

Fourier analysis on the Boolean Function

- We consider the boolean cube $\{-1, 1\}^n$ with the uniform measure,
- and study (balanced) Boolean functions $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

Definition

- The influence of coordinate $i \in [n]$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{I}_i[f] = \Pr_x[f(x) \neq f(x \oplus e_i)]$$

- The total influence of f is $\mathbf{I}[f] = \mathbf{I}_1[f] + \dots + \mathbf{I}_n[f]$.

key question

- Suppose $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ has a small total influence, $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$ what can be said about its structure?
- Appears in TCS, learning theory, extremal combinatorics, sharp threshold...

Theorem of KKL and Friedgut

Examples

- Dictatorship: $f(x) = x_1$.
- Juntas: $f(x) = g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ for some $g : \{-1, 1\}^K \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

Theorem[Kahn-Kalai-Linial]

- If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $\mathbf{I}_i[f] \geq e^{-O(K)}$. (i.e. f resembles as a dictatorship, can it be strengthened?)

Theorem[Friedgut]

- If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then f essentially depends on $e^{O(K)}$ coordinates: there exists $S \subseteq [n]$ of size $e^{O(K)}$, and $g : \{0, 1\}^S \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ such that $f(x) = g(x_S)$, for $1 - \epsilon$ fraction of x 's.

Both theorems only meaningful for $K = o(\log n)$. "the logarithmic barrier"

Basics of Fourier analysis

Definition [Inner Product]

- Let $f, g : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define $\langle f, g \rangle = \mathbb{E}_x[f(x)g(x)]$.

Definition [Characters]

- For each $S \subseteq [n]$, define $\chi_S : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, $\chi_S(x) = \prod_{i \in S} x_i$.
- Fact: $\{\chi_S\}_{S \subseteq [n]}$ is an orthonormal basis for the space of real-valued functions.

Definition [Fourier Decomposition]

- Write $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ according to the basis of characters: $f(x) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x)$.
- Coefficients are given by $\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S \rangle$.

Fact [Parseval/Plancherel]

- For $f, g : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S) \hat{g}(S).$$

- Particular case of interest: $f = g$ is boolean (+1,-1 valued), getting we have that

$$1 = \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

i.e. $(\hat{f}(S)^2)_{S \subseteq [n]}$ is a distribution.

The Fourier Entropy Conjecture

Morally, If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then the Fourier spectrum of f is concentrated on $e^{O(K)}$ coefficients

Conjecture

- There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$, such that $H_{\text{shannon}}[\hat{f}^2] \leq C \cdot \mathbf{I}[f]$ for all $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, where $H_{\text{shannon}}[\hat{f}^2] = \sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2 \cdot \log(1/\hat{f}(S)^2)$.

The Fourier Min-Entropy Conjecture

- $H_{\infty}[\hat{f}^2] \leq C \cdot \mathbf{I}[f]$.
- Clearly weaker than FEC; stronger than [KKL]
- Yet (just as) wide open!

Fourier analytic formulas for influences

Lemma

- Let $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. Then $\mathbf{I}_i[f] = \sum_{i \in S} \hat{f}(S)^2$.

Corollary

- Let $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. Then $\mathbf{I}[f] = \sum_S |S| \hat{f}(S)^2$.

Remark

- Note: function $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ satisfying $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$ are approximated by low degree polynomials.
- Indeed, by the corollary and markov's inequality $\sum_{|S| \geq K/\epsilon} \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq \epsilon$.
 - $K \geq \mathbf{I}[f] = \sum_S |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq \sum_{|S| \geq K/\epsilon} (K/\epsilon) \hat{f}(S)^2$,
 - $\sum_{|S| \geq K/\epsilon} \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq \epsilon$.

Main result

Theorem [KKLMS]

- For all boolean, balanced functions $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ it holds that

$$\sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2 \cdot \log(1/\hat{f}(S)^2) \leq C \cdot \sum_S |S| \log(|S| + 1) \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Theorem [KKLMS]

- For all boolean, balanced functions $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, $\forall T \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{|S| \leq T} \hat{f}(S)^2 \cdot \log \left(1/\hat{f}(S)^2 \right) \leq C \cdot \sum_{|S| \leq T} |S| \log (|S| + 1) \hat{f}(S)^2 + C \cdot \mathbf{I}[f].$$

Hypercontractivity

Hypercontractivity [Bonami, Bckcr, Gross]

- If $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ multilinear of degree d , then $\|p\|_4 \leq \sqrt{3}^d \cdot \|p\|_2$, where $\|p\|_q = E[|p(x)|^q]^{1/q}$.

Fact

- Suppose $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ satisfies $Pr[p(x) \neq 0] = \delta$. Then $deg(p) \geq \Omega(\log(1/\delta))$.

Proof

- $\|p\|_4 = E[|p(x)|^4]^{1/4} = \delta^{1/4}$.
- $\|p\|_2 = E[|p(x)|^2]^{1/2} = \delta^{1/2}$.
- By hypercontractivity: $\|p\|_4 \leq \sqrt{3}^{deg(p)} \|p\|_2$, this implies that $deg(p) \geq \Omega(\log(1/\delta))$.

(Robust) Fact

- Suppose $p : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ satisfies $Pr[p(x) \neq 0] = \delta$. Then $\sum_{|S| \leq 0.1 \log(1/\delta)} \hat{p}(S)^2 \leq \delta^{9/8} \ll \delta$.

