# Sensitivity and block sensitivity

**Definition:** Given a boolean function  $f:\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ . The local sensitivity s(f,x) on the input x is defined as the number of indices i, such that  $f(x) \neq f(x^{\{i\}})$ , where  $x^{\{i\}}$  is obtained by flipping the i-th bit of x. The sensitivity  $s(f) := \max_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} s(f,x)$ .

**Example:** the AND function  $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_n$ .  $s(f,\vec{0})=0, s(f,\vec{1})=n, s(f)=n$ .

**Definition:** The local block sensitivity bs(f,x) on the input x is the maximum number of disjoint blocks  $B_1,\ldots,B_k$  of [n], such that for each  $B_i$ ,  $f(x)\neq f(x^{B_i})$ . Here  $x^{B_i}$  is the n-bit string obtained from x by flipping its coordinates in  $B_i$ . The block sensitivity  $bs(f):=\max_{x\in\{0,1\}^n}bs(f,x)$ .

**Example:** the AND function  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_n$ .  $bs(f, \vec{0}) = 1, bs(f, \vec{1}) = n, s(f) = n$ .

Obviously,  $bs(f,x) \ge s(f,x)$  for every x and thus  $bs(f) \ge s(f)$ . But does there exist a function f, such that bs(f) > s(f)?

## The Rubinstein function

Define  $f:\{0,1\}^{n^2} o \{0,1\}$  as

$$f(x_{11},\cdots,x_{nn})=igvee_{i=1}^n g(x_{i1},\cdots,x_{in}),$$

where  $g(x_1,\cdots,x_n)=1$  if and only if  $x_j=x_{j+1}=1$  for some  $1\leq j\leq n-1$ , and all other  $x_k=0$ .

- $bs(f) \geq bs(f, \vec{0}) = \Omega(n^2)$ .
- s(f) = O(n).
  - $\circ$  Case 1: f(x) = 0

Every row must output 0, there are at most two sensitive coordinates on each row, say when the row is

$$0 \cdots 0 1 0 \cdots 0.$$

So,  $s(f,x) \leq 2n$ .

 $\circ$  Case 2: f(x)=1

If two rows output 1, s(f,x) = 0.

If only one row outputs 1,  $s(f,x) \leq n$ .

A quick summary:  $s(f) \leq bs(f)$ , and Rubinstein's example shows that bs(f) could be quadratic in s(f).

#### Sensitivity Conjecture[Nisan Szegedy 1992]

For every boolean function  $f, bs(f) \leq poly(s(f))$ .

Two complexity measures  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  of boolean functions are polynomially related if  $\exists C_1, C_2 > 0$ , such that for every boolean f:

$$s_2(f)^{C_1} \le s_1(f) \le s_2(f)^{C_2}.$$

The following measures are polynomially related:

- Block sensitivity bs(f).
- Decision tree complexity D(f).
- Certificate complexity C(f).
- Degree (as real polynomial) deg(f).
- Approximate degree  $\tilde{deg}(f)$ .
- Randomized query complexity R(f).
- Quantum query complexity Q(f).

In some sense, sensitivity measures how "smooth" a boolean function is, with respect to the Hamming distance. Low sensitivity means more smooth. The Sensitivity Conjecture asserts that

- Computationally, "smooth" (low-sensitivity) functions are easy to compute in some of the simplest models like the deterministic decision tree model.
- Algebraically, such functions have low degree.

### **Bounds proven: (Exponential)**

- $bs(f) = O(s(f)4^{s(f)})$ . (Simon 1983)
- $bs(f) \leq (e/\sqrt{2\pi})e^{s(f)}\sqrt{s(f)}$ . (Kenyon, Kutin 2004)
- $bs(f) \leq 2^{s(f)-1}s(f)$  (Ambainis, Bavarian, Gao, Mao, Sun, Zuo 2013)

### Separations constructed: (Quadratic)

- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & bs(f)=\frac{1}{2}s(f)^2 \text{. (Rubinstein 1995)} \\ \bullet & bs(f)=\frac{1}{2}s(f)^2+s(f) \text{. (Virza 2011)} \\ \bullet & bs(f)=\frac{2}{3}s(f)^2-\frac{1}{2}s(f) \text{. (Ambainis, Sun 2011)} \end{array}$

# The Gotsman-Linial equivalence

### Theorem (Gotsman, Linial 1992)

The following are equivalent for any monotone function  $h: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ .

• For any induced subgraph H of  $Q^n$  with  $|V(H)| \neq 2^{n-1}$ , we have

$$\max\{\Delta(H), \Delta(Q^n - H)\} \ge h(n).$$

where  $\Delta$  is the maximum degree of a graph.

• For any boolean function f, we have  $s(f) \geq h(deg(f))$ .

Showing (i) for  $h(n) = n^c$  if and only if Sensitivity conjecture.

The Gostman-Linial correspondence was established via an intermediate statement:

• For any boolean function g of full degree  $n, s(g) \ge h(n)$ .

The direction we care about is  $(i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ .

### Proof of $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ :

- ullet Suppose there exists  $g:\{0,1\}^n 
  ightarrow \{-1,1\}$  , with s(g) < h(n) , deg(g) = n . Let  $p(x) = (-1)^{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}.$
- ullet Consider the induced subgraph H with vertex set

$$V(H) = \{x : q(x) \cdot p(x) = 1\}.$$

ullet Obviously  $s(g) = \max\{\Delta(H), \Delta(Q^n - H)\}$ , and

$$(|V(H)|-|V(Q^n-H)|)/2^n=E[g(x)p(x)]=\hat{gp}(\phi)=\hat{g}([n])
eq 0.$$

• The last inequality follows from deg(g) = n.

