A Coalgebraic Decision Procedure for WS1S

Dmitriy Traytel

January 19, 2015

Contents

| 1 | Equivalence Framework1.1 Abstract Deterministic Automaton1.2 The overall procedure1.3 Abstract Deterministic Finite Automaton | 1 5 6 |
|-----|--|-------------|
| 2 | Preliminaries | 7 |
| 3 | Abstract formulas | 7 |
| 4 | Normalization | 21 |
| 5 | Derivatives of Formulas | 23 |
| 6 | Finiteness of Derivatives Modulo ACI | 25 |
| 7 | Emptiness Check | 27 |
| 8 | Restrictions | 30 |
| 9 | Concrete Atomic WS1S Formulas | 34 |
| 10 | Interpretation | 40 |
| 11 | Examples | 50 |
| 1 | Equivalence Framework | |
| ab | breviation $\mathfrak{d}s \equiv fold \ (\lambda a \ L. \ \mathfrak{d} \ L \ a)$ | |
| | mma in-language- $\mathfrak{d}s$: in-language ($\mathfrak{d}s$ w L) $v \longleftrightarrow$ in-language L ($w @ v$) by (induct w arbitrary: L) simp-all | |
| ler | mma $\mathfrak{o}\text{-}\mathfrak{d}s$: \mathfrak{o} ($\mathfrak{d}s$ w L) \longleftrightarrow in -language L w | |

```
by (induct w arbitrary: L) auto
lemma in-language-to-language [simp]: in-language (to-language L) w \longleftrightarrow w \in L
 by (metis in-language-to-language mem-Collect-eq)
lemma rtrancl-fold-product:
shows \{((r, s), (f a r, f a s)) | a r s. a \in A\} \hat{s} =
      \{((r, s), (fold f w r, fold f w s)) \mid w r s. w \in lists A\}  (is ?L = ?R)
proof-
 { fix r s r' s'
   have ((r, s), (r', s')) : ?L \Longrightarrow ((r, s), (r', s')) \in ?R
   proof(induction rule: converse-rtrancl-induct2)
     case refl show ?case by(force intro!: fold-simps(1)[symmetric])
   next
     case step thus ?case by(force intro!: fold-simps(2)[symmetric])
   qed
  } moreover
  { fix r s r' s'
   { fix w assume w \in lists A
     then have ((r, s), fold f w r, fold f w s) \in ?L
     proof(induction w rule: rev-induct)
       case Nil show ?case by simp
     next
       case snoc thus ?case by (force elim!: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
     qed
   hence ((r, s), (r', s')) \in ?R \Longrightarrow ((r, s), (r', s')) \in ?L by auto
 } ultimately show ?thesis by (auto 10 \ 0)
qed
lemma rtrancl-fold-product1:
shows \{(r, s). \exists a \in A. s = f \ a \ r\} \ \hat{} * = \{(r, s). \ \exists \ a \in \textit{lists } A. \ s = \textit{fold } f \ a \ r\} \ (is
?L = ?R)
proof-
  \{  fix r s
   have (r, s) \in ?L \Longrightarrow (r, s) \in ?R
   proof(induction rule: converse-rtrancl-induct)
     case base show ?case by(force intro!: fold-simps(1)[symmetric])
   next
     case step thus ?case by(force intro!: fold-simps(2)[symmetric])
   qed
  } moreover
 \{ \text{ fix } r s \}
   { fix w assume w \in lists A
     then have (r, fold f w r) \in ?L
     proof(induction w rule: rev-induct)
       case Nil show ?case by simp
     next
       case snoc thus ?case by (force elim!: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
```

```
qed
    }
    hence (r, s) \in ?R \Longrightarrow (r, s) \in ?L by auto
  } ultimately show ?thesis by (auto 10 0)
qed
lemma lang-eq-ext-Nil-fold-Deriv:
  fixes K L A
  assumes \bigwedge w. in-language K w \implies w \in lists A \bigwedge w. in-language L w \implies w \in M
lists A
  defines \mathfrak{B} \equiv \{(\mathfrak{d}s \ w \ K, \mathfrak{d}s \ w \ L) \mid w. \ w \in lists \ A\}
  shows K = L \longleftrightarrow (\forall (K, L) \in \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{o} K \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{o} L)
proof
  assume \forall (K, L) \in \mathfrak{B}. \mathfrak{o} K = \mathfrak{o} L
  then show K = L
  unfolding \mathfrak{B}-def using assms(1,2)
  proof (coinduction arbitrary: K L)
    case (Lang\ K\ L)
    then have CIH: \bigwedge K' L'. \exists w. K' = \mathfrak{d}s \ w \ K \wedge L' = \mathfrak{d}s \ w \ L \wedge w \in lists \ A \Longrightarrow
\mathfrak{o} K' = \mathfrak{o} L' and
      [dest, simp]: \bigwedge w. in-language \ K \ w \Longrightarrow w \in lists \ A \ \bigwedge w. in-language \ L \ w \Longrightarrow
w \in \mathit{lists} \ A
      by blast+
    show ?case unfolding ex-simps simp-thms
    proof (safe del: iffI)
      show \mathfrak{o}\ K = \mathfrak{o}\ L by (intro CIH[OF exI[where x = []]) simp
      fix x \ w assume \forall x \in set \ w. \ x \in A
      then show \mathfrak{o} (\mathfrak{d}s\ w\ (\mathfrak{d}\ K\ x)) = \mathfrak{o}\ (\mathfrak{d}s\ w\ (\mathfrak{d}\ L\ x))
      proof (cases x \in A)
        assume x \notin A
        then show ?thesis unfolding in-language-ds in-language.simps[symmetric]
by fastforce
      qed (intro CIH[OF exI[where x = x \# w]], auto)
   qed (auto simp add: in-language.simps[symmetric] simp del: in-language.simps)
  qed
qed (auto simp: \mathfrak{B}-def)
1.1
         Abstract Deterministic Automaton
```

```
locale DA =
fixes alphabet :: 'a \ list
fixes init :: 't \Rightarrow 's
fixes delta :: 'a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's
fixes accept :: 's \Rightarrow bool
fixes wellformed :: 's \Rightarrow bool
fixes wf :: 't \Rightarrow bool
fixes Language :: 's \Rightarrow 'a \ language
fixes Lang :: 't \Rightarrow 'a \ language
```

```
assumes Language-init: Language (init t) = Lang t
assumes wellformed-init: wf t \Longrightarrow wellformed (init t)
assumes Language-alphabet: \llbracket well formed\ s;\ in\ language\ (Language\ s)\ w \rrbracket \Longrightarrow w \in
lists (set alphabet)
assumes wellformed-delta: \llbracket wellformed\ s;\ a\in set\ alphabet \rrbracket \Longrightarrow wellformed\ (delta
assumes Language-delta: [well formed s; a \in set \ alphabet] \implies Language \ (delta \ a)
s) = \mathfrak{d} (Language \ s) \ a
assumes accept-Language: wellformed s \Longrightarrow accept \ s \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{o} (Language s)
begin
lemma wellformed-deltas: \llbracket wellformed\ s;\ w\in lists\ (set\ alphabet) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
    wellformed (fold delta w s)
   by (induction w arbitrary: s) (auto simp add: Language-delta wellformed-delta)
lemma Language-deltas: [well formed s; w \in lists (set alphabet)] \Longrightarrow
    Language (fold \ delta \ w \ s) = \mathfrak{d}s \ w \ (Language \ s)
   by (induction w arbitrary: s) (auto simp add: Language-delta wellformed-delta)
abbreviation closure :: s * s \Rightarrow ((s * s) \text{ list } * (s * s) \text{ set}) \text{ option where}
    closure \equiv rtrancl\text{-}while \ (\lambda(p, q). \ accept \ p = accept \ q)
       (\lambda(p, q). map (\lambda a. (delta a p, delta a q)) alphabet)
theorem closure-sound-complete:
assumes wf: wf r wf s
and result: closure (init r, init s) = Some (ws, R) (is closure (?r, ?s) = -)
shows ws = [] \longleftrightarrow Lang \ r = Lang \ s
proof -
    from wf have wellformed: wellformed ?r wellformed ?s using wellformed-init
\mathbf{by} \ blast +
   note Language-alphabets[simp] =
        Language-alphabet[OF\ wellformed(1)]\ Language-alphabet[OF\ wellformed(2)]
  {f note}\ Language\text{-}deltass = Language\text{-}deltas[OF\ wellformed(1)]\ Language\text{-}deltas[OF\ wellformed(1)]}
wellformed(2)
   have bisim: (Language ?r = Language ?s) =
        (\forall \ a \ b. \ (\exists \ w. \ a = \mathfrak{d}s \ w \ (Language \ ?r) \ \land \ b = \mathfrak{d}s \ w \ (Language \ ?s) \ \land \ w \in \mathit{lists}
(set \ alphabet)) \longrightarrow
       \mathfrak{o} \ a = \mathfrak{o} \ b
       by (subst lang-eq-ext-Nil-fold-Deriv) auto
   have leq: (Language ?r = Language ?s) =
    (\forall (r', s') \in \{((r, s), (delta\ a\ r, delta\ a\ s)) \mid a\ r\ s.\ a \in set\ alphabet\} \hat{\ } *\ ``\ \{(?r, s), (r', s') \in \{(r', s), (r', s') \in s, (r', s') \in s,
 ?s).
       accept \ r' = accept \ s') using Language-deltass
           accept-Language[OF\ wellformed-deltas[OF\ wellformed(1)]]
           accept-Language[OF wellformed-deltas[OF wellformed(2)]]
           unfolding rtrancl-fold-product in-lists-conv-set bisim
           by (auto 10 0)
```

```
have \{(x,y).\ y \in set\ ((\lambda(p,q).\ map\ (\lambda a.\ (delta\ a\ p,\ delta\ a\ q))\ alphabet)\ x)\} = \{((r,s),\ (delta\ a\ r,\ delta\ a\ s))\ |\ a\ r\ s.\ a \in set\ alphabet\}\ by\ auto\ with\ rtrancl-while-Some[OF\ result]\ have\ (ws=[])=(Language\ ?r=Language\ ?s)\ by\ (auto\ simp\ add:\ leq\ Ball-def\ split:\ if-splits)\ then\ show\ ?thesis\ unfolding\ Language-init\ . qed

1.2 The overall procedure
definition check\text{-}eqv:\ 't\Rightarrow 't\Rightarrow bool\ where\ check\text{-}eqv\ r\ s=(wf\ r\ \wedge\ wf\ s\ \wedge\ (case\ closure\ (init\ r,\ init\ s)\ of\ Some([],\ -)\Rightarrow\ True\ |\ -\Rightarrow\ False))
lemma soundness:
```

Auxiliary functions:

proof -

```
definition reachable :: 'a list \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's set where reachable as s = snd (the (rtrancl-while (\lambda-. True) (\lambdas. map (\lambdaa. delta a s) as) s))
```

using assms by (auto simp: check-eqv-def Let-def split: option.splits list.splits)

obtain R where wf r wf s closure (init r, init s) = Some([], R)

from closure-sound-complete [OF this] show $Lang \ r = Lang \ s \ by \ simp$

```
definition automaton :: 'a list \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow (('s * 'a) * 's) set where
automaton as s =
snd (the
(let start = (([s], {s}), {});
test = \lambda((ws, Z), A). ws \neq [];
step = \lambda((ws, Z), A).
(let s = hd ws;
new-edges = map (\lambdaa. ((s, a), delta a s)) as;
new = remdups (filter (\lambdass. ss \notin Z) (map snd new-edges))
in ((new @ tl ws, set new \cup Z), set new-edges \cup A))
in while-option test step start))
```

```
definition match :: 's \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} match \ s \ w = accept \ (fold \ delta \ w \ s)
```

assumes $check\text{-}eqv\ r\ s$ shows $Lang\ r=Lang\ s$

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ match\text{-}correct$:

```
assumes wellformed s \ w \in lists \ (set \ alphabet)

shows match s \ w \longleftrightarrow in\text{-}language \ (Language \ s) \ w
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{unfolding} \ \textit{match-def} \ \textit{accept-Language}[\textit{OF} \ \textit{wellformed-deltas}[\textit{OF} \ \textit{assms}]] \ \textit{Language-deltas}[\textit{OF} \ \textit{assms}] \\ \textbf{o} \text{-} \textbf{0} \textbf{s} \ \dots \end{array}$

end

1.3 Abstract Deterministic Finite Automaton

```
locale DFA = DA +
assumes fin: wellformed s \Longrightarrow finite {fold delta w \ s \mid w. \ w \in lists (set alphabet)}
begin
lemma finite-rtrancl-delta-Image:
  \llbracket well formed \ r; \ well formed \ s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
 finite (\{((r, s), (delta\ a\ r, delta\ a\ s))|\ a\ r\ s.\ a\in set\ (alphabet)\} ^* "\{(r, s)\})
 unfolding rtrancl-fold-product Image-singleton
 by (auto intro: finite-subset[OF - finite-cartesian-product[OF fin fin]])
lemma termination:
 {\bf assumes}\ well formed\ r\ well formed\ s
 shows \exists st. \ closure \ (r, s) = Some \ st \ (is \ \exists -. \ closure \ ?i = -)
proof (rule rtrancl-while-finite-Some)
 show finite (\{(x, st), st \in set ((\lambda(p,q), map (\lambda a, (delta a p, delta a q)) alphabet)
x)}* " {?i})
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rule finite-subset}[\textit{OF Image-mono}[\textit{OF rtrancl-mono}] \ \textit{finite-rtrancl-delta-Image}[\textit{OF}]
assms]]) auto
qed
lemma completeness:
assumes wf r wf s Lang r = Lang s shows check-egv r s
proof -
 obtain ws R where 1: closure (init r, init s) = Some (ws, R) using termination
   wellformed-init assms by fastforce
 with closure-sound-complete[OF - - this] assms
 show check-eqv r s by (simp add: check-eqv-def Language-alphabet)
qed
lemma finite-rtrancl-delta-Image1:
  wellformed r \Longrightarrow finite\ (\{(r, s). \ \exists \ a \in set\ alphabet.\ s = delta\ a\ r\} \ ^*\ ''\ \{r\})
  unfolding rtrancl-fold-product1 by (auto intro: finite-subset[OF - fin])
lemma
 assumes wellformed r set as \subseteq set alphabet
 shows reachable: reachable as r = \{fold \ delta \ w \ r \mid w. \ w \in lists \ (set \ as)\}
 and finite-reachable: finite (reachable as r)
proof -
 obtain wsZ where *: rtrancl-while (\lambda-. True) (\lambdas. map (\lambdaa. delta a s) as) r =
Some \ wsZ
     using assms by (atomize-elim, intro rtrancl-while-finite-Some Image-mono
rtrancl-mono
     finite-subset [OF - finite-rtrancl-delta-Image1 [of r]]) auto
 then show reachable as r = \{fold \ delta \ w \ r \mid w. \ w \in lists \ (set \ as)\}
   unfolding reachable-def by (cases wsZ)
        (auto dest!: rtrancl-while-Some split: if-splits simp: rtrancl-fold-product1
image-iff)
 then show finite (reachable as r) using assms by (force intro: finite-subset[OF]
```

