

Recall and response time norms for English–Swahili word pairs and facts about Kenya

Ashley S. Bangert¹ · Nazanin M. Heydarian¹

© Psychonomic Society, Inc. 2016

Abstract In the vast literature exploring learning, many studies have used paired-associate stimuli, despite the fact that real-world learning involves many different types of information. One of the most popular materials used in studies of learning has been a set of Swahili–English word pairs for which Nelson and Dunlosky (Memory 2; 325–335, 1994) published recall norms two decades ago. These norms involved use of the Swahili words as cues to facilitate recall of the English translation. It is unclear whether cueing in the opposite direction (from English to Swahili) would lead to symmetric recall performance. Bilingual research has suggested that translation in these two different directions involves asymmetric links that may differentially impact recall performance, depending on which language is used as the cue (Kroll & Stewart, Journal of Memory and Language 33; 149–174, 1994). Moreover, the norms for these and many other learning stimuli have typically been gathered from college students. In the present study, we report recall accuracy and response time norms for Swahili words when they are cued by their English translations. We also report norms for a companion set of fact stimuli that may be used along with the Swahili–English word pairs to assess learning on a broader scale across different stimulus materials. Data were collected using Amazon’s Mechanical Turk to establish a sample that was diverse in both age and ethnicity. These different, but related, stimulus sets will be applicable to studies of learning, meta-cognition, and memory in diverse samples.

Keywords Paired-associate learning · Cued recall

Over the past several years, there has been increasing interest in identifying techniques to improve learning and long-term recall of novel information (see Dunlosky, Rawson, Marsh, Mitchell, & Willingham, 2013, for a review), with a particular focus on the benefits of distributed practice (Cepeda, Pashler, Vul, Wixted, & Rohrer, 2006) and retrieval practice (Carpenter, Pashler, Wixted, & Vul, 2008; Karpicke & Roediger, 2008). Within this realm of inquiry, researchers have begun to study how these techniques fare in educationally valid contexts (Roediger, Agarwal, McDaniel, & McDermott, 2011; Sobel, Cepeda, & Kapler, 2011), and how they impact learning and recall across the lifespan (Balota, Duchek, & Logan, 2007; Balota, Duchek, Sergent-Marshall, & Roediger, 2006; Logan & Balota, 2008). Though different types of materials, such as novel facts, categorized items, or written passages, have been used as learning stimuli (Barber, Rajaram, & Marsh, 2008; Bäuml, Holterman, & Abel, 2014; Carpenter et al., 2008; Little, Storm, & Bjork, 2011; Rawson & Kintsch, 2005), perhaps the most popular type of stimulus has involved paired associates (Grimaldi, Pyc, & Rawson, 2010). In paired-associate learning, individuals learn a pair of items, such as two words, and then must recall one item when cued with the other.

Although many empirical studies of learning have investigated only one type of stimulus, in real-world situations people are often required to learn multiple forms of related information in the same learning context. For example, a student in a history class might need to associate information like the name of a famous battle with a date, but would also need to understand the importance of that battle and its outcome. Similarly, when visiting a foreign country, individuals would want to learn not only the primary language spoken, but also

✉ Ashley S. Bangert
asbangert2@utep.edu

¹ Department of Psychology, University of Texas, El Paso, TX, USA

details about the country's history, political structure, and places of interest. In both of these scenarios, different types of stimuli that are thematically or conceptually linked must be learned. In the rare case in which learning of multiple types of materials has been empirically assessed, the materials often have not been linked in any meaningful way (Bäuml et al., 2014; Carpenter et al., 2008). Therefore, in this study we aimed to provide a set of recall norms obtained from a demographically diverse sample for stimuli that are thematically linked—namely, foreign-language vocabulary (English–Swahili word pairs) and facts about the history, geography, and civics of a country (Kenya) in which Swahili is one of the official languages spoken. These norms will be useful to researchers who want to assess whether the same learning context or technique leads to memory benefits for different, but related, materials.

Often, paired-associate stimuli involve weakly associated English noun pairs, such as horse–table. An advantage to using these stimuli is that the pairs developed for a particular study are unique and unfamiliar to participants. This allows researchers to assess learning from “scratch” (Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994). Some studies have developed paired associates that involve foreign-language vocabulary learning (Grimaldi et al., 2010; Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994). There is evidence that learning proceeds differently for paired associates that involve arbitrary English noun pairs (semantic focus) than for paired associates involving foreign-language learning (phonological focus) (Papagno, Valentine, & Baddeley, 1991). Specifically, for English speakers, two English words carry different meanings that individuals must try to associate with one another. On the other hand, an English–foreign-language word pair would not benefit from trying to make semantic connections, because the words are equivalent in meaning. Instead, in order to remember the foreign-language word and how it links to the English word, people must engage in phonological encoding (Papagno et al., 1991). Some research has suggested that people may be able to use a combination of these techniques through the use of a mediator word or keyword semantically linked to the English word but phonologically linked to the foreign-language word (Pyc & Rawson, 2010; Raugh & Atkinson, 1975). For example, when trying to connect the *Swahili* word *wingu* with its English translation “cloud,” one might think of a wing being something that allows birds to fly in the clouds. In this way, “wing” is semantically linked to “cloud” but shares phonology with the Swahili translation, *wingu*.

Of foreign-language paired associates, one commonly used stimulus set involves Swahili–English word pairs for which recall norms were published 20 years ago by Nelson and Dunlosky (1994). They collected data from a group of college-aged participants after three study and recall trials. During the recall phase, the participants were cued with the Swahili word and asked to recall the English translation.

These norms have been used extensively to investigate the influences of a variety of factors on learning and memory, including retrieval effects (Kang & Pashler, 2014; Pyc & Rawson, 2012b), spacing effects (Karpicke & Bauernschmidt, 2011; Pyc & Rawson, 2009b), feedback (Hays, Kornell, & Bjork, 2010), affect (Finn & Roediger, 2011, 2012), interference processes (Miyake, 2007), cognitive and physical exercise (Kayes, 2013), and mindfulness exercises (Bonamo, Legerski, & Thomas, 2015).

These norms have also been used to examine metacognitive judgments of learning (Jang & Nelson, 2005; Keleman, Winningham, & Weaver, 2007; Keleman, Frost, & Weaver, 2000; Krueger & Sifuentes, 2014; Pyc & Rawson, 2012a; Pyc, Rawson, & Aschenbrenner, 2014; Scheck & Nelson, 2005), including how people make decisions about when to stop studying particular items (Karpicke, 2009; Kornell & Bjork, 2008; Pyc & Rawson, 2007, 2009a) and how people choose to allocate their study time (Ariel, 2012; Dunlosky & Thiede, 1998; Krueger, 2012).

Because of the pervasive use of these stimuli, Grimaldi and colleagues (2010) recently published a set of recall norms for Lithuanian–English word pairs, in order to provide an alternative stimulus set for researchers. Again, the norms were determined using a sample of college undergraduates who were cued with the Lithuanian word and had to recall the paired English translation. In fact, most studies using these types of stimuli have assessed learning when recall was cued by the foreign-language word and the English equivalent must be recalled (see Grimaldi et al., 2010, for a review). Although a few researchers have attempted to evaluate Swahili recall when cued with the English word (Carpenter & Olson, 2012; Kornell & Bjork, 2008), they have done so without any normative information about the recall difficulty of the Swahili words. This is an important point, because it is unclear whether recall performance for Swahili words would mirror the difficulty of English word recall. Therefore, there is a need to establish norms for these associates when the English words serve as the cues and the Swahili words as the targets.

The associative-symmetry hypothesis proposes that when individuals must form associations between two items (e.g., X and Y), as is the case with paired associates, the representation formed in memory is a holistic conjunction of the two items (see Kahana, 2002). Moreover, the hypothesis predicts that when individuals are asked to recall one item of the pair when cued with the other, retrieval performance should be strongly associated across the different cueing directions (e.g., $X \rightarrow Y$ or $Y \rightarrow X$). Although a number of studies have shown evidence of symmetric memory for stimulus pairs (Kahana, 2002; Madan, Glaholt, & Caplan, 2010), others have revealed asymmetric performance, especially for item pairs that have been well-learned (Vaughn & Rawson, 2014). This asymmetry in recall performance has also been found with the Lithuanian–English paired associates learned to a criterion

level, where recall was tested using both forward and backward cueing; better recall was found when individuals were cued with the Lithuanian word and asked to recall the English target (Vaughn & Rawson, 2011).

Research with bilingual individuals has supported the idea of asymmetric recall; early in second-language (L2) learning, the L2 is thought to be linked to the first language (L1) through lexical routes before the links with conceptual representations are established. With increased L2 proficiency, conceptual representations are developed, but the lexical links remain. This leads to stronger links from L1 than from L2 to the conceptual representations in memory, and drives asymmetric effects during translation, which is typically faster in the L2-to-L1 than in the L1-to-L2 direction (Kroll & Stewart, 1994). Likewise, Prior, MacWhinney, and Kroll (2007) published a set of translation norms for English and Spanish from highly proficient bilinguals and also found evidence of asymmetric translation effects across languages. Given these patterns of asymmetrical translation in bilingual individuals, paired-associate learning involving cueing from the familiar language (English) to the novel language (Swahili) should be more difficult than that involving cueing in the opposite direction.

We are unaware of any formally published norms in monolinguals assessing recall when individuals are cued with the English word and must recall an associated foreign-language equivalent, despite the fact that this ability is an important part of learning a new language. Therefore, we report a set of recall norms for Swahili words cued by their English equivalents, which can be used to gain a more complete picture of performance akin to early foreign-language learning, especially as it pertains to the development of translation skill from L1 to L2. Knowledge of normative recall difficulty involving cueing in this direction would also be important for studies seeking to better understand the structure of associative memory and the issues of symmetrical versus asymmetrical memory representations.

As we indicated above, learning often involves a host of different types of stimuli, such as facts, strategies, or even skills. These stimuli differ in their levels of recall difficulty and in the degrees of information that may be available at recall to retrieve associated information. This differential ease of recall can be explained by the Search of Associative Memory (SAM) model (Raaijmakers & Shiffrin, 1980, 1981, 2002), which posits that information is encoded in long-term memory in the form of memory images combining contextual information, associations with other items in memory, and information regarding various target features, such as meaning, part of speech, letters, and other relevant features. Retrieval of the target item from memory is cue-dependent—that is, the more strongly a cue or set of cues is associated with the memory image of the target item, the higher the probability of retrieving the target item from memory. When considering

ease of recall for facts versus foreign-language paired associates, one would expect fact recall to be easier, because the question used as the cue would likely contain more information to strengthen activation of the target memory image and constrain memory search than the individual word cue used for paired-associate learning would contain. For example, the question “How many years constitute a single term as president?” includes a host of information to activate links to the memory image containing the answer, including its format (number), its meaning, the letters used to represent the verbal form of the answer, the numeric digit used to represent the answer, an association with the term lengths of other political figures, and so forth. On the other hand, the English cue “doctor” includes less information to activate the Swahili translation *tabibu*, especially given that in early learning, *tabibu* might not include a strong link to the concept it represents in memory (Kroll & Stewart, 1994).

Norms collected from college undergraduate students have been published for the recall of various general-knowledge facts (Nelson & Narens, 1980; Tauber, Dunlosky, Rawson, Rhodes, & Sitzman, 2013). However, many studies assessing the learning of facts have developed a novel set of items that were either piloted using a sample of college students prior to study administration (Barber et al., 2008) or administered without collecting prior normative data on recall performance (Carpenter et al., 2008). The fact that normed and pilot data have been collected using samples of college-aged individuals makes it difficult to generalize these norms to other age groups. Learning, however, is a lifelong process, and as we indicated earlier, many researchers have begun to focus on how learning changes across the lifespan (see Balota et al., 2007a, for a review; Logan & Balota, 2008).

One example of a real-world learning scenario experienced by individuals of varied backgrounds and ages is the naturalization exam to obtain U.S. citizenship. The exam requires the learning of multiple types of information, such as facts about U.S. history, government, and civics, as well as English-language vocabulary (see www.uscis.gov/citizenship). For researchers interested in studying various learning techniques for materials like these, it would be useful to develop stimulus sets involving different types of materials that are thematically related. Another critical point is that many of the published norms in the learning literature were established using college-aged participants, rather than a more varied sample in terms of age and ethnicity (Grimaldi et al., 2010; Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994; Nelson & Narens, 1980; Tauber et al., 2013).

One increasingly popular method for recruiting participants in research studies has been the use of Amazon’s Mechanical Turk (MTurk). MTurk is an online service that enables individuals to participate in experiments and surveys for reimbursement. The advantages of MTurk and other online research deployment methods include not only the ability to

quickly and efficiently gather experimental data, but also the acquisition of more socio-economically and ethnically diverse samples of participants than are typically reported in empirical studies conducted in laboratory settings (see Birnbaum, 2004, for a review; Mason & Suri, 2012). A number of studies have demonstrated that the data collected online are of good quality and are comparable to empirical data collected in a laboratory, as long as expectations for the participants are made transparent and manipulation checks are included to ensure that they have complied with the instructions (Buhrmester, Kwang, & Gosling, 2011; Casler, Bickel, & Hackett, 2013; Crump, McDonnell, & Gureckis, 2013; Mason & Suri, 2012). With regard to issues concerning the collection of response time data online through the use of a platform such as Adobe Flash, administered via MTurk, research has suggested that although there may be some variability across systems, reliable response time data can be obtained. This is especially true for within-subjects designs, and there is evidence that even small condition differences that are detected in laboratory settings can be replicated online in this way (Reimers & Stewart, 2014; Simcox & Fiez, 2014). However, this technique is not recommended for studies that require brief, millisecond-level stimulus presentations.

In the present study, we gathered recall norms for the classic Nelson and Dunlosky (1994) word pairs when people were cued with the English word and had to recall its Swahili equivalent. These norms will be of interest to researchers who study learning, memory, and metacognition, as well as to individuals interested in L2 vocabulary learning. Moreover, we established additional recall norms for a set of facts about a Swahili-speaking country (Kenya) in order to provide related, but different, conceptual materials that could be used in future studies to assess learning across different types of stimuli. Furthermore, we used MTurk to recruit a demographically diverse sample of participants.

Experiment 1

In this experiment, we gathered recall norms for the Swahili–English word pairs published by Nelson and Dunlosky (1994) when the cue-and-target direction was reversed. In their original study, norms were reported for English word recall when cued with the Swahili equivalent. However, when learning a new language, individuals need to learn translation in both directions until they develop strong conceptual links to the words in the L2. Evidence from bilingual research has suggested that differential processes are engaged in translation in the different cueing directions. Thus, in assessing learning of foreign-language paired associates, it is important to gain an understanding of how learning may differ when individuals must report the foreign-language word when cued with their native-language equivalent. Therefore, this experiment will

report norms for Swahili word recall when individuals were cued with the associated English word from the pair.

Method

Participants A total of 250 people from all over the United States were recruited for this study through MTurk. These individuals were able to read a description of the experiment and its eligibility requirements on the MTurk website. Only people who were at least 18 years of age and were currently living in the United States were eligible to participate. Those interested in completing the study then clicked a link that opened up a separate window with an Adobe Flash movie that presented the consent sheet and experimental task. Prior to completing the task, participants read and provided consent (via clicking a button) by means of an electronic informed-consent form that was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Texas at El Paso. When providing consent, participants also verified that they were proficient in English. One participant who experienced computer difficulties and 31 participants who reported writing down information during the study or who were familiar with Swahili were eliminated from the analyses. Participants were asked to complete the testing session in one sitting, so we set a criterion completion time of 2 h to eliminate any participants who failed to attend to this instruction. We eliminated seven additional participants who took 2 h or more to complete the task. Thus, 211 participants ($M_{\text{age}} = 33.06 \pm 11.47$ years, range = 18–67 years) contributed data that were included in the norms reported below. Of these, 202 of the participants reported that English was their first language. For the remaining nine participants, who reported a different language as their first, the languages reported included Spanish, Hindi, Vietnamese, Nepali, and Laotian. This sample was composed mainly of females, with 147 women (70 % of the sample) and 64 men. However, the participants were diverse in terms of age and ethnicity. This sample was composed of the following groups: 73 % White, 9.5 % Black, 7 % Asian, 0.5 % American Indian or native Alaskan, 1 % native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, 7 % more than one race, and 2 % who preferred not to respond. Each participant was paid \$2.50 for participation.

Stimuli The stimuli used in the present experiment were 100 English–Swahili word pairs published by Nelson and Dunlosky (1994). We used their reported recall accuracy norms after the first recall attempt for English targets cued with their Swahili equivalents, and rank-ordered the items from least to most difficult. We then divided them evenly into two stimulus sets of 50 word pairs each; every item in an even-ranked position on the list was assigned to List A, and every odd-ranked item in the list was assigned to List B. This roughly equated difficulty between the two lists, on the basis of the cueing procedure and data obtained by Nelson and Dunlosky

(1994). Participants were only assigned 50 words in order to approximate the learning-list lengths used in prior norming studies (Grimaldi et al., 2010; Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994) and to avoid fatigue. We also created six additional English–Swahili word pairs that were not published in the original set of norms, to serve as buffer items in this experiment. These buffers included the following items: soil–udongo, fish–samaki, flag–bendera, apple–tufaha, potato–kiazzi, and pants–suruali.

Procedure The experiment and the associated questionnaires and consent option were programmed using Adobe Flash Professional CS5. After participants had provided online consent, they were randomly assigned to study one of the two stimulus lists. Those who studied List A were designated as Group 1, and those who studied List B were designated as Group 2. With random assignment, 103 participants were assigned to Group 1, and the remaining 108 participants were assigned to Group 2.

The procedure was similar to that reported in Nelson and Dunlosky (1994). Namely, individuals were asked to study the items and then to recall them, with this process repeated three times. Participants were instructed that they would see a series of English words along with their Swahili translations on the computer screen. They were asked to learn the pairs and to pay special attention to learning the Swahili translations and spellings, because they would be asked to recall the words later. They were told they would engage in three rounds of study and recall and that they would need to complete all of the study and recall attempts within a single testing session. They were also told not to write down any information during the study. Upon completion of the experiment, participants filled out a short questionnaire asking them about demographic information, what strategy they had used during the study, and their native language. They entered their MTurk Worker ID and were given a unique alphanumeric code that they entered into a form, on the original experiment page hosted in MTurk, to verify their participation. Once verified, they received their participant payment.

During each study phase, the groups first studied the six buffer items in a fixed order to help orient them to the task. Performance for these buffer items was not included in the analyses. Participants then studied the 50 experimental items from their assigned list. Each English–Swahili word pair appeared at the center of the computer screen, one at a time, and remained on the screen for 12 s, followed by a 2-s interstimulus interval before presentation of the next stimulus pair. In the present study, the participants were given longer to learn the word pairs than in the original Nelson and Dunlosky (1994) study (in which participants were given 10 s to respond), since having to recall the Swahili word was expected to be more difficult than recalling the English word from the pair. The order of the presented pairs within each study block

was randomized, but the presentation order was tracked for crafting the order of items presented in the cued-recall portion of the task.

After the last study trial, the first 25 items from the study block were rerandomized and presented as the first 25 items during cued recall. Likewise, the final 25 items from the study block were rerandomized and presented during the last half of the cued-recall phase. This ensured at least a 25-item lag between the study of each pair and its subsequent cued recall. On each cued-recall trial, participants were presented with the English word and prompted to recall its Swahili translation by a question mark (e.g., doctor–?). Participants typed their responses into an answer box provided on the screen. Once participants began typing their response, a button labeled “next” appeared at the bottom of the screen. The button was hidden until the response was initiated. Participants were given 15 s to type their response before advancing to the next cued-recall trial. Once participants had completed typing in their answer, they clicked the “next” button beneath the answer box to move to the next trial. Participants could correct typing errors within the 15-s timeframe. If they failed to click the “next” button within the 15-s timeframe, they were automatically advanced to the next trial. Any information typed in the response box when the 15 s had elapsed was recorded as the answer for that trial, even if participants failed to click the “next” button. These data were tagged as “timeout” trials. After the final cued-recall trial, the 50 word pairs were randomized anew and presented, using the identical procedures, for two additional bouts of study and cued recall. The average time it took participants to complete the entire experiment was 1 h 8 min.

Coding scheme Recall accuracy and the mean response time from each cued-recall phase were recorded as the dependent variables in this experiment. Swahili words were considered correct only if the entire Swahili word was typed correctly with no spelling errors. The response time was recorded as the time from initial presentation of the cue during a cued-recall trial to the time when the participant pressed the “next” button or the trial advanced on its own. For timeout trials, the response time was automatically recorded as 15 s. Normed response times are only reported for correct trials; any of these trials flagged as timeout trials were also excluded from the response time analyses.

Results

Recall performance Recall accuracy norms for each item over the three recall attempts are reported in Table 1 in the Appendix. The mean response times for each item are reported in Table 2. We also list the corresponding standard deviations and standard errors of the means in each table. Information about English word length, Swahili word length,

and English word frequency norms was retrieved from the English Lexicon Project Database (Balota, et al. 2007b), calculated from the Hyperspace Analogue to Language (HAL) corpus (Lund & Burgess, 1996). The HAL corpus includes roughly 131 million words. We report the log-transformed HAL frequency norms for the English words in the table and used these log-transformed frequency norms in all subsequent analyses involving word frequency. Note that the items in the tables are listed in alphabetical order by English word. One may question whether nonnative English speakers would engage different processes than native speakers to form associations between English and Swahili words. We conducted paired-samples *t* tests to compare the average recall accuracies by items for Swahili when the nonnative English speakers were included versus excluded from the data set. We found no differences in recall accuracy on any of the recall attempts (Recall 1 $p = .911$, Recall 2 $p = .075$, Recall 3 $p = .371$), suggesting that the norms acquired in the present study did not markedly change as a result of the inclusion of nonnative English speakers.

We conducted repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) on the mean accuracies and response times by items to determine whether these measures significantly changed for each item over the three recall attempts. In cases in which the sphericity assumption was violated, we also report the Huynh–Feldt correction for degrees of freedom. We found a main effect of recall attempt for recall accuracy, $F(2, 167) = 1,180.82, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .923$, with accuracy improving over each new recall attempt. The mean accuracy scores and the corresponding standard deviations were as follows: $M_{\text{Recall1}} = .24$ ($SD = .10$), $M_{\text{Recall2}} = .42$ ($SD = .13$), and $M_{\text{Recall3}} = .53$ ($SD = .13$). Response times also became significantly faster across recall attempts, $F(2, 165) = 459.02, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .823$, with $M_{\text{Recall1}} = 8,205$ ms ($SD = 1,062$), $M_{\text{Recall2}} = 7,020$ ms ($SD = 918$), and $M_{\text{Recall3}} = 6,215$ ms ($SD = 858$). These results demonstrate performance improvements for the items in both accuracy and response time across recall attempts. Importantly, recall accuracy was clearly not at ceiling even after the third recall.

