1   Appendix B: Other estimations for models in Table ??

Table 1 shows a probit estimation of the model displayed in Equation **??**. The signs of the coefficients in this table confirm the findings of the logit model as explained in Table **??**. Table 2 shows average partial effects for the probit models in Table 1. The magnitudes of these effects are similar to those shown in Table **??**. Table 3 shows the estimated effects of a linear probability model of Equation **??**, which also follow the coefficient signs in the logit and probit estimates.

Table 1: Probit coefficients for baseline models

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Constant	−1.123	−1.173	−0.303	0.277	−0.921
	(0.068)	(0.058)	(0.123)	(0.208)	(0.108)
2016 Dummy	0.479	0.564	−0.097	−0.710	0.156
	(0.088)	(0.072)	(0.141)	(0.241)	(0.132)
Worse Economic Situation	0.071				
	(0.091)				
Unemployment		0.572			
		(0.122)			
Confidence in President			−0.163		
			(0.021)		
Approval of Pres. Performance				−0.369	
				(0.053)	
Political Wing					−0.026
					(0.021)
Econ. Situation Interaction	−0.008				
	(0.110)				
Unemployment Interaction		−0.567			
		(0.153)			
Pres. Confidence Interaction			0.113		
			(0.025)		
Pres. Approval Interaction				0.320	
				(0.063)	
Pol. Wing Interaction					0.055
					(0.024)
N	2948	2950	2944	2941	2535
AIC	2893.51	2888.97	2847.02	2842.46	2574.68
BIC	2926.37	2920.98	2880.35	2874.40	2606.04

Note: Probit coefficients of the baseline models as described by Equation **??**. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.
*$p < 0.1$, **$p < 0.05$, ***$p < 0.01$.

Table 2: Average partial effects for probit models in Table 1

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2016 Dummy	0.131***	0.126***	0.109***	0.118***	0.124***
	(0.020)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.020)
Worse Economic Situation	0.018				
	(0.014)				
Unemployment		0.076***			
		(0.025)			
Confidence in President			−0.025***		
			(0.003)		
Approval of Pres. Performance				−0.046***	
				(0.008)	
Political Wing					0.002
					(0.003)
N	2948	2950	2944	2941	2535

Note: Average partial effects for the models estimated in Table 1. Data from the open-access AB databases. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.
*$p < 0.1$, **$p < 0.05$, ***$p < 0.01$.

Table 3: LPM coefficients for baseline models

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Constant	0.131	0.120	0.328	0.454	0.176
	(0.014)	(0.012)	(0.038)	(0.059)	(0.026)
2016 Dummy	0.129	0.151	0.013	−0.125	0.044
	(0.023)	(0.018)	(0.045)	(0.072)	(0.035)
Worse Economic Situation	0.016				
	(0.021)				
Unemployment		0.154			
		(0.042)			
Confidence in President			−0.037		
			(0.006)		
Approval of Pres. Performance				−0.082	
				(0.013)	
Political Wing					−0.006
					(0.005)
Econ. Situation Interaction	0.005				
	(0.029)				
Unemployment Interaction		−0.152			
		(0.051)			
Pres. Confidence Interaction			0.021		
			(0.007)		
Pres. Approval Interaction				0.066	
				(0.018)	
Pol. Wing Interaction					0.015
					(0.006)
N	2948	2950	2944	2941	2535
AIC	18 539.17	18 552.88	18 510.61	18 493.88	15 915.35
BIC	31 297.17	31 336.89	31 169.02	31 101.45	23 183.35

Note: LPM coefficients of the baseline models as described by Equation **??**. Standard errors consider design effects of the AB complex survey design.
*$p < 0.1$, **$p < 0.05$, ***$p < 0.01$.