```
-fin])
qed
end
```

2 Preliminaries

```
lemma pred-Diff-0[simp]: 0 \notin A \Longrightarrow i \in (\lambda x. \ x - Suc \ 0) ' A \longleftrightarrow Suc \ i \in A
  by (cases i) (fastforce simp: image-iff le-Suc-eq elim: contrapos-np)+
lemma funpow-cycle-mult: (f \hat{\ } k) \ x = x \Longrightarrow (f \hat{\ } (m * k)) \ x = x
  by (induct m) (auto simp: funpow-add)
lemma funpow-cycle: (f \hat{\ } k) \ x = x \Longrightarrow (f \hat{\ } l) \ x = (f \hat{\ } (l \ mod \ k)) \ x
  by (subst\ mod\ div\ equality[symmetric,\ of\ l\ k])
     (simp only: add.commute funpow-add funpow-cycle-mult o-apply)
lemma funpow-cycle-offset:
  fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  assumes (f \hat{\ } k) x = (f \hat{\ } i) x i \le k i \le l
shows (f \hat{\ } l) x = (f \hat{\ } ((l-i) \bmod (k-i) + i)) x
proof -
  from assms have
    \begin{array}{l} (f \, \, \widehat{\ } \, \, (k-i)) \, \left( (f \, \, \widehat{\ } \, \, i) \, \, x \right) = \left( (f \, \, \widehat{\ } \, \, i) \, \, x \right) \\ (f \, \, \widehat{\ } \, \, l) \, \, x = (f \, \, \widehat{\ } \, \, (l-i)) \, \left( (f \, \, \widehat{\ } \, \, i) \, \, x \right) \end{array}
     unfolding fun-cong[OF funpow-add[symmetric, unfolded o-def]] by simp-all
  from funpow-cycle[OF\ this(1),\ of\ l-i]\ this(2)\ {\bf show}\ ?thesis
     by (simp add: funpow-add)
qed
definition dec \ k \ m = (if \ m > k \ then \ m - Suc \ 0 \ else \ m :: nat)
```

3 Abstract formulas

```
datatype-new (discs-sels) ('a, 'k) aformula = FBool bool | FBase 'a | FNot ('a, 'k) aformula | FOr ('a, 'k) aformula ('a, 'k) aformula | FAnd ('a, 'k) aformula ('a, 'k) aformula | FEx 'k ('a, 'k) aformula | FAll 'k ('a, 'k) aformula datatype-compat aformula derive linorder aformula
```

```
fun nFOR where
  nFOR [] = FBool False
\mid nFOR \ [x] = x
\mid nFOR \ (x \# xs) = FOr \ x \ (nFOR \ xs)
fun nFAND where
  nFAND [] = FBool True
 nFAND [x] = x
\mid nFAND \ (x \# xs) = FAnd \ x \ (nFAND \ xs)
definition NFOR = nFOR o sorted-list-of-set
definition NFAND = nFAND o sorted-list-of-set
fun disjuncts where
  disjuncts (FOr \varphi \psi) = disjuncts \varphi \cup disjuncts \psi
| disjuncts \varphi = \{\varphi\}
fun conjuncts where
  conjuncts (FAnd \varphi \psi) = conjuncts \varphi \cup conjuncts \psi
\mid conjuncts \ \varphi = \{\varphi\}
fun disjuncts-list where
  disjuncts-list (FOr \varphi \psi) = disjuncts-list \varphi \otimes disjuncts-list \psi
| disjuncts-list \varphi = [\varphi]
fun conjuncts-list where
  conjuncts-list (FAnd \varphi \psi) = conjuncts-list \varphi \otimes conjuncts-list \psi
| conjuncts-list \varphi = [\varphi]
lemma finite-juncts: finite (disjuncts \varphi) finite (conjuncts \varphi)
  and nonempty-juncts: disjuncts \varphi \neq \{\} conjuncts \varphi \neq \{\}
  by (induct \varphi) auto
{f lemma}\ juncts	eq-set	egunets-list:
  disjuncts \varphi = set (disjuncts-list \varphi)
  conjuncts \varphi = set (conjuncts-list \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi) auto
lemma notin-juncts:
  \llbracket \psi \in disjuncts \ \varphi; \ is-FOr \ \psi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow False
  \llbracket \psi \in conjuncts \ \varphi; \ is\text{-}FAnd \ \psi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow False
  by (induct \varphi) auto
lemma juncts-list-singleton:
  \neg is\text{-}FOr \varphi \Longrightarrow disjuncts\text{-}list \varphi = [\varphi]
  \neg is-FAnd \varphi \Longrightarrow conjuncts-list \varphi = [\varphi]
  by (induct \varphi) auto
```

```
using nonempty-juncts of \varphi by (auto simp: Suc-le-eq juncts-eq-set-juncts-list)
primrec norm-ACI (\langle - \rangle) where
   \langle FBool\ b \rangle = FBool\ b
  \langle FBase \ a \rangle = FBase \ a
  \langle FNot \ \varphi \rangle = FNot \ \langle \varphi \rangle
  \langle FOr \ \varphi \ \psi \rangle = NFOR \ (disjuncts \ (FOr \ \langle \varphi \rangle \ \langle \psi \rangle))
  \langle FAnd \varphi \psi \rangle = NFAND \ (conjuncts \ (FAnd \ \langle \varphi \rangle \ \langle \psi \rangle))
  \langle FEx \ k \ \varphi \rangle = FEx \ k \ \langle \varphi \rangle
|\langle FAll \ k \ \varphi \rangle = FAll \ k \ \langle \varphi \rangle
fun nf-ACI where
   nf-ACI (FOr \psi 1 \psi 2) = (\neg is\text{-FOr } \psi 1 \land (let \varphi s = \psi 1 \# disjuncts\text{-}list \psi 2 in
     sorted \varphi s \wedge distinct \varphi s \wedge nf\text{-}ACI \psi 1 \wedge nf\text{-}ACI \psi 2))
| nf\text{-}ACI (FAnd \psi 1 \psi 2) = (\neg is\text{-}FAnd \psi 1 \wedge (let \varphi s = \psi 1 \# conjuncts\text{-}list \psi 2 in)
     sorted \varphi s \wedge distinct \varphi s \wedge nf\text{-}ACI \psi 1 \wedge nf\text{-}ACI \psi 2)
 nf-ACI (FNot \varphi) = nf-ACI \varphi
  nf-ACI (FEx k \varphi) = nf-ACI \varphi
 nf-ACI (FAll\ k\ \varphi) = nf-ACI\ \varphi
\mid nf\text{-}ACI \varphi = True
lemma nf-ACI-D:
   nf\text{-}ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow sorted (disjuncts-list \varphi)
   nf\text{-}ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow sorted (conjuncts-list \varphi)
   nf\text{-}ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow distinct (disjuncts-list \varphi)
   nf\text{-}ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow distinct \ (conjuncts\text{-}list \ \varphi)
   nf\text{-}ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow list\text{-}all \ nf\text{-}ACI \ (disjuncts\text{-}list \ \varphi)
   nf\text{-}ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow list\text{-}all \ nf\text{-}ACI \ (conjuncts\text{-}list \ \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi) (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton)
lemma disjuncts-list-nFOR:
   \llbracket list\text{-}all\ (\lambda x. \ \neg\ is\text{-}FOr\ x)\ \varphi s;\ \varphi s \neq \llbracket \rrbracket \rrbracket \implies disjuncts\text{-}list\ (nFOR\ \varphi s) = \varphi s
  by (induct \varphi s rule: nFOR.induct) (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton)
lemma conjuncts-list-nFAND:
   [list-all (\lambda x. \neg is-FAnd x) \varphi s; \varphi s \neq []] \Longrightarrow conjuncts-list (nFAND \varphi s) = \varphi s
  by (induct \varphis rule: nFAND.induct) (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton)
lemma disjuncts-NFOR:
   \llbracket finite\ X;\ X \neq \{\};\ \forall\ x\in X.\ \neg\ is	ext{-}FOr\ x \rrbracket \implies disjuncts\ (NFOR\ X) = X
 unfolding NFOR-def by (auto simp: juncts-eq-set-juncts-list list-all-iff disjuncts-list-nFOR)
lemma conjuncts-NFAND:
   \llbracket finite\ X;\ X \neq \{\};\ \forall\ x\in X.\ \neg\ is\text{-}FAnd\ x \rrbracket \implies conjuncts\ (NFAND\ X) = X
 unfolding NFAND-def by (auto simp: juncts-eq-set-juncts-list list-all-iff conjuncts-list-nFAND)
lemma nf-ACI-nFOR:
   \llbracket sorted \ \varphi s; \ distinct \ \varphi s; \ list-all \ nf-ACI \ \varphi s; \ list-all \ (\lambda x. \ \neg \ is-FOr \ x) \ \varphi s \rrbracket \implies
```

nf-ACI $(nFOR \varphi s)$

```
by (induct \varphi s rule: nFOR.induct)
    (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton disjuncts-list-nFOR nf-ACI-D)
lemma nf-ACI-nFAND:
  \llbracket sorted \ \varphi s; \ distinct \ \varphi s; \ list-all \ nf-ACI \ \varphi s; \ list-all \ (\lambda x. \ \neg \ is-FAnd \ x) \ \varphi s \rrbracket \implies
nf-ACI (nFAND \varphi s)
 by (induct \varphi s rule: nFAND.induct)
    (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton conjuncts-list-nFAND nf-ACI-D)
lemma nf-ACI-juncts:
  \llbracket \psi \in disjuncts \ \varphi; \ nf\text{-}ACI \ \varphi \rrbracket \implies nf\text{-}ACI \ \psi
  \llbracket \psi \in conjuncts \ \varphi; \ nf\text{-}ACI \ \varphi \rrbracket \implies nf\text{-}ACI \ \psi
  by (induct \varphi) auto
lemma nf-ACI-norm-ACI: nf-ACI \langle \varphi \rangle
  by (induct \varphi)
    (force simp: NFOR-def NFAND-def finite-juncts list-all-iff
      intro!: nf-ACI-nFOR nf-ACI-nFAND elim: nf-ACI-juncts notin-juncts)+
lemma nFOR-Cons: nFOR (x \# xs) = (if xs = [] then x else <math>FOr \ x (nFOR \ xs))
 by (cases \ xs) \ simp-all
lemma nFAND-Cons: nFAND (x \# xs) = (if xs = [] then x else FAnd x (nFAND)
xs))
 by (cases xs) simp-all
lemma nFOR-disjuncts: nf-ACI \psi \Longrightarrow nFOR (disjuncts-list \psi) = \psi
  by (induct \psi) (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton nFOR-Cons)
lemma nFAND-conjuncts: nf-ACI \psi \Longrightarrow nFAND (conjuncts-list \psi) = \psi
  by (induct \psi) (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton nFAND-Cons)
lemma NFOR-disjuncts: nf-ACI \psi \Longrightarrow NFOR \ (disjuncts \ \psi) = \psi
 using nFOR-disjuncts of \psi unfolding NFOR-def o-apply juncts-eq-set-juncts-list
 by (metis finite-set finite-sorted-distinct-unique nf-ACI-D(1,3) sorted-list-of-set)
lemma NFAND-conjuncts: nf-ACI \psi \Longrightarrow NFAND (conjuncts \psi) = \psi
 using nFAND-conjuncts [of \psi] unfolding NFAND-def o-apply juncts-eq-set-juncts-list
 by (metis finite-set finite-sorted-distinct-unique nf-ACI-D(2,4) sorted-list-of-set)
lemma norm-ACI-if-nf-ACI: nf-ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow \langle \varphi \rangle = \varphi
  by (induct \varphi)
    (auto simp: juncts-list-singleton juncts-eq-set-juncts-list nonempty-juncts-list
    NFOR-def\ NFAND-def\ nFOR-Cons\ nFAND-Cons\ nFOR-disjuncts\ nFAND-conjuncts
       sorted\mbox{-}Cons sorted\mbox{-}list\mbox{-}of\mbox{-}set\mbox{-}sort\mbox{-}remdups distinct\mbox{-}remdups\mbox{-}id sorted\mbox{-}sort\mbox{-}id
insort-is-Cons)
lemma norm-ACI-idem: \langle \langle \varphi \rangle \rangle = \langle \varphi \rangle
  \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{metis} \ \mathit{nf-ACI-norm-ACI} \ \mathit{norm-ACI-if-nf-ACI})
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{norm}\text{-}\mathit{ACI}\text{-}\mathit{juncts}\text{:}
  \textit{nf-ACI}\ \varphi \Longrightarrow \textit{norm-ACI}\ \textit{`disjuncts}\ \varphi = \textit{disjuncts}\ \varphi
  nf\text{-}ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow norm\text{-}ACI \text{ 'conjuncts } \varphi = conjuncts \varphi
 by (drule\ nf-ACI-D(5,6),\ force\ simp:\ list-all-iff\ juncts-eq-set-juncts-list\ norm-ACI-if-nf-ACI)+
lemma
  norm-ACI-NFOR: nf-ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow \varphi = NFOR \ (norm-ACI 'disjuncts \varphi) and
  norm-ACI-NFAND: nf-ACI \varphi \Longrightarrow \varphi = NFAND \ (norm-ACI 'conjuncts \varphi)
  by (simp-all add: norm-ACI-juncts NFOR-disjuncts NFAND-conjuncts)
{\bf locale}\ Formula-Operations =
  \textbf{fixes} \ \textit{TYPEVARS} :: 'a :: \textit{linorder} \times 'i \times 'k :: \textit{linorder} \times 'n \times 'x \times 'v
  and SUC :: 'k \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n
  and LESS :: k \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow n \Rightarrow bool
  and assigns :: nat \Rightarrow 'i \Rightarrow 'k \Rightarrow 'v \ (--- [900, 999, 999] \ 999)
  and nvars :: 'i \Rightarrow 'n \ (\#_V - [1000] \ 900)
  and Extend :: 'k \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'i \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'i
  and CONS :: 'x \Rightarrow 'i \Rightarrow 'i
  and SNOC :: 'x \Rightarrow 'i \Rightarrow 'i
  and Length :: 'i \Rightarrow nat
  and extend :: k \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow x
  and size :: 'x \Rightarrow 'n
  and zero :: 'n \Rightarrow 'x
  and eval :: 'v \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
  and downshift :: v \Rightarrow v
  and upshift :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v
  and add :: nat \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'v
  and cut :: nat \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'v
  and len :: 'v \Rightarrow nat
  and restrict :: k \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow bool
  and Restrict :: 'k \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula
  and left-formula0 :: 'a \Rightarrow bool
  and FV0 :: 'a \Rightarrow ('k \times nat) \ list
  and find\theta :: 'k \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
  and wf\theta :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
```

