$f(\tilde{B}_{+}, \tilde{B}_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}_{0}^{-1} \\ (\tilde{B}_{0} - \tilde{B}_{1})^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And proceed to **Algorithm 1.** 

**Iteration:** n = 1

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1} = \mathbf{R}_{1} f(\tilde{B}_{+}, \tilde{B}_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The vector above is the first row of rotation matrix Q that will rotate  $(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$  assigning it the correct restrictions.

**Iteration:** n = 2

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{2} f(\tilde{B}_{+}, \tilde{B}_{0}) \\ q_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$q_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7071068 & 0.7071068 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Iteration:** n = 3

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -0.7071068 & 0.7071068 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -0.7071068 & 0.7071068 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.7071068 & -0.7071068 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Return parameter matrices:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \\ q_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -0.7071068 & 0.7071068 & 0 \\ -0.7071068 & -0.7071068 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_0 = Q\tilde{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.75 & -0.5 & 1 \\ -0.884 & 0.354 & 0 \\ -0.53 & -0.354 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_1 = Q\tilde{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.75 & -0.5 & 0.5 \\ -0.884 & -0.354 & 0 \\ 0.177 & -0.354 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Verify IRFs:

$$\Theta_0 = B_0^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -0.707 & -0.707 \\ 0 & 1.061 & -1.768 \\ 1 & 0 & -1.414 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Theta_{\infty} = (B_0 - B_1)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1.414 \\ 0 & 1.414 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sign restrictions.

$$\mathbf{R}_{n}f(B_{+},B_{0})e_{n}>\mathbf{0}_{R\times 1}$$
 for  $n=1,\ldots,N$ 

**Provide identification** of the structural shocks without the need to impose strict exclusion restrictions that might be controversial

Are motivated by economic theory and empirical stylized facts

**Set identify** the model, that is, for any set of sign restrictions, given a parameter point  $(B_+, B_0)$  that satisfies such restrictions, there always exists an orthogonal matrix Q, arbitrarily close to an identity matrix, such that  $(QB_+, QB_0)$  will also satisfy the sign restrictions.

**Set identification** implies that there is a non-empty set of orthogonal matrices  $Q \in \mathbb{O} \subset \mathcal{O}(N)$  that satisfy the sign restrictions.

**Estimation** procedure has to efficiently exploit set O

### Sign restrictions: Example 1

Uhlig (2005) What are the effects of monetary policy on output? Results from an agnostic identification procedure, Journal of Monetary Economics

#### Variables in $y_t$

 $rgdp_t$  – real GDP,  $tr_t$  – total reserves,  $p_t$  – GDP price deflator,  $nbr_t$  – non-borrowed reserves,  $cpi_t$  – commodity price index,  $FFR_t$  – federal funds rate

### Sign restrictions for the monetary policy shock

A monetary policy impulse vector is an impulse vector u so that the impulse responses to u of prices and non-borrowed reserves are not positive and the impulse responses for the federal funds rate are not negative, all at horizons  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, h$ .

### Sign restrictions: Example 2

Canova, Paustian (2011) Business cycle measurement with some theory, Journal of Monetary Economics

### Sign restrictions

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_t \\ rw_t \\ \pi_t \\ rgdp_t \\ hw_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} + & + & + & - & * \\ - & + & - & + & * \\ + & - & + & - & * \\ - & - & + & + & * \\ - & - & + & - & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_t^{markup} \\ u_t^{monetary} \\ u_t^{taste} \\ u_t^{technology} \\ u_t^{measurement} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $i_t$  – interest rate,  $rw_t$  – real wage,  $\pi_t$  – inflation,  $rgdp_t$  – real output,  $hw_t$  – hours worked

### Useful distribution: Haar

#### Definition.

Haar distribution is a uniform distribution over the space of orthogonal matrices  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ 

### Random number generator.

Let X be an  $N \times N$  random matrix with each element having an independent standard normal distribution. Let X = QR be the QR decomposition of X with the diagonal of R normalized to be positive. The random matrix Q is orthogonal and is a draw from the uniform distribution over  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ .

**Algorithm 2** described below transforms any SF parameters  $(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$  to parameters such that the restrictions of interests hold. These parameters are denoted by  $(B_+, B_0)$ .