Proof

- Define the low degree part $g(x) = \sum_{|S| \leq 0.1 \log(1/\delta)} \hat{p}(S) \chi_S(x)$. Then by Plancherel $\sum_{|S| \leq 0.1 \log(1/\delta)} \hat{p}(S)^2 = \langle g, p \rangle$.
- Using Holder and Hypercontractivity $\langle g, p \rangle \leq \|g\|_4 \|p\|_{4/3} \leq 3^{deg(g)/2} \|g\|_2 \|p\|_{4/3}$.
- By Parseval, $\|g\|_2 \leq \|p\|_2$.
- $\|p\|_2 = E[|p(x)|^2]^{1/2} = \delta^{1/2}$.
- $\|p\|_{4/3} = E[|p(x)|^{4/3}]^{3/4} = \delta^{3/4}$.
- $\langle g, p \rangle \leq 3^{deg(g)/2} \|p\|_2 \|p\|_{4/3} \leq 3^{1/20 \cdot \log(1/\delta)} \delta^{5/4} \leq e^{(\log 3)/20 \cdot \log(1/\delta)} \delta^{5/4} = \delta^{-(\log 3)/20} \delta^{5/4} \leq \delta^{-1/10} \delta^{5/4} \leq \delta^{-1/8} \delta^{5/4} = \delta^{9/8}$.

Theorem[Kahn-Kalai-Linial]

- If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $\mathbf{I}_i[f] \geq e^{-O(K)}$.

Proof of KKL theorem:

- Assume for contradiction that $\mathbf{I}_i[f] \leq e^{-100K}$ for all i .
- For each $i \in [n]$, define $\partial_i f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ by $\partial_i f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x \oplus e^i)}{2}$; note that

$$\partial_i f(x) = \sum_{i \in S} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x)$$

- Note that $Pr[\partial_i f(x) \neq 0] = \mathbf{I}_i[f] \leq e^{-100K}$. By the robust fact we get that

$$\sum_{i \in S, |S| \leq 10K} \hat{f}(S)^2 = \sum_{|S| \leq 10K} \hat{\partial_i f}(S)^2 \leq \mathbf{I}_i[f]^{9/8} \leq e^{-100K/8} \mathbf{I}_i[f].$$

- Summing over i gives us that $\sum_{|S| \leq 10K} \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq \sum_{|S| \leq 10K} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq e^{-100K/8} \sum_i \mathbf{I}_i[f] \leq e^{-100K/8} K \leq 0.1$.
- $\sum_{|S| > 10K} \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq 0.9$.

- $\mathbf{I}[f] = \sum_S |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq \sum_{|S| > 10K} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 > \sum_{|S| > 10K} 10K \cdot \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq 9K > K$.
- This is a contradiction.

On The Fourier Entropy Conjecture

It's all about correlation inequalities.

Definition: Suppose $g : \{-1, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \in [n]$, define $\mathbf{I}_i[g] = E_x \left(\frac{g(x) - g(x \oplus e_i)}{2} \right)^2$.

Lemma: Suppose $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is a balanced boolean function, $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{I}_i[f^{\leq d}] \leq \delta$, then

$$\langle f, f^{\leq d} \rangle \leq \delta^{1/8} \cdot e^{O(d)} \cdot \mathbf{I}[f].$$

The Dream: try to reduce the degree of $f^{\leq d}$ prior to applying hypercontractivity.

Definition: Suppose $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $A \subseteq [n]$, $z \in \{-1, 1\}^{\bar{A}}$, then $f_{\bar{A} \rightarrow z} : \{-1, 1\}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $f_{\bar{A} \rightarrow z}(y) = f(y, z)$.

- $f^{\leq d}(x) = \sum_{|S| \leq d} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S \cap A} x_i \cdot \prod_{i \in S \cap \bar{A}} x_i$.
- $f_{\bar{A} \rightarrow z}^{\leq d}(x) = \sum_{|S| \leq d} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S \cap \bar{A}} z_i \prod_{i \in S \cap A} x_i$. (the characters from χ_S to $\chi_{S \cap A}$).

Suppose we want to reduce the degree of $f^{\leq d}$ to $v \ll d$. Choose A randomly by including each $i \in [n]$ w.p. $\frac{v}{d}$. Then $|S| \leq d$ implies that $|S \cap A| \lesssim v$.

Assumption: $\deg(f_{\bar{A} \rightarrow z}) \sim v$.

Lemma: Suppose $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, $g : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\deg(g) \leq d$ and $\mathbf{I}_i[f^{\leq d}] \leq \delta$. Then

$$\langle f, g \rangle \leq \delta^{1/8} \cdot e^{O(d)} \cdot \mathbf{I}[f, g],$$

where $\mathbf{I}[f, g] = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sqrt{\mathbf{I}_i[f] \mathbf{I}_i[g]} \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{I}[f] \mathbf{I}[g]}$.

Lemma: Suppose $f : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, $g : \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\deg(g) \leq d$ and $\delta > 0$, then

$$\langle f, g \rangle \leq \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right)^d \max_S |\hat{f}(S)| \cdot |\hat{g}(S)| + \delta^{1/8} \cdot e^{O(d)} \cdot \mathbf{I}[f, g].$$