```
and decr\theta :: 'k \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  and satisfies\theta :: 'i \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (infix \models_0 50)
  and nullable\theta :: 'a \Rightarrow bool
  and lderiv0 :: 'x \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula
  and rderiv\theta :: 'x \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula
begin
abbreviation LEQ \ k \ l \ n \equiv LESS \ k \ l \ (SUC \ k \ n)
primrec FV where
  FV (FBool -) = []
 FV (FBase \ a) = FV0 \ a
 FV (FNot \varphi) = FV \varphi
 FV (FOr \varphi \psi) = List.union (FV \varphi) (FV \psi)
 FV (FAnd \varphi \psi) = List.union (FV \varphi) (FV \psi)
 FV (FEx \ k \ \varphi) = map \ (\lambda(k', x). \ (k', if \ k = k' \ then \ x - 1 \ else \ x)) \ (List.remove1)
(k, \theta) (FV \varphi)
|FV|(FAll \ k \ \varphi) = map \ (\lambda(k', x), \ (k', if \ k = k' \ then \ x - 1 \ else \ x)) \ (List.remove1)
(k, \theta) (FV \varphi)
primrec find where
  find \ k \ l \ (FBool -) = False
 find \ k \ l \ (FBase \ a) = find 0 \ k \ l \ a
 find \ k \ l \ (FNot \ \varphi) = find \ k \ l \ \varphi
 find \ k \ l \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi) = (find \ k \ l \ \varphi \lor find \ k \ l \ \psi)
 find \ k \ l \ (FAnd \ \varphi \ \psi) = (find \ k \ l \ \varphi \lor find \ k \ l \ \psi)
 find k l (FEx k' \varphi) = find k (if k = k' then Suc l else l) \varphi
| find \ k \ l \ (FAll \ k' \ \varphi) = find \ k \ (if \ k = k' \ then \ Suc \ l \ else \ l) \ \varphi
primrec wf :: 'n \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow bool \ where
  wf \ n \ (FBool -) = True
  wf \ n \ (FBase \ a) = wf0 \ n \ a
 wf \ n \ (FNot \ \varphi) = wf \ n \ \varphi
 wf \ n \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi) = (wf \ n \ \varphi \land wf \ n \ \psi)
 wf \ n \ (FAnd \ \varphi \ \psi) = (wf \ n \ \varphi \land wf \ n \ \psi)
 wf \ n \ (FEx \ k \ \varphi) = wf \ (SUC \ k \ n) \ \varphi
| wf n (FAll k \varphi) = wf (SUC k n) \varphi
primrec left-formula :: ('a, 'k) aformula \Rightarrow bool where
  left-formula (FBool -) = True
 left-formula (FBase a) = left-formula 0 a
 left-formula (FNot \varphi) = left-formula \varphi
 left-formula (FOr \varphi \psi) = (left-formula \varphi \wedge left-formula \psi)
 left-formula (FAnd \varphi \psi) = (left-formula \varphi \wedge left-formula \psi)
 left-formula (FEx k \varphi) = left-formula \varphi
 left-formula (FAll k \varphi) = left-formula \varphi
primrec decr :: 'k \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \ where
```

 $decr \ k \ l \ (FBool \ b) = FBool \ b$

```
decr \ k \ l \ (FBase \ a) = FBase \ (decr0 \ k \ l \ a)
  decr \ k \ l \ (FNot \ \varphi) = FNot \ (decr \ k \ l \ \varphi)
  decr \ k \ l \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi) = FOr \ (decr \ k \ l \ \varphi) \ (decr \ k \ l \ \psi)
  decr \ k \ l \ (FAnd \ \varphi \ \psi) = FAnd \ (decr \ k \ l \ \varphi) \ (decr \ k \ l \ \psi)
  decr \ k \ l \ (FEx \ k' \ \varphi) = FEx \ k' \ (decr \ k \ (if \ k = k' \ then \ Suc \ l \ else \ l) \ \varphi)
 decr \ k \ l \ (FAll \ k' \ \varphi) = FAll \ k' \ (decr \ k \ (if \ k = k' \ then \ Suc \ l \ else \ l) \ \varphi)
primrec satisfies-gen :: ('k \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula \Rightarrow bool
where
   satisfies-gen \ r \ \mathfrak{A} \ (FBool \ b) = b
 satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} (FBase a) = (\mathfrak{A} \models_0 a)
  satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} (FNot \varphi) = (\neg satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} \varphi)
  satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} (FOr \varphi_1 \varphi_2) = (satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} \varphi_1 \vee satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} \varphi_2)
  satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} (FAnd \varphi_1 \varphi_2) = (satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} \varphi_1 \wedge satisfies-gen <math>r \mathfrak{A} \varphi_2)
  satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} (FEx k \varphi) = (\exists P. \ r \ k \ P \ (Length \mathfrak{A}) \land satisfies-gen \ r \ (Extend
k \theta \mathfrak{A} P) \varphi
| satisfies-gen r \mathfrak{A} (FAll k \varphi) = (\forall P. r k P (Length \mathfrak{A}) \longrightarrow satisfies-gen r (Extend)
k \ \theta \ \mathfrak{A} \ P) \ \varphi)
abbreviation satisfies (infix \models 50) where
  \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi \equiv satisfies\text{-}gen \ (\lambda \text{---} True) \ \mathfrak{A} \varphi
abbreviation satisfies-bounded (infix \models_b 50) where
  \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi \equiv satisfies\text{-}gen \ (\lambda \text{-} P \ n. \ len \ P \leq n) \ \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi
abbreviation sat-vars-gen where
   sat-vars-gen \ r \ V \ \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi \equiv
     satisfies-gen (\lambda k \ P \ n. \ restrict \ k \ P \land r \ k \ P \ n) \ \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi \land (\forall (k, x) \in set \ V. \ restrict
k(x^{\mathfrak{A}}k)
definition sat where
  sat \mathfrak{A} \varphi \equiv sat\text{-}vars\text{-}gen (\lambda - - -. True) (FV \varphi) \mathfrak{A} \varphi
definition sat_b where
   sat_b \mathfrak{A} \varphi \equiv sat\text{-}vars\text{-}gen \ (\lambda - P \ n. \ len \ P \leq n) \ (FV \ \varphi) \mathfrak{A} \varphi
fun RESTR where
   RESTR (FOr \varphi \psi) = FOr (RESTR \varphi) (RESTR \psi)
  RESTR (FAnd \varphi \psi) = FAnd (RESTR \varphi) (RESTR \psi)
  RESTR (FNot \varphi) = FNot (RESTR \varphi)
  RESTR (FEx k \varphi) = FEx k (FAnd (Restrict k \theta) (RESTR \varphi))
  RESTR (FAll k \varphi) = FAll k (FOr (FNot (Restrict k \theta)) (RESTR \varphi))
 RESTR \varphi = \varphi
abbreviation RESTRICT-VARS where
  RESTRICT-VARS vs \varphi \equiv foldr \ (\lambda(k, x) \ \varphi. \ FAnd \ (Restrict \ k \ x) \ \varphi) vs (RESTR
```

definition RESTRICT where

```
RESTRICT \varphi \equiv RESTRICT\text{-VARS} (FV \varphi) \varphi
primrec nullable :: ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  nullable (FBool b) = b
 nullable (FBase \ a) = nullable 0 \ a
 nullable (FNot \varphi) = (\neg nullable \varphi)
 nullable (FOr \varphi \psi) = (nullable \varphi \lor nullable \psi)
 nullable (FAnd \varphi \psi) = (nullable \varphi \wedge nullable \psi)
  nullable (FEx k \varphi) = nullable \varphi
 nullable (FAll k \varphi) = nullable \varphi
fun nFOr :: ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \ where
  nFOr\ (FBool\ b1)\ (FBool\ b2) = FBool\ (b1\ \lor\ b2)
 nFOr\ (FBool\ b)\ \psi = (if\ b\ then\ FBool\ True\ else\ \psi)
 nFOr \varphi (FBool b) = (if b then FBool True else \varphi)
 nFOr (FOr \varphi 1 \varphi 2) \psi = nFOr \varphi 1 (nFOr \varphi 2 \psi)
 nFOr \varphi (FOr \psi 1 \psi 2) =
  (if \varphi = \psi 1 then FOr \psi 1 \psi 2
  else if \varphi < \psi 1 then FOr \varphi (FOr \psi 1 \psi 2)
  else FOr \psi1 (nFOr \varphi \psi2))
\mid nFOr \varphi \psi =
  (if \varphi = \psi then \varphi
  else if \varphi < \psi then FOr \varphi \psi
  else FOr \ \psi \ \varphi)
fun nFAnd :: ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \ where
  nFAnd (FBool b1) (FBool b2) = FBool (b1 \land b2)
 nFAnd (FBool b) \psi = (if b then \psi else FBool False)
 nFAnd \varphi (FBool b) = (if b then \varphi else FBool False)
 nFAnd (FAnd \varphi 1 \varphi 2) \psi = nFAnd \varphi 1 (nFAnd \varphi 2 \psi)
 nFAnd \varphi (FAnd \psi 1 \psi 2) =
  (if \varphi = \psi 1 then FAnd \psi 1 \psi 2
  else if \varphi < \psi 1 then FAnd \varphi (FAnd \psi 1 \psi 2)
  else FAnd \psi1 (nFAnd \varphi \psi2))
\mid nFAnd \varphi \psi =
  (if \varphi = \psi then \varphi
  else if \varphi < \psi then FAnd \varphi \psi
  else FAnd \psi \varphi)
fun nFNot :: ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \ where
  nFNot (FNot \varphi) = \varphi
 nFNot (FOr \varphi \psi) = nFAnd (nFNot \varphi) (nFNot \psi)
 nFNot \ (FAnd \ \varphi \ \psi) = nFOr \ (nFNot \ \varphi) \ (nFNot \ \psi)
 nFNot (FEx \ b \ \varphi) = FAll \ b (nFNot \ \varphi)
 nFNot (FAll \ b \ \varphi) = FEx \ b (nFNot \ \varphi)
 nFNot (FBool b) = FBool (\neg b)
 nFNot \varphi = FNot \varphi
```

fun $nFEx :: 'k \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) \ aformula \ where$

```
nFEx\ k\ (FOr\ \varphi\ \psi) = nFOr\ (nFEx\ k\ \varphi)\ (nFEx\ k\ \psi)
| nFEx \ k \ \varphi = (if \ find \ k \ 0 \ \varphi \ then \ FEx \ k \ \varphi \ else \ decr \ k \ 0 \ \varphi)
fun nFAll where
  nFAll\ k\ (FAnd\ \varphi\ \psi) = nFAnd\ (nFAll\ k\ \varphi)\ (nFAll\ k\ \psi)
\mid nFAll \ k \ \varphi = (if \ find \ k \ 0 \ \varphi \ then \ FAll \ k \ \varphi \ else \ decr \ k \ 0 \ \varphi)
fun norm where
  norm (FOr \varphi \psi) = nFOr (norm \varphi) (norm \psi)
 norm (FAnd \varphi \psi) = nFAnd (norm \varphi) (norm \psi)
 norm (FNot \varphi) = nFNot (norm \varphi)
 norm (FEx k \varphi) = nFEx k (norm \varphi)
 norm (FAll k \varphi) = nFAll k (norm \varphi)
\mid norm \varphi = \varphi
fixes deriv\theta :: 'x \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula
begin
primrec deriv :: 'x \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula where
  deriv \ x \ (FBool \ b) = FBool \ b
  deriv \ x \ (FBase \ a) = deriv0 \ x \ a
  deriv \ x \ (FNot \ \varphi) = FNot \ (deriv \ x \ \varphi)
  deriv \ x \ (FOr \ \varphi \ \psi) = FOr \ (deriv \ x \ \varphi) \ (deriv \ x \ \psi)
 deriv \ x \ (FAnd \ \varphi \ \psi) = FAnd \ (deriv \ x \ \varphi) \ (deriv \ x \ \psi)
 deriv \ x \ (FEx \ k \ \varphi) = FEx \ k \ (FOr \ (deriv \ (extend \ k \ True \ x) \ \varphi) \ (deriv \ (extend \ k \ True \ x) \ \varphi)
| deriv \ x \ (FAll \ k \ \varphi) = FAll \ k \ (FAnd \ (deriv \ (extend \ k \ True \ x) \ \varphi) \ (deriv \ (extend \ k
False x) \varphi))
end
abbreviation lderiv \equiv deriv \ lderiv \theta
abbreviation rderiv \equiv deriv \ rderiv \theta
lemma fold-deriv-FBool: fold (deriv d0) xs (FBool b) = FBool b
  by (induct xs) auto
lemma fold-deriv-FNot:
  fold (deriv d0) xs (FNot \varphi) = FNot (fold (deriv d0) xs \varphi)
  by (induct xs arbitrary: \varphi) auto
lemma fold-deriv-FOr:
  fold (deriv d0) xs (FOr \varphi \psi) = FOr (fold (deriv d0) xs \varphi) (fold (deriv d0) xs
  by (induct xs arbitrary: \varphi \psi) auto
```

```
lemma fold-deriv-FAnd:
 fold (deriv d0) xs (FAnd \varphi \psi) = FAnd (fold (deriv d0) xs \varphi) (fold (deriv d0) xs
  by (induct xs arbitrary: \varphi \psi) auto
lemma fold-deriv-FEx:
  \{\langle fold\ (deriv\ d\theta)\ xs\ (FEx\ k\ \varphi)\rangle\ |\ xs.\ True\}\subseteq
     \{FEx\ k\ \psi\ |\ \psi.\ nf\text{-}ACI\ \psi\land\ disjuncts\ \psi\subseteq(\bigcup xs.\ disjuncts\ \langle fold\ (deriv\ d0)\ xs
\varphi\rangle)\}
proof -
  { fix xs
    have \exists \psi. \langle fold \ (deriv \ d0) \ xs \ (FEx \ k \ \varphi) \rangle = FEx \ k \ \psi \ \land
       nf-ACI \ \psi \land disjuncts \ \psi \subseteq (\bigcup xs. \ disjuncts \ \langle fold \ (deriv \ d\theta) \ xs \ \varphi \rangle)
    proof (induct xs arbitrary: \varphi)
       case (Cons \ x \ xs)
      let ?\varphi = FOr (deriv d\theta (extend k True x) \varphi) (deriv d\theta (extend k False x) \varphi)
       from Cons[of ?\varphi] obtain \psi where \langle fold (deriv d\theta) xs (FEx k ?\varphi) \rangle = FEx
k \psi
        nf-ACI \ \psi and *: disjuncts \ \psi \subseteq (\bigcup xs. \ disjuncts \ \langle fold \ (deriv \ d\theta) \ xs \ ?\varphi \rangle) by
blast+
       then show ?case
       proof (intro exI conjI)
         have (\bigcup xs. \ disjuncts \ \langle fold \ (deriv \ d0) \ xs \ ?\varphi \rangle) \subseteq
           ([] xs.\ disjuncts\ \langle fold\ (Formula-Operations.deriv\ extend\ d0)\ xs\ \varphi\rangle)
         by (force simp: fold-deriv-FOr finite-juncts nonempty-juncts nf-ACI-juncts
nf-ACI-norm-ACI
          dest: notin-juncts \ set-mp[OF \ equalityD1[OF \ disjuncts-NFOR], \ rotated \ -1]
           intro: exI[of - extend \ k \ b \ x \ \# \ xs \ \mathbf{for} \ b \ xs])
         with * show disjuncts \psi \subseteq ... by blast
       qed simp-all
    qed (auto simp: nf-ACI-norm-ACI intro!: exI[of - []])
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma fold-deriv-FAll:
  \{\langle fold\ (deriv\ d\theta)\ xs\ (FAll\ k\ \varphi)\rangle\ |\ xs.\ True\}\subseteq
     \{FAll\ k\ \psi\ |\ \psi.\ nf-ACI\ \psi \land conjuncts\ \psi\subseteq (\bigcup xs.\ conjuncts\ \langle fold\ (deriv\ d0)\ xs\}
\varphi\rangle)\}
proof -
  { fix xs
    have \exists \psi. \langle fold \ (deriv \ d0) \ xs \ (FAll \ k \ \varphi) \rangle = FAll \ k \ \psi \ \land
       nf-ACI \ \psi \land conjuncts \ \psi \subseteq (\bigcup xs. \ conjuncts \ \langle fold \ (deriv \ d0) \ xs \ \varphi \rangle)
    proof (induct xs arbitrary: \varphi)
       case (Cons \ x \ xs)
       let ?\varphi = FAnd (deriv d0 (extend k True x) \varphi) (deriv d0 (extend k False x)
\varphi)
       from Cons[of ?\varphi] obtain \psi where \langle fold (deriv d0) xs (FAll k ?\varphi) \rangle = FAll
k \psi
```