**Algorithm 2** works for set identified models with the sign restrictions.

**Algorithm 2** is applicable to any parameters  $(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$ , e.g.: **Bootstrapped** parameters, that is, parameters sampled from

their empirical distribution in an appropriate bootstrap procedure

Posterior draws in Bayesian inference

**Algorithm 2** is not designed for the MLE. Apply all of the recommendations from

Fry, Pagan (2011) Sign Restrictions in Structural Vector Autoregressions: A Critical Review, *Journal of Economic Literature* 

Let  $(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$  be any value of the structural parameters.

### Algorithm 2.

- **Step 1** Draw an independent standard normal  $N \times N$  matrix X and let X = QR be the QR decomposition of X with the diagonal of R normalized to be positive.
- **Step 2** Use matrix Q to compute parameters  $B_0 = Q\tilde{B}_0$ ,  $B_+ = Q\tilde{B}_+$  and the corresponding impulse responses that are subject to sign restrictions.
- Step 3 If these parameters and impulse responses do not satisfy the sign restrictions, return to Step 1

**Return** parameters  $(B_+, B_0)$ 

Consider restrictions on IRFs on horizons 0 and 1

$$f(B_{+}, B_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{0} \\ \Theta_{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{0}^{-1} \\ B_{0}^{-1} B_{1} B_{0}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ - & * & * \\ + & * & * \\ - & * & * \\ - & * & * \\ + & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Which requires setting

$$\mathbf{R}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so that  $\mathbf{R}_1 f(B_+, B_0) e_1 > 0$  imposes the required restrictions.

Let the estimated RF parameters  $(A, \Sigma)$  be:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -1.25 & 0.25 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 4.25 & 2.5 \\ 1 & 2.5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute initial values of SF parameters  $\tilde{B}_0={\sf chol}(\Sigma)^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{B}_1=\tilde{B}_0 A$ 

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -0.75 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.25 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -0.75 & -0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

These are the estimates of parameters that coming from a bootstrap procedure or that are drawn from the posterior distribution, however, they are subject to a likelihood invariant transformation by premultiplying by a rotation matrix that will impose sign restrictions on appropriate elements.

Construct function  $f(\tilde{B}_+, \tilde{B}_0)$ :

$$f(\tilde{B}_{+}, \tilde{B}_{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}_{0}^{-1} \\ \tilde{B}_{0}^{-1} \tilde{B}_{1} \tilde{B}_{0}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0.75 & 1 & 0 \\ -1.125 & 0.5 & 0 \\ -0.5 & 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

And proceed to **Algorithm 2.** 

#### After 118 iterations the algorithm returned matrices

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} -0.184 & -0.797 & 1.060 \\ -1.702 & 0.957 & -0.494 \\ 2.354 & -1.295 & 1.084 \end{bmatrix} \qquad Q = \begin{bmatrix} -0.063 & -0.585 & 0.809 \\ -0.998 & 0.052 & -0.040 \\ 0.019 & 0.810 & 0.587 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{vmatrix} -0.063 & -0.585 & 0.809 \\ -0.998 & 0.052 & -0.040 \\ 0.019 & 0.810 & 0.587 \end{vmatrix}$$

### that give

$$B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.523 & -0.697 & 0.809 \\ -0.981 & 0.046 & -0.040 \\ -0.624 & 0.111 & 0.587 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.200 & -0.436 & 0.404 \\ -0.508 & -0.479 & -0.020 \\ -1.038 & -0.284 & 0.293 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.200 & -0.436 & 0.404 \\ -0.508 & -0.479 & -0.020 \\ -1.038 & -0.284 & 0.293 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### and

$$\Theta_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.063 & -0.998 & 0.019 \\ -1.201 & -0.395 & 1.628 \\ 0.161 & -0.986 & 1.415 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Theta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.632 & -0.696 & 0.823 \\ -0.221 & 1.149 & 0.384 \\ 0.144 & 0.505 & 0.689 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Theta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.632 & -0.696 & 0.823 \\ -0.221 & 1.149 & 0.384 \\ 0.144 & 0.505 & 0.689 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Structural VARs: Bayesian estimation I

**Algorithms** proposed by Rubio-Ramírez, Waggoner & Zha (2010) allow the estimation under a great flexibility in the type of identification patterns for SVARs

**Estimation** procedures are relatively quick, follow simple algorithms and apply to both frequentist and Bayesian approaches

**Computations** of IRFs and FEVDs are straightforward.

**Model comparison and selection** requires alternative procedures.