Fourier analysis on the Boolean Function

- We consider the boolean cube $\{-1,1\}^n$ with the uniform measure,
- and study (balanced) Boolean functions $f: \{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$.

Definition

ullet The influence of coordinate $i\in[n]$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{I}_i[f] = \mathop{Pr}_x[f(x)
eq f(x \oplus e_i)]$$

• The total influence of f is $\mathbf{I}[f] = \mathbf{I}_1[f] + \cdots + \mathbf{I}_n[f]$.

key question

- Suppose $f:\{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ has a small total influence, $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$ what can be said about its structure?
- Appears in TCS, learning theory, extremal combinatorics, sharp threshold...

Theorem of KKL and Friedgut

Examples

- Dictatorship: $f(x) = x_1$.
- Juntas: $f(x) = g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ for some $g: \{-1, 1\}^K o \{-1, 1\}$.

Theorem[Kahn-Kalai-Linial]

• If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $\mathbf{I}_i[f] \geq e^{-O(K)}$. (i.e. f resembles as a dictatorship, can it be strengthened?)

Theorem[Friedgut]

• If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then f essentially depends on $e^{O(K)}$ coordinates: there exists $S \subseteq [n]$ of size $e^{O(K)}$, and $g:\{0,1\}^S \to \{-1,1\}$ such that $f(x)=g(x_S)$, for $1-\epsilon$ fraction of x's.

Both theorems only meaningful for $K = o(\log n)$. "the logarithmic barrier"

Basics of Fourier analysis

Definition [Inner Product]

ullet Let $f,g:\{-1,1\}^n o \mathbb{R}.$ Define $\langle f,g
angle=\mathbb{E}_x[f(x)g(x)].$

Definition [Characters]

- ullet For each $S\subseteq [n]$, define $\chi_S:\{-1,1\}^n o\{-1,1\}$, $\chi_S(x)=\Pi_{i\in S}x_i.$
- Fact: $\{\chi_S\}_{S\subseteq [n]}$ is an orthonormal basis for the space of real-valued functions.

Definition [Fourier Decomposition]

- ullet Write $f:\{-1,1\}^n o\mathbb{R}$ according to the basis of characters: $f(x)=\sum_{S\subseteq [n]}\hat{f}(S)\chi_S(x)$.
- Coefficients are given by $\hat{f}(S) = \langle f, \chi_S
 angle.$

Fact [Parseval/Plancherel]

ullet For $f,g:\{-1,1\}^n o\mathbb{R}$ we have that

$$\langle f,g
angle = \sum_{s\subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)\hat{g}(S).$$

• Particular case of interest: f=g is boolean (+1,-1 valued), getting we have that

$$1 = \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} \hat{f}(S)^2$$

i.e. $(\hat{f}(S)^2)_{S\subseteq [n]}$ is a distribution.

The Fourier Entropy Conjecture

Morally, If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then the Fourier spectrum of f is concentrated on $e^{O(K)}$ coefficients **Conjecture**

• There exists an absolute constant C>0, such that $H_{shannon}[\hat{f}^2]\leq C\cdot \mathbf{I}[f]$ for all $f:\{-1,1\}^n o \{-1,1\}$, where $H_{shannon}[\hat{f}^2]=\sum_S \hat{f}(S)^2\cdot \log\left(1/\hat{f}(S)^2\right)$.

The Fourier Min-Entropy Conjecture

- $H_{\infty}[\hat{f}^2] \leq C \cdot \mathbf{I}[f]$.
- Clearly weaker than FEC; stronger than [KKL]
- Yet (just as) wide open!

Fourier analytic formulas for influences

Lemma

• Let $f:\{-1,1\}^n o \{-1,1\}$. Then $\mathbf{I}_i[f] = \sum_{i \in S} \hat{f}(S)^2$.

Corollary

• Let $f:\{-1,1\}^n o \{-1,1\}$. Then $\mathbf{I}[f]=\sum_S |S| \hat{f}(S)^2$.