```
nf-ACI \ \psi \ and \ *: conjuncts \ \psi \subseteq (\bigcup xs. conjuncts \ \langle fold \ (deriv \ d0) \ xs \ ?\varphi\rangle)
by blast+
      then show ?case
      proof (intro exI conjI)
        have (\bigcup xs.\ conjuncts\ \langle fold\ (deriv\ d\theta)\ xs\ ?\varphi\rangle) \subseteq
          ([] xs.\ conjuncts\ \langle fold\ (Formula-Operations.deriv\ extend\ d0)\ xs\ \varphi\rangle)
        by (force simp: fold-deriv-FAnd finite-juncts nonempty-juncts nf-ACI-juncts
nf-ACI-norm-ACI
            dest: notin-juncts set-mp[OF equalityD1[OF conjuncts-NFAND], rotated
-1
          intro: exI[of - extend \ k \ b \ x \ \# \ xs \ for \ b \ xs])
        with * show conjuncts \psi \subseteq \dots by blast
      qed simp-all
    \mathbf{qed}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\colon \mathit{nf-ACI-norm-ACI}\ \mathit{intro!}\colon \mathit{exI}[\mathit{of}\ \text{-}\ []])
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma finite-norm-ACI-juncts:
  fixes f:('a, 'k) aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula
  shows finite B \Longrightarrow finite \{ f \varphi \mid \varphi. \text{ nf-ACI } \varphi \land disjuncts \varphi \subseteq B \}
        finite B \Longrightarrow finite \{ f \varphi \mid \varphi. \ nf\text{-}ACI \ \varphi \land conjuncts \ \varphi \subseteq B \}
   by (elim finite-surj[OF iffD2[OF finite-Pow-iff], of - - f o NFOR o image
norm-ACI]
    finite-surj[OF iffD2[OF finite-Pow-iff], of - - f o NFAND o image norm-ACI],
  force simp: Pow-def image-Collect intro: arg-cong[OF norm-ACI-NFOR] arg-cong[OF
norm-ACI-NFAND])+
end
locale Formula = Formula-Operations
  where TYPEVARS = TYPEVARS
  for TYPEVARS :: 'a(*l*) :: linorder (** 'ar :: linorder*) \times 'i \times 'k :: linorder
\times 'n \times 'x \times 'v +
  assumes SUC\text{-}SUC: SUC\ k\ (SUC\ k'\ idx) = SUC\ k'\ (SUC\ k\ idx)
  and LEQ-\theta: LEQ \ k \ \theta \ idx
  and LESS-SUC: LEQ k (Suc l) idx = LESS k l idx
    k \neq k' \Longrightarrow LESS \ k \ l \ (SUC \ k' \ idx) = LESS \ k \ l \ idx
  and nvars-Extend: \#_V (Extend k i \mathfrak A P) = SUC k (\#_V \mathfrak A)
  and Length-Extend: Length (Extend k i \mathfrak{A} P) = max (Length \mathfrak{A}) (len P)
  and Length-0-inj: [Length \ \mathfrak{A} = 0; Length \ \mathfrak{B} = 0; \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \ \mathfrak{B}] \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}
  and ex-Length-0: \exists \mathfrak{A}. Length \mathfrak{A} = 0 \land \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx
  and Extend-commute-safe: [j \leq i; LEQ \ k \ i \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A})] \Longrightarrow
      Extend k j (Extend k i \mathfrak{A} P) Q = Extend k (Suc i) (Extend k j \mathfrak{A} Q) P
  and Extend-commute-unsafe: k \neq k' \Longrightarrow
      Extend k j (Extend k' i \mathfrak{A} P) Q = Extend k' i (Extend k j \mathfrak{A} Q) P
```

```
and assigns-Extend: LEQ ord i \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow
    m^{Extend\ ord\ i\ \mathfrak{A}\ P} ord' = (if\ ord=ord'\ then\ (if\ m=i\ then\ P\ else\ dec\ i\ m^{\mathfrak{A}} ord)
else m^{\mathfrak{A}} ord')
  and assigns-SNOC-zero: LESS ord m (#_V \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow m^{SNOC} (zero (#_V \mathfrak{A})) \mathfrak{A} ord
= m^{\mathfrak{A}} ord
  and Length-CONS: Length (CONS x \mathfrak{A}) = Length \mathfrak{A} + 1
  and Length-SNOC: Length (SNOC x \mathfrak{A}) = Suc (Length \mathfrak{A})
  and nvars-CONS: \#_V (CONS x \mathfrak{A}) = \#_V \mathfrak{A}
  and nvars-SNOC: \#_V (SNOC \ x \ \mathfrak{A}) = \#_V \ \mathfrak{A}
  and Extend-CONS: \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \Longrightarrow Extend \ k \ \theta \ (CONS \ x \ \mathfrak{A}) \ P =
      CONS (extend k (if eval P 0 then True else False) x) (Extend k 0 \mathfrak{A} (downshift
  and Extend-SNOC-cut: \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \Longrightarrow len \ P \leq Length \ (SNOC \ x \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow
     Extend ord 0 (SNOC x \mathfrak{A}) P =
     SNOC (extend ord (if eval P (Length \mathfrak{A}) then True else False) x) (Extend ord
0 \mathfrak{A} (cut (Length \mathfrak{A}) P))
  and size-zero: size (zero idx) = idx
  and size-extend: size (extend k b x) = SUC k (size x)
  and downshift-upshift: downshift (upshift P) = P
  and downshift-add-zero: downshift (add 0 P) = downshift P
  and eval-add: eval (add n P) n
  and eval-upshift: \neg eval (upshift P) \theta
  and eval-ge-len: p \ge len P \Longrightarrow \neg eval P p
  and len-cut-le: len (cut n P) \leq n
  and len-cut: len P \leq n \implies cut \ n \ P = P
  and cut-add: cut n (add m P) = (if m \ge n then cut n P else add m (cut n P))
  and len-add: len (add \ m \ P) = max \ (Suc \ m) \ (len \ P)
  and len-upshift: len (upshift P) = (case len P of 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid n \Rightarrow Suc n)
  and len-downshift: len (downshift P) = (case len P of 0 \Rightarrow 0 \mid Suc \ n \Rightarrow n)
  and wf0\text{-}decr0: [wf0\ (SUC\ k\ idx)\ a;\ LESS\ k\ l\ (SUC\ k\ idx);\ \neg\ find0\ k\ l\ a] \Longrightarrow
wf0 idx (decr0 k l a)
  and left-formula 0-decr0: left-formula 0 \varphi \implies left-formula 0 (decr0 k l \varphi)
  and Extend-satisfies0: \llbracket \neg \text{ find0 } k \text{ } i \text{ } a; \text{ LESS } k \text{ } i \text{ } (SUC \text{ } k \text{ } (\#_V \mathfrak{A})) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
       Extend k i \mathfrak{A} P \models_0 a \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_0 decr0 \ k \ i \ a
  and nullable 0-satisfies 0: Length \mathfrak{A} = 0 \Longrightarrow nullable 0 \ a \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_0 a
  and satisfies 0-eqI: wf0 (#_V \mathfrak{B}) a \Longrightarrow \#_V \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \mathfrak{B} \Longrightarrow left-formula 0 a \Longrightarrow
    (\bigwedge m \ k. \ LESS \ k \ m \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{B}) \Longrightarrow m^{\mathfrak{A}} k = m^{\mathfrak{B}} k) \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_0 a \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \models_0 a
  and wf-lderiv0: wf0 idx a \implies wf idx (lderiv0 \times a)
  and left-formula-lderiv0: left-formula0 a \Longrightarrow left-formula (lderiv0 x a)
  and wf-rderiv0: wf0 idx a \Longrightarrow wf idx (rderiv0 \times a)
  and satisfies-lderiv0: \llbracket wf0 \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \ a; \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models lderiv0 \ x \ a \longleftrightarrow
CONS \ x \ \mathfrak{A} \models_0 a
```

```
and satisfies-bounded-lderiv0: \llbracket wf0 \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \ a; \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b lderiv0
x \ a \longleftrightarrow CONS \ x \ \mathfrak{A} \models_0 a
  and satisfies-bounded-rderiv0: \llbracket wf0 \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \ a; \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b rderiv0
x \ a \longleftrightarrow SNOC \ x \ \mathfrak{A} \models_0 a
  and find0-FV0: find0 \ k \ l \ a \longleftrightarrow (k, \ l) \in set (FV0 \ a)
  and distinct-FV\theta: distinct (FV\theta a)
  and wf0-FV0-LESS: \llbracket wf0 \ idx \ a; \ (k, \ v) \in set \ (FV0 \ a) \rrbracket \implies LESS \ k \ v \ idx
  and restrict-Restrict: i^{\mathfrak{A}}k = P \Longrightarrow restrict \ k \ P \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models Restrict \ k \ i
   and restrict-Restrict<sub>h</sub>: i^{\mathfrak{A}}k = P \Longrightarrow restrict \ k \ P \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_{h} Restrict \ k \ i
  and wf-Restrict: LESS k i idx \implies wf idx (Restrict k i)
  and left-formula-Restrict: left-formula (Restrict k i)
  and finite-lderiv\theta: finite {fold lderiv xs (FBase a) | xs. True}
  and finite-rderiv0: finite {fold rderiv xs (FBase a) | xs. True}
locale Word-Formula = Formula
   where TYPEVARS = TYPEVARS
   for TYPEVARS :: 'a :: linorder \times 'i \times 'k :: linorder \times 'n \times 'x \times 'v +
  fixes enc :: 'i \Rightarrow 'x \ list
  and alphabet :: 'n \Rightarrow 'x \ list
  and ZERO :: 'n
  assumes enc-inj: \#_V \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \mathfrak{B} \Longrightarrow enc \mathfrak{A} = enc \mathfrak{B} \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}
  and length-enc: length (enc \mathfrak{A}) = Length \mathfrak{A}
  and enc-CONS: \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \Longrightarrow enc \ (CONS \ x \mathfrak{A}) = x \# enc \mathfrak{A}
  and in-set-encD: x \in set (enc \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow size \ x = \#_V \mathfrak{A}
  and alphabet-size: x \in set \ (alphabet \ idx) \longleftrightarrow size \ x = idx
context Formula
begin
lemma satisfies-eqI:
   \llbracket wf \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \ \varphi; \ \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \ \mathfrak{B}; \ \bigwedge m \ k. \ LESS \ k \ m \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow m^{\mathfrak{A}} k = m^{\mathfrak{B}} k;
left-formula \varphi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
    \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{B} \models \varphi
proof (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B})
  case (FEx k \varphi)
  from FEx.prems have \bigwedge P. (Extend k \ 0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ P \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (Extend k \ 0 \ \mathfrak{B} \ P \models \varphi)
   by (intro FEx.hyps) (auto simp: nvars-Extend assigns-Extend dec-def gr0-conv-Suc
LEQ-0 LESS-SUC)
   then show ?case by simp
next
  case (FAll k \varphi)
  from FAll.prems have \bigwedge P. (Extend k \ 0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ P \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (Extend k \ 0 \ \mathfrak{B} \ P \models \varphi)
   by (intro FAll.hyps) (auto simp: nvars-Extend assigns-Extend dec-def gr0-conv-Suc
LEQ-0 LESS-SUC)
  then show ?case by simp
  case (FNot \varphi)
  \textbf{from} \ \textit{FNot.prems} \ \textbf{have} \ (\mathfrak{A} \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\mathfrak{B} \models \varphi) \ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{intro FNot.hyps}) \ \textit{simp-all}
```

```
then show ?case by simp
qed (auto dest: satisfies0-eqI)
lemma wf-decr:
  \llbracket wf \ (SUC \ k \ idx) \ \varphi; \ LEQ \ k \ l \ idx; \ \neg \ find \ k \ l \ \varphi \rrbracket \implies wf \ idx \ (decr \ k \ l \ \varphi)
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx l) (auto simp: wf0-decr0 LESS-SUC SUC-SUC)
lemma left-formula-decr:
  left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow left-formula (decr \ k \ l \ \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: l) (auto simp: left-formula0-decr0)
lemma Extend-satisfies-decr:
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: i \mathfrak{A})
    (auto\ simp:\ Extend-commute-unsafe[of\ -\ k\ 0\ -\ -\ P]\ Extend-commute-safe
      Extend-satisfies0 nvars-Extend LESS-SUC SUC-SUC split: bool.splits)
lemma LEQ\text{-}SUC: k \neq k' \Longrightarrow LEQ \ k \ i \ (SUC \ k' \ idx) = LEQ \ k \ i \ idx
  by (metis LESS-SUC(2) SUC-SUC)
lemma Extend-satisfies-bounded-decr:
  \llbracket \neg \text{ find } k \text{ } i \text{ } \varphi; \text{ } LEQ \text{ } k \text{ } i \text{ } (\#_V \mathfrak{A}); \text{ } len \text{ } P \leq Length \text{ } \mathfrak{A} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
   Extend k i \mathfrak{A} P \models_b \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b decr k i \varphi
proof (induct \varphi arbitrary: i \mathfrak{A} P)
  case (FEx k' \varphi)
  show ?case
  proof (cases k = k')
    case True
    with FEx(2,3,4) show ?thesis
      using FEx(1)[of Suc \ i \ Extend \ k' \ 0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ Q \ P \ for \ Q \ j]
     by (auto simp: Extend-commute-safe LESS-SUC Length-Extend nvars-Extend
max-def
 next
    {f case}\ {\it False}
    with FEx(2,3,4) show ?thesis
     using FEx(1)[of \ i \ Extend \ k' \ j \ \mathfrak{A} \ Q \ P \ for \ Q \ j]
    by (auto simp: Extend-commute-unsafe LEQ-SUC Length-Extend nvars-Extend
max-def)
  qed
next
  case (FAll \ k' \ \varphi) show ?case
  proof (cases k = k')
    case True
    with FAll(2,3,4) show ?thesis
      using FAll(1)[of Suc \ i \ Extend \ k' \ 0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ Q \ P \ for \ Q \ j]
     by (auto simp: Extend-commute-safe LESS-SUC Length-Extend nvars-Extend
max-def
 next
    case False
```