Akin to Nelson and Dunlosky (1994) and Grimaldi and colleagues (2010), we conducted a set of correlations by items to determine whether the accuracy performance on subsequent recall attempts for each item was correlated with accuracy on earlier attempts, which would suggest that the distributions of difficulty by items were similar across recall attempts. Additionally, we evaluated whether similar correlations were found for response times. For both accuracy and response time, we found a significant correlation between performance at Recall 1 and Recall 2 (accuracy: $r = .89, p < .001, N = 100$, 95 % confidence interval [CI] = [.84, .93]; response time: $r = .76, p < .001, N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.66, .83]). Significant relationships were also found between these measures when comparing Recall 2 to Recall 3 performance (accuracy: $r =$

$.94, p < .001, N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.91, .96]; response time: $r = .85, p < .001, N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.79, .90]). The correlations we achieved for accuracy are similar to those reported by Nelson and Dunlosky (1994: $r = .91$ for Recall 1 vs. Recall 2; $r = .95$ for Recall 2 vs. Recall 3). These consistently significant relationships indicate the stability of item difficulties across the three recall attempts.

Relationship to prior norms We have argued that the ease of recall for a Swahili word when cued by its English translation may not be equivalent to the ease of recall of the English word when cuing is in the opposite direction. One coarse method to explore whether the recall difficulty of stimulus items is distributed similarly across the two cueing directions is to evaluate whether there is a correlation between the vocabulary norms reported in the Nelson and Dunlosky (1994) study and those found in the present study. We performed correlations on the mean accuracy scores across the two studies for each recall attempt. Although the recall norms were not perfectly correlated, we did find positive relationships between the by-item accuracy scores at each recall attempt (Recall 1: $r = .56, p < .001, N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.41, .68], Recall 2: $r = .63, p < .001, N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.50, .74], Recall 3: $r = .58, p < .001, N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.44, .70]). This suggests that although the difficulty levels across the different cueing directions in the two studies are related, there are also differences in recall when individuals are cued in different directions. We have included scatterplots of these correlations in Fig. 1 to illustrate these relationships.

In order to determine whether recall in the present study was generally better or worse than that reported in Nelson and Dunlosky (1994), we also conducted a set of *t* tests comparing the accuracy norms averaged across items for each recall attempt in the two studies. For the first recall attempt, performance was better in our study when individuals were asked to recall the Swahili word ($M = .24$) than in the prior norming study, when they were asked to recall the English word ($M = .14$), $t_{\text{Recall1}}(99) = -10.48, p < .001$. We found no difference in overall mean accuracies at the second recall attempt across the two studies: Mean recall accuracy was equated at .42 in both, $t_{\text{Recall2}}(99) = .41, p = .683$. The pattern for Recall 3 was opposite that of Recall 1; here the performance was better in the Nelson and Dunlosky study ($M = .63$) than in the present study ($M = .53$), $t_{\text{Recall3}}(99) = 8.41, p < .001$. This suggests that although some distribution of difficulty may be similar across the different cueing directions, learning may actually progress at different rates in these two situations for foreign-language paired associates.

Factor structure We conducted exploratory factor analyses (EFAs) on recall accuracy at the first recall attempt to determine whether any latent constructs were driving the relationships between the different English–Swahili word pairs.




Fig. 1 Scatterplots showing the relationship between the recall accuracy norms for English members of Swahili-English word pairs reported by Nelson and Dunlosky (1994) and for the Swahili members of the word pairs reported in the present experiment, at (A) Recall Attempt 1, (B) Recall Attempt 2, and (C) Recall Attempt 3. Pearson's r is shown for each correlation on the associated scatterplot

Because we gathered recall data for each half of our set of word pairs from two different participant groups, we had to perform a separate EFA for each group (e.g., Group 1 and Group 2). The EFAs did not reveal any meaningful factor structures (as evidenced by the large numbers of factors generated and items loading in non-meaningful ways). For those who are interested in exploring the correlational structure between the vocabulary items, we have included the correlation matrices for each of these groups in Tables 7 and 8 of the Appendix.

Influence of item characteristics Though the EFAs did not convey any meaningful information, the words comprising

the English-Swahili pairs differed on potentially meaningful characteristics that might have influenced recall accuracy. These characteristics are reported in Table 1, and include (a) English word length, (b) Swahili word length, and (c) English word frequency, based on the log HAL word frequency norms (Lund & Burgess, 1996). We evaluated the relationships between these characteristics and recall accuracy and response time at each recall attempt using Pearson correlations, adopting a criterion of $p < .005$ to correct for the nine comparisons evaluated for each dependent measure. Swahili word length was significantly negatively correlated with recall accuracy, and positively correlated with response time (Recall 1: $r_{\text{Acc}} = -.62, p < .001, N = 100, 95\% \text{ CI} = [-.72, -.48], r_{\text{RT}} = .68, p < .001, N = 100, 95\% \text{ CI} = [.56, .78]$; Recall 2: $r_{\text{Acc}} = -.69, p < .001, N = 100, 95\% \text{ CI} = [-.78, -.57], r_{\text{RT}} = .77, p < .001, N = 100, 95\% \text{ CI} = [.67, .84]$; Recall 3: $r_{\text{Acc}} = -.71, p < .001, N = 100, 95\% \text{ CI} = [-.79, -.60], r_{\text{RT}} = .785, p < .001, N = 100, 95\% \text{ CI} = [.70, .85]$). This suggests that longer Swahili words were associated with slower, less accurate responses. No correlations with English word length or English word frequency emerged after the correction for multiple comparisons.

To determine the driving elements behind the correlations of our norms and the norms published by Nelson and Dunlosky (1994), we conducted a two-step hierarchical regression analysis for each of the three recall attempts. In each analysis, we regressed our norms on the previously published norms (entered in Step 1) and the item characteristics (English word length, Swahili word length, and log HAL word frequency, entered in Step 2). We found that for all three recall attempts, the addition of the item characteristics in Step 2 of the hierarchy did significantly explain additional variance in our norms, over and above what was captured by the previously published norms [Recall 1: $F(3, 95) \text{ change} = 19.443, p < .001, R^2 \text{ change} = .261$; Recall 2: $F(3, 95) \text{ change} = 38.977, p < .001, R^2 \text{ change} = .330$; Recall 3: $F(3, 95) \text{ change} = 39.349, p < .001, R^2 \text{ change} = .366$]. The total model was also significant for all three recall attempts [Recall 1: $F(4, 95) = 32.192, p < .001, \text{total } R^2 = .575$; Recall 2: $F(4, 95) = 64.749, p < .001, \text{total } R^2 = .732$; Recall 3: $F(4, 95) = 56.945, p < .001, R^2 = .706$]. For all three recall attempts, Swahili word length was a significant predictor of recall accuracy in the present norms. For each decrease in our study of about 0.5 standardized units in word length (the beta coefficient varied slightly for each recall attempt), there was a 1-standardized-unit increase in recall accuracy—Recall 1: $t = -6.908, p < .001, \text{beta} = -.504$; Recall 2: $t = -9.755, p < .001, \text{beta} = -.565$; Recall 3: $t = -9.925, p < .001, \text{beta} = -.599$. These findings suggest that the longer the Swahili word to be learned, the less accurate participants were when they recalled that Swahili word.

Strategy effects We asked participants to report any strategies they used to try to remember the associations, since recalling

the Swahili words was expected to be challenging. The strategies, as well as the percentages of participants who reported using these strategies, were as follows: (a) mental imagery/pictures = 7.6 %, (b) repetition = 17.1 %, (c) word association/mediator use = 28.4 %, (d) crafting a sentence = 6.2 %, (e) other/nonclassifiable = 16.6 %, (f) use of multiple strategies = 17.5 %, and (g) no strategy used or reported = 6.6 %. Figure 2 demonstrates the mean proportions of accurate recall for participants who used each strategy at each recall attempt. We conducted a mixed-effects ANOVA with Strategy as the between-subjects factor and Recall as the within-subjects factor, to examine the effects of strategy use on recall accuracy. In particular, we were interested in whether we would find a main effect of strategy type or an interaction between strategy type and recall attempt. Sphericity was violated, so the Huynh–Feldt-corrected p values and degrees of freedom are reported. The expected main effect of recall attempt emerged, $F(1, 300) = 188.14$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .480$, due to improved accuracy over the course of the three attempts, but no main effect of strategy, $F(6, 204) = 0.544$, $p = .774$. However, we did find a significant interaction between recall attempt and strategy type, $F(9, 300) = 2.59$, $p = .007$, $\eta_p^2 = .074$; the impact of each strategy differed depending on the recall attempt. We conducted a set of follow-up ANOVAs within each recall attempt to assess which strategy differences might have driven the interaction. None of these follow-up tests revealed a significant effect of strategy (Recall 1 $p = .983$, Recall 2 $p = .794$, Recall 3 $p = .129$). Figure 2 suggests that strategy differences started to emerge at the final recall attempt, and on the basis of the ordering of mean recall accuracy, crafting a sentence appeared to lead to the best recall during this attempt. Post-hoc pairwise independent t tests (evaluated at a criterion of $p < .002$, to correct for the 21 comparisons) were conducted between all of the reported strategies at the final recall attempt, to determine whether any performance differences emerged. However, none of the t tests between different strategies reached significance.

Age differences Our sample of participants included individuals across a wide age range. In an effort to determine whether age led to any differences in recall accuracy for these paired-associate items, we conducted a mixed analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) within each norming group (Group 1 and Group 2) using Recall Attempt and Item as our within-subjects factors and age as a continuous variable. In particular, we were looking for main effects of age or any interactions with age. For Group 1, neither the main effect of age, $p = .383$, nor any of the interactions with age (all $ps > .10$) reached significance. For Group 2, we found a significant interaction between age and item, $F(43, 4031) = 1.56$, $p = .011$, $\eta_p^2 = .016$, but the main effect of age and all other interactions with age did not reach significance (all $ps > .18$). An independent-samples t test revealed no difference in average age for the two norming




Fig. 2 Graph showing mean proportions of Swahili words recalled at each recall attempt during the vocabulary task as a function of participants' reported strategies

groups ($M_{\text{Group1}} = 34.25$, $M_{\text{Group2}} = 31.92$). To further explore the age differences in item recall, we compared recall accuracies for the oldest third (at and above the 66th age percentile) and the youngest third (at and below the 33rd age percentile) of our sample. The youngest third comprised participants 25 years of age and younger (range = 18–25 years), whereas the oldest third comprised participants 36 years of age and older (range = 36–67 years). We conducted omnibus independent-samples t tests comparing the overall mean accuracies across items for each age group at each recall attempt and found significant differences between these groups. For Recall 1, the overall accuracy mean collapsed across items was better for the youngest ($M = .298$) than for the oldest ($M = .181$) group, $t_{\text{Recall1}}(115) = 2.62$, $p = .010$. For Recall 2, the overall accuracy mean was also better for the youngest ($M = .470$) than for the oldest ($M = .349$) group, $t_{\text{Recall2}}(124) = 2.49$, $p = .014$. The comparison for Recall 3 was not significant, $p = .123$. We then conducted a series of independent t tests comparing the accuracy norms for each item pair for these age groups using a corrected p value of .0005, to adjust for the 100 tests within each recall attempt. Five pairs were significantly different across age groups at Recall 1, three pairs at Recall 2, and none at Recall 3. However, in many cases the performance for the youngest age group was clearly better than that for the oldest group, but this difference did not survive the correction for multiple comparisons. We have included supplementary tables in the [Appendix](#) reporting the recall accuracy norms for the youngest third (Table 3) and the oldest third (Table 4) of participants. Although we recognize that the age cutoff for our oldest group does not conform to a typical cutoff for older adults, we do think these norms may be of interest to individuals working with samples consisting of adults other than college students. We do not include a table reporting response times separated by age groups, because for

some items within a group there were too few correct responses (in some cases, no correct responses) to calculate stable estimates of response time.

Discussion

These norms for Swahili word recall, along with those of Nelson and Dunlosky (1994), offer useful tools for researchers interested in a variety of topics related to learning, metacognition, associative memory, and the associative mechanisms of foreign-language learning in both directions of translation. Although the majority of studies have used the stimuli in this experiment to assess English recall when cued by associated Swahili translations, the norms presented in this experiment will enable researchers to examine learning scenarios in which the less familiar foreign-language item must be recalled. We demonstrated that participants improved their performance for these items across different recall attempts and that recall difficulty was stable across recall attempts within our set of norms. A comparison of our norms to those of Nelson and Dunlosky (1994) suggests some similarity in the distributions of recall difficulty, but not a one-to-one relationship.

Interestingly, we found no meaningful underlying latent constructs organizing the word pairs. However, we were unable to examine the factor structure across all items simultaneously, since the items were split between two separate groups. Thus, one important future direction will be to examine recall for all of these items within a single set of participants, to better assess the factor structures among all 100 items. When assessing the *a priori* item characteristics of the word pairs, we failed to find a relationship between English word frequency and Swahili recall, despite evidence that English word frequency has been related to English word recall performance in prior paired-associate studies using English words for both the cue and the target (Criss, Aue, & Smith, 2011; Madan et al., 2010) and studies using foreign language paired associates with English words as the targets (Grimaldi et al., 2010; Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994). We did, however, find that Swahili word length was related to Swahili recall, in that the longer Swahili words were more difficult to recall than shorter words and that Swahili word length accounted for a significant amount of variance in our norms beyond that explained by Nelson and Dunlosky's (1994) prior norms. This suggests that for these types of stimuli, recall in the different cueing directions may be asymmetric and mediated by different influences. For the present set of norms, since individuals knew that they had a limited amount of time to study each pair and that they would be required to recall the Swahili word, they may have focused more attention on this target item during study than on the cue word. This is further supported by the lack of English word length effects on recall accuracy. Given that the present set of norms required participants to spell items correctly, it may be interesting for

future studies to classify the Swahili words on the basis of the ease with which they can be spelled by native English speakers. These data would then allow for a more comprehensive assessment of the processes contributing to recall difficulty for Swahili vocabulary words.

When evaluating the influence of the strategies used by participants to recall items, we found that the benefit of each strategy depended on the recall attempt. Although no single strategy led to significantly better performance than the others within the individual attempts, it does appear that at the last recall attempt there was some separation of performance due to different strategies that might emerge more clearly in the face of additional recall attempts. Despite the inability to identify a specific strategy that was more beneficial than the others with the present data set, it is useful to be aware of the types and number of self-selected strategies that participants reported during paired-associate learning. It would be helpful to evaluate strategy selection more systematically, perhaps on an item-by-item basis, in future work, to gain a better understanding of which strategies ultimately lead to the most efficient and stable learning.

We found some age-related differences in recall accuracy and have provided tables of recall norms from the oldest third and youngest third of our sample that will be useful for researchers who are interested in samples consisting of individuals older than typical college students. However, we caution that researchers should be aware of the arbitrary age cutoffs that distinguish these two groups in our study and be aware that our older group does not constitute a typical "older adult" sample. In an effort to develop a set of items that could be used to evaluate learning for materials different from but related to English–Swahili word pairs, we also evaluated recall norms for a set of facts about Kenya.

Experiment 2

In real-world learning situations, individuals often must learn a variety of information types. For example, when an individual moves to a foreign country he or she will likely need to learn not only the language of that country, but also important laws and customs. In some cases, this information is critical for obtaining and achieving job success, navigating interpersonal situations, and—as is the case in the United States—obtaining citizenship. For the present experiment, we crafted a set of facts about a foreign country (Kenya) in which Swahili is one of the official languages. The facts may serve as companion stimuli to the Swahili–English word pairs, but they could also be used independently in studies of learning. The facts include information about the history, civics, and government of Kenya. Notably, recall performance for these items was obtained from a sample with a diverse range of ages and ethnicities, to ensure that these norms would be more

generalizable than those reported in prior studies in which the norms had been obtained from a sample of undergraduate students (Barber et al., 2008; Nelson & Narens, 1980; Tauber et al., 2013)

Method

Participants A total of 230 individuals were recruited from MTurk. As with Experiment 1, individuals could read a description of the experiment hosted on MTurk; they were eligible if they were at least 18 years of age, currently lived in the United States, and were proficient in English. Interested participants clicked a link that opened a separate window showing the Adobe Flash movie of the experiment. They had to read and agree to the electronic consent form before starting the experimental task. By clicking on the button on the consent page, they indicated that they were both proficient in English and willing to participate in the study. The instructions for the study asked participants to complete the experiment in one sitting. The study and consent procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Texas at El Paso.

Of the individuals who completed the experiment, ten were eliminated from the analyses for not following instructions. Either these participants never pressed the button to advance to the next trial after typing their answers, or their recorded start and end times for the experiment indicated that they failed to complete the experiment in a single sitting (i.e., the completion time was longer than 2 h). Eight additional people were eliminated due to computer error and corruption of their data files.

Recall norms were calculated from the data of the remaining 212 participants ($M_{\text{age}} = 31.59 \pm 11.06$ years, range = 18–61 years). Of these participants, 202 reported that English was their first language. The native languages reported by the remaining ten participants included Chinese, Tagalog, Spanish, Russian, Dutch, and Azeri. The participant pool comprised mostly females, with 149 women (70 % of the sample) and 63 men. Our sample represented relatively diverse ethnic backgrounds: 77 % White, 8 % Black, 6 % Asian, 0.5 % American Indian or native Alaskan, 0.5 % native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, 5 % more than one race, and 3 % who preferred not to respond. Participants were paid \$2.50 for their involvement in the study.

Stimuli The stimuli developed for this experiment were question–answer pairs about the history, government, geography, and civics of Kenya. These questions were developed on the basis of Web research about Kenya, evaluation of Kenya’s 2010 constitution, and consultations with individuals who had experience living and working in Kenya. Kenya was selected as the country of origin because Swahili is one of the official languages spoken in that country. Moreover, we

expected that these facts would be largely unfamiliar to a typical sample of participants recruited from the United States. We created 100 question–answer pairs and then divided them evenly into two stimulus sets of 50 items each for the present study. Individuals who were assigned the first stimulus set were designated as Group 1, and individuals who were assigned the second set were Group 2. Participants were randomly assigned to either Group 1 or 2 after acknowledging their consent on the electronic consent form. With random assignment, 100 people were assigned to Group 1, and 112 people were assigned to Group 2.

We created an additional six question-and-answer pairs to serve as buffer items in this experiment. These items were as follows:

1. On what continent is Kenya located? Africa
2. Name one of Kenya’s most famous dishes. a) nyama choma, b) ugali
3. Name 2 of the 3 possible classifications of land in Kenya, according to Kenya’s Constitution. a) Public, b) Community, c) Private
4. Which judicial body rules on Constitutional matters? The High Court
5. As of 2011 how many Constitutions has Kenya had? 2
6. What large lake is located to Kenya’s west? Lake Victoria

Procedure The experiment and associated questionnaire and electronic consent form were programmed using Adobe Flash Professional CS5. This experiment followed a procedure identical to the one described in Experiment 1, but with the question–answer pairs about Kenya presented instead of English–Swahili word pairs. Again, participants were given three cycles of study and cued recall. The six buffer question–answer items were included at the beginning of each study list to orient participants to the task. The processes for randomizing and presenting items during study and recall were the same as those described for Experiment 1. The timings of item presentation during study and recall were also equivalent to those aspects of the first experiment, as were the dependent measures recorded. We adopted the same timings as in Experiment 1 for several reasons. First, we wanted to limit study and recall time to prevent participants from writing down or looking up answers during the task. We also wanted to limit the overall duration of the experiment to combat fatigue. Finally, even though there was more information on the screen for participants to read than in Experiment 1, we expected that the process of associating the answer to the question for facts would be easier than associating the Swahili word with its English translation for later Swahili recall.

Participants were informed that they would see a series of facts about Kenya and would be asked to study questions paired with their answers. They would then see the questions

again and would have to type in the appropriate answer into a text box on the screen. They learned that they would repeat this cycle three times and were asked not to write down any information when studying the question–answer stimuli and to complete the entire experiment in a single sitting. At the conclusion of the third cued-recall phase, participants filled out a short demographics questionnaire. They also entered their MTurk Worker ID and were given a unique alphanumeric code that they had to enter into the MTurk site to verify their participation. Once verified, they received their participant payment. The average time taken to complete the task was 1 h 12 min.

Coding scheme Once again, recall accuracy and response time (i.e., the time from presentation of the question to pressing of the “next” button) were recorded. Answers were considered correct if all words critical to the meaning of the response were included and spelled correctly. For instance, if the original answer shown during study was “the Equator” and the participant wrote “Equator” during the cued-recall phase, we considered the answer correct. We also considered correct any answers with synonym substitutions for words presented as part of the answer during the study phase, or any cases in which the phrase format was altered but the original meaning was preserved. For example, if the original answer was “presidential appointment,” we would accept “appointed by the president” as a correct answer. Likewise, we accepted number substitutions in the case of answers in which numbers had initially been written out as words. Finally, in cases in which a portion of the answer was part of the question, participants did not need to include that detail as part of their answer. For instance, participants were often asked to report a particular chapter of the constitution, but they did not need to include the word “chapter” in their answer; the relevant number was sufficient to be deemed correct. Participants did not have to worry about correct capitalization format in their answers. In cases in which participants failed to click the “next” button after making their response or the time limit of 15 s passed, the portion of the response currently contained in the response box was recorded as the answer, the trial was labeled as a “timeout” trial, and the next cued-recall trial was initiated. The response time was coded as 15 s for these timeout trials. Normed response times are only reported for correct trials, and response times for trials that were flagged as timeouts were excluded from the analyses.

Results

Recall performance Table 5 in the [Appendix](#) shows the question-and-answer pairs, as well as the normed recall accuracy and associated standard deviation and standard error of the mean for each item across participants. The study time for each item was limited to 12 s, and the time allowed for recall

during each cued-recall phase was limited to 15 s. This encouraged participants to make speeded responses before the time limit elapsed. We recognize that under normal circumstances some participants may have required more time to finish typing lengthier responses. Therefore, we have included the mean response times from this experiment in a separate table (Table 4) and caution researchers using the response time norms that they reflect values that emerged when participants were given a limiting upper bound of acceptable response time. Note that the items listed in these tables are shown in alphabetical order by question.

We conducted repeated measures ANOVAs on the mean accuracies and response times for each item to determine whether these measures significantly changed over the three recall attempts. In cases in which the sphericity assumption was violated, we report the Huynh–Feldt correction for degrees of freedom. We found that recall accuracy for items improved with each new recall attempt, $F(1, 119) = 219.46$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .689$. The mean accuracy scores and corresponding standard deviations were as follows: $M_{\text{Recall1}} = .59$ ($SD = .26$), $M_{\text{Recall2}} = .76$ ($SD = .21$), and $M_{\text{Recall3}} = .81$ ($SD = .18$). The response times for items also became significantly faster across recall attempts, $F(1, 148) = 191.42$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .659$, with $M_{\text{Recall1}} = 7,771$ ms ($SD = 2,094$), $M_{\text{Recall2}} = 7,083$ ms ($SD = 2,111$), and $M_{\text{Recall3}} = 6,823$ ms ($SD = 2,191$).

By-item correlations were calculated to determine whether the accuracy and response time performance on subsequent recall attempts were related, indicating the relative stability of item difficulties across recall attempts. For both accuracy and response time, we found a significant correlation between performance at Recall 1 and performance at Recall 2 (accuracy: $r = .92$, $p < .001$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.88, .95]; response time: $r = .97$, $p < .001$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.95, .98]). Significant relationships were also found between these measures when comparing Recall 2 to Recall 3 performance (accuracy: $r = .97$, $p < .001$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.96, .98]; response time: $r = .99$, $p < .001$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = [.99, .99]). These results suggest that the distributions of item difficulties for facts were very similar across recall attempts.