Proof of  $(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ :

# **Upper and lower bounds**

Theorem (Chung, Furedi, Graham, Seymour 1988):

- 1.  $Q^n$  has a  $(2^{n-1}+1)$ -vertex induced subgraph of maximum degree  $\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ . (quadratic separation)
- 2. Every  $(2^{n-1}+1)$ -vertex induced subgraph of  $Q^n$  has maximum degree at least  $(1/2-o(1))\cdot \log_2 n$ . (exponential upper bound)

## The main theorem

Theorem (H. 2019+):

• Every  $(2^{n-1}+1)$ -vertex induced subgraph of  $Q^n$  contains a vertex of degree at least  $\sqrt{n}$ .

**Corollary:** 

ullet For every boolean function f ,  $s(f) \geq \sqrt{deg(f)}$ 

The **sensitivity conjecture** is true.

- $m{ bs}(f) \leq 2deg(f)^2$  (Nisan, Szegedy 1992)  $bs(f) \leq deg(f)^2$  (Tal 2013)  $bs(f) \leq \sqrt{2/3}deg(f)^2 + 1$  (Wellens 2020)
- These result imply  $bs(f) = O(s(f)^4)$ .

## Proof of the main theorem

**Principal Submatrix:** Given a  $n \times n$  matrix A, a principal submatrix of A is obtained by deleting the same set of rows and columns from A.

**Lemma 1 (Cauchy's Interlace Theorem):** Let A be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix, and B be a  $m \times m$  principle submatrix of A, for some m < n. If the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ , and the eigenvalues of B are  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_m$ , then for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,

$$\lambda_i \geq \mu_i \geq \lambda_{i+n-m}$$
.

You can find the proof here

Lemma 2: We define a sequence of symmetric square matrices iteratively as follows,

$$A_1 = egin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_n = egin{bmatrix} A_{n-1} & I \ I & -A_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then  $A_n$  is a  $2^n \times 2^n$  matrix whose eigenvalues are  $\sqrt{n}$  of multiplicity  $2^{n-1}$ , and  $-\sqrt{n}$  of multiplicity  $2^{n-1}$ .

**Proof of Lemma 2:** 

- We prove by induction that  $A_n^2 = nI$ .
  - $\circ \ \ \mathsf{For} \, n = 1 \text{, } A_1^2 = I.$
  - $\circ \;\;$  Suppose the statement holds for n-1 , that is  $A_{n-1}^2=(n-1)I$  , then

$$A_n^2 = egin{bmatrix} A_{n-1}^2 + I & 0 \ 0 & A_{n-1}^2 + I \end{bmatrix} = nI.$$

- Therefore, the eigenvalues of  $A_n$  are either  $\sqrt{n}$  or  $-\sqrt{n}$ .
- Since  $trace[A_n]=0$ , we know that  $A_n$  has exactly half of the eigenvalues being  $\sqrt{n}$  and the rest being  $-\sqrt{n}$ .

**Lemma 3:** Suppose H is an m-vertex undirected graph, and A is a symmetric matrix whose entries are in  $\{-1,0,1\}$  and whose rows and columns are indexed by V(H), and whenever u and v are non-adjacent in H,  $A_{u,v}=0$ . Then

$$\Delta(H) \ge \lambda_1 := \lambda_1(A).$$

#### **Proof of Lemma 3:**

- Suppose  $\vec{v}$  is the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ , then  $\lambda_1 \vec{v} = A \vec{v}$ .
- WLOG, assume  $v_1$  is the coordinate of  $\vec{v}$  that has the largest absolute value. Then

$$|\lambda_1 v_1| = |(A ec{v})_1| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m A_{1,j} v_j 
ight| = \left| \sum_{j \sim 1} A_{1,j} v_j 
ight| \leq \sum_{j \sim 1} |A_{1,j}| |v_1| \leq \Delta(H) |v_1|.$$

• Therefore  $|\lambda_1| \leq \Delta(H)$ .

#### Proof of the main theorem:

- Let  $A_n$  be the sequence of matrices defined in lemma 2. When we changing every (-1) entry of  $A_n$  to 1, we get exactly the adjacency matrix of  $Q^n$ , and thus  $A_n$  and  $Q_n$  satisfy the conditions in lemma 3.
- A  $(2^{n-1}+1)$ -vertex induced subgraph H of  $Q^n$  and the principal submatrix  $A_H$  of  $A_n$  naturally induced by H alse satisfy the conditions of lemma 3. So,

$$\Delta(H) \geq \lambda_1(A_H).$$

• From lemma 2, the eigenvalues of  $A_n$  are known to be

$$\sqrt{n}, \cdots, \sqrt{n}, -\sqrt{n}, \cdots, -\sqrt{n}.$$

• Note that  $A_H$  is a  $(2^{n-1}+1) imes (2^{n-1}+1)$  submatrix of the  $2^n imes 2^n$  matrix  $A_n$ . By Cauchy's Interlace Theorem,

$$\lambda_1(A_H) \geq \lambda_{1+2^n-2^{n-1}-1}(A_n) = \lambda_{2^{n-1}}(A_n) = \sqrt{n}.$$

• So,  $\Delta(H) \geq \sqrt{n}$ . complete our proof.