```
with FAll(2,3,4) show ?thesis
     using FAll(1)[of \ i \ Extend \ k' \ j \ \mathfrak{A} \ Q \ P \ for \ Q \ j]
    by (auto simp: Extend-commute-unsafe LEQ-SUC Length-Extend nvars-Extend
max-def)
 ged
qed (auto simp: Extend-satisfies0 split: bool.splits)
4
      Normalization
lemma wf-nFOr:
  wf idx (FOr \varphi \psi) \Longrightarrow wf idx (nFOr \varphi \psi)
 by (induct \varphi \psi rule: nFOr.induct) (simp-all add: Let-def)
lemma wf-nFAnd:
  wf idx (FAnd \varphi \psi) \Longrightarrow wf idx (nFAnd \varphi \psi)
 by (induct \varphi \psi rule: nFAnd.induct) (simp-all add: Let-def)
lemma wf-nFNot:
  wf idx (FNot \varphi) \Longrightarrow wf idx (nFNot \varphi)
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx rule: nFNot.induct) (auto simp: wf-nFOr wf-nFAnd)
lemma wf-nFEx:
  wf idx (FEx b \varphi) \Longrightarrow wf idx (nFEx b \varphi)
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx rule: nFEx.induct)
     (auto simp: SUC-SUC LEQ-0 LESS-SUC(1) gr0-conv-Suc wf-nFOr intro:
wf0-decr0 wf-decr)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{wf-nFAll}:
  wf idx (FAll b \varphi) \Longrightarrow wf idx (nFAll b \varphi)
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx rule: nFAll.induct)
     (auto simp: SUC-SUC LEQ-0 LESS-SUC(1) gr0-conv-Suc wf-nFAnd intro:
wf0-decr0 wf-decr)
lemma wf-norm: wf idx \varphi \Longrightarrow wf idx (norm \varphi)
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx) (simp-all add: wf-nFOr wf-nFAnd wf-nFNot wf-nFEx
wf-nFAll)
lemma left-formula-nFOr:
  left-formula (FOr \varphi \psi) \Longrightarrow left-formula (nFOr \varphi \psi)
 by (induct \varphi \psi rule: nFOr.induct) (simp-all add: Let-def)
lemma left-formula-nFAnd:
  left-formula (FAnd \varphi \psi) \Longrightarrow left-formula (nFAnd \varphi \psi)
 by (induct \varphi \psi rule: nFAnd.induct) (simp-all add: Let-def)
lemma left-formula-nFNot:
```

by (induct φ rule: nFNot.induct) (auto simp: left-formula-nFOr left-formula-nFAnd)

left-formula (FNot φ) \Longrightarrow left-formula (nFNot φ)

```
lemma left-formula-nFEx:
    left-formula (FEx b \varphi) \Longrightarrow left-formula (nFEx b \varphi)
    by (induct \varphi rule: nFEx.induct)
        (auto simp: left-formula-nFOr left-formula0-decr0 left-formula-decr)
lemma left-formula-nFAll:
    left-formula (FAll b \varphi) \Longrightarrow left-formula (nFAll b \varphi)
    by (induct \varphi rule: nFAll.induct)
        (auto simp: left-formula-nFAnd left-formula0-decr0 left-formula-decr)
lemma left-formula-norm: left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow left-formula (norm \varphi)
  by (induct \ \varphi) \ (simp-all \ add: \ left-formula-nFOr \ left-formula-nFAnd \ left-formula-nFNot
        left-formula-nFEx left-formula-nFAll)
lemma satisfies-nFOr:
    \mathfrak{A} \models nFOr \ \varphi \ \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models FOr \ \varphi \ \psi
   by (induct \varphi \psi arbitrary: \mathfrak A rule: nFOr.induct) auto
lemma satisfies-nFAnd:
    \mathfrak{A} \models nFAnd \varphi \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models FAnd \varphi \psi
    by (induct \varphi \psi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A} rule: nFAnd.induct) auto
lemma satisfies-nFNot:
    \mathfrak{A} \models nFNot \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models FNot \ \varphi
    by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A})
     (auto simp: satisfies-nFOr satisfies-nFAnd)
lemma satisfies-nFEx: \mathfrak{A} \models nFEx \ b \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models FEx \ b \ \varphi
    by (induct \varphi rule: nFEx.induct)
        (auto simp add: satisfies-nFOr Extend-satisfies-decr
               LEQ-0 LESS-SUC(1) nvars-Extend Extend-satisfies0 Extend-commute-safe
Extend-commute-unsafe)
lemma satisfies-nFAll: \mathfrak{A} \models nFAll \ b \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models FAll \ b \ \varphi
    by (induct \varphi rule: nFAll.induct)
        (auto simp add: satisfies-nFAnd Extend-satisfies-decr
               Extend-satisfies0 LEQ-0 LESS-SUC(1) nvars-Extend Extend-commute-safe
Extend-commute-unsafe)
lemma satisfies-norm: \mathfrak{A} \models norm \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi
  {\bf using} \ satisfies-nFOr \ satisfies-nFAnd \ satisfies-nFNot \ satisfies-nFEx \ satisfies-nFAll \ satisfies-nFNot \ satisfies-nFEx \ satisfies-nFAll \ satisfies-nFNot \ satisfies-nFEx \ satisfies-nFAll \ sa
   by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) simp-all
lemma satisfies-bounded-nFOr:
    \mathfrak{A} \models_b nFOr \varphi \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b FOr \varphi \psi
    by (induct \varphi \psi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A} rule: nFOr.induct) auto
lemma satisfies-bounded-nFAnd:
    \mathfrak{A} \models_b nFAnd \varphi \psi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b FAnd \varphi \psi
```

```
by (induct \varphi \psi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A} rule: nFAnd.induct) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{satisfies-bounded-nFNot}\colon
  \mathfrak{A} \models_b nFNot \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b FNot \ \varphi
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A})
    (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-nFOr satisfies-bounded-nFAnd)
lemma len\text{-}cut\text{-}\theta: len\ (cut\ \theta\ P) = \theta
  by (metis le-0-eq len-cut-le)
lemma satisfies-bounded-nFEx: \mathfrak{A} \models_b nFEx \ b \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b FEx \ b \ \varphi
  by (induct \varphi rule: nFEx.induct)
    (auto 4 4 simp add: satisfies-bounded-nFOr Extend-satisfies-bounded-decr
      LEQ-0\ LESS-SUC(1)\ nvars-Extend\ Length-Extend\ len-cut-0
      Extend-satisfies 0 Extend-commute-safe Extend-commute-unsafe cong: ex-cong
split: bool.splits
       intro: exI[where P = \lambda x. P x \wedge Q x for P Q, OF conjI[rotated]] exI[of -
cut 0 P for P])
lemma satisfies-bounded-nFAll: \mathfrak{A} \models_b nFAll \ b \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b FAll \ b \ \varphi
  by (induct \varphi rule: nFAll.induct)
    (auto 4 4 simp add: satisfies-bounded-nFAnd Extend-satisfies-bounded-decr
      LEQ-0 LESS-SUC(1) nvars-Extend Length-Extend len-cut-0
        Extend-satisfies 0 Extend-commute-safe Extend-commute-unsafe cong: split:
bool.splits\\
     intro: exI[where P = \lambda x. P x \wedge Q x for P Q, OF conjI[rotated]] dest: spec[of]
- cut 0 P for P])
lemma satisfies-bounded-norm: \mathfrak{A} \models_b norm \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A})
    (simp-all\ add:\ satisfies-bounded-nFOr\ satisfies-bounded-nFAnd
      satisfies-bounded-nFNot satisfies-bounded-nFEx satisfies-bounded-nFAll)
5
       Derivatives of Formulas
lemma wf-lderiv:
  wf idx \varphi \Longrightarrow wf idx (lderiv x \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: x idx) (auto simp: wf-lderiv\theta)
lemma left-formula-lderiv:
  left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow left-formula (lderiv \ x \ \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: x) (auto simp: left-formula-lderiv0)
lemma wf-rderiv:
  wf idx \varphi \Longrightarrow wf idx (rderiv x \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: x idx) (auto simp: wf-rderiv\theta)
theorem satisfies-lderiv: \llbracket wf \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \ \varphi; \ \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models lderiv \ x \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow
CONS x \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi
```

```
proof (induct \varphi arbitrary: x \mathfrak{A})
  case (FEx \ k \ \varphi)
 from FEx.prems\ FEx.hyps[of\ Extend\ k\ 0\ \mathfrak{A}\ P\ extend\ k\ b\ x\ for\ P\ b] show ?case
    by (auto simp: nvars-Extend size-extend Extend-CONS
      downshift-upshift eval-add eval-upshift downshift-add-zero
      intro: exI[of - add 0 (upshift P) for P] exI[of - upshift P for P])
next
  case (FAll\ k\ \varphi)
 from FAll.prems FAll.hyps[of Extend k 0 A P extend k b x for P b] show ?case
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto\ simp:\ nvars-Extend\ size-extend\ Extend-CONS}
      downshift-upshift eval-add eval-upshift downshift-add-zero
      dest: spec[of - add \ 0 \ (upshift \ P) \ \mathbf{for} \ P] \ spec[of - upshift \ P \ \mathbf{for} \ P])
qed (simp-all add: satisfies-lderiv0 split: bool.splits)
theorem satisfies-bounded-lderiv: \llbracket wf \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \ \varphi; \ \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b lderiv
x \varphi \longleftrightarrow CONS \ x \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi
proof (induct \varphi arbitrary: x \mathfrak{A})
  case (FEx \ k \ \varphi)
  note [simp] = nvars-Extend size-extend Extend-CONS Length-CONS
     downshift-upshift eval-add eval-upshift downshift-add-zero len-add len-upshift
len-downshift
  from FEx.prems FEx.hyps[of Extend k 0 \mathbb{A} P extend k b x for P b] show ?case
    by auto (force intro: exI[of - add 0 (upshift P) for P] exI[of - upshift P for
P[split: nat.splits)+
\mathbf{next}
  case (FAll k \varphi)
  note [simp] = nvars-Extend size-extend Extend-CONS Length-CONS
     downshift-upshift eval-add eval-upshift downshift-add-zero len-add len-upshift
len-downshift
 from FAll.prems FAll.hyps[of Extend k 0 \mathfrak A P extend k b x for P b] show ?case
    by auto (force dest: spec[of - add 0 (upshift P) for P] spec[of - upshift P for
P[split: nat.splits) +
qed (simp-all add: satisfies-bounded-lderiv0 split: bool.splits)
theorem satisfies-bounded-rderiv:
  \llbracket wf \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \ \varphi; \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b rderiv \ x \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow SNOC \ x \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi
proof (induct \varphi arbitrary: x \mathfrak{A})
  case (FEx \ k \ \varphi)
  from FEx.prems FEx.hyps[of Extend k 0 \mathbb{A} P extend k b x for P b] show ?case
   by (auto simp: nvars-Extend size-extend Extend-SNOC-cut len-cut-le eval-ge-len
      eval-add cut-add Length-SNOC len-add len-cut le-Suc-eq max-def
       intro: exI[of - cut \ (Length \ \mathfrak{A}) \ P \ \mathbf{for} \ P] \ exI[of - add \ (Length \ \mathfrak{A}) \ P \ \mathbf{for} \ P]
split: if-splits)
next
  case (FAll\ k\ \varphi)
 from FAll.prems FAll.hyps of Extend k 0 \mathfrak A P extend k b x for P b show ?case
   by (auto simp: nvars-Extend size-extend Extend-SNOC-cut len-cut-le eval-ge-len
```

```
eval-add cut-add Length-SNOC len-add len-cut le-Suc-eq max-def dest: spec[of - cut \ (Length \ \mathfrak{A}) \ P \ \mathbf{for} \ P] spec[of - add \ (Length \ \mathfrak{A}) \ P \ \mathbf{for} \ P] split: if-splits) \mathbf{qed} \ (simp-all \ add: satisfies-bounded-rderiv0 \ split: bool.splits) \mathbf{lemma} \ wf-norm-rderivs: \ wf \ idx \ \varphi \Longrightarrow \ wf \ idx \ (((norm \circ \ rderiv \ (zero \ idx)) \ ^^ k) \ \varphi) \mathbf{by} \ (induct \ k) \ (auto \ simp: \ wf-norm \ wf-rderiv)
```

6 Finiteness of Derivatives Modulo ACI

```
{f lemma}\ finite	ext{-}fold	ext{-}deriv:
    assumes d\theta = lderiv\theta \lor d\theta = rderiv\theta
    shows finite \{\langle fold\ (deriv\ d\theta)\ xs\ \varphi\rangle\mid xs.\ True\}
proof (induct \varphi)
    case (FBase a) then show ?case using assms
         by (auto intro:
             finite-subset[OF - finite-imageI[OF finite-lderiv0]]
             finite-subset[OF - finite-imageI[OF finite-rderiv0]])
next
     case (FNot \varphi)
    then show ?case
         by (auto simp: fold-deriv-FNot intro: finite-surj[OF FNot])
     case (FOr \varphi \psi)
    then show ?case
        by (auto simp: fold-deriv-FOr intro!: finite-surj[OF finite-cartesian-product[OF
FOr]])
next
    case (FAnd \varphi \psi)
    then show ?case
      by (auto simp: fold-deriv-FAnd intro!: finite-surj[OF finite-cartesian-product[OF
FAnd]])
next
    case (FEx \ k \ \varphi)
    then have finite (\bigcup (disjuncts ` \{\langle fold (deriv d0) xs \varphi \rangle \mid xs . True \}))
         by (auto simp: finite-juncts)
     then have finite (\bigcup xs. \ disjuncts \ \langle fold \ (deriv \ d0) \ xs \ \varphi \rangle)
           \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{finite}\text{-}\mathit{subset}[\mathit{rotated}])\ \mathit{auto}
    then have finite \{FEx\ k\ \psi\ |\ \psi.\ nf\text{-}ACI\ \psi\land\ disjuncts\ \psi\subseteq(\bigcup xs.\ disjuncts\ \langle fold\ v.\ fold\ v.\ fold\ v.\ fold\ v.\ fold\ v.\ fold\ f
(deriv \ d\theta) \ xs \ \varphi\rangle)\}
         by (rule finite-norm-ACI-juncts)
    then show ?case
         by (rule finite-subset[OF fold-deriv-FEx])
next
     case (FAll \ k \ \varphi)
     then have finite (\bigcup (conjuncts ' {\langle fold (deriv d0) xs \varphi \rangle \mid xs . True}))
         by (auto simp: finite-juncts)
     then have finite (\bigcup xs.\ conjuncts\ \langle fold\ (deriv\ d\theta)\ xs\ \varphi\rangle)
```