Factor structure We conducted EFAs on accuracy for each norming group (Group 1 and Group 2) at the first recall attempt to determine whether any meaningful factor structure would emerge within each set of 50 items. As in Experiment 1, the EFAs did not reveal any meaningful factor structures. However, we have included the matrix of correlations between all of the items within each group in Tables 9 and 10, for any researchers interested in exploring the correlational structure between these facts.

Influence of item characteristics

We developed a priori classifications of the facts based on specific characteristics that could influence recall performance. Each question-and-answer pair was classified according to the following eight characteristics (which were not mutually exclusive): (a) the number of words in the answer, (b) whether the answer was a word or a number, (c) whether the answer was a Swahili word, (d) whether the question was about the Kenyan constitution, (e) whether the question was about Kenya's history, (f) whether the question was about civics in Kenya, (g) whether the question was about Kenya's government, or (h) whether the question was about Kenya's geography. Whereas the classification of the number of words in the answer to the question was a continuous variable, the remaining variables involved binary codes based on whether the pair did or did not have that characteristic or whether the answer should be classified as a word or a number. Accordingly, Pearson and point-biserial correlations were conducted to determine the relationships between these by-item characteristics and recall accuracy and response time at each recall attempt, using an adjusted p value of .002 for each set of 24 comparisons performed for each dependent measure. A meaningful relationship between recall accuracy and the number of words contained in the answer emerged—as the number of words in an answer increased, accuracy decreased. This pattern was consistent across the three recall attempts, all $ps < .001$ (Recall 1: $r = -.43$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = $[-.57, -.25]$, Recall 2: $r = -.41$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = $[-.56, -.24]$, Recall 3: $r = -.39$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = $[-.55, -.21]$). Recall accuracy also decreased for answers involving Swahili words, all $ps < .001$ (Recall 1: $r_{pb} = -.51$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = $[-.64, -.35]$, Recall 2: $r_{pb} = -.49$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = $[-.63, -.33]$, Recall 3: $r_{pb} = -.451$, $N = 100$, 95 % CI = $[-.59, -.28]$). No other significant relationships between the dependent measures and fact characteristics were found (all $ps > .003$).

Age differences As with Experiment 1, this study included participants across a broad range of ages. Therefore, we conducted a mixed ANCOVA within each norming group (Group 1 and Group 2) using two within-subjects factors (Recall Attempt and Item) and age as a continuous variable. In particular, we were looking for main effects of age or any interactions with age. For Group 1, we found a significant interaction between age and item, $F(31, 3026) = 1.72$, $p = .008$, $\eta_p^2 = .017$. However, none of the other interactions with age reached significance (all $ps > .17$). The main effect of age also failed to reach significance, $p = .858$. For Group 2, neither the main effect of age, $p = .540$, nor the interactions with age (all $ps > .22$) reached significance. An independent-samples t test revealed no difference in average age for the two norming groups ($M_{\text{Group1}} = 31.29$, $M_{\text{Group2}} = 31.85$). To further explore the age effects found in these data, we examined whether any

age differences between accuracy recall norms for the oldest third and the youngest third of our sample would emerge across all participants and items. The youngest third of participants comprised individuals 24 years of age and younger (range = 18–24 years), whereas the oldest third of participants included participants 34 years of age and older (range = 34–61 years). These cutoffs were determined by finding the age values that marked the 33rd and 66th percentiles when considering age frequencies. We conducted omnibus independent-samples t tests comparing the average recall accuracies across all items for each age group at each recall attempt and found no significant differences. We also performed a finer-grained analysis involving independent t tests comparing the accuracy norms for every item at each recall attempt for the oldest and youngest thirds of participants, using an adjusted p value of .0005 due to the 100 multiple comparisons within each recall attempt. No significant age differences in recall emerged for any specific facts at any recall attempt.

Discussion

The Kenya facts from this experiment are a set of different, but related, learning materials that could be used in conjunction with the English–Swahili paired associates from Experiment 1 in studies of learning. Although the recall norms for the present stimuli were not collected from the same participants who completed the first experiment, the samples across the two experiments were demographically similar. The recall accuracy means for the facts were consistently higher across recall attempts than those found for Swahili recall in the first experiment. This supports the notion that more information was available in the fact cues (e.g., the questions) to support target retrieval than was in the English word cues from the paired-associate stimuli used in Experiment 1. This is consistent with the SAM model of associative memory (Raaijmakers & Shiffrin, 1980, 1981, 2002) discussed earlier.

We failed to discover any meaningful underlying structure to the facts included in our data set using EFA. However, as with Experiment 1, we were unable to examine the factor structure of all items simultaneously, since the items were split across two groups. Future studies that test all items within one sample will be better able to examine factor structures among all 100 items. Using a priori classifications of the items, we found that the recall accuracy for question–answer pairs that involved Swahili words in the answer was worse than that for questions that did not involve Swahili words. For these stimuli, the cues contained in the questions may not have activated links to the memory image containing the Swahili word required for the answer.

A higher number of words required in the answer was associated with poorer recall performance. Thus, the time limit imposed for responding may have impacted recall for these

longer answers. Overall, performance appeared stable and showed improvements across the three recall attempts. A review of the accuracy norms for these items in Table 5 demonstrates that performance was certainly not at floor for many of the questions that required longer answers. However, researchers interested in using this set of norms may wish to include number of words as a covariate when evaluating performance in their own studies, in order to address the relationship between the number of words in the answer and recall accuracy. Notably, the mean recall accuracy across items for the first recall attempt ($M_{\text{Recall1}} = .59$) was in the middle of the range of possible values, supporting the idea that this set of norms may have good sensitivity for use in studies of learning.

Although we found an age-by-item interaction for recall accuracy within Group 1, these differences did not remain when accuracy across items for the two most extreme age groups was compared. Therefore, we do not report separate norms for these two age groups. Overall, our sample of participants was diverse in both age and ethnicity, and we were able to achieve a broad range of recall accuracy values, reflecting varying levels of recall difficulty across the set of items. These norms for Kenya facts can be used as companion stimuli to the English–Swahili word pairs for researchers who wish to study learning across a variety of item types, or they may be used independently to evaluate learning for novel facts.

General discussion

Given the burgeoning interest in studies of learning, metacognition, and memory, there is a need to develop a broad array of normed stimulus sets that researchers can draw from to address similar questions across different learning materials or to better assess how associations between different types of items are formed in memory. The present study provides normative information about recall accuracy and response time for English–Swahili word pairs and facts about Kenya obtained from diverse participant samples. We also detail the influence of item characteristics on recall for the two stimulus sets.

We found that our English-to-Swahili norms were moderately, but not perfectly, associated with Nelson and Dunlosky's (1994) original Swahili-to-English norms, suggesting some similarities in the distributions of recall difficulty across items, but potential differences in the processes engaged for successful recall. Swahili word length accounted for additional variance in the present norms, above and beyond the variance accounted for by the Nelson and Dunlosky norms. Interestingly, we failed to find a relationship between Swahili target recall accuracy and English word frequency. This differs from the results of earlier norming studies of foreign-language paired associates (Grimaldi et al., 2010; Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994), which found evidence that

preexperimental familiarity with English may aid in the recall of English target words. However, prior studies of paired-associate learning involving purely English cue–target pairs have provided evidence that low-frequency targets lead to poorer recall than high-frequency targets (Criss et al., 2011; Madan et al., 2010). In the present study, the Swahili words were novel targets for the participants, so they might all have operated as very-low-frequency items in relation to the participants' experience. It is possible that the focus on learning these novel items and attempting to attend to the association between them and their English cues overwhelmed any potential word frequency effects of the cues (Criss et al., 2011). The fact that English word frequency was not associated with recall performance in the present study may point to asymmetric associations between English and foreign-language words in paired-associate learning, as has been suggested by translation differences in bilingual research (Kroll & Stewart, 1994). However, recall differences as a result of differing cue and target properties can emerge even in the face of holistic or symmetric associations between the cue and the target (Criss et al., 2011; Kahana, 2002; Madan et al., 2010). In future work, it will be important to assess recall of these items in both the forward and backward cueing directions within the same sample of participants and to evaluate whether performance is highly correlated across these cueing directions. Strong correlations would suggest that even foreign-language paired associates are learned and stored as holistic representations (Kahana, 2002). This type of analysis would also be intriguing to apply to the Kenya facts, to determine whether the associations formed between question-and-answer pairs are symmetric.

In the present set of norms, recall accuracy scores were typically higher after the first recall attempt for facts than were accuracy scores for the English–Swahili word pairs. Recall for facts involving Swahili words in the answer was also poorer than recall of facts that only required English words. This supports the notion that the English–Swahili items were more difficult to learn. We reiterate the point that for English–Swahili paired associates, the English cue provides little (e.g., phonological) information to activate links to the representation of the Swahili target in associative memory, whereas the question cues for facts contain many more semantic cues to activate the associated answer in memory. These differences are predicted by associative-memory models, such as SAM, that argue that recall performance is dependent on the quality of the retrieval cue (Raaijmakers & Shiffrin, 1980, 1981, 2002). It is possible that quality in these cases may be related to how strongly the cue activates conceptual links to the target in memory.

When considering the use of the fact norms in the present study, it is important to recognize that there was a relationship between the number of words in the answer and recall accuracy. This may have been due to the 15-s time limit that we

applied for recall. We used this limit to combat fatigue and prevent participants from looking up answers or failing to complete the task in one sitting. However, researchers who are interested in using some of the questions that required longer responses may want to consider this issue when deciding which items to select for their own studies and how much time to allocate for recall. An additional option would be for researchers to use the number of words in the answer as a covariate when analyzing the results of any studies making use of these norms.

We found some age differences in recall accuracy for certain English–Swahili word pairs when comparing the oldest and youngest thirds of participants in our sample. Although we did find evidence of an interaction between items and age for our fact stimuli within one of the norming groups, these differences were not retained in statistical comparisons of by-item recall accuracy for the youngest and oldest age groups. This absence of an age difference for fact recall between the youngest and oldest groups in the sample may have been due to the greater ease of retrieval that these materials afforded through their more effective cues. The sample of participants who learned the facts also included fewer individuals over age 60 (one participant) than the sample of participants who learned the English–Swahili pairs (five participants). It is important to note that the age cutoffs used to define the youngest and oldest thirds of participants in each experiment were completely determined by our sample and were atypical when defining age groups. Future work should investigate performance for more traditional older and younger adult samples to determine what items may be most impacted by age.

We adopted a norming technique that mirrored that of Nelson and Dunlosky (1994), in which different participants provided norms for each half of the stimulus set. This leads to constraints on the possible analyses that can be attempted with, and conclusions that can be drawn from, these data. For example, we were unable to assess factor structures across all items simultaneously when conducting our EFAs, and we were unable to assess item and participant characteristics within a single model. This type of analysis would be extremely useful to incorporate into future studies evaluating these norms, to gain a better understanding of the interrelationships of these items and participants' characteristics. We also did not acquire our English–Swahili and Kenya fact recall norms from the same sample of participants, even though the samples were demographically similar. An interesting direction for future norming studies would be to acquire these normative data from the same sample of participants to have estimates of recall difficulty that could be directly compared across the stimulus sets.

The present set of norms were collected from users of MTurk, which allowed us to acquire samples with a wide range of ages and ethnicities from all over the United States; therefore, the present norms are more applicable to the general public than are prior published norms acquired from purely

undergraduate student samples (Grimaldi et al., 2010; Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994; Nelson & Narens, 1980; Tauber et al., 2013). One could argue that by hosting our experiment online we biased our sample toward individuals with high levels of technological and computer skill. Although this is a reasonable point, it is likely that many college students also have high proficiency in these domains, so this does not limit our present normed data when compared to prior published norms.

It is interesting to note that prior norming studies of foreign-language paired associates and of general-knowledge facts did not assess native-language status or, at the very least, did not report information about the native-language status of their participants (Grimaldi et al., 2010; Nelson & Dunlosky, 1994; Nelson & Narens, 1980; Tauber et al., 2013). We report these data for the experiments discussed in this article in order to provide a comprehensive picture of the demographic characteristics of our samples. Although English was not the first language learned for all participants, they all claimed to be proficient in English, and the percentage of nonnative English speakers was relatively small (between 4 % and 5 % in each study). Language experience may be important to address in future norming experiments, and should certainly be considered by researchers when deciding what norms are most appropriate for their needs.

We are unaware of any published norms that report a companion set of different but related materials that may be used in tandem in studies of learning and memory. There is also an absence of any published norms for foreign-language paired associates in which monolingual individuals must recall the foreign-language word after receiving a cue word in their native language. In general, the provided normed stimuli expand the options currently available to learning researchers and will appeal to those who study learning and memory in diverse participant samples. These norms will be of high utility for researchers who have an interest in investigating learning and the formation of long-term and associative memories for different, but related, items. The English–Swahili recall norms will also be useful to researchers interested in how associative-memory representations develop for difficult materials with limited early links to semantic or conceptual representations, and to investigators who want to understand the mechanisms of association in foreign-language learning and translation.

Author note This material is based on work supported by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Science and Technology Directorate, Office of University Programs, under Grant Award Number 2008-ST-061-BS0001-03. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. We thank Carolina Lara, Juan Hernandez, Luis Vargas, Rachel Montes, Matthew Duran, and Joshua Luna for their help with stimulus development, and Allyson Hughes for her assistance with stimulus development, data coding, and analysis. The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Appendix

Table 1 Mean recall accuracy for correct trials, standard errors of the means, and standard deviations for Swahili words at all three recall attempts

English	Swahili	Word Length		Log_Freq_HAL	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		English	Swahili		M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM
agent	wakili	5	6	10.00	.15	.36	.03	.30	.47	.05	.46	.50	.05
almond	lozi	6	4	6.47	.28	.44	.04	.45	.50	.05	.55	.50	.05
anchor	nanga	6	5	7.93	.22	.46	.04	.36	.50	.05	.52	.49	.05
barrel	pipa	6	4	8.83	.28	.46	.04	.54	.50	.05	.59	.48	.05
beer	pombe	4	5	10.12	.30	.37	.05	.49	.47	.05	.66	.50	.05
blame	lawama	5	6	9.76	.19	.43	.04	.30	.50	.04	.43	.50	.05
boat	mashua	4	6	9.67	.21	.47	.04	.41	.49	.05	.50	.45	.05
bone	mfupa	4	5	9.68	.16	.36	.04	.31	.48	.05	.44	.50	.05
bribe	rushwa	5	6	7.02	.21	.44	.04	.38	.49	.05	.47	.50	.05
broom	fagio	5	5	6.40	.25	.50	.04	.48	.40	.05	.55	.33	.05
bucket	ndoo	6	4	8.11	.26	.38	.04	.63	.44	.05	.73	.49	.04
bull	fahali	4	6	9.03	.16	.37	.04	.33	.44	.05	.34	.48	.05
carpet	zulia	6	5	9.14	.26	.48	.04	.44	.47	.05	.52	.46	.05
cheese	jibini	6	6	9.07	.21	.45	.04	.38	.50	.05	.45	.50	.05
cinnamon	dalasini	8	8	7.22	.24	.35	.04	.29	.46	.04	.44	.50	.05
cloud	wingu	5	5	8.75	.32	.43	.05	.60	.50	.05	.71	.50	.04
com	nafaka	4	6	8.52	.16	.33	.04	.35	.47	.05	.44	.49	.05
corpse	maiti	6	5	7.59	.33	.40	.05	.49	.47	.05	.67	.49	.05
cotton	pamba	6	5	8.65	.27	.40	.04	.38	.48	.05	.42	.49	.05
crab	kaa	4	3	6.89	.53	.50	.05	.79	.49	.04	.86	.47	.03
curtain	pazia	7	5	7.58	.18	.42	.04	.25	.50	.04	.39	.49	.05
custom	desturi	6	7	9.81	.18	.28	.04	.26	.46	.04	.35	.48	.05
divorce	talaka	7	6	8.67	.26	.40	.04	.35	.49	.05	.49	.50	.05
doctor	tabibu	6	6	10.37	.22	.47	.04	.41	.50	.05	.49	.48	.05
dog	mbwa	3	4	10.97	.36	.42	.05	.64	.50	.05	.70	.50	.04
donkey	punda	6	5	7.87	.28	.42	.04	.44	.50	.05	.57	.49	.05
dust	vumbi	4	5	9.83	.17	.39	.03	.31	.50	.05	.45	.50	.05
economy	iktisadi	7	8	9.99	.13	.50	.03	.19	.46	.04	.26	.38	.04
egg	yai	3	3	9.61	.58	.36	.05	.78	.43	.04	.85	.48	.03
enemy	adui	5	4	9.73	.25	.41	.04	.42	.48	.05	.51	.50	.05
envelope	bahasha	8	7	9.29	.13	.39	.03	.31	.49	.05	.40	.50	.05
flavor	ladha	6	5	8.54	.20	.35	.04	.32	.45	.05	.40	.50	.05
flood	gharika	5	7	9.17	.15	.33	.03	.23	.45	.04	.25	.50	.04

Table 1 (continued)

English	Swahili	Word Length		Log_Freq_HAL	Recall 1		Recall 2		Recall 3	
		English	Swahili		M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM
food	chakula	4	7	11.00	.25	.40	.04	.36	.50	.05
forehead	paji	8	4	7.78	.19	.39	.04	.34	.41	.05
forgery	ubini	7	5	7.62	.17	.40	.04	.30	.48	.04
friend	rafiki	6	6	11.30	.45	.37	.05	.61	.49	.05
frog	chura	4	5	8.05	.27	.41	.04	.43	.48	.05
garden	bustani	6	7	9.33	.21	.36	.04	.33	.44	.05
gate	lango	4	5	9.72	.23	.25	.04	.42	.44	.05
glue	ambo	4	4	8.57	.25	.42	.04	.48	.50	.05
grapes	zabibu	6	6	7.16	.22	.45	.04	.35	.50	.05
grave	kaburi	5	6	8.93	.23	.47	.04	.39	.49	.05
harbor	bandari	6	7	8.29	.09	.44	.03	.29	.50	.04
honor	adhama	5	6	9.39	.20	.42	.04	.43	.49	.05
horse	farasi	5	6	10.08	.20	.31	.04	.36	.47	.05
invoice	ankra	7	5	7.33	.21	.47	.04	.33	.50	.05
kiln	joko	4	4	5.26	.30	.36	.04	.51	.50	.05
knee	goti	4	4	8.88	.33	.35	.05	.52	.45	.05
lake	ziwa	4	4	9.98	.23	.45	.04	.49	.50	.05
leaf	jani	4	4	8.86	.23	.42	.04	.43	.49	.05
leech	ruha	5	4	7.33	.32	.39	.05	.51	.46	.05
leisure	wasaa	7	5	7.85	.18	.40	.04	.40	.50	.05
lung	pafu	4	4	9.51	.32	.41	.04	.45	.49	.05
maggot	buu	6	3	6.21	.49	.45	.05	.69	.48	.04
mango	embe	5	4	6.77	.35	.37	.05	.47	.47	.05
manure	samadi	6	6	6.74	.30	.43	.04	.34	.50	.05
mattress	godoro	8	6	7.14	.23	.41	.04	.42	.49	.05
merchant	tajiri	8	6	8.56	.16	.42	.04	.23	.46	.04
monkey	tumbili	6	7	8.49	.20	.47	.04	.35	.50	.05
mystery	fumbo	7	5	9.23	.19	.45	.04	.38	.48	.05
oath	yamini	4	6	8.19	.14	.41	.03	.26	.50	.04
olives	zeituni	6	7	6.31	.12	.34	.03	.26	.40	.04
ornament	rembo	8	5	6.32	.19	.50	.04	.41	.42	.05
orphan	yatima	6	6	6.74	.28	.36	.04	.42	.42	.05
oyster	chaza	6	5	7.03	.28	.44	.04	.41	.49	.05
parrot	kasuku	6	6	7.48	.18	.38	.04	.20	.46	.04
pearl	lulu	5	4	9.28	.69	.45	.04	.88	.50	.03

Table 1 (continued)

English	Swahili	Word Length		Log_Freq_HAL	Recall 1		Recall 2		Recall 3	
		English	Swahili		M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM
plate	sahani	5	6	9.25	.15	.40	.04	.25	.47	.04
poem	utenzi	4	6	8.55	.24	.44	.04	.35	.50	.05
poison	sumu	6	4	8.54	.34	.42	.05	.51	.48	.05
prayer	sala	6	4	9.23	.44	.42	.05	.66	.49	.05
prophet	nabii	7	5	8.65	.21	.40	.04	.36	.50	.05
quarry	chimbo	6	6	6.20	.17	.40	.04	.38	.47	.05
queen	malkia	5	6	9.77	.32	.46	.04	.57	.50	.05
rope	kamba	4	5	8.76	.21	.47	.04	.34	.50	.05
rumor	fununu	5	6	8.83	.31	.47	.04	.51	.50	.05
sailor	baharia	6	7	8.74	.15	.48	.04	.25	.50	.05
scarf	leso	5	4	6.72	.33	.46	.05	.63	.48	.05
science	elimu	7	5	11.50	.25	.42	.04	.45	.50	.05
shorts	kaputula	6	8	8.62	.06	.44	.02	.24	.50	.05
shroud	sanda	6	5	6.40	.23	.45	.04	.44	.50	.05
silk	hariri	4	6	8.26	.26	.46	.04	.46	.33	.05
sleep	usingizi	5	8	10.15	.14	.37	.03	.35	.44	.05
snow	theluji	4	7	9.91	.18	.43	.04	.32	.48	.04
society	chama	7	5	11.27	.31	.48	.04	.53	.50	.05
soul	roho	4	4	10.38	.34	.50	.05	.60	.47	.05
spite	inda	5	4	8.67	.25	.47	.04	.48	.50	.05
steam	vuke	5	4	8.56	.25	.47	.04	.46	.50	.05
story	hadithi	5	7	11.48	.18	.47	.04	.30	.49	.04
stump	gutu	5	4	6.76	.23	.44	.04	.39	.50	.05
tailor	mshoni	6	6	7.48	.10	.35	.03	.30	.48	.05
tomato	nyanya	6	6	7.67	.30	.39	.05	.45	.47	.05
treasure	dafina	8	6	8.40	.15	.47	.04	.43	.50	.05
trench	handaki	6	7	7.56	.14	.44	.03	.26	.50	.04
trouble	adha	7	4	10.81	.27	.39	.04	.43	.46	.05
wheel	duara	5	5	9.87	.20	.40	.04	.38	.49	.05
wound	jeraha	5	6	8.72	.15	.35	.03	.26	.45	.04
yeast	hamira	5	6	8.84	.16	.37	.04	.29	.45	.04
yoke	nira	4	4	6.90	.26	.45	.04	.40	.49	.05

English and Swahili word lengths are shown, as well as the logarithm of the HAL frequency norms (Lund & Burgess, 1996) for the English words from each pair. The Log_Freq_HAL variable was obtained from the English Lexicon Project online database (Balota et al., 2007b). Items are listed in alphabetical order by English word. *M* = mean, *SD* = standard deviation, *SEM* = standard error of the mean

