

1 Appendix C: Other empirical models for Table ??

Table 1 shows probit estimations of the complex model as seen in Equation ???. Additionally, LPM estimates are displayed for the same models. The coefficients of the probit estimation are similar in sign and significance to the ones of the logit estimation of Table ??. Average partial effects for these models are also shown below. These are also similar in magnitude to those in Table ??.

Table 1: Probit coefficients for modified models

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Constant	−0.373 (0.231)	0.384 (0.271)	−0.198 (0.232)
2016 Dummy	0.513 (0.081)	−0.656 (0.277)	0.206 (0.140)
Woman	0.065 (0.063)	0.069 (0.063)	0.068 (0.063)
Age	−0.015 (0.002)	−0.015 (0.002)	−0.015 (0.002)
Years of education	−0.025 (0.009)	−0.023 (0.009)	−0.023 (0.009)
Lives in urban setting	−0.009 (0.075)	0.009 (0.075)	0.008 (0.076)
External political efficacy	−0.027 (0.018)	−0.023 (0.018)	−0.024 (0.018)
Internal political efficacy	0.054 (0.024)	0.052 (0.024)	0.050 (0.024)
Participation in a protest	0.240 (0.120)	0.255 (0.121)	0.266 (0.122)
Interest in politics	−0.138 (0.067)	−0.121 (0.069)	−0.137 (0.069)
Perceptions of corruption	−0.004 (0.075)	−0.007 (0.077)	−0.023 (0.076)
Exposure to corruption	0.582 (0.067)	0.593 (0.067)	0.594 (0.067)
Unemployment	0.540 (0.128)	0.167 (0.086)	0.159 (0.085)
Approval of Pres. Performance	−0.085 (0.036)	−0.290 (0.058)	−0.083 (0.036)
Political Wing	0.014 (0.011)	0.014 (0.011)	−0.016 (0.021)
Unemployment Interaction	−0.517 (0.163)		
Pres. Approval Interaction		0.301 (0.070)	
Pol. Wing Interaction			0.046 (0.025)
<i>N</i>	2308	2308	2308
AIC	2198.59	2188.75	2204.50
BIC	2299.05	2288.54	2303.80

Note: Probit coefficients of the modified models as described by Equation ???. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Table 2: Average partial effects for probit models in Table ??

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Age	−0.004*** (0.001)	−0.004*** (0.001)	−0.004*** (0.001)
Years of education	−0.006*** (0.002)	−0.006*** (0.002)	−0.006*** (0.002)
External political efficacy	−0.007 (0.005)	−0.006 (0.005)	−0.006 (0.005)
Internal political efficacy	0.014** (0.006)	0.014** (0.006)	0.013** (0.006)
Interest in politics	−0.036** (0.017)	−0.031* (0.017)	−0.036** (0.017)
Perceptions of corruption	−0.001 (0.020)	−0.002 (0.020)	−0.006 (0.020)
Exposure to corruption	0.170*** (0.022)	0.172*** (0.021)	0.173*** (0.022)
Unemployment	0.066*** (0.024)	0.046* (0.024)	0.044* (0.024)
Approval of Pres. performance	−0.022** (0.010)	−0.025*** (0.009)	−0.022** (0.010)
Political wing	0.004 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)
<i>N</i>	2308	2308	2308

Note: Average partial effects for the models estimated in Table ??. Data from the open-access AB databases. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Table 3: LPM coefficients for modified models

	(1)	(2)	(3)
Constant	0.326 (0.060)	0.470 (0.073)	0.363 (0.060)
2016 Dummy	0.129 (0.020)	-0.121 (0.081)	0.049 (0.035)
Woman	0.020 (0.017)	0.021 (0.017)	0.020 (0.017)
Age	-0.004 (0.001)	-0.004 (0.001)	-0.004 (0.000)
Years of education	-0.007 (0.002)	-0.006 (0.002)	-0.006 (0.002)
Lives in urban setting	-0.005 (0.020)	-0.001 (0.020)	0.000 (0.020)
External political efficacy	-0.007 (0.005)	-0.006 (0.005)	-0.007 (0.005)
Internal political efficacy	0.015 (0.006)	0.015 (0.006)	0.014 (0.006)
Participation in a protest	0.069 (0.038)	0.072 (0.039)	0.075 (0.039)
Interest in politics	-0.034 (0.017)	-0.029 (0.017)	-0.034 (0.018)
Perceptions of corruption	-0.002 (0.019)	-0.003 (0.019)	-0.005 (0.019)
Exposure to corruption	0.167 (0.021)	0.169 (0.021)	0.171 (0.022)
Unemployment	0.149 (0.044)	0.051 (0.027)	0.049 (0.027)
Approval of Pres. Performance	-0.021 (0.010)	-0.059 (0.015)	-0.020 (0.010)
Political Wing	0.004 (0.003)	0.004 (0.003)	-0.002 (0.004)
Unemployment Interaction	-0.138 (0.054)		
Pres. Approval Interaction		0.062 (0.019)	
Pol. Wing Interaction			0.012 (0.006)
<i>N</i>	2308	2308	2308
AIC	14 511.87	14 510.70	14 512.95
BIC	20 116.42	20 111.23	20 120.75

Note: LPM coefficients of the modified models as described by Equation ???. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.

* $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.