```
by (rule finite-subset[rotated]) auto
   then have finite \{FAll\ k\ \psi\ |\ \psi.\ nf-ACI\ \psi\ \land\ conjuncts\ \psi\subseteq(\bigcup xs.\ conjuncts
\langle fold \ (deriv \ d0) \ xs \ \varphi \rangle ) \}
    by (rule finite-norm-ACI-juncts)
  then show ?case
    by (rule finite-subset[OF fold-deriv-FAll])
qed (simp add: fold-deriv-FBool)
theorem
  finite-fold-lderiv: finite \{\langle fold\ lderiv\ xs\ \langle \varphi \rangle \rangle \mid xs.\ True\} and
  finite-fold-rderiv: finite \{\langle fold\ rderiv\ xs\ \langle \varphi \rangle \} \mid xs.\ True\}
  by (blast intro: nf-ACI-norm-ACI finite-fold-deriv)+
lemma wf-nFOR: wf idx (nFOR \varphi s) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in set \ \varphi s. wf idx \varphi)
  by (induct rule: nFOR.induct) auto
lemma wf-nFAND: wf idx (nFAND \varphi s) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in set \ \varphi s. wf idx \varphi)
  by (induct rule: nFAND.induct) auto
lemma wf-NFOR: finite \Phi \Longrightarrow wf idx (NFOR \Phi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in \Phi. wf idx \varphi)
  unfolding NFOR-def o-apply by (auto simp: wf-nFOR)
lemma wf-NFAND: finite \Phi \Longrightarrow wf idx (NFAND \Phi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in \Phi. wf idx \varphi)
  unfolding NFAND-def o-apply by (auto simp: wf-nFAND)
lemma satisfies-bounded-nFOR: \mathfrak{A} \models_b nFOR \ \varphi s \longleftrightarrow (\exists \varphi \in set \ \varphi s. \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi)
  by (induct rule: nFOR.induct) (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-nFOr)
lemma satisfies-bounded-nFAND: \mathfrak{A} \models_b nFAND \ \varphi s \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in set \ \varphi s. \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi)
  by (induct rule: nFAND.induct) (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-nFAnd)
lemma satisfies-bounded-NFOR: finite \Phi \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b NFOR \Phi \longleftrightarrow (\exists \varphi \in \Phi. \mathfrak{A} \models_b
  unfolding NFOR-def o-apply by (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-nFOR)
lemma satisfies-bounded-NFAND: finite \Phi \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_h NFAND \ \Phi \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in \Phi. \ \mathfrak{A})
\models_b \varphi
  unfolding NFAND-def o-apply by (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-nFAND)
lemma wf-juncts:
  wf idx \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\forall \psi \in disjuncts \varphi. wf idx \psi)
  wf idx \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\forall \psi \in conjuncts \varphi. wf idx \psi)
  by (induct \varphi) auto
lemma wf-norm-ACI: wf idx \langle \varphi \rangle = wf idx \varphi
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx)
    (auto simp: finite-juncts wf-NFOR wf-NFAND ball-Un wf-juncts[symmetric])
lemma satisfies-bounded-disjuncts:
```

```
\mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists \psi \in disjuncts \ \varphi. \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \psi)
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) auto
lemma satisfies-bounded-conjuncts:
  \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\forall \psi \in conjuncts \ \varphi. \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \psi)
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) auto
lemma satisfies-bounded-norm-ACI: \mathfrak{A} \models_b \langle \varphi \rangle \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi
   by (rule sym, induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A})
     (auto\ simp:\ satisfies-bounded-NFOR\ satisfies-bounded-NFAND\ finite-juncts
     intro: iff D2 [OF\ satisfies-bounded-disjuncts]\ iff D2 [OF\ satisfies-bounded-conjuncts]
     dest: iffD1 [OF satisfies-bounded-disjuncts] iffD1 [OF satisfies-bounded-conjuncts])
lemma nvars-SNOCs: \#_V ((SNOC \ x^{\hat{k}}) \ \mathfrak{A}) = \#_V \ \mathfrak{A}
  by (induct \ k) (auto \ simp: nvars-SNOC)
lemma wf-fold-rderiv: wf idx \varphi \Longrightarrow wf idx (fold rderiv (replicate k x) \varphi)
  by (induct k arbitrary: \varphi) (auto simp: wf-rderiv)
lemma satisfies-bounded-fold-rderiv:
   \llbracket wf \ idx \ \varphi; \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx; \ size \ x = idx \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
      \mathfrak{A} \models_b fold\ rderiv\ (replicate\ k\ x)\ \varphi \longleftrightarrow (SNOC\ x^\hat{k})\ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi
 by (induct k arbitrary: \mathfrak{A} \varphi) (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-rderiv wf-rderiv nvars-SNOCs)
        Emptiness Check
context
  fixes b :: bool
  and idx :: 'n
  and \psi :: ('a, 'k) \ a formula
begin
abbreviation fut-test \equiv \lambda(\varphi, \Phi). \varphi \notin set \Phi
abbreviation fut-step \equiv \lambda(\varphi, \Phi). (norm (rderiv (zero idx) \varphi), \varphi \# \Phi)
definition fut-derivs k \varphi \equiv ((norm \ o \ rderiv \ (zero \ idx)) \hat{k}) \varphi
lemma fut-derivs-Suc[simp]: norm (rderiv (zero idx) (fut-derivs k \varphi)) = fut-derivs
(Suc\ k)\ \varphi
  unfolding fut-derivs-def by auto
definition fut-invariant =
   (\lambda(\varphi, \Phi)). wf idx \varphi \wedge (\forall \varphi \in set \Phi). wf idx \varphi \wedge (\forall \varphi \in set \Phi)
     (\exists k. \ \varphi = \text{fut-derivs } k \ \psi \land \Phi = \text{map } (\lambda i. \text{ fut-derivs } i \ \psi) \ (\text{rev } [0 \ .. < k])))
definition fut-spec \varphi\Phi \equiv (\forall \varphi \in set \ (snd \ \varphi\Phi). \ wf \ idx \ \varphi) \land 
   (\forall \mathfrak{A}. \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx \longrightarrow
     (\textit{if b then } (\exists \textit{k. } (\textit{SNOC (zero idx)} \ \hat{} \ \hat{} \ ^{\hat{}} \ \textit{k}) \ \mathfrak{A} \models_{b} \psi) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \, \varphi \in \textit{set (snd } \varphi \Phi). \ \mathfrak{A})
\models_b \varphi
      else (\forall k. (SNOC (zero idx) \hat{\ } k) \mathfrak{A} \models_b \psi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in set (snd \varphi \Phi). \mathfrak{A} \models_b
\varphi)))
```

```
definition fut-default =
  (\psi, sorted-list-of-set \{\langle fold\ rderiv\ (replicate\ k\ (zero\ idx))\ \langle \psi \rangle \} \mid k.\ True\})
lemma finite-fold-rderiv-zeros: finite \{\langle fold\ rderiv\ (replicate\ k\ (zero\ idx))\ \langle\psi\rangle\rangle\ |\ k.
True}
 by (rule finite-subset[OF - finite-fold-rderiv[of \psi]) blast
definition fut :: ('a, 'k) \ a formula \ where
 fut = (if b then nFOR else nFAND) (snd (while-default fut-default fut-test fut-step)
(\psi, []))
context
 assumes wf: wf idx \psi
begin
lemma wf-fut-derivs:
  wf idx (fut-derivs k \psi)
 by (induct k) (auto simp: wf-norm wf-rderiv wf fut-derivs-def)
lemma satisfies-bounded-fut-derivs:
  \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b fut\text{-}derivs \ k \ \psi \longleftrightarrow (SNOC \ (zero \ idx) \hat{k}) \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \psi
   by (induct k arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) (auto simp: fut-derivs-def satisfies-bounded-rderiv
satisfies\mbox{-}bounded\mbox{-}norm
    wf-norm-rderivs size-zero nvars-SNOC funpow-swap1 [of SNOC x for x] wf)
lemma fut-init: fut-invariant (\psi, [])
  unfolding fut-invariant-def by (auto simp: fut-derivs-def wf)
lemma fut-spec-default: fut-spec fut-default
 using satisfies-bounded-fold-rderiv[OF iffD2[OF wf-norm-ACI wf] sym size-zero]
 unfolding fut-spec-def fut-default-def snd-conv
    conjunct1 [OF sorted-list-of-set[OF finite-fold-rderiv-zeros]]
  by (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-norm-ACI wf-fold-rderiv wf wf-norm-ACI simp
del: fold-replicate)
lemma fut-invariant: fut-invariant \varphi\Phi \Longrightarrow fut-test \varphi\Phi \Longrightarrow fut-invariant (fut-step
\varphi\Phi)
 by (cases \varphi\Phi) (auto simp: fut-invariant-def wf-norm wf-rderiv split: if-splits)
lemma fut-terminate: fut-invariant \varphi\Phi \Longrightarrow \neg fut-test \varphi\Phi \Longrightarrow fut-spec \varphi\Phi
proof (induct \varphi\Phi, unfold prod.case not-not)
  fix \varphi \Phi assume fut-invariant (\varphi, \Phi) \varphi \in set \Phi
  then obtain i \ k where i < k and \varphi-def: \varphi = fut-derivs i \ \psi
    and \Phi-def: \Phi = map \ (\lambda i. \ fut-derivs i \ \psi) \ (rev \ [\theta..< k])
    and *: fut-derivs k \psi = fut-derivs i \psi unfolding fut-invariant-def by auto
  have set \Phi = \{ \text{fut-derivs } k \ \psi \mid k \ . \ \text{True} \}
  unfolding \Phi-def set-map set-rev set-upt proof safe
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ j
   show fut-derivs j \ \psi \in (\lambda i. \ fut\text{-}derivs \ i \ \psi) \ ` \{0..< k\}
   proof (cases j < k)
      case False
     with * \langle i < k \rangle have fut-derivs j \psi = \text{fut-derivs } ((j-i) \mod (k-i) + i) \psi
        unfolding fut-derivs-def by (auto intro: funpow-cycle-offset)
      then show ?thesis using \langle i < k \rangle \langle \neg j < k \rangle
        by (metis image-eqI atLeastLessThan-iff le0 less-diff-conv mod-less-divisor
zero-less-diff)
   qed simp
  qed (blast intro: *)
  then show fut-spec (\varphi, \Phi)
  unfolding fut-spec-def using satisfies-bounded-fut-derivs by (auto simp: wf-fut-derivs)
qed
lemma fut-spec-while-default:
 fut-spec (while-default fut-default fut-test fut-step (\psi, []))
 using fut-invariant fut-terminate fut-init fut-spec-default by (rule while-default-rule)
lemma wf-fut: wf idx fut
 using fut-spec-while-default unfolding fut-def fut-spec-def by (auto simp: wf-nFOR
wf-nFAND)
lemma satisfies-bounded-fut:
  assumes \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx
 shows \mathfrak{A} \models_b fut \longleftrightarrow
   (if b then (\exists k. (SNOC (zero idx) \hat{k}) \mathfrak{A} \models_b \psi) else (\forall k. (SNOC (zero idx)))
\hat{k} \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \psi))
 using fut-spec-while-default assms unfolding fut-def fut-spec-def
 by (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-nFOR satisfies-bounded-nFAND)
end
end
fun finalize :: 'n \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula where
  finalize idx (FEx k \varphi) = fut True idx (nFEx k (finalize (SUC k idx) \varphi))
 finalize idx (FAll k \varphi) = fut False idx (nFAll k (finalize (SUC k idx) \varphi))
 finalize idx (FOr \varphi \psi) = FOr (finalize idx \varphi) (finalize idx \psi)
 finalize idx (FAnd \varphi \psi) = FAnd (finalize idx \varphi) (finalize idx \psi)
 finalize idx (FNot \varphi) = FNot (finalize idx \varphi)
| finalize idx \varphi = \varphi
definition final :: 'n \Rightarrow ('a, 'k) aformula \Rightarrow bool where
  final\ idx = nullable\ o\ finalize\ idx
lemma wf-finalize: wf idx \varphi \implies wf idx (finalize idx \varphi)
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx) (auto simp: wf-fut wf-nFEx wf-nFAll)
```

```
lemma Length-SNOCs: Length ((SNOC \ x \ \hat{\ } k) \ \mathfrak{A}) = Length \ \mathfrak{A} + k
  by (induct k arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) (auto simp: Length-SNOC)
lemma assigns-SNOCs-zero:
 \llbracket LESS \ ord \ m \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}); \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx \rrbracket \implies m^{(SNOC \ (zero \ idx) \ ^{\hat{}} \ ^{\hat{}} k)} \ \mathfrak{A} \ ord = m^{\mathfrak{A}} \ ord
 by (induct k arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) (auto simp: assigns-SNOC-zero nvars-SNOC funpow-swap1)
lemma Extend-SNOCs-zero-satisfies: [wf (SUC ord idx) \varphi; #<sub>V</sub> \mathfrak{A} = idx; left-formula
\varphi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
  Extend ord 0 ((SNOC (zero (\#_V \mathfrak{A})) \hat{\ } k) \mathfrak{A}) P \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow Extend ord 0 \mathfrak{A} P \models
  by (rule\ satisfies-eqI)
  (auto simp: nvars-Extend nvars-SNOCs assigns-Extend assigns-SNOCs-zero LEQ-0
LESS-SUC
     dec-def qr0-conv-Suc)
lemma finalize-satisfies: \llbracket wf \ idx \ \varphi; \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx; \ left-formula \ \varphi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b finalize
idx \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx \mathfrak{A})
      (force simp add: wf-nFEx wf-nFAll wf-finalize Length-SNOCs nvars-Extend
nvars-SNOCs
     satisfies-bounded-nFEx\ satisfies-bounded-nFAll\ Extend-SNOCs-zero-satisfies
       intro: le-add2)+
lemma Extend-empty-satisfies0:
  \llbracket Length \ \mathfrak{A} = 0; len \ P = 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Extend \ k \ i \ \mathfrak{A} \ P \models_0 a \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_0 a
  by (intro\ box-equals[OF\ -\ nullable0-satisfies0\ nullable0-satisfies0])
    (auto simp: nvars-Extend Length-Extend)
lemma Extend-empty-satisfies-bounded:
  \llbracket Length \ \mathfrak{A} = 0; \ len \ P = 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Extend \ k \ 0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ P \models_b \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: k \mathfrak{A} P)
    (auto simp: Extend-empty-satisfies0 Length-Extend split: bool.splits)
lemma nullable-satisfies-bounded: Length \mathfrak{A} = 0 \Longrightarrow nullable \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi
   by (induct \varphi) (auto simp: nullable0-satisfies0 Extend-empty-satisfies-bounded
len-cut-0
    intro: exI[of - cut \ 0 \ P \ \mathbf{for} \ P])
lemma final-satisfies:
  \llbracket wf \ idx \ \varphi \land left\ formula \ \varphi; \ Length \ \mathfrak{A} = 0; \ \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx \rrbracket \Longrightarrow final \ idx \ \varphi = (\mathfrak{A})
  by (simp only: final-def o-apply nullable-satisfies-bounded finalize-satisfies)
        Restrictions
8
```

```
lemma satisfies-gen-restrict-RESTR:
 satisfies-gen (\lambda k P -. restrict k P) \mathfrak{A} \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models RESTR \varphi
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) (auto simp: restrict-Restrict[symmetric] assigns-Extend
```

```
LEQ-0
lemma satisfies-gen-restrict_b-RESTR:
  satisfies-gen (\lambda k \ P \ n. \ restrict \ k \ P \land len \ P \leq n) \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b RESTR \ \varphi
 by (induct \varphi arbitrary: \mathfrak{A}) (auto simp: restrict-Restrict<sub>b</sub>[symmetric] assigns-Extend
LEQ-0)
lemma distinct-FV: distinct (FV \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi) (auto simp: distinct-FV0 distinct-map inj-on-def split: if-splits)
lemma sat-vars-RESTRICT-VARS: sat-vars-gen (\lambda- - -. True) vs \mathfrak{A} \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models
RESTRICT-VARS vs \varphi
 by (induct vs arbitrary: \varphi) (force simp: satisfies-gen-restrict-RESTR restrict-Restrict)+
lemma sat-vars<sub>b</sub>-RESTRICT-VARS: sat-vars-qen (\lambda- P n. len P < n) vs \mathfrak{A} \varphi
\longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b RESTRICT\text{-}VARS \ vs \ \varphi
 by (induct vs arbitrary: \varphi) (auto simp: satisfies-gen-restrict<sub>b</sub>-RESTR restrict-Restrict<sub>b</sub>)+
lemma sat-RESTRICT: sat \mathfrak{A} \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models RESTRICT \varphi
  unfolding sat-def RESTRICT-def by (rule sat-vars-RESTRICT-VARS)
lemma sat_b-RESTRICT: sat_b \mathfrak{A} \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b RESTRICT \varphi
  unfolding sat_b-def RESTRICT-def by (rule\ sat\text{-}vars_b\text{-}RESTRICT\text{-}VARS)
end
context Word-Formula
begin
lemma enc-Nil: Length \mathfrak{A} = 0 \Longrightarrow enc \mathfrak{A} = []
  by (metis length-0-conv length-enc)
definition decode idx = the\text{-}inv\text{-}into \{\mathfrak{B}. \#_V \mathfrak{B} = idx\} enc
lemma inj-on-enc: inj-on enc \{\mathfrak{B}. \#_V \mathfrak{B} = idx\}
  unfolding inj-on-def by (auto simp: enc-inj)
lemma surj-enc: \forall x \in set \ xs. \ size \ x = idx \Longrightarrow xs \in enc \ `\{\mathfrak{B}. \ \#_V \ \mathfrak{B} = idx\}
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil then show ?case using ex-Length-0[of idx]
    by (auto dest: enc-Nil[symmetric])
  case (Cons \ x \ xs) then show ?case
    by (auto simp: image-iff enc-CONS nvars-CONS intro: exI[of - CONS x 21 for
\mathfrak{A}
qed
lemma enc-decode: \forall x \in set \ xs. \ size \ x = idx \Longrightarrow enc \ (decode \ idx \ xs) = xs
```

unfolding decode-def by (rule f-the-inv-into-f[OF inj-on-enc surj-enc])