Remark

- Note: function $f:\{-1,1\}^n \to \{-1,1\}$ satisfying $\mathbf{I}[f] \le K$ are approximated by low degree polynomials.
- ullet Indeed, by the corollary and markov's inequality $\sum_{|S|\geq K/\epsilon} \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq \epsilon.$
 - $\bullet K \ge \mathbf{I}[f] = \sum_{S} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \ge \sum_{|S| > K/\epsilon} (K/\epsilon) \hat{f}(S)^2$
 - $\circ \sum_{|S|>K/\epsilon} \hat{f}(S)^2 \le \epsilon.$

Main result

Theorem [KKLMS]

ullet For all boolean, balanced functions $f:\{-1,1\}^n o \{-1,1\}$ it holds that

$$\sum_{S} \hat{f}(S)^2 \cdot \log\left(1/\hat{f}(S)^2
ight) \leq C \cdot \sum_{S} |S| \log\left(|S|+1
ight) \hat{f}(S)^2$$

Theorem [KKLMS]

• For all boolean, balanced functions $f:\{-1,1\}^n o \{-1,1\}$, $orall T\in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{|S| \leq T} \hat{f}(S)^2 \cdot \log\left(1/\hat{f}(S)^2
ight) \leq C \cdot \sum_{|S| \leq T} |S| \log\left(|S|+1
ight) \hat{f}(S)^2 + C \cdot \mathbf{I}[f].$$

Hypercontractivity

Hypercontractivity [Bonami, Bcker, Gross]

• If $p:\{-1,1\}^n o \mathbb{R}$ multilinear of degree d, then $||p||_4 \leq \sqrt{3}^d \cdot ||p||_2$, where

Fact

• Suppose $p:\{-1,1\}^n o \{-1,0,1\}$ satisfies $Pr[p(x)
eq 0] = \delta$. Then $deg(p) \ge \Omega(\log(1/\delta)).$

Proof

- $||p||_4 = E[|p(x)|^4]^{1/4} = \delta^{1/4}$. $||p||_2 = E[|p(x)|^2]^{1/2} = \delta^{1/2}$.
- By hypercontractivity: $||p||_4 \leq \sqrt{3}^{deg(p)}||p||_2$, this implies that $deg(p) \geq \Omega(\log{(1/\delta)})$.

(Robust) Fact

• Suppose $p:\{-1,1\}^n o\{-1,0,1\}$ satisfies $Pr[p(x)
eq 0]=\delta$. Then $\sum_{|S|\le 0.1\log(1/\delta)}\hat p(S)^2\le \delta^{9/8}\ll \delta$.

Proof

- ullet Define the low degree part $g(x) = \sum_{|S| < 0.1 \log(1/\delta)} \hat{p}(S) \chi_S(x)$. Then by Plancherel $\sum_{|S| \le 0, 1 \log(1/\delta)} \hat{p}(S)^2 = \langle g, p \rangle.$
- Using Holder and Hypercontractivity $\langle g,p\rangle \leq ||g||_4 ||p||_{4/3} \leq 3^{\deg(g)/2} ||g||_2 ||p||_{4/3}.$
- By Parseval, $||g||_2 \le ||p||_2$.
- $||p||_2 = E[|p(x)|^2]^{1/2} = \delta^{1/2}$
- $||p||_{4/3} = E[|p(x)|^{4/3}]^{3/4} = \delta^{3/4}$
- $\left| \frac{g}{p} \right| 3^{2} \left| \frac{g}{2} \right|$ $\delta^{5/4} \leq e^{(\log 3)/20 \cdot (1/\delta)} \delta^{5/4}$ $\delta = \delta^{-(\log 3)/20} \delta^{5/4} < \delta^{-1/10} \delta^{5/4} < \delta^{-1/8} \delta^{5/4} = \delta^{9/8} \delta^{5/4}$

Theorem[Kahn-Kalai-Linial]

• If $\mathbf{I}[f] \leq K$, then there exists $i \in [n]$ such that $\mathbf{I}_i[f] \geq e^{-O(K)}$.