Table 2 Mean recall response times (in milliseconds) for correct trials, standard errors of the means, and standard deviations for Swahili words at all three recall attempts

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>SD</i>
agent	wakili	13	8307	3392	941	31	6880	2770	498	2880
almond	lozi	24	7663	3038	620	46	6523	3398	501	2662
anchor	nanga	20	8406	2540	568	36	6913	2801	467	2786
barrel	pipa	28	7048	3225	610	53	6297	3327	457	2571
beer	pombe	27	7462	3121	601	50	6099	2958	418	2646
blame	lawama	19	9876	3317	761	30	8212	2881	526	2871
boat	mashua	20	8706	2428	543	40	7686	2716	429	2648
bone	mfupa	17	8239	2507	608	30	7038	2926	534	3007
bribe	rushwa	20	8931	2261	506	41	7420	2868	448	2488
broom	fagio	21	9210	3012	657	50	6717	2878	407	2692
bucket	ndoo	27	7304	2700	520	65	6422	3515	436	3403
bull	fahali	16	8390	2417	604	30	7625	2405	439	3434
carpet	zulia	22	8468	2355	502	41	7299	3024	472	2974
cheese	jibini	20	9679	3643	815	38	7484	2920	474	2390
cinnamon	dalasini	25	8515	2867	573	30	7608	2959	540	2562
cloud	wingu	28	7211	2709	512	61	6417	2884	369	2315
corn	nafaka	14	8616	2400	641	35	8020	2916	493	2701
corpse	maiti	34	7090	3029	519	51	5726	2084	292	2763
cotton	pamba	22	8877	3564	760	39	7725	3298	528	2856
crab	kaa	52	5637	3277	454	82	4685	2780	307	2435
curtain	pazia	16	7961	2180	545	23	6745	2632	549	3410
custom	desturi	16	8404	2390	597	24	7793	2972	607	2444
divorce	talaka	25	8278	2748	550	36	8023	2867	478	3104
doctor	tabibu	19	8536	2618	601	42	7208	2877	444	2768
dog	mbwa	38	6949	2591	420	66	5797	2490	307	2638
donkey	punda	25	7433	2894	579	43	7341	3191	487	2987
dust	vumbi	14	8171	2052	548	30	7351	3363	614	2810
economy	iktisadi	11	9450	2548	768	18	8866	2372	559	3105
egg	yai	56	6020	2908	389	79	4836	2393	269	2006
enemy	adui	21	7512	3115	680	42	6298	2666	411	2489
envelope	bahasha	13	7704	2303	639	32	7160	2385	422	2507
flavor	ladha	17	8275	3708	899	32	7593	3633	642	3128
flood	gharika	14	8928	2674	715	22	8094	2621	559	3019
food	chakula	24	9092	3001	613	37	8300	2477	407	3082
forehead	paji	19	8343	2913	668	36	6622	3079	513	2391

Table 2 (continued)

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3					
		N	M	SD	SEM	N	M	SD	SEM	N	M	SD	SEM
forgery	ubini	18	8625	2652	625	31	7969	2947	529	48	6485	2567	371
friend	rafiki	44	5884	2578	389	62	6564	3144	399	68	5782	2757	334
frog	chura	28	7410	3073	581	43	6056	2834	432	52	5989	2892	401
garden	bustani	18	8802	2393	564	33	8166	2897	504	51	7084	2998	420
gate	lango	22	7089	2619	558	41	7015	2957	462	58	5732	2927	384
glue	ambo	23	8286	3527	735	46	7210	2746	405	56	5509	2699	361
grapes	zabibu	22	9347	2729	582	36	8660	3159	527	49	7455	2570	367
grave	kaburi	23	8394	2794	583	39	7449	2695	432	47	6820	2569	375
harbor	bandari	8	9108	2474	875	29	8364	2911	541	37	7200	2590	426
honor	adhama	18	8044	2670	629	41	7718	2853	446	53	6961	3195	439
horse	farasi	17	8828	2837	688	37	7435	2900	477	53	6224	2580	354
invoice	ankra	18	8930	3505	826	33	6783	3074	535	48	6424	2896	418
kiln	joko	27	7314	2795	538	52	6168	2620	363	72	5666	2979	351
knee	goti	28	6541	3340	631	54	5559	2612	355	62	4731	2440	310
lake	ziwa	24	8183	2743	560	50	6094	2675	378	58	5686	2759	362
leaf	jani	21	7708	2648	578	42	5893	3152	486	61	5208	2529	324
leech	rubu	32	7256	2793	494	52	6934	2792	387	66	6041	2981	367
leisure	wasaa	16	7788	2822	706	42	5610	2428	375	57	5492	2857	378
lung	pafu	30	8162	3050	557	41	5914	2621	409	58	5510	2537	333
maggot	buu	49	5344	3011	430	70	4497	2214	265	79	4278	2567	289
mango	embe	33	7321	2961	515	45	7270	3372	503	63	5137	2291	289
manure	samadi	26	8263	2302	451	36	7118	2848	475	47	6646	2989	436
mattress	godoro	25	8852	2851	570	42	7271	2637	407	54	6531	2805	382
merchant	tajiri	14	8392	3063	818	23	7067	2507	523	34	6812	2695	462
monkey	tumbili	21	9164	2633	575	35	6880	2899	490	55	6540	2556	345
mystery	funbo	18	8093	2889	681	40	6995	2969	469	53	6059	2541	349
oath	yamini	13	8218	1703	472	27	7058	2386	459	41	6587	2781	434
olives	zeituni	13	10452	3136	870	24	7664	2937	600	43	6161	2214	338
ornament	rembo	19	8141	3616	830	43	5881	2753	420	56	5442	2699	361
orphan	yatima	26	8777	3054	599	41	7368	2695	421	51	6354	2521	353
oyster	chaza	29	8262	2588	481	41	7770	2536	396	62	7086	2811	357
parrot	kasuku	16	9438	2067	517	22	7701	2568	547	34	6709	2436	418
pearl	lulu	69	5302	2397	289	89	4594	2040	216	95	4322	2194	225
plate	sahani	16	8350	2255	564	26	7489	2333	458	35	7093	2250	380
poem	utenzi	24	7479	2747	561	38	7785	2723	442	45	6736	2680	400

Table 2 (continued)

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3					
		N	M	SD	SEM	N	M	SD	SEM	N	M	SD	SEM
poison	sumu	36	7214	3283	547	52	6784	2879	399	61	5968	2590	332
prayer	sala	43	6574	3177	484	69	5427	2506	302	84	5186	2677	292
prophet	nabii	21	6852	2255	492	36	6783	2936	489	49	5930	3105	444
quarry	chimbo	16	8767	3350	837	39	7238	3136	502	56	6315	2737	366
queen	malkia	29	7994	3350	622	57	6355	2775	368	69	5530	2879	347
rope	kamba	20	9318	3205	717	35	6964	2541	430	50	5912	2524	357
rumor	fununu	32	8788	3078	544	49	7336	2789	398	64	6458	2982	373
sailor	baharia	15	9473	2417	624	23	7887	2591	540	37	7634	3095	509
scarf	leso	32	7247	3029	535	62	5844	2745	349	79	5102	2462	277
science	elimu	24	7978	3283	670	41	6881	2950	461	55	6462	2985	402
shorts	kaputula	7	12000	1466	554	24	8770	2797	571	39	7828	2700	432
shroud	sanda	22	7633	3238	690	45	6535	3162	471	60	5714	3048	393
silk	hariri	23	7694	2802	584	45	7270	2978	444	54	5991	2577	351
sleep	usingizi	11	9508	2839	856	34	9286	2609	448	43	7646	2676	408
snow	theluji	17	9197	2523	612	31	8072	2547	458	42	7454	2597	401
society	chama	29	8316	3321	617	52	7106	3092	429	63	5651	2710	341
soul	roho	30	7544	3472	634	61	5931	2671	342	65	5448	2558	317
spite	inda	24	8456	3152	643	50	6456	3167	448	58	5296	2613	343
steam	vuke	21	8863	3296	719	43	7105	2843	434	67	5789	2424	296
story	hadithi	19	8471	3459	794	30	7896	2920	533	42	7318	3192	493
stump	gutu	20	8078	2656	594	38	6278	2472	401	57	6248	2864	379
tailor	mshoni	11	9720	3279	989	32	7110	3250	575	46	6672	2997	442
tomato	nyanya	28	7825	3574	675	45	6300	2483	370	56	6077	2942	393
treasure	dafina	16	8938	2897	724	45	7166	2926	436	49	6015	2557	365
trench	handaki	13	10026	2647	734	27	7725	2406	463	33	7209	3290	573
trouble	adha	22	7782	3287	701	41	6512	2708	423	50	6417	3320	470
wheel	duara	19	8439	2634	604	36	7451	2880	480	50	6152	2659	376
wound	jeraha	14	8951	2901	775	28	8156	2241	423	30	6620	2120	387
yeast	hamira	17	9683	2953	716	29	7350	2338	434	40	7089	3081	487
yoke	nira	26	6969	2833	556	40	7217	2754	435	52	6402	2527	350

M = mean, SD = standard deviation, SEM = standard error of the mean

Table 3 Mean Swahili recall accuracy for the youngest third of participants

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM
agent	wakili	.21	.41	.08	.34	.48	.09	.62	.49	.09
almond	lozi	.41	.50	.09	.55	.51	.09	.62	.49	.09
anchor	nanga	.32	.47	.08	.47	.51	.09	.53	.51	.09
barrel	pipa	.31	.47	.09	.55	.51	.09	.69	.47	.09
beer	pombe	.41	.50	.09	.59	.50	.09	.72	.45	.08
blame	lawama	.24	.43	.07	.32	.47	.08	.38	.49	.08
boat	mashua	.21	.41	.07	.35	.49	.08	.53	.51	.09
bone	mfupa	.28	.45	.08	.41	.50	.09	.48	.51	.09
bribe	rushwa	.24	.43	.07	.44	.50	.09	.47	.51	.09
broom	fagio	.24	.44	.08	.62	.49	.09	.72	.45	.08
bucket	ndoo	.32	.47	.08	.71	.46	.08	.76	.43	.07
bull	fahali	.24	.43	.07	.32	.47	.08	.41	.50	.09
carpet	zulia	.35	.49	.08	.47	.51	.09	.56	.50	.09
cheese	jibini	.26	.45	.08	.41	.50	.09	.53	.51	.09
cinnamon	dalasini	.38	.49	.08	.35	.49	.08	.50	.51	.09
cloud	wingu	.31	.47	.09	.72	.45	.08	.76	.44	.08
corn	nafaka	.21	.41	.08	.52	.51	.09	.59	.50	.09
corpse	maiti	.50	.51	.09	.47	.51	.09	.62	.49	.08
cotton	pamba	.24	.44	.08	.38	.49	.09	.45	.51	.09
crab	kaa	.66	.48	.09	.90	.31	.06	.86	.35	.07
curtain	pazia	.14	.35	.07	.28	.45	.08	.38	.49	.09
custom	desturi	.24	.44	.08	.34	.48	.09	.45	.51	.09
divorce	talaka	.35	.49	.08	.38	.49	.08	.53	.51	.09
doctor	tabibu	.29	.46	.08	.50	.51	.09	.56	.50	.09
dog	mbwa	.45	.51	.09	.83	.38	.07	.76	.44	.08
donkey	punda	.34	.48	.09	.52	.51	.09	.69	.47	.09
dust	vumbi	.21	.41	.08	.34	.48	.09	.48	.51	.09
economy	iktisadi	.21	.41	.07	.24	.43	.07	.29	.46	.08
egg	yai	.62	.49	.08	.74	.45	.08	.79	.41	.07
enemy	adui	.31	.47	.09	.55	.51	.09	.62	.49	.09
envelope	bahasha	.07	.26	.05	.28	.45	.08	.41	.50	.09
flavor	ladha	.24	.44	.08	.31	.47	.09	.48	.51	.09
flood	gharika	.21	.41	.07	.26	.45	.08	.29	.46	.08
food	chakula	.29	.46	.08	.32	.47	.08	.50	.51	.09
forehead	paji	.24	.44	.08	.52	.51	.09	.66	.48	.09
forgery	ubuni	.24	.43	.07	.26	.45	.08	.50	.51	.09
friend	rafiki	.62	.49	.09	.79	.41	.08	.83	.38	.07

Table 3 (continued)

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM
frog	chura	.32	.47	.08	.47	.51	.09	.50	.51	.09
garden	bustani	.18	.39	.07	.38	.49	.08	.53	.51	.09
gate	lango	.21	.41	.08	.48	.51	.09	.66	.48	.09
glue	ambo	.26	.45	.08	.56	.50	.09	.62	.49	.08
grapes	zabibu	.29	.46	.08	.44	.50	.09	.50	.51	.09
grave	kaburi	.29	.46	.08	.47	.51	.09	.50	.51	.09
harbor	bandari	.07	.26	.05	.34	.48	.09	.41	.50	.09
honor	adhama	.35	.49	.08	.38	.49	.08	.56	.50	.09
horse	farasi	.14	.35	.07	.41	.50	.09	.59	.50	.09
invoice	ankra	.26	.45	.08	.41	.50	.09	.47	.51	.09
kiln	joko	.32	.47	.08	.59	.50	.09	.71	.46	.08
knee	goti	.34	.48	.09	.59	.50	.09	.66	.48	.09
lake	ziwa	.31	.47	.09	.69	.47	.09	.66	.48	.09
leaf	jani	.17	.38	.07	.45	.51	.09	.59	.50	.09
leech	rubu	.38	.49	.08	.56	.50	.09	.74	.45	.08
leisure	wasaa	.24	.44	.08	.55	.51	.09	.66	.48	.09
lung	pafu	.44	.50	.09	.50	.51	.09	.59	.50	.09
maggot	buu	.45	.51	.09	.76	.44	.08	.83	.38	.07
mango	embe	.38	.49	.08	.50	.51	.09	.62	.49	.08
manure	sanadi	.32	.47	.08	.29	.46	.08	.44	.50	.09
mattress	godoro	.26	.45	.08	.50	.51	.09	.50	.51	.09
merchant	tajiri	.14	.35	.07	.28	.45	.08	.41	.50	.09
monkey	tumbili	.28	.45	.08	.48	.51	.09	.66	.48	.09
mystery	fumbo	.31	.47	.09	.38	.49	.09	.45	.51	.09
oath	yamini	.14	.35	.07	.28	.45	.08	.45	.51	.09
olives	zeituni	.17	.38	.07	.38	.49	.09	.52	.51	.09
ornament	rembo	.17	.38	.07	.48	.51	.09	.52	.51	.09
orphan	yatima	.35	.49	.08	.44	.50	.09	.50	.51	.09
oyster	chaza	.29	.46	.08	.41	.50	.09	.62	.49	.08
parrot	kasuku	.28	.45	.08	.21	.41	.08	.38	.49	.09
pearl	lulu	.82	.39	.07	.85	.36	.06	.88	.33	.06
plate	sahani	.24	.43	.07	.35	.49	.08	.41	.50	.09
poem	utenzi	.29	.46	.08	.35	.49	.08	.41	.50	.09
poison	sumu	.47	.51	.09	.56	.50	.09	.62	.49	.08
prayer	sala	.53	.51	.09	.79	.41	.07	.79	.41	.07
prophet	nabii	.17	.38	.07	.45	.51	.09	.66	.48	.09
quarry	chimbo	.21	.41	.08	.45	.51	.09	.62	.49	.09

Table 3 (continued)

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM
queen	malkia	.41	.50	.09	.59	.50	.09	.59	.50	.09
rope	kamba	.28	.45	.08	.52	.51	.09	.66	.48	.09
rumor	fununu	.32	.47	.08	.47	.51	.09	.59	.50	.09
sailor	baharia	.17	.38	.07	.38	.49	.09	.52	.51	.09
scarf	leso	.41	.50	.09	.65	.49	.08	.71	.46	.08
science	elimu	.35	.49	.08	.50	.51	.09	.53	.51	.09
shorts	kaputula	.03	.19	.03	.28	.45	.08	.48	.51	.09
shroud	sanda	.24	.44	.08	.55	.51	.09	.69	.47	.09
silk	hariri	.41	.50	.09	.66	.48	.09	.62	.49	.09
sleep	usingizi	.21	.41	.07	.41	.50	.09	.47	.51	.09
snow	theluji	.21	.41	.07	.29	.46	.08	.38	.49	.08
society	chama	.44	.50	.09	.59	.50	.09	.68	.47	.08
soul	roho	.34	.48	.09	.66	.48	.09	.72	.45	.08
spite	inda	.38	.49	.09	.66	.48	.09	.59	.50	.09
steam	vuke	.26	.45	.08	.47	.51	.09	.71	.46	.08
story	hadithi	.29	.46	.08	.29	.46	.08	.44	.50	.09
stump	gutu	.24	.44	.08	.45	.51	.09	.62	.49	.09
tailor	mshoni	.14	.35	.07	.41	.50	.09	.48	.51	.09
tomato	nyanya	.45	.51	.09	.72	.45	.08	.76	.44	.08
treasure	dafina	.10	.31	.06	.52	.51	.09	.48	.51	.09
trench	handaki	.14	.35	.07	.34	.48	.09	.45	.51	.09
trouble	adha	.24	.44	.08	.48	.51	.09	.52	.51	.09
wheel	duara	.26	.45	.08	.41	.50	.09	.56	.50	.09
wound	jeraha	.21	.41	.07	.35	.49	.08	.38	.49	.08
yeast	hamira	.26	.45	.08	.32	.47	.08	.41	.50	.09
yoke	nira	.32	.47	.08	.44	.50	.09	.59	.50	.09

Italicized norms were significantly different for younger and older participants after correcting for multiple comparisons. *SD* = standard deviation, *SEM* = standard error of the mean.

Table 4 Mean Swahili recall accuracy for the oldest third of participants

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM
agent	wakili	.11	.32	.05	.25	.44	.07	.44	.50	.08
almond	lozi	.25	.44	.07	.53	.51	.08	.61	.49	.08
anchor	nanga	.11	.32	.06	.33	.48	.09	.44	.51	.10
barrel	pipa	.28	.45	.08	.53	.51	.08	.50	.51	.08
beer	pombe	.25	.44	.07	.42	.50	.08	.58	.50	.08
blame	lawama	.04	.19	.04	.22	.42	.08	.41	.50	.10
boat	mashua	.15	.36	.07	.44	.51	.10	.44	.51	.10
bone	mfupa	.14	.35	.06	.17	.38	.06	.33	.48	.08
bribe	rushwa	.19	.40	.08	.33	.48	.09	.56	.51	.10
broom	fagio	.19	.40	.07	.39	.49	.08	.42	.50	.08
bucket	ndoo	.11	.32	.06	.44	.51	.10	.63	.49	.09
bull	fahali	.04	.19	.04	.30	.47	.09	.30	.47	.09
carpet	zulia	.11	.32	.06	.37	.49	.09	.48	.51	.10
cheese	jibini	.11	.32	.06	.26	.45	.09	.33	.48	.09
cinnamon	dalasini	.07	.27	.05	.22	.42	.08	.30	.47	.09
cloud	wingu	.31	.47	.08	.56	.50	.08	.72	.45	.08
com	nafaka	.14	.35	.06	.28	.45	.08	.44	.50	.08
corpse	maiti	.11	.32	.06	.48	.51	.10	.70	.47	.09
cotton	pamba	.28	.45	.08	.36	.49	.08	.47	.51	.08
crab	kaa	.50	.51	.08	.67	.48	.08	.92	.28	.05
curtain	pazia	.19	.40	.07	.19	.40	.07	.39	.49	.08
custom	desturi	.11	.32	.05	.17	.38	.06	.28	.45	.08
divorce	talaka	.19	.40	.08	.22	.42	.08	.41	.50	.10
doctor	tabibu	.07	.27	.05	.26	.45	.09	.44	.51	.10
dog	mbwa	.31	.47	.08	.50	.51	.08	.61	.49	.08
donkey	punda	.22	.42	.07	.39	.49	.08	.53	.51	.08
dust	vumbi	.11	.32	.05	.22	.42	.07	.44	.50	.08
economy	iktisadi	.00	.00	.00	.04	.19	.04	.15	.36	.07
egg	yai	.52	.51	.10	.89	.32	.06	.89	.32	.06
enemy	adui	.22	.42	.07	.31	.47	.08	.44	.50	.08
envelope	bahasha	.08	.28	.05	.33	.48	.08	.42	.50	.08
flavor	ladha	.22	.42	.07	.31	.47	.08	.33	.48	.08
flood	gharika	.07	.27	.05	.11	.32	.06	.11	.32	.06
food	chakula	.15	.36	.07	.30	.47	.09	.52	.51	.10
forehead	paji	.19	.40	.07	.19	.40	.07	.44	.50	.08
forgery	ubini	.04	.19	.04	.26	.45	.09	.52	.51	.10
friend	rafiki	.25	.44	.07	.36	.49	.08	.50	.51	.08

Table 4 (continued)

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM
frog	chura	.15	.36	.07	.30	.47	.09	.56	.51	.10
garden	bustani	.11	.32	.06	.26	.45	.09	.44	.51	.10
gate	lango	.19	.40	.07	.39	.49	.08	.61	.49	.08
glue	ambo	.26	.45	.09	.37	.49	.09	.44	.51	.10
grapes	zabibu	.04	.19	.04	.07	.27	.05	.30	.47	.09
grave	kaburi	.07	.27	.05	.30	.47	.09	.41	.50	.10
harbor	bandari	.06	.23	.04	.31	.47	.08	.39	.49	.08
honor	adhama	.04	.19	.04	.37	.49	.09	.33	.48	.09
horse	farasi	.25	.44	.07	.39	.49	.08	.47	.51	.08
invoice	ankra	.07	.27	.05	.26	.45	.09	.44	.51	.10
kiln	joko	.22	.42	.08	.52	.51	.10	.78	.42	.08
knee	goti	.33	.48	.08	.47	.51	.08	.56	.50	.08
lake	ziwa	.11	.32	.05	.39	.49	.08	.47	.51	.08
leaf	jani	.28	.45	.08	.36	.49	.08	.56	.50	.08
leech	rubu	.19	.40	.08	.41	.50	.10	.63	.49	.09
leisure	wasaa	.17	.38	.06	.28	.45	.08	.47	.51	.08
lung	pafu	.22	.42	.08	.33	.48	.09	.56	.51	.10
maggot	buu	.53	.51	.08	.58	.50	.08	.81	.40	.07
mango	embe	.30	.47	.09	.37	.49	.09	.56	.51	.10
manure	samadi	.19	.40	.08	.26	.45	.09	.41	.50	.10
mattress	godoro	.07	.27	.05	.30	.47	.09	.56	.51	.10
merchant	tajiri	.17	.38	.06	.19	.40	.07	.28	.45	.08
monkey	tumbili	.22	.42	.07	.31	.47	.08	.56	.50	.08
mystery	fumbo	.17	.38	.06	.31	.47	.08	.47	.51	.08
oath	yamini	.22	.42	.07	.25	.44	.07	.42	.50	.08
olives	zeituni	.14	.35	.06	.31	.47	.08	.39	.49	.08
ornament	rembo	.19	.40	.07	.39	.49	.08	.58	.50	.08
orphan	yatima	.04	.19	.04	.41	.50	.10	.41	.50	.10
oyster	chaza	.11	.32	.06	.41	.50	.10	.56	.51	.10
parrot	kasuku	.19	.40	.07	.22	.42	.07	.39	.49	.08
pearl	lulu	.59	.50	.10	.89	.32	.06	.85	.36	.07
plate	sahani	.00	.00	.00	.07	.27	.05	.26	.45	.09
poem	utenzi	.11	.32	.06	.30	.47	.09	.44	.51	.10
poison	sumu	.07	.27	.05	.37	.49	.09	.48	.51	.10
prayer	sala	.26	.45	.09	.44	.51	.10	.81	.40	.08
prophet	nabii	.19	.40	.07	.36	.49	.08	.47	.51	.08
quarry	chimbo	.19	.40	.07	.33	.48	.08	.53	.51	.08

Table 4 (continued)

English	Swahili	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
		Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM	Mean	SD	SEM
queen	malkia	.22	.42	.08	.56	.51	.10	.70	.47	.09
rope	kamba	.22	.42	.07	.28	.45	.08	.42	.50	.08
rumor	fununu	.26	.45	.09	.52	.51	.10	.63	.49	.09
sailor	baharia	.17	.38	.06	.22	.42	.07	.22	.42	.07
scarf	leso	.26	.45	.09	.59	.50	.10	.89	.32	.06
science	elimu	.07	.27	.05	.52	.51	.10	.52	.51	.10
shorts	kaputula	.08	.28	.05	.22	.42	.07	.39	.49	.08
shroud	sanda	.28	.45	.08	.42	.50	.08	.50	.51	.08
silk	hariri	.19	.40	.07	.33	.48	.08	.47	.51	.08
sleep	usingizi	.00	.00	.00	.22	.42	.08	.30	.47	.09
snow	theluji	.04	.19	.04	.33	.48	.09	.41	.50	.10
society	chama	.26	.45	.09	.56	.51	.10	.59	.50	.10
soul	roho	.33	.48	.08	.58	.50	.08	.64	.49	.08
spite	inda	.19	.40	.07	.36	.49	.08	.53	.51	.08
steam	vuke	.33	.48	.09	.59	.50	.10	.70	.47	.09
story	hadithi	.00	.00	.00	.19	.40	.08	.26	.45	.09
stump	gutu	.31	.47	.08	.44	.50	.08	.56	.50	.08
tailor	mshoni	.06	.23	.04	.22	.42	.07	.42	.50	.08
tomato	nyanya	.28	.45	.08	.31	.47	.08	.42	.50	.08
treasure	dafina	.14	.35	.06	.36	.49	.08	.42	.50	.08
trench	handaki	.17	.38	.06	.25	.44	.07	.39	.49	.08
trouble	adha	.25	.44	.07	.44	.50	.08	.50	.51	.08
wheel	duara	.11	.32	.06	.37	.49	.09	.44	.51	.10
wound	jeraha	.07	.27	.05	.19	.40	.08	.15	.36	.07
yeast	hamira	.00	.00	.00	.11	.32	.06	.30	.47	.09
yoke	nira	.22	.42	.08	.33	.48	.09	.41	.50	.10

Italicized norms were significantly different for younger and older participants after correcting for multiple comparisons. *SD* = standard deviation, *SEM* = standard error of the mean.