```
definition TL \mathfrak{A} = decode \ (\#_V \mathfrak{A}) \ (tl \ (enc \mathfrak{A}))
lemma in-set-tlD: x \in set(tl|xs) \Longrightarrow x \in set|xs
  by (cases xs) auto
lemma enc-TL: enc (TL \mathfrak{A}) = tl (enc \mathfrak{A})
  unfolding TL-def by (subst enc-decode) (auto dest!: in-set-encD in-set-tlD)
lemma nvars-TL: \#_V (TL \mathfrak{A}) = \#_V \mathfrak{A}
  unfolding TL-def decode-def
  using the-inv-into-into [OF\ inj-on-enc surj-enc subset-reft, of the (enc\ \mathfrak{A})]
  by (auto dest!: in-set-encD in-set-tlD)
definition lang idx \varphi = \{enc \ \mathfrak{A} \mid \mathfrak{A}. \ \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi \land \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx\}
definition lang_b idx \varphi = \{enc \ \mathfrak{A} \mid \mathfrak{A}. \ \mathfrak{A} \models_b \varphi \land \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx \}
lemma lang-eq-iff: lang idx \varphi = lang idx \psi \longleftrightarrow (\forall \mathfrak{A}. \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \varphi
\longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \psi
  unfolding lang-def set-eq-iff by auto (metis enc-inj)+
lemma lang_b-eq-iff: lang_b idx \varphi = lang_b idx \psi \longleftrightarrow (\forall \mathfrak{A}. \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b
\varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models_b \psi
  unfolding lang<sub>b</sub>-def set-eq-iff by auto (metis enc-inj)+
lemma final-iff-Nil: wf idx \varphi \wedge left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow final\ idx\ \varphi \longleftrightarrow ([] \in lang\ idx
  using ex-Length-0[of idx] Length-0-inj
   enc-Nil[symmetric] enc-inj final-satisfies[of idx \varphi]
  unfolding lang-def by clarsimp metis
lemma nullable-iff-Nil: wf idx \varphi \wedge left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow nullable \varphi \longleftrightarrow ([] \in lang_b)
idx \varphi
  using ex-Length-\theta[of idx] Length-\theta-inj
   enc-Nil[symmetric] enc-inj nullable-satisfies-bounded
  unfolding lanq_b-def by clarsimp metis
lemma lQuot-enc:
  assumes \Lambda \mathfrak{A}. P \mathfrak{A} \Longrightarrow \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size \ a
  shows \{w. \ a \# w \in \{enc \ \mathfrak{A} \mid \mathfrak{A}. \ P \ \mathfrak{A}\}\} = \{enc \ \mathfrak{A} \mid \mathfrak{A}. \ P \ (CONS \ a \ \mathfrak{A})\}
proof safe
  fix w and \mathfrak{A} :: 'i assume a \# w = enc \mathfrak{A} P \mathfrak{A}
  with assms have CONS a (TL \mathfrak{A}) = \mathfrak{A} enc \mathfrak{A} = a \# w
     by (auto simp: enc-inj[symmetric] enc-CONS enc-TL nvars-CONS nvars-TL
dest: sym)
   with \langle P \mathfrak{A} \rangle show \exists \mathfrak{A}. w = enc \mathfrak{A} \wedge P (CONS a \mathfrak{A}) by (auto simp: enc-TL
intro!: exI[of - TL \mathfrak{A}])
next
  fix \mathfrak{A} :: 'i assume P (CONS a \mathfrak{A})
```

```
with assms of CONS a \mathfrak{A} show \exists \mathfrak{A}'. a \# enc \mathfrak{A} = enc \mathfrak{A}' \wedge P \mathfrak{A}'
     by (auto simp: enc-CONS nvars-CONS intro: exI[of - CONS a \mathfrak{A}])
qed
lemma lang-lderiv:
  \llbracket wf \ idx \ \varphi; \ idx = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow lang \ idx \ (lderiv \ x \ \varphi) = \{w. \ x \ \# \ w \in lang \ idx \ \varphi\}
 unfolding lang-def by (subst lQuot-enc) (auto simp: satisfies-lderiv nvars-CONS)
lemma lang_b-lderiv:
  \llbracket wf \ idx \ \varphi; \ idx = size \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow lang_b \ idx \ (lderiv \ x \ \varphi) = \{w. \ x \ \# \ w \in lang_b \ idx \ \varphi\}
  unfolding lang_b-def by (subst lQuot-enc) (auto simp: satisfies-bounded-lderiv
nvars-CONS)
lemma lang-norm: lang idx (norm \varphi) = lang idx \varphi
  unfolding lang-eq-iff by (simp add: satisfies-norm)
lemma lang_b-norm: lang_b idx (norm \varphi) = lang_b idx \varphi
  unfolding lang_b-eq-iff by (simp \ add: satisfies-bounded-norm)
lemma lang-size: \llbracket w \in lang \ idx \ \varphi; \ x \in set \ w \rrbracket \implies size \ x = idx
  unfolding lang-def by (auto elim: in-set-encD)
lemma lang_b-size: \llbracket w \in lang_b \ idx \ \varphi; \ x \in set \ w \rrbracket \implies size \ x = idx
  unfolding lang_b-def by (auto elim: in\text{-}set\text{-}encD)
definition language idx \varphi = \{enc \mathfrak{A} \mid \mathfrak{A}. sat \mathfrak{A} \varphi \wedge \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx\}
definition language_b idx \varphi = \{enc \mathfrak{A} \mid \mathfrak{A}. sat_b \mathfrak{A} \varphi \wedge \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx\}
lemma language-lang-RESTRICT: language idx \varphi = lang idx (RESTRICT \varphi)
 unfolding language-def lang-def by (auto simp: sat-RESTRICT)
lemma lanquage_b-lanq_b-RESTRICT: lanquage_b idx \varphi = lanq_b idx (RESTRICT
 unfolding language_b-def lang_b-def by (auto simp: sat_b-RESTRICT)
lemma wf-RESTR: wf idx \varphi \implies wf idx (RESTR \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx) (auto simp: wf-Restrict LESS-SUC LEQ-0)
lemma wf-RESTRICT-VARS: \llbracket wf \ idx \ \varphi; \ list-all \ (\lambda(k, v). \ LESS \ k \ v \ idx) \ vs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
  wf idx (RESTRICT-VARS vs \varphi)
  by (induct vs) (auto simp: wf-RESTR wf-Restrict)
lemma wf-FV-LESS: \llbracket wf \ idx \ \varphi; \ (k, \ v) \in set \ (FV \ \varphi) \rrbracket \implies LESS \ k \ v \ idx
  by (induct \varphi arbitrary: idx v)
  (force simp: distinct-FV wf0-FV0-LESS LESS-SUC diff-Suc split: if-splits nat.splits)+
lemma wf-RESTRICT: wf idx \varphi \Longrightarrow wf idx (RESTRICT \varphi)
 unfolding RESTRICT-def by (rule wf-RESTRICT-VARS) (auto simp: list-all-iff
wf-FV-LESS)
```

```
lemma left-formula-RESTR: left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow left-formula (RESTR \varphi)
  by (induct \varphi) (auto simp: left-formula-Restrict)
lemma left-formula-RESTRICT-VARS: left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow left-formula (RESTRICT-VARS
vs \varphi
  by (induct vs) (auto simp: left-formula-RESTR left-formula-Restrict)
lemma left-formula-RESTRICT: left-formula \varphi \Longrightarrow left-formula (RESTRICT \varphi)
  unfolding RESTRICT-def by (rule left-formula-RESTRICT-VARS)
end
sublocale Word-Formula <
  bounded!: DA alphabet idx \lambda \varphi. norm (RESTRICT \varphi) \lambda a \varphi. norm (lderiv a \varphi)
nullable
     \lambda \varphi. wf idx \varphi \wedge left-formula \varphi \lambda \varphi. wf idx \varphi \wedge left-formula \varphi
     \lambda \varphi. to-language (lang<sub>b</sub> idx \varphi) \lambda \varphi. to-language (language<sub>b</sub> idx \varphi) for idx
  by unfold-locales
    (auto simp: nullable-iff-Nil langb-norm langb-lderiv wf-norm wf-lderiv
      left-formula-norm left-formula-lderiv alphabet-size lang<sub>b</sub>-size
      language_b-lang_b-RESTRICT wf-RESTRICT left-formula-RESTRICT)
{f sublocale}\ {\it Word	ext{-}Formula} <
  DA alphabet idx \lambda \varphi. norm (RESTRICT \varphi) \lambda a \varphi. norm (lderiv a \varphi) final idx
     \lambda \varphi. wf idx \varphi \wedge left-formula \varphi \lambda \varphi. wf idx \varphi \wedge left-formula \varphi
     \lambda \varphi. to-language (language idx \varphi) \lambda \varphi. to-language (language idx \varphi) for idx
  by unfold-locales
    (auto simp: final-iff-Nil lang-norm lang-lderiv wf-norm wf-lderiv
      left-formula-norm left-formula-lderiv alphabet-size lang-size
      language-lang-RESTRICT wf-RESTRICT left-formula-RESTRICT)
lemma (in Word-Formula) check-eqv-soundness:
  \llbracket \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx; \ check\text{-}eqv \ idx \ \varphi \ \psi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sat \ \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow sat \ \mathfrak{A} \ \psi
  by (drule soundness, drule injD[OF bij-is-inj[OF to-language-bij]])
    (force simp: language-def set-eq-iff enc-inj)
lemma (in Word-Formula) bounded-check-eqv-soundness:
  \llbracket \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx; \ bounded.check-eqv \ idx \ \varphi \ \psi \rrbracket \implies sat_b \ \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow sat_b \ \mathfrak{A} \ \psi
  by (drule\ bounded.soundness,\ drule\ injD[OF\ bij-is-inj[OF\ to-language-bij]])
    (force simp: language_b-def set-eq-iff enc-inj)
end
```

9 Concrete Atomic WS1S Formulas

```
definition eval P \ x = (x \mid \in \mid P)
definition downshift P = (\lambda x. \ x - Suc \ \theta) \mid `\mid (P \mid -\mid \{\mid \theta \mid \})
definition upshift P = Suc \mid `\mid P
```

```
definition lift bs i P = (if bs ! i then finsert 0 (upshift P) else upshift P)
definition snoc n bs i P = (if bs ! i then finsert n P else P)
definition cut n P = ffilter (\lambda i. i < n) P
definition len P = (if P = \{||\} then 0 else Suc (fMax P))
datatype-new order = FO \mid SO
datatype-compat order
derive linorder order
typedef idx = UNIV :: (nat \times nat) set by (rule UNIV-witness)
setup-lifting type-definition-idx
lift-definition ZERO :: idx \text{ is } (0, \theta).
lift-definition SUC :: order \Rightarrow idx \Rightarrow idx is
 \lambda ord (m, n). case ord of FO \Rightarrow (Suc m, n) \mid SO \Rightarrow (m, Suc n).
lift-definition LESS :: order \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow idx \Rightarrow bool is
 \lambda ord \ l \ (m, \ n). \ case \ ord \ of \ FO \Rightarrow l < m \mid SO \Rightarrow l < n .
abbreviation LEQ ord l idx \equiv LESS ord l (SUC ord idx)
definition MSB Is \equiv
  if \forall P \in set \ Is. \ P = \{ || \} \ then \ 0 \ else \ Suc \ (Max \ (\bigcup P \in set \ Is. \ fset \ P) \}
lemma MSB-Nil[simp]: MSB [] = \theta
  unfolding MSB-def by simp
lemma MSB-Cons[simp]: MSB (I \# Is) = max (if I = \{||\} then 0 else Suc (fMax))
I)) (MSB Is)
 unfolding MSB-def including fset.lifting
 by transfer (auto simp: Max-Un list-all-iff Sup-bot-conv(2)[symmetric] simp del:
Sup-bot-conv(2)
lemma MSB-append[simp]: MSB (I1 @ I2) = max (MSB I1) (MSB I2)
 by (induct I1) auto
lemma MSB-insert-nth[simp]:
  MSB (insert-nth n P Is) = max (if P = \{||\} then 0 else Suc (fMax P)) (MSB)
 by (subst (2) append-take-drop-id[of n Is, symmetric])
   (simp only: insert-nth-take-drop MSB-append MSB-Cons MSB-Nil)
lemma MSB-greater:
  [i < length \ Is; \ p \in Is! \ i] \implies p < MSB \ Is
  unfolding MSB-def by (fastforce simp: Bex-def in-set-conv-nth less-Suc-eq-le
intro: Max-ge)
lemma MSB-mono: set~I1 \subseteq set~I2 \Longrightarrow MSB~I1 \le MSB~I2
 unfolding MSB-def including fset.lifting
 by transfer (auto simp: list-all-iff intro!: Max-ge)
```

