

## 1 Other estimations for models in Table ??

Table 1 shows a probit estimation of the model displayed in Equation ???. The signs of the coefficients in this table confirm the findings of the logit model as explained in Table ??. Table 2 shows average partial effects for the probit models in Table 1. The magnitudes of these effects are similar to those shown in Table ??. Table 3 shows the estimated effects of a linear probability model of Equation ??, which also follow the coefficient signs in the logit and probit estimates.

Table 1: Probit coefficients for baseline models

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Constant	−1.123 (0.068)	−1.173 (0.058)	−0.303 (0.123)	0.277 (0.208)	−0.921 (0.108)
2016 Dummy	0.479 (0.088)	0.564 (0.072)	−0.097 (0.141)	−0.710 (0.241)	0.156 (0.132)
Worse Economic Situation	0.071 (0.091)				
Unemployment		0.572 (0.122)			
Confidence in President			−0.163 (0.021)		
Approval of Pres. Performance				−0.369 (0.053)	
Political Wing					−0.026 (0.021)
Econ. Situation Interaction	−0.008 (0.110)				
Unemployment Interaction		−0.567 (0.153)			
Pres. Confidence Interaction			0.113 (0.025)		
Pres. Approval Interaction				0.320 (0.063)	
Pol. Wing Interaction					0.055 (0.024)
<i>N</i>	2948	2950	2944	2941	2535
AIC	2893.51	2888.97	2847.02	2842.46	2574.68
BIC	2926.37	2920.98	2880.35	2874.40	2606.04

**Note:** Probit coefficients of the baseline models as described by Equation ???. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.

\* $p < 0.1$ , \*\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.01$ .

Table 2: Average partial effects for probit models in Table 1

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
2016 Dummy	0.131*** (0.020)	0.126*** (0.019)	0.109*** (0.019)	0.118*** (0.019)	0.124*** (0.020)
Worse Economic Situation	0.018 (0.014)				
Unemployment		0.061*** (0.021)			
Confidence in President			-0.025*** (0.003)		
Approval of Pres. Performance				-0.046*** (0.008)	
Political Wing					0.002 (0.003)
<i>N</i>	2948	2950	2944	2941	2535

**Note:** Average partial effects for the models estimated in Table 1. Data from the open-access AB databases. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.

\* $p < 0.1$ , \*\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.01$ .

Table 3: LPM coefficients for baseline models

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
Constant	0.131 (0.014)	0.120 (0.012)	0.328 (0.038)	0.454 (0.059)	0.176 (0.026)
2016 Dummy	0.129 (0.023)	0.151 (0.018)	0.013 (0.045)	-0.125 (0.072)	0.044 (0.035)
Worse Economic Situation	0.016 (0.021)				
Unemployment		0.154 (0.042)			
Confidence in President			-0.037 (0.006)		
Approval of Pres. Performance				-0.082 (0.013)	
Political Wing					-0.006 (0.005)
Econ. Situation Interaction	0.005 (0.029)				
Unemployment Interaction		-0.152 (0.051)			
Pres. Confidence Interaction			0.021 (0.007)		
Pres. Approval Interaction				0.066 (0.018)	
Pol. Wing Interaction					0.015 (0.006)
<i>N</i>	2948	2950	2944	2941	2535
AIC	18 539.17	18 552.88	18 510.61	18 493.88	15 915.35
BIC	31 297.17	31 336.89	31 169.02	31 101.45	23 183.35

**Note:** LPM coefficients of the baseline models as described by Equation ???. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.

\* $p < 0.1$ , \*\* $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.01$ .