Table 5 Mean recall accuracy and standard deviations for the Kenya facts at all three recall attempts

No.	Question	Answer	Accuracy								
			Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>
1	According to chapter 3 of the Kenyan constitution, what is every citizen entitled to?	1. Rights and benefits of citizenship	.25	.44	.04	.24	.43	.04	.31	.46	.05
2	According to Kenya's Constitution, when will the election of the president typically be held?	2. Kenyan Passport second Tuesday in August, every five years	.48	.50	.05	.65	.48	.05	.69	.47	.04
3	According to the Constitution, how do Kenyans choose their leaders?	free election	.80	.40	.04	.87	.34	.03	.90	.30	.03
4	Are non-citizens eligible for election or appointment to State office?	No	.96	.21	.02	.98	.13	.01	.97	.16	.02
5	At what age does a Kenyan citizen become registered to vote?	18	.96	.19	.02	.98	.13	.01	.98	.13	.01
6	Does a Kenyan citizen by birth lose citizenship if he or she acquires citizenship in another country?	No	.96	.21	.02	.98	.13	.01	.97	.16	.03
7	How are the members of the judicial branch selected?	presidential appointment	.61	.49	.05	.62	.49	.05	.76	.43	.02
8	How does a person become the deputy president in Kenya?	presidential appointment	.59	.49	.05	.62	.49	.05	.70	.46	.04
9	How many chapters does the Kenyan Constitution have?	18	.55	.50	.05	.84	.37	.03	.85	.36	.03
10	How many counties does Kenya have?	47	.71	.46	.05	.94	.24	.02	.94	.24	.01
11	How many districts does Kenya have?	17	.61	.49	.05	.77	.42	.04	.82	.38	.02
12	How many justices serve on Kenya's supreme court?	7	.66	.48	.05	.82	.39	.04	.87	.34	.02
13	How many members of Kenya's Senate must be women?	16	.83	.38	.04	.95	.22	.02	.98	.14	.04
14	How many members of Kenya's Senate must represent individuals with disabilities?	2	.81	.39	.04	.94	.24	.02	.96	.20	.02
15	How many members of Kenya's Senate must represent Kenya's youth?	2	.88	.32	.03	.96	.21	.02	.95	.23	.02
16	How many provinces are there in Kenya?	8	.51	.50	.05	.78	.42	.04	.83	.38	.02
17	How many terms can a president serve?	2 terms	.95	.23	.02	.97	.16	.02	.97	.16	.05
18	How many years constitute a single term as president?	5	.90	.30	.03	.97	.17	.02	.97	.17	.03
19	If a Kenyan wants to join the army before age 18, what is needed?	Parental permission	.92	.27	.03	.95	.22	.02	.96	.20	.02
20	If both the President and Deputy President die, who assumes the role of president?	the Speaker of the National Assembly	.42	.50	.05	.56	.50	.05	.70	.46	.03
21	In what decade was the Nationalist Movement?	1950's	.79	.41	.04	.84	.37	.03	.87	.34	.03
22	Kenya's Constitution vows to achieve and maintain coverage of what percentage of the country's land area with trees?	10 %	.93	.26	.03	.99	.10	.01	.96	.20	.02
23	Kenya's motto, Harambee, translates to what in English?	Unity	.64	.48	.05	.85	.36	.04	.92	.27	.02
24	Kenya's National Assembly consists of how many elected members?	290	.49	.50	.05	.84	.37	.04	.87	.34	.05
25	Kenya's Senate consists of how many elected members?	47	.71	.46	.04	.91	.29	.03	.94	.24	.05
26	Kenya's warm climate is due to its proximity to what, geographically?	the Equator	.92	.27	.03	.98	.14	.01	.96	.20	.02
27	Name 2 of Kenya's national security organs.	1. Kenya Defense Forces 2. National Intelligence Service 3. National Police Service	.14	.35	.03	.27	.45	.04	.29	.46	.03
28	Name 2 of the 3 main subordinate courts in Kenya's judicial branch.	1. the Magistrates courts 2. the Kadhi courts	.13	.33	.03	.37	.48	.05	.53	.50	.05

Table 5 (continued)

No.	Question	Answer	Accuracy								
			Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>
29	Name one branch of the Kenyan Government.	3. the Courts Marit 1. legislative 2. executive 3. judicial	.89	.31	.03	.95	.22	.02	.96	.20	.02
30	Name one country that borders Kenya.	1. Somalia 2. Ethiopia 3. Uganda 4. Tanzania 5. South Sudan	.85	.36	.03	.94	.24	.02	.92	.27	.03
31	Name one Kenyan National Holiday.	1. Madaraka Day 2. Mashujaa Day 3. Jamhuri Day Mount Kenya	.37	.49	.05	.58	.50	.05	.65	.48	.04
32	Name the highest mountain in Kenya.	1. national flag 2. national anthem 3. coat of arms 4. the public seal	.80	.40	.04	.96	.20	.02	.94	.24	.03
33	Name two national symbols of Kenya as recognized by its Constitution.	1. war propaganda 2. incitement to violence 3. advocacy of hatred 8/27/2010	.57	.50	.05	.77	.42	.04	.87	.34	.03
34	Name two things to which the freedom of expression does not extend in Kenya's Constitution.	Bantu judicial	.14	.35	.03	.41	.49	.05	.33	.47	.03
35	On what day was Kenya's newest constitution signed into power?	Agriculture executive	.48	.50	.05	.79	.41	.04	.89	.31	.02
36	Swahili is included in what group of languages?	The National Assembly 18-35	.54	.50	.05	.75	.43	.04	.85	.36	.02
37	The Chief Justice and High Court of Justice serve as part of what branch of Kenya's government?	Districts 1. black 2. red 3. Green 4. white	.81	.39	.04	.82	.39	.04	.88	.33	.04
38	The largest percentage of Kenya's labor force is employed in what activity?	1. Swahili 2. English 1. National Assembly 2. Senate	.84	.37	.04	.94	.24	.02	.94	.24	.02
39	The president, deputy president and cabinet members make up what branch of government?	1. Nairobi 2. Mombasa Britain	.80	.40	.04	.94	.24	.02	.96	.21	.02
40	The president may declare war with the approval of what legislative body?		.58	.50	.05	.80	.40	.04	.81	.39	.02
41	What age range is considered 'youth' in Kenya's Constitution?		.93	.26	.02	.96	.19	.02	.97	.16	.04
42	What are Kenya's provinces subdivided into?		.81	.39	.04	.88	.33	.03	.94	.24	.05
43	What are the four colors in the Kenyan flag?		.88	.33	.03	.95	.23	.02	.95	.23	.05
44	What are the official languages of Kenya?		.73	.45	.04	.87	.34	.03	.84	.37	.04
45	What are the two houses of Kenya's bicameral Parliament?		.35	.48	.05	.57	.50	.05	.67	.47	.02
46	What are the two largest cities in Kenya?		.31	.46	.05	.46	.50	.05	.57	.50	.05
47	What country colonized Kenya before Kenya gained its independence?		.79	.41	.04	.83	.38	.04	.83	.38	.05

Table 5 (continued)

No.	Question	Answer	Accuracy								
			Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>
48	What currency is used in Kenya?	The shilling	.84	.37	.04	.92	.27	.03	.97	.17	.03
49	What did the Nationalist Movement accomplish?	Kenya's independence from Britain	.56	.50	.05	.47	.50	.05	.61	.49	.04
50	What do the lions on Kenya's coat of arms symbolize?	strength and protection	.33	.47	.05	.63	.49	.05	.64	.48	.02
51	What document established the Kenyan government and the rights of its citizens?	The Constitution	.85	.36	.04	.96	.20	.02	.87	.34	.05
52	What does Jamhuri mean?	Republic	.33	.47	.05	.66	.48	.05	.83	.38	.05
53	What government office did Kenya's newest Constitution abolish?	prime minister	.78	.42	.04	.90	.30	.03	.96	.19	.02
54	What holiday commemorates when Kenya attained self-rule?	Madaraka day	.21	.41	.04	.44	.50	.05	.52	.50	.03
55	What holiday honors Kenyans who have made lasting marks in Kenya's history?	Mashujaa day	.08	.27	.03	.35	.48	.05	.46	.50	.02
56	What is the 4th chapter of the Kenyan constitution called?	Bill of Rights	.66	.48	.04	.91	.29	.03	.96	.21	.03
57	What is the capital of Kenya?	Nairobi	.73	.44	.04	.87	.34	.03	.89	.31	.04
58	What is the dominant religion of Kenya?	Christianity	.96	.19	.02	.96	.21	.02	.93	.26	.05
59	What is the former name of Kenya?	British East Africa	.60	.49	.05	.82	.38	.04	.89	.31	.03
60	What is the Kenyan national anthem?	Oh God of All Creation	.22	.42	.04	.68	.47	.04	.73	.44	.02
61	What is the largest single ethnic group in Kenya?	Kikuyu	.21	.41	.04	.52	.50	.05	.64	.48	.04
62	What is the longest river in Kenya?	Tana	.54	.50	.05	.80	.40	.04	.88	.32	.03
63	What is the maximum number of years one can serve as Chief Justice of Kenya's Supreme Court?	10	.76	.43	.04	.93	.26	.02	.94	.24	.04
64	What is the meaning of the color green on Kenya's coat of arms?	agriculture and natural resources	.38	.49	.05	.70	.46	.04	.79	.41	.05
65	What is the meaning of the color red on Kenya's coat of arms?	struggle for freedom	.49	.50	.05	.85	.36	.03	.87	.34	.03
66	What is the meaning of the color white on Kenya's coat of arms?	unity and peace	.50	.50	.05	.75	.44	.04	.81	.39	.01
67	What is the meaning of the red on the Kenyan Flag?	bravery and strength	.37	.49	.05	.58	.50	.05	.67	.47	.02
68	What is the meaning of the white on the Kenyan Flag?	peace and honesty	.50	.50	.05	.79	.41	.04	.88	.32	.05
69	What is the minimum age at which an individual can volunteer to serve in Kenya's military?	18	.95	.22	.02	.98	.14	.01	.99	.10	.04
70	What is the significance behind the shape of the shield on Kenya's flag?	shield used by the Maasai people	.21	.41	.04	.39	.49	.05	.51	.50	.02
71	What is the significance of December 12, 1963?	Kenyan Independence Day	.62	.49	.05	.71	.46	.05	.80	.40	.02
72	What is the supreme law of Kenya?	the Kenya Constitution	.71	.46	.04	.89	.31	.03	.96	.21	.03
73	What is the typical length of service for Kenya's military?	9 years	.88	.33	.03	.94	.24	.02	.97	.17	.02
74	What must happen to pass a Bill once it has been approved by both houses of Parliament?	approval by the President	.75	.43	.04	.86	.35	.03	.86	.35	.02
75	What ocean borders Kenya to the East?	Indian Ocean	.93	.26	.03	.95	.22	.02	.96	.20	.02
76	What part of Kenya's Constitution acknowledges the supremacy of the Almighty God of all creation?	The Preamble	.74	.44	.04	.93	.26	.03	.94	.24	.05
77	What proportion of Kenya's National Assembly must be in agreement to start the process of impeachment of the President?	1/3	.90	.30	.03	.97	.16	.02	.97	.16	.02

Table 5 (continued)

No.	Question	Answer	Accuracy								
			Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM
78	What river on the west side of Mount Kenya is supplied by glaciers?	Ewaso Ng'iro	.07	.26	.03	.25	.44	.04	.34	.48	.04
79	What type of economy does Kenya have?	market	.85	.36	.04	.92	.27	.03	.95	.22	.02
80	What type of government does Kenya have?	republic	.75	.44	.04	.89	.31	.03	.94	.24	.04
81	What type of legislature was formed by Kenya's newest Constitution?	bicameral	.52	.50	.05	.74	.44	.04	.79	.41	.02
82	Where does Kenya get its name?	Mount Kenya	.89	.31	.03	.95	.23	.02	.94	.24	.04
83	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution covers the amendment to the Constitution?	Chapter 16	.54	.50	.05	.77	.42	.04	.82	.39	.04
84	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses national security?	Chapter 14	.43	.50	.05	.66	.48	.04	.79	.41	.04
85	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses representation of the people?	Chapter 7	.38	.49	.05	.62	.49	.05	.80	.40	.04
86	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses the executive branch of government?	Chapter 9	.29	.46	.04	.62	.49	.05	.73	.44	.04
87	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses the judicial branch of government?	Chapter 10	.50	.50	.05	.67	.47	.05	.74	.44	.04
88	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution establishes the legislature?	Chapter 8	.49	.50	.05	.68	.47	.05	.75	.44	.03
89	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution outlines the requirements for citizenship?	Chapter 3	.53	.50	.05	.69	.47	.04	.84	.37	.05
90	Which subordinate court of the judicial branch deals with issues pertaining to Muslim law?	Khadi courts	.26	.44	.04	.61	.49	.05	.80	.40	.04
91	Who is considered the Commander-in-Chief of the Kenya Defense Forces?	president	.96	.21	.02	.96	.19	.02	.96	.19	.02
92	Who is credited with being the first European explorer to discover Kenya?	Vasco De Gama	.46	.50	.05	.73	.44	.04	.79	.41	.04
93	Who is Ngugi wa Thiong'o?	An influential East African writer	.15	.36	.04	.64	.48	.05	.68	.47	.05
94*	Who is the current president of Kenya?	Emilio Mwai Kibaki	.06	.24	.02	.23	.42	.04	.37	.49	.05
95	Who presides over any sitting of a House of Parliament?	The speaker	.64	.48	.05	.90	.30	.03	.94	.24	.02
96	Who serves as the chairperson of the National Security Council?	President	.71	.46	.05	.90	.30	.03	.90	.30	.03
97	Who was the first African woman to win the Nobel Peace Prize?	Wangari Maathai	.13	.33	.03	.40	.49	.05	.47	.50	.05
98	Who was the first president of Kenya?	Jomo Kenyatta	.36	.48	.05	.61	.49	.05	.71	.46	.05
99	Who was the first vice president of Kenya?	Jaramogi Oginga Odinga	.11	.31	.03	.28	.45	.04	.35	.48	.05
100	Who was the second president of Kenya?	Daniel Arap Moi	.21	.41	.04	.52	.50	.05	.63	.49	.05

Some questions had multiple responses. Each question indicates how many of the response options were required in the answer. Items are listed in alphabetical order by question. * The answer to this question has changed since development of the stimulus set

Table 6 Mean response times (in milliseconds) for correct trials and standard deviations for recall of the Kenya facts at all three recall attempts

No.	Question	Answer	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM
1	According to chapter 3 of the Kenyan constitution, what is every citizen entitled to?	1. Rights and benefits of citizenship	12337	2046	528	12174	1671	374	12485	2029	405
2	According to Kenya's Constitution, when will the election of the president typically be held?	2. Kenyan Passport second Tuesday in August, every five years	12490	1921	308	11591	1835	257	11971	1680	224
3	According to the Constitution, how do Kenyans choose their leaders?	free election	7447	2502	293	6435	1641	186	6665	2101	229
4	Are non-citizens eligible for election or appointment to State office?	No	4610	1780	177	4305	2188	216	3823	1593	158
5	At what age does a Kenyan citizen become registered to vote?	18	4223	1726	174	3917	1962	196	3612	1222	119
6	Does a Kenyan citizen by birth lose citizenship if he or she acquires citizenship in another country?	No	4382	1316	132	4208	2132	211	3908	1541	151
7	How are the members of the judicial branch selected?	presidential appointment	9827	2414	320	9349	2482	313	9108	2292	258
8	How does a person become the deputy president in Kenya?	presidential appointment	9310	2261	326	9027	2238	296	8915	2186	273
9	How many chapters does the Kenyan Constitution have?	18	5815	3014	406	5178	2524	274	4373	2241	238
10	How many counties does Kenya have?	47	5167	2362	300	4349	1716	184	3781	1310	140
11	How many districts does Kenya have?	17	5514	2558	333	5097	2241	254	4477	1692	181
12	How many justices serve on Kenya's supreme court?	7	4965	2264	300	4460	2290	266	4054	1914	210
13	How many members of Kenya's Senate must be women?	16	4975	2254	259	4325	1922	207	4003	1555	162
14	How many members of Kenya's Senate must represent individuals with disabilities?	2	4931	2092	246	3942	1282	139	3981	1636	172
15	How many members of Kenya's Senate must represent Kenya's youth?	2	4556	1896	199	3971	1861	188	3738	1384	138
16	How many provinces are there in Kenya?	8	5349	2787	387	5263	2537	282	4520	1690	181
17	How many terms can a president serve?	2 terms	3801	1842	185	3611	2132	212	3428	2056	201
18	How many years constitute a single term as president?	5	4726	2108	234	4371	2135	228	4165	2178	227
19	If a Kenyan wants to join the army before age 18, what is needed?	Parental permission	7849	2186	244	7267	2097	226	7144	2257	238
20	If both the President and Deputy President die, who assumes the role of president?	the Speaker of the National Assembly	10055	2694	476	9585	2108	295	9409	2284	295
21	In what decade was the Nationalist Movement?	1950's	5850	2213	246	5635	2236	238	5484	1922	200
22	Kenya's Constitution vows to achieve and maintain coverage of what percentage of the country's land area with trees?	10 %	6921	2352	257	5990	2181	231	5429	1754	184
23	Kenya's motto, Harambee, translates to what in English?	Unity	7625	2949	391	5563	2385	272	5084	2058	223
24	Kenya's National Assembly consists of how many elected members?	290	6816	2808	414	5433	2407	273	4665	1563	172
25	Kenya's Senate consists of how many elected members?	47	6138	3226	394	5110	1859	194	4659	2043	205
26	Kenya's warm climate is due to its proximity to what, geographically?	the Equator	6460	2307	255	5644	1786	190	5512	1760	186
27	Name 2 of Kenya's national security organs.	1. Kenya Defense Forces 2. National Intelligence Service 3. National Police Service	13669	1117	500	12322	1359	340	12216	1814	378
28	Name 2 of the 3 main subordinate courts in Kenya's judicial branch.	1. the Magistrates courts 2. the Kadhi courts 3. the Courts Martial	12769	1877	626	10548	2455	464	11214	2374	362

Table 6 (continued)

No.	Question	Answer	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM
29	Name one branch of the Kenyan Government.	1. legislative 2. executive 3. judicial	7257	3327	379	6220	2344	259	6290	2991	315
30	Name one country that borders Kenya.	1. Somalia 2. Ethiopia 3. Uganda 4. Tanzania 5. South Sudan	6522	2507	282	6199	2763	290	5980	2532	261
31	Name one Kenyan National Holiday.	1. Madaraka Day 2. Mashujaa Day 3. Jamhuri Day	8994	3340	581	7468	2616	378	7121	2378	307
32	Name the highest mountain in Kenya.	Mount Kenya	6770	2423	284	5718	2157	233	5533	1868	200
33	Name two national symbols of Kenya as recognized by its Constitution.	1. national flag 2. national anthem 3. coat of arms 4. the public seal	10748	2398	353	9624	2454	300	9641	2479	267
34	Name two things to which the freedom of expression does not extend in Kenya's Constitution.	1. war propaganda 2. incitement to violence 3. advocacy of hatred 8/27/2010	12059	1913	605	11968	1678	297	12083	1736	340
35	On what day was Kenya's newest constitution signed into power?	Bantu	9872	2689	415	9170	2788	341	8244	2313	255
36	Swahili is included in what group of languages?	judicial	5958	2787	369	4627	1818	206	4894	2288	241
37	The Chief Justice and High Court of Justice serve as part of what branch of Kenya's government?	Agriculture	7467	2340	274	6667	2595	302	6247	2184	244
38	The largest percentage of Kenya's labor force is employed in what activity?	executive	7367	2259	263	6557	2042	222	6516	1973	212
39	The president, deputy president and cabinet members make up what branch of government?	The National Assembly	7131	2222	244	6343	1976	202	6270	2295	227
40	The president may declare war with the approval of what legislative body?	18-35	9206	2660	376	8177	2483	297	8295	2630	302
41	What age range is considered 'youth' in Kenya's Constitution?	Districts	5980	2044	211	5353	1856	187	5261	1723	170
42	What are Kenya's provinces subdivided into?	1. black 2. red 3. Green 4. white	6403	2159	241	6196	2484	260	5816	1812	179
43	What are the four colors in the Kenyan flag?	1. Swahili 2. English	9293	2488	285	8651	2457	252	8326	2262	230
44	What are the official languages of Kenya?	1. National Assembly 2. Senate	8415	2548	324	7754	2401	272	7435	2157	244
45	What are the two houses of Kenya's bicameral Parliament?	1. Nairobi 2. Mombasa	9458	2355	430	9752	2338	334	9086	2673	345
46	What are the two largest cities in Kenya?	Britain	9160	2852	539	8189	2536	423	8343	2613	367
47	What country colonized Kenya before Kenya gained its independence?	The shilling	5729	1965	237	5787	2208	257	5462	1920	217
48	What currency is used in Kenya?		5462	1923	219	5113	2128	231	4712	1595	169