Proof of KKL theorem:

- Assume for contradiction that $I_i[f] < e^{-100K}$ for all i.
- ullet For each $i\in[n]$, define $\partial_i f:\{-1,1\}^n o\{-1,0,1\}$ by $\partial_i f(x)=rac{f(x)-f(x\oplus e^i)}{2};$ note that

$$\partial_i f(x) = \sum_{i \in S} \hat{f}(S) \chi_S(x)$$

• Note that $Pr[\partial_i f(x) \neq 0] = \mathbf{I}_i[f] \leq e^{-100K}$. By the robust fact we get that

$$\sum_{i \in S, |S| \le 10K} \hat{f}(S)^2 = \sum_{|S| \le 10K} \hat{\partial_i f}(S)^2 \le \mathbf{I}_i[f]^{9/8} \le e^{-100K/8} \mathbf{I}_i[f].$$

- Summing over i gives us that $\textstyle \sum_{|S|<10K} \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq \sum_{|S|<10K} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \leq e^{-100K/8} \sum_i \mathbf{I}_i[f] \leq e^{-100K/8} K \leq 0.1.$
- $\sum_{|S|>10K} \hat{f}(S)^2 \geq 0.9$.
- $\mathbf{I}[f] = \sum_{S} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 \ge \sum_{|S|>10K} |S| \hat{f}(S)^2 > \sum_{|S|>10K} 10K \cdot \hat{f}(S)^2 \ge 9K > K.$
- This is a contradiction.

On The Fourier Entropy Conjecture

It's all about correlation inequalities.

Definition: Suppose $g:\{-1,1\} o \mathbb{R}$, $i\in [n]$, define $\mathbf{I}_i[g]=E_x(rac{g(x)-g(x\oplus e_i)}{2})^2$.

Lemma: Suppose $f:\{-1,1\}^n o\{-1,1\}$ is a balanced boolean function, $d\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{I}_i[f^{\leq d}]\leq\delta$, then

$$ig\langle f, f^{\leq d} ig
angle \leq \delta^{1/8} \cdot e^{O(d)} \cdot \mathbf{I}[f].$$

The Dream: try to reduce the degree of $f^{\leq d}$ prior to applying hypercontractivity.

Definition: Suppose $f:\{-1,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $A\subseteq [n]$, $z\in\{-1,1\}^{ar{A}}$, then $f_{ar{A}\to z}:\{-1,1\}^A\to \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $f_{ar{A}\to z}(y)=f(y,z)$.

- $f^{\leq d}(x) = \sum_{|S| < d} \hat{f}(S) \prod_{i \in S \cap A} x_i \cdot \prod_{i \in S \cap \bar{A}} x_i$.
- $f_{ar{A} o z}^{\leq d}(x)=\sum_{|S|\leq d}\hat{f}(S)\prod_{i\in S\cap ar{A}}z_i\prod_{i\in S\cap A}x_i$. (the characters from χ_S to $\chi_{S\cap A}$).

Suppose we want to reduce the degree of $f^{\leq d}$ to $v\ll d$. Choose A randomly by including each $i\in [n]$ w.p. $\frac{v}{d}$. Then $|S|\leq d$ implies that $|S\cap A|\lesssim v$.

Assumption: $deg(f_{ar{A}
ightarrow z}) \sim v.$

Lemma: Suppose $f:\{-1,1\}^n o\{-1,1\}$, $g:\{-1,1\}^n o\mathbb{R}$ with $deg(g)\leq d$ and $\mathbf{I}_i[f^{\leq d}]\leq \delta$. Then

$$\langle f,g
angle \leq \delta^{1/8} \cdot e^{O(d)} \cdot \mathbf{I}[f,g],$$

where $\mathbf{I}[f,g] = \sum_{i \in [n]} \sqrt{\mathbf{I}_i[f] \mathbf{I}_i[g]} \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{I}[f] \mathbf{I}[g]}.$

Lemma: Suppose $f:\{-1,1\}^n o\{-1,1\}$, $g:\{-1,1\}^n o\mathbb{R}$ with $deg(g)\leq d$ and $\delta>0$, then

$$\langle f,g
angle \leq (rac{1}{\delta})^d \max_{S} |\hat{f}(S)| \cdot |\hat{g}(S)| + \delta^{1/8} \cdot e^{O(d)} \cdot \mathbf{I}[f,g].$$