Table 6 (continued)

No.	Question	Answer	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM	M	SD	SEM
49	What did the Nationalist Movement accomplish?	Kenya's independence from Britain	10475	2482	370	9990	2591	410	10033	2279	302
50	What do the lions on Kenya's coat of arms symbolize?	strength and protection	9548	2399	462	9527	2571	353	8982	2682	346
51	What document established the Kenyan government and the rights of its citizens?	The Constitution	8338	2633	304	7920	2427	266	7767	2296	263
52	What does Jamhuri mean?	Republic	7920	2982	536	6992	2701	355	6348	2579	290
53	What government office did Kenya's newest Constitution abolish?	prime minister	7480	2043	227	6574	1848	194	6665	2028	200
54	What holiday commemorates when Kenya attained self-rule?	Madaraka day	8538	2561	640	8029	2725	454	8029	2754	386
55	What holiday honors Kenyans who have made lasting marks in Kenya's history?	Mashujaa day	11769	2128	869	9554	2684	490	9328	2798	396
56	What is the 4th chapter of the Kenyan constitution called?	Bill of Rights	8038	2718	332	6619	2146	223	6356	2363	235
57	What is the capital of Kenya?	Nairobi	5881	2212	257	5032	1714	186	5341	2161	219
58	What is the dominant religion of Kenya?	Christianity	6368	1945	195	5878	1826	184	5628	1698	170
59	What is the former name of Kenya?	British East Africa	9903	2544	340	8172	2141	239	8199	2228	231
60	What is the Kenyan national anthem?	Oh God of All Creation	10041	1997	436	8909	2499	312	8709	2446	279
61	What is the largest single ethnic group in Kenya?	Kikuyu	8388	3388	777	7716	2987	406	6677	2115	255
62	What is the longest river in Kenya?	Tana	6049	3055	420	4405	2334	256	4140	1562	161
63	What is the maximum number of years one can serve as Chief Justice of Kenya's Supreme Court?	10	5698	2437	278	4763	2113	215	4170	1573	157
64	What is the meaning of the color green on Kenya's coat of arms?	agriculture and natural resources	10399	2205	358	10317	2567	316	10330	2422	278
65	What is the meaning of the color red on Kenya's coat of arms?	struggle for freedom	8823	2441	342	8286	2233	238	7959	2498	258
66	What is the meaning of the color white on Kenya's coat of arms?	unity and peace	7982	2730	416	6911	2014	246	6924	2483	287
67	What is the meaning of the red on the Kenyan Flag?	bravery and strength	9276	2568	477	8805	2828	392	8585	2340	302
68	What is the meaning of the white on the Kenyan Flag?	peace and honesty	8863	2745	381	7521	2472	275	7181	2141	220
69	What is the minimum age at which an individual can volunteer to serve in Kenya's military?	18	4560	1543	165	4156	1463	156	4002	1376	144
70	What is the significance behind the shape of the shield on Kenya's flag?	shield used by the Maasai people	11406	2398	582	11309	2287	371	10476	2027	287
71	What is the significance of December 12, 1963?	Kenyan Independence Day	9338	2292	318	9572	2437	310	9101	2244	263
72	What is the supreme law of Kenya?	the Kenya Constitution	8273	2359	286	8553	2603	274	8019	2436	244
73	What is the typical length of service for Kenya's military?	9 years	6148	2419	272	5199	2121	230	5188	1788	189
74	What must happen to pass a Bill once it has been approved by both houses of Parliament?	approval by the President	10133	2294	274	9268	2314	256	9195	2126	225
75	What ocean borders Kenya to the East?	Indian Ocean	6839	2603	287	5780	2291	250	5481	1785	190
76	What part of Kenya's Constitution acknowledges the supremacy of the Almighty God of all creation?	The Preamble	7620	2644	331	6839	2353	258	6146	1854	199
77	What proportion of Kenya's National Assembly must be in agreement to start the process of impeachment of the President?	1/3	6200	2324	244	5562	2047	204	5286	2017	197
78	What river on the west side of Mount Kenya is supplied by glaciers?	Ewaso Ng'iro	9219	2741	1036	9774	2570	548	9575	2523	461

Table 6 (continued)

No.	Question	Answer	Recall 1			Recall 2			Recall 3		
			<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>SEM</i>
79	What type of economy does Kenya have?	market	5505	2454	280	4613	2134	233	4413	2028	215
80	What type of government does Kenya have?	republic	6583	2530	305	6037	2175	243	5937	2059	221
81	What type of legislature was formed by Kenya's newest Constitution?	bicameral	7494	2988	414	6439	2128	246	6030	2086	230
82	Where does Kenya get its name?	Mount Kenya	6638	2038	215	5753	1783	181	5687	1902	188
83	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution covers the amendment to the Constitution?	Chapter 16	6653	2989	431	6612	2594	310	5940	2627	299
84	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses national security?	Chapter 14	7390	3000	474	6001	2271	275	6017	2670	293
85	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses representation of the people?	Chapter 7	7411	3367	546	6726	2668	333	6098	2326	252
86	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses the executive branch of government?	Chapter 9	8690	2975	552	7276	2456	312	6291	2830	331
87	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution discusses the judicial branch of government?	Chapter 10	7015	3057	456	6392	2588	334	6104	2189	265
88	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution establishes the legislature?	Chapter 8	7099	3040	448	6331	2582	325	5748	2380	286
89	Which chapter of the Kenyan Constitution outlines the requirements for citizenship?	Chapter 3	6951	3034	421	6163	2449	299	5663	2072	218
90	Which subordinate court of the judicial branch deals with issues pertaining to Muslim law?	Khadi courts	9091	2732	596	7840	2804	397	7406	2793	325
91	Who is considered the Commander-in-Chief of the Kenya Defense Forces?	president	6268	2065	209	5675	1892	192	5501	1775	176
92	Who is credited with being the first European explorer to discover Kenya?	Vasco De Gama	7931	2542	383	7143	2176	258	6972	2353	260
93	Who is Ngugi wa Thiong'o?	An influential East African writer	9037	2481	641	10193	2427	327	9632	2385	322
94*	Who is the current president of Kenya?	Emilio Mwai Kibaki	9210	2841	1160	8773	2480	585	9487	2495	441
95	Who presides over any sitting of a House of Parliament?	The speaker	6653	2385	294	6071	2735	279	5513	2148	214
96	Who serves as the chairperson of the National Security Council?	President	7606	2650	331	6731	2292	255	6222	2145	234
97	Who was the first African woman to win the Nobel Peace Prize?	Wangari Maathai	9658	2392	690	9451	2784	430	9258	2739	418
98	Who was the first president of Kenya?	Jomo Kenyatta	8539	2763	496	7356	2533	366	7445	2611	326
99	Who was the first vice president of Kenya?	Jaramogi Oginga Odinga	9313	2953	934	9925	3478	696	9629	3024	535
100	Who was the second president of Kenya?	Daniel Arap Moi	9000	3732	905	8671	3171	453	8109	2856	363

Some questions had multiple responses. Each question indicates how many of the response options were required in the answer. Items are listed in alphabetical order by question. *M* = mean, *SD* = standard deviation, *SEM* = standard error of the mean. * The answer to this question has changed since development of the stimulus set.

Table 7 Between-item correlational matrix for English–Swahili word pairs for participants in Group 1

	Agent	Almond	Barrel	Beer	Bone	Broom	Cloud	Corn	Cotton	Crab	Curtain	Custom	Dog	Donkey	Dust
Almond	.39**														
Barrel	.34**	.42**													
Beer	.45**	.54**	.47**												
Bone	.26**	.46**	.23*	.34**											
Broom	.47**	.35**	.44**	.42**	.30**										
Cloud	.30**	.45**	.23*	.40**	.30**	.33**									
Corn	.20*	.43**	.37**	.48**	.53**	.45**	.38**								
Cotton	.32**	.42**	.59**	.57**	.33**	.59**	.43**	.48**							
Crab	.28**	.32**	.13	.40**	.42**	.26**	.37**	.29**	.25*						
Curtain	.32**	.32**	.38**	.48**	.48**	.40**	.28**	.44**	.37**	.38**					
Custom	.26**	.34**	.41**	.45**	.51**	.42**	.30**	.46**	.33**	.31**	.42**				
Dog	.03	.20*	.09	.16	.20*	-.01	.36**	.06	.23*	.19	.13	.15			
Donkey	.23*	.38**	.36**	.34**	.30**	.40**	.43**	.51**	.41**	.28**	.51**	.48**	.19		
Dust	.45**	.46**	.34**	.51**	.34**	.47**	.41**	.43**	.51**	.28**	.54**	.41**	.26**	.42**	
Enemy	.28**	.30**	.34**	.37**	.30**	.31**	.37**	.38**	.33**	.26**	.34**	.36**	-.01	.25*	.28**
Envelope	.34**	.32**	.14	.26**	.23*	.40**	.36**	.32**	.24*	.12	.21*	.15	.07	.15	.34**
Flavor	.47**	.43**	.21*	.40**	.36**	.44**	.36**	.32**	.36**	.28**	.34**	.29**	.21*	.27**	.41**
Forehead	.35**	.34**	.28**	.37**	.31**	.52**	.23*	.47**	.32**	.26**	.36**	.44**	-.07	.29**	.35**
Friend	.12	.19	.10	.12	.12	.16	.27**	.20*	.12	.11	.09	.28**	.19	.21*	.29**
Gate	.36**	.47**	.46**	.54**	.37**	.49**	.30**	.46**	.51**	.24*	.53**	.44**	.10	.47**	.55**
Harbor	.36**	.30**	.26**	.32**	.33**	.39**	.37**	.44**	.29**	.22*	.49**	.23*	.12	.27**	.56**
Horse	.13	.15	.21*	.19	.23*	.28**	.31**	.25*	.36**	.14	.21*	.29**	.21*	.11	.20*
Knee	.31**	.37**	.29**	.46**	.42**	.44**	.54**	.40**	.40**	.35**	.23*	.37**	.25*	.31**	.31**
Lake	.36**	.26**	.20*	.34**	.44**	.33**	.39**	.27**	.25*	.29**	.29**	.44**	.15	.37**	.23*
Leaf	.42**	.42**	.46**	.54**	.44**	.55**	.44**	.46**	.56**	.33**	.47**	.50**	.10	.32**	.55**
Leisure	.44**	.42**	.41**	.51**	.19	.55**	.31**	.21*	.46**	.24*	.24*	.33**	.16	.26**	.44**
Maggot	.09	.05	.05	.24*	.03	.21*	.34**	.17	.20*	.42**	.21*	.08	.29**	.24*	.14
Merchant	.43**	.37**	.26**	.48**	.32**	.45**	.44**	.26**	.35**	.24*	.37**	.53**	.23*	.33**	.51**
Monkey	.26**	.52**	.34**	.43**	.53**	.37**	.44**	.56**	.39**	.34**	.51**	.41**	.24*	.46**	.46**
Mystery	.16	.47**	.36**	.56**	.40**	.32**	.31**	.35**	.51**	.39**	.37**	.33**	.26**	.26**	.51**
Oath	.22*	.46**	.40**	.45**	.26**	.28**	.47**	.51**	.38**	.28**	.46**	.26**	.08	.29**	.45**
Olives	.18	.39**	.34**	.32**	.54**	.33**	.42**	.48**	.31**	.24*	.29**	.62**	.19*	.41**	.42**
Ornament	.42**	.34**	.33**	.48**	.38**	.30**	.33**	.47**	.32**	.16	.36**	.38**	.24*	.24*	.28**
Parrot	.23*	.47**	.41**	.40**	.40**	.32**	.31**	.42**	.34**	.24*	.37**	.46**	.10	.43**	.51**
Prophet	.20*	.37**	.37**	.40**	.42**	.39**	.31**	.52**	.52**	.14	.47**	.36**	.11	.33**	.47**
Quarry	.34**	.46**	.23*	.45**	.30**	.30**	.30**	.32**	.33**	.21*	.35**	.23*	.09	.19	.410**

Table 7 (continued)

	Enemy	Envelope	Flavor	Forehead	Friend	Gate	Harbor	Horse	Knee	Lake	Leaf	Leisure	Maggot	Merchant
Rope	.39**	.46**	.45**	.43**	.53**	.48**	.49**	.56**	.55**	.34**	.38**	.34**	.19	.36**
Sailor	.51**	.62**	.37**	.42**	.46**	.51**	.33**	.48**	.48**	.19	.23*	.39**	.06	.39**
Shorts	.22*	.29**	.17	.33**	.30**	.39**	.39**	.31**	.37**	.25*	.28**	.09	.11	.17
Shroud	.23*	.26**	.41**	.19	.31**	.33**	.25*	.27*	.19*	.29**	.35**	.37**	.10	.37**
Silk	.31**	.45**	.43**	.50**	.43**	.32**	.50**	.34**	.48**	.40**	.41**	.38**	.26**	.35**
Soul	.26**	.43**	.31**	.38**	.27**	.40**	.47**	.41**	.41**	.33**	.35**	.38**	.18	.28**
Spite	.39**	.38**	.32**	.50**	.40**	.40**	.40**	.55**	.42**	.27*	.32**	.28**	.20*	.33**
Stump	.36**	.42**	.41**	.44**	.31**	.38**	.39**	.46**	.51**	.33**	.35**	.25*	.15	.27**
Tailor	.39**	.38**	.40**	.46**	.44**	.61**	.29**	.55**	.44**	.26**	.50**	.52**	.06	.48**
Tomato	.32**	.24*	.17	.29**	.32**	.21*	.42**	.23*	.32**	.33**	.35**	.27**	.36**	.33**
Treasure	.43**	.31**	.43**	.36**	.53**	.45**	.38**	.56**	.48**	.19	.51**	.39**	.12	.27**
Trench	.30**	.46**	.40**	.51**	.48**	.28**	.35**	.51**	.38**	.28**	.68**	.41**	.14	.35**
Trouble	.37**	.35**	.33**	.36**	.32**	.37**	.55**	.28**	.48**	.27*	.35**	.32**	.21*	.39**
Envelope	.19*													
Flavor	.28**	.24*												
Forehead	.18	.33**	.36**											
Friend	.16	.24*	.10	.14										
Gate	.33**	.34**	.29**	.43**	.09									
Harbor	.39**	.40**	.27**	.28**	.20*	.40**	.01	.12						
Horse	.22*	.10	.22**	.24**	.12	.29**	.30**	.24*						
Knee	.29**	.43**	.27**	.29**	.21*	.41**	.30**	.40**	.36**					
Lake	.28**	.21*	.29**	.14	.05	.35**	.24*	.12	.12					
Leaf	.55**	.41**	.46**	.37**	.14	.62**	.40**	.35**	.46**	.29**	.51**			
Leisure	.26**	.27**	.38**	.40**	.17	.39**	.12	.26**	.32**	.15				
Maggot	.07	.27**	.03	.15	.18	.10	.11	.17	.19*	.14		.18		
Merchant	.20*	.24*	.65**	.33**	.15	.33**	.15	.38**	.28**	.40**	.59**	.49**	.17	
Monkey	.37**	.37**	.50**	.28**	.09	.44**	.43**	.27**	.45**	.22*	.55**	.30**	.10	.37**
Mystery	.26**	.20*	.26**	.21*	.37**	.39**	.30**	.13	.37**	.15	.45**	.55**	.23*	.28**
Oath	.28**	.26**	.27**	.35**	.17	.55**	.46**	.34**	.31**	.23*	.55**	.30**	.14	.28**
Olives	.19*	.30**	.17	.41**	.18	.41**	.40**	.24*	.37**	.28**	.48**	.27**	.15	.32**
Ornament	.24*	.24*	.24*	.38**	.14	.48**	.28**	.24*	.40**	.31**	.43**	.34**	.25*	.33**
Parrot	.26**	.27**	.26**	.34**	.12	.57**	.30**	.26**	.26**	.33**	.51**	.42**	.03	.35**
Prophet	.22*	.46**	.22*	.30**	.17	.46**	.44**	.16	.22*	.18	.46**	.26**	.17	.25**
Quarry	.18	.38**	.36**	.44**	.12	.44**	.33**	.23*	.14	.25*	.31**	.33**	.08	.32**
Rope	.42**	.30**	.32**	.34**	.09	.50**	.34**	.44**	.35**	.27**	.55**	.36**	.20*	.37**
Sailor	.13	.56**	.38**	.47**	.09	.40**	.25*	.18	.34**	.33**	.46**	.42**	.11	.41**
Shorts	.21*	.36**	.15	.16	.14	.40**	.46**	.25*	.39**	.31**	.49**	.20*	.20*	.27**
Shroud	.22*	.28**	.35**	.08	.09	.29**	.24*	.12	.36**	.29**	.40**	.21*	.05	.27**
Silk	.47**	.10	.34**	.14	.14	.28**	.28**	.23*	.38**	.39**	.44**	.44**	.22*	.28**
Soul	.35**	.38**	.23*	.20*	.14	.42**	.39**	.34**	.39**	.42**	.47**	.33**	.22*	.35**
Spite	.45**	.32**	.372*	.22*	.19	.26**	.37**	.15	.46**	.42**	.42**	.242	.18	.24**
Stump	.17	.34**	.35**	.37**	.15	.35**	.40**	.29**	.41**	.19	.35**	.39**	.24*	.40**
Tailor	.17	.44**	.29**	.55**	.25*	.63**	.67**	.14	.44**	.33**	.48**	.32**	.10	.29**
Tomato	.21*	.06	.29**	.25**	.19	.18	.24**	.29**	.30**	.23*	.23*	.17	.17	.23*
Treasure	.32**	.48**	.25*	.33**	.20*	.46**	.44**	.32**	.34**	.33**	.46**	.28**	.27**	.33**
Trench	.41**	.26**	.54**	.35**	.01	.42**	.46**	.27*	.25*	.16	.49**	.16	.03	.43**

Table 7 (continued)

Trouble	.42**	Monkey	.36**	Mystery	.34**	Oath	.14	Olives	.05	Ornament	.49**	Parrot	.20*	Prophet	.34**	Quarry	.38**	Rope	.44**	Sailor	.49**	Shorts	.39**	Shroud	.14	Silk	.34**	Soul	.37**	Spite
Mystery	.36**																													
Oath	.46**	.36**	.37**																											
Olives	.52**	.27**	.27**																											
Ornament	.34**	.61**	.36**	.58**	.42**	.51**	.48**		.34**																					
Parrot	.38**	.22*	.33**	.38**	.41**	.56**	.46**	.65**	.36**	.34**	.44**	.53**	.49**																	
Prophet	.22*	.54**	.30**	.33**	.46**	.36**	.59**	.46**	.38**	.46**	.49**	.44**	.52**	.49**																
Quarry	.54**	.30**	.37**	.33**	.46**	.36**	.25*	.56**	.54**	.46**	.27**	.97**	.34**	.41**	.41**			.50**	.42**	.42**	.31**	.31**	.33**	.33**	.44**	.38**	.37**	.37**	.37**	
Rope	.50**	.33**	.21*	.37**	.44**	.36**	.28**	.26**	.19*	.30**	.39**	.27**	.18	.30**	.30**		.42**	.42**	.33**	.33**	.36**	.42**	.33**	.33**	.44**	.35**	.38**	.37**	.37**	
Sailor	.33**	.50**	.33**	.33**	.29**	.44**	.25*	.28**	.19*	.30**	.39**	.27**	.18	.30**	.30**		.42**	.42**	.33**	.33**	.36**	.42**	.33**	.33**	.44**	.35**	.38**	.37**	.37**	
Shorts	.50**	.48**	.61**	.33**	.31**	.31**	.23*	.28**	.20*	.31**	.27**	.39**	.18	.30**	.30**		.42**	.42**	.33**	.33**	.36**	.42**	.33**	.33**	.44**	.35**	.38**	.37**	.37**	
Shroud	.47**	.41**	.30**	.39**	.42**	.48**	.31**	.25*	.28**	.37**	.30**	.49**	.34**	.32**	.34**	.14	.37**	.37**	.28**	.29**	.42**	.33**	.33**	.44**	.35**	.38**	.37**	.37**	.37**	
Silk	.44**	.39**	.40**	.11	.26**	.26**	.63**	.41**	.39**	.37**	.39**	.30**	.32**	.35**	.37**	.19	.16	.37**	.40**	.40**	.31**	.29**	.31**	.24*	.28**	.35**	.38**	.37**	.37**	
Soul	.41**	.32**	.28**	.28**	.36**	.45**	.34**	.34**	.39**	.31**	.22*	.57**	.53**	.53**	.44**	.32**	.43**	.55**	.55**	.40**	.40**	.53**	.40**	.31**	.28**	.28**	.31**	.38**	.37**	
Spite	.44**	.32**	.28**	.28**	.36**	.45**	.34**	.34**	.39**	.31**	.22*	.57**	.53**	.53**	.44**	.32**	.43**	.55**	.55**	.40**	.40**	.53**	.40**	.31**	.28**	.28**	.31**	.38**	.37**	
Stump	.51**	.32**	.28**	.28**	.36**	.45**	.34**	.34**	.39**	.31**	.22*	.57**	.53**	.53**	.44**	.32**	.43**	.55**	.55**	.40**	.40**	.53**	.40**	.31**	.28**	.28**	.31**	.38**	.37**	
Tailor	.32**	.43**	.66**	.30**	.45**	.31**	.29**	.40**	.47**	.28**	.28**	.37**	.34**	.34**	.27**	.14	.42**	.42**	.29**	.29**	.24*	.24*	.08	.21*	.34**	.46**	.14	.31**	.38**	
Tomato	.43**	.43**	.22*	.30**	.31**	.31**	.29**	.40**	.35**	.35**	.37**	.37**	.41**	.40**	.26**	.32**	.39**	.50**	.48**	.48**	.36**	.36**	.36**	.49**	.46**	.32**	.32**	.37**	.37**	
Treasure	.66**	.43**	.30**	.30**	.45**	.31**	.29**	.40**	.42**	.42**	.50**	.50**	.40**	.40**	.32**	.32**	.43**	.43**	.40**	.40**	.27**	.27**	.39**	.41**	.41**	.44**	.44**	.44**	.40**	
Trench	.43**	.43**	.22*	.22*	.31**	.31**	.29**	.40**	.42**	.42**	.50**	.50**	.40**	.40**	.32**	.32**	.43**	.43**	.40**	.40**	.27**	.27**	.39**	.41**	.41**	.44**	.44**	.44**	.40**	
Trouble	.48**	.33**	.31**	.31**	.29**	.42**	.40**	.40**	.37**	.37**	.37**	.37**	.40**	.40**	.32**	.32**	.43**	.43**	.40**	.40**	.27**	.27**	.39**	.41**	.41**	.44**	.44**	.44**	.40**	
Tailor	.48**	.33**	.31**	.31**	.29**	.42**	.40**	.40**	.37**	.37**	.37**	.37**	.40**	.40**	.32**	.32**	.43**	.43**	.40**	.40**	.27**	.27**	.39**	.41**	.41**	.44**	.44**	.44**	.40**	
Tomato	.33**	.46**	.46**	.29**	.29**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**
Treasure	.46**	.36**	.39**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**	.32**
Trench	.36**	.28**	.28**	.28**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**
Trouble	.28**	.28**	.28**	.28**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**	.34**

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$

Table 8 Between-item correlational matrix for English–Swahili word pairs for participants in Group 2

	Anchor	Blame	Boat	Bribe	Bucket	Bull	Carpet	Cheese	Cinnamon	Corps	Divorce	Doctor	Economy	Egg	Flood
Blame	.61**														
Boat	.61**	.53**													
Bribe	.38**	.39**	.47**												
Bucket	.38**	.46**	.58**	.35**											
Bull	.38**	.45**	.54**	.40**	.31**										
Carpet	.38**	.46**	.47**	.45**	.34**	.47**									
Cheese	.38**	.33**	.58**	.56**	.40**	.52**	.40**								
Cinnamon	.39**	.36**	.43**	.25**	.31**	.37**	.36**	.47**							
Corps	.52**	.42**	.37**	.40**	.41**	.34**	.43**	.40**	.40**						
Divorce	.48**	.41**	.37**	.45**	.34**	.31**	.29**	.35**	.36**	.21**					
Doctor	.43**	.45**	.47**	.34**	.25*	.46**	.34**	.34**	.36**	.35**	.30**				
Economy	.46**	.53**	.49**	.47**	.39**	.44**	.43**	.47**	.44**	.55**	.45**	.41**			
Egg	.33**	.31**	.39**	.22*	.27**	.32**	.31**	.27**	.30**	.21*	.23*	.36**	.28**		
Flood	.59**	.54**	.50**	.29**	.34**	.39**	.50**	.29**	.45**	.48**	.39**	.42**	.38**	.20*	
Food	.60**	.48**	.59**	.42**	.40**	.32**	.41**	.57**	.430**	.43**	.36**	.26**	.28**	.34**	.41**
Forgery	.51**	.47**	.67**	.35**	.43**	.33**	.37**	.53**	.38**	.40**	.27**	.53**	.40**	.30**	.49**
Frog	.41**	.45**	.61**	.44**	.60**	.24*	.52**	.38**	.35**	.40**	.28**	.33**	.38**	.33**	.32**
Garden	.39**	.47**	.66**	.41**	.42**	.35**	.41**	.41**	.43**	.37**	.37**	.36**	.42**	.39**	.37**
Glue	.34**	.32**	.49**	.42**	.40**	.50**	.36**	.47**	.33**	.34**	.26**	.42**	.34**	.42**	.29**
Grape	.57**	.61**	.50**	.43**	.43**	.56**	.59**	.38**	.50**	.52**	.48**	.43**	.52**	.33**	.59**
Grave	.50**	.42**	.54**	.47**	.46**	.43**	.51**	.52**	.32**	.59**	.36**	.57**	.57**	.34**	.45**
Honor	.50**	.53**	.54**	.41**	.37**	.60**	.36**	.47**	.38**	.37**	.26**	.36**	.49**	.34**	.31**
Invoice	.50**	.65**	.60**	.52**	.42**	.48**	.58**	.52**	.38**	.42**	.37**	.47**	.49**	.34**	.54**
Kiln	.43**	.53**	.58**	.41**	.57**	.39**	.54**	.50**	.41**	.53**	.34**	.41**	.60**	.40**	.36**
Leech	.43**	.52**	.37**	.35**	.37**	.34**	.38**	.35**	.36**	.42**	.28**	.54**	.43**	.33**	.42**
Lung	.60**	.50**	.60**	.48**	.35**	.42**	.50**	.52**	.57**	.49**	.40**	.43**	.45**	.38**	.56**
Mango	.59**	.50**	.45**	.37**	.43**	.32**	.45**	.37**	.33**	.47**	.47**	.42**	.41**	.24*	.40**
Manure	.52**	.46**	.61**	.49**	.51**	.38**	.47**	.49**	.40**	.47**	.51**	.39**	.46**	.29**	.46**
Mattress	.50**	.41**	.60**	.52**	.41**	.43**	.41**	.52**	.43**	.50**	.41**	.57**	.44**	.30**	.45**
Orphan	.50**	.53**	.54**	.47**	.55**	.44**	.46**	.47**	.48**	.43**	.55**	.47**	.46**	.38**	.47**
Oyster	.55**	.59**	.49**	.42**	.49**	.40**	.41**	.42**	.38**	.42**	.54**	.47**	.49**	.46**	.43**
Pearl	.21*	.26**	.19	.15	.22*	.23*	.23*	.30**	.22*	.21*	.18	.15	.14	.24*	.16
Plate	.56**	.65**	.67**	.46**	.43**	.51**	.47**	.40**	.37**	.40**	.48**	.33**	.44**	.27**	.54**
Poem	.43**	.46**	.58**	.40**	.34**	.47**	.39**	.45**	.36**	.29**	.29**	.45**	.36**	.44**	.25**
Poison	.27**	.36**	.36**	.29**	.40**	.38**	.42**	.48**	.44**	.40**	.14	.48**	.24*	.31**	.25**
Prayer	.33**	.29**	.38**	.26**	.34**	.28**	.24*	.40**	.39**	.47**	.30**	.22*	.38**	.28**	.31**

Table 8 (continued)

	Queen	Rumor	Scarf	Science	Sleep	Snow	Society	Steam	Story	Wheel	Wound	Yeast	Yoke	Forgery	Frog	Garden	Glue	Grape	Grave	Honor	Invoice	Kiln	Leech	Lung	Mango	Manure	Mattress
Queen	.36**	.34**															.35**	.31**	.36**	.33**	.29**	.41**	.22*	.38**	.27**	.30**	.34**
Rumor	.36**	.29**	.36**														.35**	.25**	.36**	.33**	.48**	.49**	.40**	.18*	.39**	.22*	.45**
Scarf	.61**	.52**	.47**	.35**													.37**	.40**	.52**	.45**	.40**	.50**	.24*	.40**	.43**	.29**	.48**
Science	.46**	.55**	.50**	.59**	.36**												.42**	.57**	.53**	.54**	.44**	.50**	.37**	.43**	.48**	.24*	.42**
Sleep	.43**	.50**	.40**	.45**	.36**	.36**											.41**	.56**	.46**	.51**	.41**	.45**	.30**	.25**	.48**	.18*	.36**
Snow	.49**	.63**	.47**	.33**	.41**	.45**	.36**										.33**	.45**	.51**	.33**	.36**	.42**	.41**	.45**	.38**	.27**	.47**
Society	.36**	.40**	.50**	.48**	.44**	.36**	.42**	.22**									.32**	.50**	.48**	.43**	.44**	.32**	.26**	.33**	.30**	.24*	.34**
Steam	.46**	.39**	.56**	.45**	.46**	.45**	.36**	.45**	.36**								.42**	.46**	.46**	.39**	.30**	.47**	.46**	.39**	.46**	.12*	.47**
Story	.49**	.51**	.53**	.49**	.62**	.49**	.42**	.43**	.54**	.32**							.35**	.44**	.35**	.32**	.45**	.35**	.44**	.37**	.23**	.39**	.39**
Wheel	.53**	.49**	.43**	.45**	.45**	.42**	.42**	.42**	.40**	.38**							.40**	.36**	.40**	.38**	.35**	.40**	.36**	.51**	.32**	.35**	.44**
Wound	.49**	.43**	.53**	.50**	.58**	.51**	.42**	.42**	.45**	.44**	.53**						.53**	.46**	.44**	.44**	.52**	.53**	.46**	.64**	.34**	.58**	.58**
Yeast	.66**	.55**	.58**	.35**	.58**	.39**	.37**	.37**	.54**	.40**	.45**	.51**					.39**	.37**	.54**	.40**	.51**	.41**	.34**	.45**	.35**	.45**	.45**
Yoke	.48**	.36**	.58**																								
Forgery	.45**																										
Frog	.48**	.47**																									
Garden	.65**	.43**	.51**	.38**																							
Glue	.42**	.45**	.48**	.56**	.50**																						
Grape	.50**	.57**	.51**	.54**	.49**	.50**																					
Grave	.53**	.44**	.54**	.32**	.49**	.45**	.60**												.38**								
Honor	.38**	.43**	.54**	.58**	.54**	.58**	.73**												.54**	.43**							
Invoice	.54**	.61**	.56**	.54**	.59**	.47**	.57**												.65**	.48**	.53**	.47**	.45**				
Kiln	.54**	.45**	.53**	.45**	.40**	.45**	.55**												.50**	.33**	.55**	.52**	.45**				
Leech	.34**	.50**	.53**	.40**	.40**	.45**	.55**												.50**	.30**	.45**	.58**	.51**	.54**	.56**	.40**	.53**
Lung	.51**	.37**	.45**	.51**	.45**	.45**	.54**												.47**	.41**	.66**	.52**	.53**	.55**	.42**		.53**
Mango	.48**	.54**	.62**	.51**	.40**	.45**	.66**												.49**	.30**	.45**	.58**	.51**	.54**	.54**	.66**	.48**
Manure	.45**	.37**	.45**	.40**	.40**	.45**	.54**												.69**	.38**	.49**	.51**	.43**	.55**	.42**	.40**	.40**
Mattress	.43**	.44**	.49**	.54**	.58**	.42**	.65**												.58**	.49**	.65**	.50**	.43**	.55**	.54**	.66**	.53**
Orphan	.52**	.50**	.58**	.54**	.49**	.51**	.60**												.62**	.39**	.44**	.53**	.51**	.36**	.39**	.47**	.48**
Oyster	.47**	.57**	.38**	.49**	.38**	.49**	.60**												.58**	.39**	.44**	.53**	.36**	.36**	.39**	.47**	.48**
Pearl	.16**	.15**	.19**	.09**	.19**	.26**	.16**												.22*	.24*	.19*	.21**	.13*	.23*	.19*	.18*	.22*
Plate	.56**	.47**	.41**	.67**	.38**	.38**	.56**												.49**	.54**	.54**	.44**	.34**	.53**	.37**	.43**	.55**
Poem	.46**	.42**	.38**	.47**	.46**	.46**	.43**												.46**	.47**	.47**	.39**	.46**	.46**	.27**	.43**	.46**
Poison	.42**	.38**	.38**	.31**	.37**	.37**	.37**												.44**	.36**	.36**	.43**	.36**	.39**	.29**	.33**	.39**
Prayer	.28**	.32**	.36**	.29**	.40**	.41**	.42**												.39**	.33**	.33**	.32**	.32**	.48**	.36**	.34**	.39**
Queen	.37**	.32**	.29**	.40**	.33**	.33**	.41**												.53**	.30**	.35**	.39**	.41**	.40**	.34**	.29**	.48**
Rumor	.28**	.35**	.29**	.35**	.35**	.42**	.60**												.43**	.30**	.40**	.39**	.41**	.40**	.38**	.50**	.34**
Scarf	.44**	.46**	.48**	.40**	.48**	.52**	.61**												.54**	.56**	.57**	.49**	.46**	.48**	.47**	.50**	.50**
Science	.44**	.46**	.41**	.40**	.40**	.39**	.57**												.55**	.45**	.56**	.47**	.36**	.58**	.47**	.55**	.44**
Sleep	.50**	.24**	.23**	.40**	.40**	.31**	.43**												.35**	.40**	.46**	.44**	.34**	.43**	.47**	.32**	.48**
Snow	.48**	.41**	.40**	.41**	.40**	.37**	.61**												.47**	.47**	.53**	.42**	.32**	.40**	.45**	.51**	.47**
Society	.55**	.42**	.47**	.40**	.47**	.60**	.50**												.38**	.45**	.55**	.56**	.32**	.49**	.42**	.50**	.38**
Steam	.39**	.46**	.36**	.35**	.36**	.34**	.36**												.34**	.40**	.40**	.38**	.58**	.42**	.38**	.50**	.34**
Story	.37**	.47**	.45**	.47**	.45**	.26**	.61**												.59**	.47**	.53**	.37**	.42**	.50**	.40**	.46**	.53**
Wheel	.46**	.39**	.53**	.51**	.30**	.30**	.64**												.45**	.33**	.51**	.50**	.35**	.47**	.57**	.54**	.40**
Wound	.43**	.45**	.47**	.53**	.45**	.50**	.56**												.61**	.46**	.46**	.56**	.45**	.42**	.38**	.49**	.61**
Yeast	.53**	.58**	.44**	.39**	.42**	.42**	.60**												.58**	.45**	.58**	.47**	.37**	.50**	.40**	.57**	.46**

Table 8 (continued)

Yoke	.45**	.43**	.46**	.47**	.60**	.53**	.61**	.32**	.47**	.57**	.46**	.49**	.43**	.37**	.56**
Oyster	.56**														
Pearl	.21*	.24*	.18												
Plate	.56**	.51**	.14	.53**											
Poem	.51**	.50**	.23*	.28**	.41**										
Poison	.42**	.36**	.23*	.38**	.41**	.34**									
Prayer	.36**	.36**	.23*	.31**	.32**	.39**	.20*								
Queen	.37**	.41**	.15	.31**	.32**	.18	.32**	.27**							
Rumor	.51**	.46**	.26**	.45**	.43**	.36**	.40**	.45**	.37**						
Scarf	.48**	.49**	.29**	.51**	.38**	.39**	.30**	.25**	.48**	.45**					
Science	.54**	.37**	.21*	.42**	.34**	.44**	.23*	.36**	.30**	.40**	.51**				
Sleep	.48**	.44**	.16	.58**	.40**	.41**	.29**	.34**	.40**	.42**	.61**	.43**			
Snow	.46**	.41**	.28**	.36**	.46**	.39**	.32**	.27**	.36**	.45**	.39**	.48**	.34**		
Society	.39**	.44**	.20*	.40**	.38**	.21*	.39**	.30**	.35**	.45**	.41**	.20*	.33**	.44**	
Steam	.59**	.44**	.16	.71**	.46**	.36**	.44**	.29**	.34**	.42**	.61**	.29**	.51**	.29**	.28**
Story	.56**	.41**	.17	.56**	.49**	.34**	.31**	.32**	.37**	.40**	.42**	.41**	.43**	.47**	.37**
Wheel	.50**	.52**	.15	.49**	.46**	.27**	.18	.54**	.42**	.40**	.33**	.38**	.43**	.42**	.33**
Wound	.64**	.65**	.24*	.49**	.45**	.36**	.35**	.29**	.45**	.42**	.55**	.54**	.43**	.55**	.55**
Yeast	.31**	.49**	.22*	.37**	.34**	.31	.34**	.35**	.35**	.50**	.42**	.36**	.41**	.53**	.37**
Yoke				Yeast											
Wheel	.55**														
Wound	.29**	.41**													
Yeast	.43**	.53**	.47**												
Yoke	.36**	.44**	.42**	.46**											

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$

Table 9 Between-item correlational matrix for Kenya facts for participants in Group 1

	Q1	Q3	Q8	Q10	Q12	Q13	Q14	Q18	Q19	Q20	Q22	Q23	Q24	Q26	Q27	Q29	Q31
Q3	.12																
Q8	.20*	.04															
Q10	.22*	.07	.10														
Q12	.07	.17	.00	.10													
Q13	.08	.11	.11	.24*	.01												
Q14	.10	.20*	.17	.14	.30**	.19											
Q18	-.12	0	.20	.15	-.03	.12	.26**										
Q19	.17	.31**	-.02	-.19	.02	-.04	.23*	.03									
Q20	-.07	.12	.13	.05	.18	.28**	.26**	.15	.25*								
Q22	.07	.06	.01	.08	.22*	.19	.17	.30**	.06	.08							
Q23	.24*	.09	.22*	.07	-.10	.10	-.10	.03	.16	.26**	.12						
Q24	.13	-.16	.09	-.08	.03	.07	.12	.13	.07	.10	.11	.03					
Q26	.09	.13	.13	.14	.33**	.16	.23*	.03	.05	.18	.21*	.01	.22*				
Q27	.37**	.20*	.10	.00	.17	-.05	.20	.04	.12	.01	.11	.12	.01	.12			
Q29	.20*	.30**	.29**	.06	.15	-.07	.24*	.10	.25*	.17	.15	.07	.09	.25*	.14		
Q31	.08	.07	.05	.08	-.02	.02	.00	.12	.07	.06	.13	.14	.24*	.07	.11	.07	
Q32	.06	.00	.30**	.18	.12	.17	.27**	.42**	.13	.27**	.16	.15	.24*	.22*	.06	.22*	.07
Q35	.23*	.23*	.15	.13	.14	.06	.01	.05	.06	.08	-.05	.10	.10	.21*	.31**	.15	.22*
Q37	.04	.33**	.27**	-.03	.19	-.02	.35**	.09	.33**	.21*	.07	.12	.07	.23*	.12	.48**	.16
Q38	.13	.12	.25*	.20*	.09	.17	.28**	.22*	.07	.26**	-.01	.13	.16	.07	.10	.28**	.11
Q40	.16	.13	.12	.22*	.20*	.26**	.26**	.05	.20*	.36**	.08	.21*	.11	.12	.23*	.15	.19
Q44	.09	.20*	.09	.11	.18	.20*	.28**	.25*	.24*	.20*	.28**	.20*	.06	.15	.05	.15	.23*
Q45	.11	.16	.10	.24*	.17	.17	.20	-.04	-.02	.18	.12	.07	-.05	.06	.13	.12	.31**
Q46	.31**	.17	.30**	.19	.07	.25*	.16	.08	.12	.17	.10	.23*	.12	.12	.10	.17	.25*
Q47	.18	.17	.27**	.21*	-.01	.16	.13	.24*	.03	.19	.05	.02	.26**	.12	.07	.21*	.34**
Q48	.06	.19	.25*	.14	-.08	.24*	.07	.31**	.07	.15	.20*	.13	.10	.07	.18	.20	.11
Q49	.19	.16	.16	.23*	.13	.08	.14	.11	-.04	.10	.15	.05	.10	.11	.13	.01	.26**
Q50	.04	.19	.07	.12	.19	.09	.12	.16	.05	.05	.11	.04	.08	.05	.02	-.03	.12
Q51	.05	.07	.33**	-.08	.11	.26**	.30**	.05	.08	.13	-.01	.09	.19	.39**	.17	.12	-.03
Q52	.09	.09	.11	.26**	.19	.04	.07	.09	-.03	.14	.19	-.05	.04	.13	-.10	.04	.17
Q54	.10	.20	-.07	-.05	.11	.04	.00	.01	.15	.16	.14	.18	-.01	.15	.22*	.10	.57**
Q66	.35**	.15	.14	.15	.08	.19	.28**	.00	.15	.08	.20	.13	.14	.15	.12	.29**	.06
Q67	.23*	.02	.05	.08	.07	-.09	.11	.12	.07	.06	.05	.01	-.01	-.08	.17	.07	.10
Q69	.13	.12	-.01	.06	.13	.02	.36**	.38**	.10	.01	.48**	.12	.13	-.07	.09	.21*	.08
Q71	.26**	.07	.19	.18	.09	.03	.04	.01	-.08	-.09	.11	.10	.11	.15	.02	.25*	.05
Q73	.07	.28**	.38**	.24*	.06	.16	.37**	.29**	.01	.00	.14	.04	.05	.23*	.06	.17	.09

[illegible]

Facts are labeled according to the numbering scheme included in the norming tables shown earlier in the Appendix. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$

[illegible]

Table 10 Between-item correlational matrix for Kenya facts for participants in Group 2

	Q2	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q9	Q11	Q15	Q16	Q17	Q21	Q25	Q28	Q30	Q33	Q34	Q36	Q39
Q4	.21*																	
Q5	.19*	.19*																
Q6	.21*	.37**	.66**															
Q7	.30**	.09	.04	.00														
Q9	.08	.15	.02	-.02	.16													
Q11	.01	-.17	.04	.00	.10	.01												
Q15	.18	-.08	.38**	.19*	.22*	.18	.11											
Q16	.20*	.05	.00	.13	.01	.30**	.27**	.15										
Q17	.23*	-.05	.38**	.33**	.22*	.03	.05	.53**	.16									
Q21	.09	.10	.02	.00	.27**	.12	.00	.21**	.16	.27**								
Q25	.23*	.15	.19*	.05	.32**	.33**	.12	.26**	.15	.28**	.11							
Q28	.12	.08	.07	.08	.19*	.01	.08	.14	.05	.09	.06	.13						
Q30	.21*	.03	.19*	.15	.22*	.02	.22*	.47**	.08	.34**	.28**	.05	.01					
Q33	.19*	-.10	.13	-.01	.12	-.05	.08	.14	.02	.20*	.05	-.01	.16	.24*	.15			
Q34	.17	.09	.08	-.04	.17	-.10	-.04	-.01	-.11	.10	-.11	-.02	.15	.03	.15	.07		
Q36	.17	.15	.21*	.15	.37**	.08	.00	.23*	.14	.18	.25**	.24*	.18	.21*	-.03	.20*	.23*	
Q39	.30**	.11	.15	.11	.39**	.01	.02	.17	.14	.18	.19*	.17	.12	.17	.16	.20*	.16	.13
Q41	.13	-.06	.32**	.28**	.06	.03	-.01	.44**	.01	.24*	.03	.20*	-.11	.27**	.04	-.09	.16	.28**
Q42	.24*	.01	.15	.34**	.22*	.213*	.13	.33**	.26**	.39**	.04	.24*	.18	.31**	.14	.07	.30**	.15
Q43	.26**	.18	.22*	.31**	.25**	-.01	.19*	.37**	.28**	.51**	.21*	.11	.14	.29**	.11	.15	.25**	.17
Q53	.13	.20*	.13	.09	.23*	.12	.10	.27**	.16	.25**	.21*	.27**	.14	.25**	.19*	.04	.16	.17
Q55	-.02	.06	.06	.06	.10	.13	.10	.11	.09	.07	.15	.12	-.01	.13	-.08	-.12	.20*	-.02
Q56	.24*	.21*	.17	.12	.35**	.23*	.04	.15	.24*	.25**	.38**	.28**	.10	.12	.14	.13	.18	.31**
Q57	.26**	.07	.10	.07	.09	-.02	-.03	.10	.05	.13	.09	.23*	.11	.19*	.05	-.04	.26**	.11
Q58	.19*	-.04	-.04	-.04	.04	.02	.04	.08	.10	.38**	.14	.09	.07	.05	.22*	-.06	.11	-.10
Q59	.32**	.00	.04	-.09	.24*	.07	-.03	.22*	.22*	.05	.08	.15	.15	.21*	.10	.13	.20*	.19*
Q60	.08	.01	-.01	.01	-.01	.09	.12	.13	.18	-.06	.11	.11	.12	.11	.12	-.04	.15	-.01
Q61	.19*	.01	.10	.01	.11	-.01	.11	.19*	.12	.03	.05	.19*	.07	.16	.06	-.03	.17	.15
Q62	-.03	-.03	.01	.15	.13	-.12	-.05	.17	.05	.18	.37**	.07	.08	.11	-.05	.07	.08	-.06
Q63	.08	.18	.12	.08	.10	.08	-.07	.38**	.07	.33**	.39**	.19	.09	.17	.06	.05	.11	-.02
Q64	.08	-.01	-.05	-.10	.11	.04	-.04	.11	.04	.11	.08	-.13	.09	.08	.13	.05	.21*	-.03
Q65	.12	-.05	.19*	.04	.17	-.05	.17	.19*	.29**	.23*	.15	.09	.17	.12	.09	-.10	.11	.08
Q68	.14	-.04	.10	-.04	.18	-.04	.11	.31**	.13	.24*	.16	.18	.32**	.22*	.25**	.15	.31**	.14
Q70	.19*	.01	.10	.01	.24*	.08	.06	.12	.08	.03	.10	.19*	.07	.16	.06	.16	.22*	.04
Q72	.31**	.05	.09	.15	.12	.17	.04	.19*	.15	.02	.06	.10	.01	.11	.15	.10	.00	.12
Q74	.14	-.03	.00	-.03	.17	-.02	.00	.24*	.01	.05	.22*	.03	.16	.22*	.13	.06	.18	.34**
Q77	.14	.22*	.42**	.22*	.17	.13	.10	.16	.04	.06	-.09	.18	.13	-.06	.08	.14	.12	.14
Q81	.32**	.05	.10	-.04	.50**	.03	.03	.26**	-.09	.17	.26**	.20*	.20*	.24*	.14	.14	.34**	.20*

Table 10 (continued)

Q82	.16	.07	.24 ⁺	.34 ⁺	.14	.10	.08	.33 ⁺	.18	.43 ⁺	.11	.22 ⁺	.13	.26 ⁺	.11	.06	.26 ⁺
Q84	-.04	-.08	.07	-.08	.18	.09	.14	.26 ⁺	.24 ⁺	.13	.22 ⁺	.24 ⁺	.07	.13	-.10	.32 ⁺	.16
Q85	.10	.08	-.05	-.01	.21 ⁺	.10	.09	.17	.06	.10	.12	.18	.32 ⁺	.07	.08	-.05	.23 ⁺
Q86	.08	-.05	.02	-.05	.20 ⁺	.11	.08	.23 ⁺	.13	.15	.04	.20 ⁺	.17	.11	.09	-.10	.23 ⁺
Q89	.02	.06	.01	-.03	.19 ⁺	.16	.08	.10	.14	.09	.14	.17	.14	-.05	.05	-.07	.14
Q91	.21 ⁺	.16	-.04	.16	.00	.15	.00	.06	.13	.14	.10	.05	.08	.15	.16	.09	.06
Q92	.12	.11	-.02	.02	.15	-.08	.00	.11	.07	.06	.15	.12	-.02	.24 ⁺	-.04	.09	.19 ⁺
Q95	.35 ⁺	.02	.16	.11	.32 ⁺	.19 ⁺	.13	.31 ⁺	.24 ⁺	.32 ⁺	.13	.13	.11	.308 ⁺	.33 ⁺	.04	.10
Q97	.12	.08	.07	.08	.14	.01	.03	.14	.05	.09	.06	.13	.10	.16	.00	.08	.24 ⁺
Q99	.13	.08	.07	.08	.10	.02	-.08	.04	.11	-.05	.03	.03	.04	.07	.13	.11	.14
Q10- 0	.13	.00	-.02	.00	.05	-.12	-.04	.18	.06	.12	.15	-.16	.08	.22 ⁺	.17	.05	.15
Q41	Q41	Q42	Q43	Q53	Q55	Q56	Q57	Q58	Q59	Q60	Q61	Q62	Q63	Q64	Q65	Q68	Q70
Q42	.22 ⁺	.30 ⁺	.25 ⁺	.16	-.07	.12	.18	.14	.18	.19 ⁺	.09	.31 ⁺	.10	.14	.13	.02	.15
Q43	.21 ⁺	.30 ⁺	.11	.13	.11	.27 ⁺	.17	.01	.21 ⁺	.03	.04	.02	.10	.22 ⁺	.09	.10	.16
Q53	.10	.29 ⁺	.16	.16	.06	.10	.12	.01	.04	-.02	.14	.14	.10	.22 ⁺	.13	.02	.13
Q55	-.05	.06	.11	.16	-.09	.30 ⁺	.18	.01	.22 ⁺	.10	.14	.31 ⁺	.10	.14	.13	.17	.17
Q56	-.05	.24 ⁺	.13	.21 ⁺	.08	.20 ⁺	.17	.02	.21 ⁺	.15	.04	.02	.10	.14	.13	.10	.15
Q57	.22 ⁺	.02	.08	.16	.17	.05	.17	.02	.04	.03	.05	.02	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q58	-.05	.15	.22 ⁺	.13	.06	.20 ⁺	.12	.01	.04	.03	.13	.14	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q59	.06	.12	.02	.09	-.09	.30 ⁺	.14	.23 ⁺	.22 ⁺	.10	.14	.31 ⁺	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q60	.07	.09	.01	.03	.08	.11	.18	.01	.18	.19 ⁺	.09	.31 ⁺	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q61	.06	.14	.00	.02	.17	.05	.17	.02	.21 ⁺	.15	.04	.02	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q62	.09	.10	.24 ⁺	-.03	.01	.20 ⁺	.12	.01	.04	.03	.05	.02	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q63	.01	.21 ⁺	.23 ⁺	.25 ⁺	.17	.17	.04	.23 ⁺	.22 ⁺	.10	.14	.31 ⁺	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q64	-.07	.10	.08	.03	.10	-.02	-.02	.15	.05	.15	.04	.02	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q65	-.07	.01	.16	.18	.04	.06	.03	.19 ⁺	.19 ⁺	.03	.05	.02	.10	.14	.13	.10	.17
Q68	.07	.21 ⁺	.22 ⁺	.24 ⁺	.03	.19 ⁺	.08	.19 ⁺	.24 ⁺	.19 ⁺	.13	.07	.15	.17	.02	.10	.15
Q70	.06	.08	-.07	.12	.17	.14	.27 ⁺	.10	.25 ⁺	.30 ⁺	.31 ⁺	.14	.08	.18	.13	.10	.15
Q72	.05	.19 ⁺	.17	.22 ⁺	.05	.12	.05	.12	.11	.21 ⁺	.05	-.05	.14	.07	.01	.10	.15
Q74	.08	.09	.16	.19 ⁺	.02	.02	.16	.11	.03	.11	.15	.04	.21 ⁺	.07	.11	.17	.20 ⁺
Q77	.14	.07	.06	.11	.10	.14	.00	-.06	-.03	.03	.10	-.1 ⁺	.02	.14	.08	.09	.12
Q81	.08	.09	.28 ⁺	.08	.09	.10	.18	.20 ⁺	.08	.05	.07	.11	.17	.17	.13	.29 ⁺	.20 ⁺

Table 10 (continued)

Q82	.24*	.65*	.31*	.30*	.00	.30**	.24*	.13	.12	.11	.14	.21*	-.02	.05	.17	.11	.16
Q84	.17	.14	.16	.20*	.08	.16	-.01	.07	.20*	.14	.08	.05	.24*	.06	.16	.18	.08
Q85	.07	.18	.18	.15	.18	.09	.26*	.05	.18	.12	.09	.17	.14	.223*	.09	.18	-.05
Q86	.10	.16	.07	.11	.10	.09	.17	.02	.21*	.12	.04	-.07	.09	.13	.11	.26*	.12
Q89	.02	.09	.02	.18	.21*	.04	.03	-.09	.06	.16	.10	.01	.09	.12	.11	.20*	.10
Q91	-.06	.23*	.31*	.20*	-.10	.03	.07	.19*	.00	.12	.01	.06	.08	-.01	-.05	-.04	.01
Q92	.18	.16	.13	.19*	.13	.16	.35*	-.02	.24*	.03	.22*	.13	.14	-.02	-.07	.05	.05
Q95	.08	.36*	.23*	.23*	.02	.25**	.16	.26*	.13	.16	.05	.36**	.21*	.34**	.12	.25*	
Q97	.00	.18	.06	.07	.29*	.10	.17	.07	.09	.45*	.40**	.15	.04	.06	.16	.33*	.13
Q99	-.02	-.06	-.04	-.02	.11	.13	.14	-.09	.23*	.16	.24*	.20-	.06	-.04	-.05	.12	.31**
Q10-	.06	.07	.13	.11	.09	.04	.11	.10	.28*	.36*	.17	.03	.13	.14	.03	.24*	.03
Q77	.16					.27**											.27**
Q81	.23*	-.02				.33**											.18
Q82	.13	.08	.07	.18		.22*											
Q84	.17	.10	.08	.15	.26*												
Q85	.02	.13	.12	.15													
Q86	.06	.02	.15	.16	.39*	.27**											
Q89	.07	.11	.09	.08	.24*	.33**											
Q91	.08	.07	.05	.21*	.10	.08	.05	.06									
Q92	.03	-.06	.09	.14	.08	-.01	.08	-.10	.11								
Q95	.13	.07	.14	.22*	.08	.19*	.16	.08	.11	.16							
Q97	.16	.13	.10	.13	.11	.15	.05	.25*	.08	.14	.11						
Q99	.13	.11	-.01	-.07	.05	.03	.16	-.02	-.07	.09	.02	.31**					
Q10-	.14	.02	.05	.11	.10	.06	.11	.08	.11	.11	.10	.34**					
0												.40**					

Facts are labeled according to the numbering scheme included in the norming tables shown earlier in the Appendix. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$

References

- Ariel, R. (2012). *Learning what to learn: The effects of task experience on strategy shifts in allocation of study time* (Doctoral dissertation). Kent State University, Kent.
- Balota, D. A., Duchek, J. M., Sergent-Marshall, S. D., & Roediger, H. L., III. (2006). Does expanded retrieval produce benefits over equal-interval spacing? Explorations of spacing effects in healthy aging and early stage Alzheimer's disease. *Psychology and Aging*, 21, 19–31. doi:10.1037/0882-7974.21.1.19
- Balota, D. A., Duchek, J. M., & Logan, J. M. (2007a). Is expanded retrieval practice a superior form of spaced retrieval? A critical review of the extant literature. In J. S. Nairne (Ed.), *The foundations of remembering: Essays in honor of Henry L. Roediger III* (pp. 83–105). New York: Psychology Press.
- Balota, D. A., Yap, M. J., Cortese, M. J., Hutchison, K. A., Kessler, B., Loftis, B., ... Treiman, R. (2007b). The English Lexicon Project. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39, 445–459. doi:10.3758/BF03193014
- Barber, S. J., Rajaram, S., & Marsh, E. J. (2008). Fact learning: How information accuracy, delay, and repeated testing change retention and retrieval experience. *Memory*, 16, 934–946. doi:10.1080/09658210802360603
- Bäuml, K.-H. T., Holtermann, C., & Abel, M. (2014). Sleep can reduce the testing effect: It enhances recall of restudied items but can leave recall of retrieved items unaffected. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 40, 1568–1581. doi:10.1037/xlm0000025
- Birnbaum, M. H. (2004). Human research and data collection via the Internet. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 803–832. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141601
- Bonamo, K. K., Legerski, J. P., & Thomas, K. B. (2015). The influence of a brief mindfulness exercise on encoding of novel words in female college students. *Mindfulness*, 6, 535–544. doi:10.1007/s1267101402853
- Buhrmester, M., Kwang, T., & Gosling, S. D. (2011). Amazon's Mechanical Turk: A new source of inexpensive, yet high-quality, data? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6, 3–5. doi:10.1177/1745691610393980
- Carpenter, S. K., & Olson, K. M. (2012). Are pictures good for learning new vocabulary in a foreign language? Only if you think they are not. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 38, 92–101. doi:10.1037/a0024828
- Carpenter, S. K., Pashler, H., Wixted, J. T., & Vul, E. M. (2008). The effects of tests on learning and forgetting. *Memory & Cognition*, 36, 438–448. doi:10.3758/MC.36.2.438
- Casler, K., Bickel, L., & Hackett, E. (2013). Separate but equal? A comparison and data gathered via Amazon's MTurk, social media, and face-to-face behavioral testing. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29, 2156–2160. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2013.05.009
- Cepeda, N. J., Pashler, H., Vul, E., Wixted, J. T., & Rohrer, D. (2006). Distributed practice in verbal recall tasks: A review and quantitative synthesis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 132, 354–380. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.132.3.354
- Criss, A. H., Aue, W. R., & Smith, L. (2011). The effects of word frequency and context variability in cued recall. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 64, 119–132.
- Crump, M. J. C., McDonnell, J. V., & Gureckis, T. M. (2013). Evaluating Amazon's Mechanical Turk as a tool for experimental behavioral research. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e57410. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0057410
- Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Marsh, E. J., Mitchell, N. J., & Willingham, D. T. (2013). Improving students' learning with effective learning techniques: Promising directions from cognitive and educational psychology. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 14, 4–58. doi:10.1177/1529100612453266
- Dunlosky, J., & Thiede, K. W. (1998). What makes people study more? An evaluation of factors that affect self-paced study. *Acta Psychologica*, 98, 37–56. doi:10.1016/S0001-6918(97)00051-6
- Finn, B., & Roediger, H. L., III. (2011). Enhancing retention through reconsolidation: Negative emotional arousal following retrieval enhances later recall. *Psychological Science*, 22, 781–786. doi:10.1177/0956797611407932
- Finn, B., & Roediger, H. L., III. (2012). Reconsolidation from negative emotional pictures: Is successful retrieval required? *Memory & Cognition*, 40, 1011–1045. doi:10.3758/s13421-012-0203-7
- Grimaldi, P. J., Pyc, M. A., & Rawson, K. A. (2010). Normative multitrial recall performance, metacognitive judgments, and retrieval latencies for Lithuanian–English paired associates. *Behavior Research Methods*, 42, 634–664. doi:10.3758/BRM.42.3.634
- Hays, M. J., Kornell, N., & Bjork, R. A. (2010). The costs and benefits of providing feedback during learning. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 17, 797–801. doi:10.3758/PBR.17.6.797
- Jang, Y., & Nelson, T. O. (2005). How many dimensions underlie judgments of learning and recall? Evidence from state-trace methodology. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 134, 308–326. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.134.3.308
- Kahana, M. J. (2002). Associative symmetry and memory theory. *Memory & Cognition*, 30, 823–840. doi:10.3758/BF03195769
- Kang, S. H. K., & Pashler, H. (2014). Is the benefit of retrieval practice modulated by motivation? *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 3, 183–188. doi:10.1016/2014.05.006
- Karpicke, J. D. (2009). Metacognitive control and strategy selection: Deciding to practice retrieval during learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 138, 469–486. doi:10.1037/a0017341
- Karpicke, J. D., & Bauernschmidt, A. (2011). Spaced retrieval: Absolute spacing enhances learning regardless of relative spacing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 37, 1250–1257. doi:10.1037/a0023436
- Karpicke, J. D., & Roediger, H. L., III. (2008). The critical importance of retrieval for learning. *Science*, 319, 966–968. doi:10.1126/science.1152408
- Kayes, M. K. (2013). *Variability in cognitive performance and learning in younger and older adults explained by cardiovascular fitness, physical activity, and APOE genotype* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Maryland, College Park.
- Keleman, W. L., Frost, P. J., & Weaver, C. A. (2000). Individual differences in metacognition: Evidence against a general metacognitive ability. *Memory & Cognition*, 28, 92–107. doi:10.3758/BF03211579
- Keleman, W. L., Winningham, R. G., & Weaver, C. A. (2007). Repeated testing sessions and scholastic aptitude in college students' metacognitive accuracy. *European Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 19, 689–717. doi:10.1080/09541440701326170
- Kornell, N., & Bjork, R. A. (2008). Optimizing self-regulated study: The benefits—and costs—of dropping flashcards. *Memory*, 16, 125–136. doi:10.1080/09658210701763899
- Kroll, J. F., & Stewart, E. (1994). Category interference in translation and picture naming: Evidence for asymmetric connections between bilingual memory representations. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 33, 149–174. doi:10.1006/jmla.1994.1008
- Krueger, L. E. (2012). Age-related effects of study time allocation on memory performance on a verbal and spatial task. *Educational Gerontology*, 38, 604–615. doi:10.1080/03601277.2011.595327
- Krueger, L. E., & Sifuentes, C. M. (2014). Study time allocation, retrospective confidence, gender, and recall performance: Does memory self-efficacy matter? *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology*, 13, 392–410. doi:10.1891/1945-8959.13.3.392
- Little, J. L., Storm, B. C., & Bjork, E. L. (2011). The costs and benefits of testing text materials. *Memory*, 19, 346–359. doi:10.1080/09658211.2011.569725

- Logan, J. M., & Balota, D. A. (2008). Expanded vs. equal spaced retrieval practice in healthy young and older adults. *Aging, Cognition, and Neuropsychology*, 15, 257–280. doi:10.1080/13825580701322171
- Lund, K., & Burgess, C. (1996). Producing high-dimensional semantic spaces from lexical co-occurrence. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 28, 203–208. doi:10.3758/BF03204766
- Madan, C. R., Glaholt, M. G., & Caplan, J. B. (2010). The influence of item properties on association-memory. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 63, 46–63. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2010.03.001
- Mason, W., & Suri, S. (2012). Conducting behavioral research on Amazon's Mechanical Turk. *Behavior Research Methods*, 44, 1–23. doi:10.3758/s13428-011-0124-6
- Miyake, T. M. (2007). *Metacognition, proactive interference, and working memory: Can people monitor for proactive interference at encoding and retrieval?* (Doctoral dissertation). University of North Carolina, Greensboro.
- Nelson, T. O., & Dunlosky, J. (1994). Norms of paired-associate recall during multitrial learning of Swahili–English translation equivalents. *Memory*, 2, 325–335. doi:10.1080/09658219408258951
- Nelson, T. O., & Narens, L. (1980). Norms of 300 general-information questions: Accuracy of recall, latency of recall, and feeling-of-knowing ratings. *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, 19, 338–368. doi:10.1016/S0022-5371(80)90266-2
- Papagno, C., Valentine, T., & Baddeley, A. (1991). Phonological short-term memory and foreign-language vocabulary learning. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 30, 331–347.
- Prior, A., MacWhinney, B., & Kroll, J. F. (2007). Translation norms for English and Spanish: The role of lexical variables, word class, and L2 proficiency in negotiating translation ambiguity. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39, 1029–1038. doi:10.3758/BF03193001
- Pyc, M. A., & Rawson, K. A. (2007). Examining the efficiency of schedules of distributed retrieval practice. *Memory & Cognition*, 35, 1917–1927. doi:10.3758/BF03192925
- Pyc, M. A., & Rawson, K. A. (2009a). Costs and benefits of dropout schedules of test–restudy practice: Implications for student learning. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25, 87–95. doi:10.1002/acp.1646
- Pyc, M. A., & Rawson, K. A. (2009b). Testing the retrieval effort hypothesis: Does greater difficulty correctly recalling information lead to higher levels of memory? *Journal of Memory and Language*, 60, 437–447. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2009.01.004
- Pyc, M. A., & Rawson, K. A. (2010). Why testing improves memory: Mediator effectiveness hypothesis. *Science*, 330, 335. doi:10.1126/science.1191465
- Pyc, M. A., & Rawson, K. A. (2012a). Are judgments of learning made after correct responses during retrieval practice sensitive to lag and criterion level effects? *Memory & Cognition*, 40, 976–988. doi:10.3758/s13421-012-0200-x
- Pyc, M. A., & Rawson, K. A. (2012b). Why is test–restudy practice beneficial for memory? An evaluation of the mediator shift hypothesis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 38, 737–746. doi:10.1037/a0026166
- Pyc, M. A., Rawson, K. A., & Aschenbrenner, A. J. (2014). Metacognitive monitoring during criterion learning: When and why are judgments accurate? *Memory & Cognition*, 42, 886–897. doi:10.3758/s13421-014-0403-4
- Raaijmakers, J. G. W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1980). SAM: A theory of probabilistic search in associative memory. In G. H. Bower (Ed.), *The psychology of learning and motivation: Advances in research and theory* (Vol. 14, pp. 207–262). New York: Academic Press.
- Raaijmakers, J. G. W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1981). Search of associative memory. *Psychological Review*, 88, 93–134. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.88.2.93
- Raaijmakers, J. G. W., & Shiffrin, R. M. (2002). Models of memory. In H. Pashler & D. Medin (Eds.), *Stevens' Handbook of experimental psychology: Vol. 2. Memory and cognitive processes* (3rd ed., pp. 43–76). New York: Wiley.
- Raugh, M. R., & Atkinson, R. C. (1975). A mnemonic method for learning a second-language vocabulary. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 67, 1–16. doi:10.1037/h0078665
- Rawson, K. A., & Kintsch, W. (2005). Rereading effects depend on time of test. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 40, 115–124. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.97.1.70
- Reimers, S., & Stewart, N. (2014). Presentation and response timing accuracy in Adobe Flash and HTML5/JavaScript Web experiments. *Behavior Research Methods*, 47, 309–327. doi:10.3758/s13428-014-0471-1
- Roediger, H. L., III, Agarwal, P. K., McDaniel, M. A., & McDermott, K. B. (2011). Test-enhanced learning in the classroom: Long-term improvements from quizzing. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 17, 382–395. doi:10.1037/a0026252
- Scheck, P., & Nelson, T. O. (2005). Lack of pervasiveness of the underconfidence-with-practice effect: Boundary connections and an explanation via anchoring. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 134, 124–128. doi:10.1037/0096-3445.134.1.124
- Simcox, T., & Fiez, J. A. (2014). Collecting response times using Amazon Mechanical Turk and Adobe Flash. *Behavior Research Methods*, 46, 95–111. doi:10.3758/s13428-013-0345-y
- Sobel, H. S., Cepeda, N. J., & Kapler, I. V. (2011). Spacing effects in real world classroom vocabulary learning. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25, 763–767. doi:10.1002/acp.1747
- Tauber, S. K., Dunlosky, J., Rawson, K. A., Rhodes, M. G., & Sitzman, D. M. (2013). General knowledge norms: Updated and expanded from the Nelson and Narens (1980) norms. *Behavior Research Methods*, 45, 1115–1143. doi:10.3758/s13428-012-0307-9
- Vaughn, K. E., & Rawson, K. A. (2011). Diagnosing criterion-level effects on memory: What aspects of memory are enhanced by repeated retrieval? *Psychological Science*, 22, 1127–1131. doi:10.1177/0956797611417724
- Vaughn, K. E., & Rawson, K. A. (2014). Effects of criterion level on associative memory: Evidence for associative asymmetry. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 75, 14–26. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2014.04.004