```
lemma MSB-map-index'-CONS[simp]:
  MSB \ (map-index' \ i \ (lift \ bs) \ Is) =
  (if MSB Is = 0 \land (\forall i \in \{i ... < i + length Is\}. \neg bs ! i) then 0 else Suc (MSB
Is))
  by (induct Is arbitrary: i)
    (auto split: if-splits simp: mono-fMax-commute[where f = Suc, symmetric]
mono-def
   lift-def upshift-def,
   metis atLeastLessThan-iff le-antisym not-less-eq-eq)
lemma MSB-map-index'-SNOC[simp]:
  MSB \ Is \leq n \Longrightarrow MSB \ (map-index' \ i \ (snoc \ n \ bs) \ Is) =
  (if (\forall i \in \{i ... < i + length Is\}. \neg bs ! i) then MSB Is else Suc n)
  by (induct Is arbitrary: i)
    (auto split: if-splits simp: mono-fMax-commute[where f = Suc, symmetric]
mono-def
   snoc\text{-}def, (metis\ atLeastLessThan\text{-}iff\ le\text{-}antisym\ not\text{-}less\text{-}eq\text{-}eq)+)
lemma MSB-replicate[simp]: MSB (replicate n P) = (if P = \{||\} \lor n = 0 then \theta
else Suc\ (fMax\ P)
 by (induct \ n) auto
typedef interp =
  \{(n:nat, I1:nat fset list, I2:nat fset list) \mid n I1 I2. MSB (I1 @ I2) \leq n\}
 by auto
setup-lifting type-definition-interp
lift-definition assigns :: nat \Rightarrow interp \Rightarrow order \Rightarrow nat fset (-- [900, 999, 999])
999)
  is \lambda n (-, I1, I2) ord. case ord of FO \Rightarrow if n < length I1 then I1! n else \{||\}
   |SO \Rightarrow if \ n < length \ I2 \ then \ I2! \ n \ else \{||\}.
lift-definition nvars :: interp \Rightarrow idx (#<sub>V</sub> - [1000] 900)
 is \lambda(-, I1, I2). (length I1, length I2).
lift-definition Length :: interp \Rightarrow nat
  is \lambda(n, -, -). n.
lift-definition Extend :: order \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow interp \Rightarrow nat fset \Rightarrow interp
  is \lambda ord i (n, I1, I2) P. case ord of
      FO \Rightarrow (max \ n \ (if \ P = \{||\} \ then \ 0 \ else \ Suc \ (fMax \ P)), \ insert-nth \ i \ P \ I1, \ I2)
    |SO \Rightarrow (max \ n \ (if \ P = \{||\} \ then \ 0 \ else \ Suc \ (fMax \ P)), \ I1, \ insert-nth \ i \ P \ I2)
  using MSB-mono by (auto simp del: insert-nth-take-drop split: order.splits)
lift-definition CONS :: (bool list \times bool list) \Rightarrow interp \Rightarrow interp
  is \lambda(bs1, bs2) (n, I1, I2).
   (Suc n, map-index (lift bs1) I1, map-index (lift bs2) I2)
lift-definition SNOC :: (bool \ list \times bool \ list) \Rightarrow interp \Rightarrow interp
```

```
is \lambda(bs1, bs2) (n, I1, I2).
  (Suc n, map-index (snoc n bs1) I1, map-index (snoc n bs2) I2)
 by (auto simp: Let-def)
abbreviation enc-atom-bool I n \equiv map \ (\lambda P. \ n \mid \in \mid P) \ I
abbreviation enc-atom I1 I2 n \equiv (enc-atom-bool I1 n, enc-atom-bool I2 n)
type-synonym atom = bool \ list \times bool \ list
lift-definition enc :: interp \Rightarrow atom \ list
 is \lambda(n, I1, I2). let m = MSB (I1 @ I2) in
   map \ (enc\text{-}atom \ I1 \ I2) \ [0 \ .. < m] @
   replicate (n - m) (replicate (length I1) False, replicate (length I2) False).
lift-definition zero :: idx \Rightarrow atom
 is \lambda(m, n). (replicate m False, replicate n False).
definition extend ord b \equiv
  \lambda(bs1, bs2). case ord of FO \Rightarrow (b \# bs1, bs2) \mid SO \Rightarrow (bs1, b \# bs2)
lift-definition size-atom :: bool \ list \times bool \ list \Rightarrow idx
 is \lambda(bs1, bs2). (length bs1, length bs2).
type-synonym fo = nat
type-synonym so = nat
datatype-new ws1s =
  Q fo |
  Less fo fo \mid LessF fo fo \mid LessT fo fo \mid
 In fo so | InT fo so
datatype-compat ws1s
derive linorder option
derive linorder ws1s
type-synonym formula = (ws1s, order) a formula
primrec wf\theta where
  wf0 idx (Q m) = LESS FO m idx
 wf0 idx (Less m1 m2) = (LESS FO m1 idx \land LESS FO m2 idx)
 wf0 idx (LessF m1 m2) = (LESS FO m1 idx \land LESS FO m2 idx)
 wf0 \ idx \ (LessT \ m1 \ m2) = (LESS \ FO \ m1 \ idx \land LESS \ FO \ m2 \ idx)
 wf0 idx (In m M) = (LESS FO m idx \land LESS SO M idx)
 wf0 idx (InT m M) = (LESS FO m idx \land LESS SO M idx)
fun left-formula0 where
  left-formula0 \ x = True
fun FV\theta where
  FVO(Qm) = [(FO, m)]
|FV0 (Less m1 m2) = List.insert (FO, m1) [(FO, m2)]
```

```
FVO\ (LessF\ m1\ m2) = List.insert\ (FO,\ m1)\ [(FO,\ m2)]
    FVO\ (LessT\ m1\ m2) = List.insert\ (FO,\ m1)\ \lceil (FO,\ m2)\rceil
   FVO (In \ m \ M) = [(FO, \ m), (SO, \ M)]
| FV0 (InT m M) = [(FO, m), (SO, M)]
fun find\theta where
    find0 \ FO \ i \ (Q \ m) = (i = m)
   find0 \ FO \ i \ (Less \ m1 \ m2) = (i = m1 \ \lor \ i = m2)
   find0 \ FO \ i \ (LessF \ m1 \ m2) = (i = m1 \lor i = m2)
   find0 \ FO \ i \ (LessT \ m1 \ m2) = (i = m1 \ \lor \ i = m2)
   find0 \ FO \ i \ (In \ m \ -) = (i = m)
   find0 SO i (In - M) = (i = M)
   find0 \ FO \ i \ (InT \ m \ -) = (i = m)
   find0 SO i (InT - M) = (i = M)
   find0 - - - = False
primrec decr\theta where
     decr0 \ ord \ k \ (Q \ m) = Q \ (case-order \ (dec \ k) \ id \ ord \ m)
 |decr0 \text{ ord } k \text{ (Less } m1 \text{ } m2) = Less \text{ (case-order (dec k) id ord } m1) \text{ (case-order (dec k) id ord } m2)
k) id ord m2)
 | decr0 \text{ ord } k \text{ (LessF } m1 \text{ } m2) = LessF \text{ (case-order (dec } k) \text{ id ord } m1) \text{ (case-order } k \text{ } k \text
(dec \ k) \ id \ ord \ m2)
   decr0 \ ord \ k \ (LessT \ m1 \ m2) = LessT \ (case-order \ (dec \ k) \ id \ ord \ m1) \ (case-order
(dec \ k) \ id \ ord \ m2)
| decr0 \ ord \ k \ (In \ m \ M) = In \ (case-order \ (dec \ k) \ id \ ord \ m) \ (case-order \ id \ (dec \ k)
ord M
\mid decr0 \text{ ord } k \text{ (InT } m \text{ M)} = InT \text{ (case-order (dec k) id ord m) (case-order id (dec k))}
k) ord M)
primrec satisfies\theta where
     satisfies \mathfrak{A}(Q m) = (m^{\mathfrak{A}}FO \neq \{||\})
 | satisfies 0 \mathfrak{A} (Less m1 m2) =
       (let P1 = m1^{\mathfrak{A}}FO; P2 = m2^{\mathfrak{A}}FO in if P1 = {||} \vee P2 = {||} then False else
fMin P1 < fMin P2
\mid satisfies0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ (LessF \ m1 \ m2) =
       (let P1 = m1^{\mathfrak{A}}FO; P2 = m2^{\mathfrak{A}}FO in
             if P1 = \{||\} then False else if P2 = \{||\} then True else fMin P1 < fMin P2)
\mid satisfies0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ (LessT \ m1 \ m2) =
       (let P1 = m1^{\mathfrak{A}}FO; P2 = m2^{\mathfrak{A}}FO in
             if P2 = \{||\} then True else if P1 = \{||\} then False else fMin P1 < fMin P2)
| satisfies 0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ (In \ m \ M) =
       (let P = m^{\mathfrak{A}} FO in if P = \{||\} then False else fMin P \in M^{\mathfrak{A}} SO)
| satisfies 0 \mathfrak{A} (InT m M) =
      (let P = m^{\mathfrak{A}}FO in if P = \{||\} then True else fMin P \in M^{\mathfrak{A}}SO)
fun lderiv0 where
     lderiv0 \ (bs1, bs2) \ (Q \ m) = (if \ bs1 \ ! \ m \ then \ FBool \ True \ else \ FBase \ (Q \ m))
| lderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (Less m1 m2) = (case (bs1 ! m1, bs1 ! m2) of
         (False, False) \Rightarrow FBase (Less m1 m2)
```

```
(True, False) \Rightarrow FBase (Q m2)
   - \Rightarrow FBool\ False
| lderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (LessF m1 m2) = (case (bs1 ! m1, bs1 ! m2) of
   (False, False) \Rightarrow FBase (LessF m1 m2)
  | (True, False) \Rightarrow FBool True
  | - \Rightarrow FBool\ False 
| lderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (LessT m1 m2) = (case (bs1 ! m1, bs1 ! m2) of
   (False, False) \Rightarrow FBase (LessT m1 m2)
   (True, False) \Rightarrow FBool\ True
   - \Rightarrow FBool\ False
| lderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (In m M) = (case (bs1 ! m, bs2 ! M) of
   (False, -) \Rightarrow FBase (In m M)
  | (True, True) \Rightarrow FBool True
  - \Rightarrow FBool\ False
| lderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (InT m M) = (case (bs1! m, bs2! M) of
   (False, -) \Rightarrow FBase (InT m M)
   (True, True) \Rightarrow FBool True
  | - \Rightarrow FBool False |
fun rderiv0 where
  rderiv0 \ (bs1, bs2) \ (Q \ m) = (if \ bs1 \ ! \ m \ then \ FBool \ True \ else \ FBase \ (Q \ m))
| rderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (Less m1 m2) = (case bs1 ! m2 of
   False \Rightarrow FBase (Less m1 m2)
   True \Rightarrow FBase (LessF m1 m2)
| rderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (LessF m1 m2) = (case (bs1! m1, bs1! m2) of
   (True, False) \Rightarrow FBase (LessT m1 m2)
 | - \Rightarrow FBase (LessF m1 m2))
| rderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (LessT m1 m2) = (case bs1! m2 of
   False \Rightarrow FBase (LessT m1 m2)
   True \Rightarrow FBase (LessF m1 m2))
| rderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (In m M) = (case (bs1! m, bs2! M) of
   (True, True) \Rightarrow FBase (InT m M)
 | - \Rightarrow FBase (In \ m \ M))
| rderiv0 (bs1, bs2) (InT m M) = (case (bs1! m, bs2! M) of
   (True, False) \Rightarrow FBase (In m M)
 | - \Rightarrow FBase (InT m M))
fun nullable\theta where
  nullable0 (Q m) = False
 nullable0 (Less m1 m2) = False
 nullable0 (LessF m1 m2) = False
 nullable0 (LessT m1 m2) = True
 nullable0 (In \ m \ M) = False
 nullable0 (InT m M) = True
lift-definition \sigma :: idx \Rightarrow atom \ list
  is (\lambda(n, N), map (\lambda bs. (take n bs, drop n bs)) (List.n-lists (n + N)) [True,
False])) .
```

10 Interpretation

```
lemma fMin-fimage-Suc[simp]: x \in A \Longrightarrow fMin (Suc '| A) = Suc (fMin A)
 by (rule fMin-eqI) (auto intro: fMin-in)
lemma fMin\text{-}eq\text{-}\theta[simp]: \theta \in A \implies fMin A = (\theta :: nat)
 by (rule\ fMin-eqI) auto
lemma insert-nth-Cons[simp]:
  insert-nth i x (y \# xs) = (case \ i \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow x \# y \# xs \mid Suc \ i \Rightarrow y \# insert-nth \ i
x xs
 by (cases i) simp-all
lemma insert-nth-commute[simp]:
  assumes j \leq i \ i \leq length \ xs
 shows insert-nth j y (insert-nth i x xs) = insert-nth (Suc i) x (insert-nth j y xs)
  using assms by (induct xs arbitrary: i j) (auto simp del: insert-nth-take-drop
split: nat.splits)
lemma SUC\text{-}SUC[simp]: SUC ord (SUC \text{ ord }' \text{ } idx) = SUC \text{ ord }' (SUC \text{ ord } idx)
 by transfer (auto split: order.splits)
lemma LESS-SUC[simp]:
  LESS ord 0 (SUC ord idx)
  LESS ord (Suc l) (SUC ord idx) = LESS ord l idx
  ord \neq ord' \Longrightarrow LESS \ ord \ l \ (SUC \ ord' \ idx) = LESS \ ord \ l \ idx
  LESS \ ord \ l \ idx \implies LESS \ ord \ l \ (SUC \ ord' \ idx)
  by (transfer, force split: order.splits)+
lemma nvars-Extend[simp]:
  \#_V (Extend ord i \mathfrak{A} P) = SUC ord (\#_V \mathfrak{A})
  by (transfer, force split: order.splits)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Length}\text{-}\mathit{Extend}[\mathit{simp}]\text{:}
  Length (Extend k i \mathfrak{A} P) = max (Length \mathfrak{A}) (if P = {||} then 0 else Suc (fMax
 unfolding max-def by (split if-splits, transfer) (force split: order.splits)
lemma assigns-Extend[simp]:
 LEQ \ ord \ i \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow m^{Extend \ ord \ i \ \mathfrak{A}} \ Pord = (if \ m = i \ then \ P \ else \ dec \ i \ m^{\mathfrak{A}} \ ord)
  ord \neq ord' \Longrightarrow m^{Extend \ ord \ i \ \mathfrak{A} \ P_{ord'} = m^{\mathfrak{A}} ord'
 by (transfer, force simp: dec-def min-def nth-append split: order.splits)+
lemma Extend\text{-}commute\text{-}safe[simp]:
  [j \leq i; LEQ \ ord \ i \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A})] \Longrightarrow
    Extend ord j (Extend ord i \mathfrak A P1) P2 = Extend ord (Suc i) (Extend ord j \mathfrak A
P2) P1
 by (transfer,
     force simp del: insert-nth-take-drop simp: replicate-add[symmetric] split: or-
```

```
der.splits)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{Extend-commute-unsafe}\colon
    ord \neq ord' \Longrightarrow Extend \ ord \ j \ (Extend \ ord' \ i \ \mathfrak{A} \ P1) \ P2 = Extend \ ord' \ i \ (Extend \ ord' \ i \ Extend \ ord' \ i \ Extend \ ord' \ i \ (Extend \ ord' \ i \ Extend \ ord' 
ord j A P2) P1
   by (transfer, force simp: replicate-add[symmetric] split: order.splits)
lemma Length-CONS[simp]:
    Length (CONS \ x \ \mathfrak{A}) = Suc (Length \ \mathfrak{A})
    by (transfer, force split: order.splits)
lemma Length-SNOC[simp]:
    Length (SNOC x \mathfrak{A}) = Suc (Length \mathfrak{A})
    by (transfer, force simp: Let-def split: order.splits)
lemma nvars-CONS[simp]:
    \#_V (CONS \ x \ \mathfrak{A}) = \#_V \ \mathfrak{A}
   by (transfer, force)
lemma nvars-SNOC[simp]:
    \#_V (SNOC \ x \ \mathfrak{A}) = \#_V \ \mathfrak{A}
   by (transfer, force simp: Let-def)
lemma assigns-CONS[simp]:
    assumes \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size - atom \ bs1 - bs2
   shows LESS ord x (#_V \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow x^{CONS} \ bs1-bs2 \ \mathfrak{A} ord =
        (if split case-order bs1-bs2 ord! x then finsert 0 (upshift (x^{\mathfrak{A}}) ord)) else upshift
(x^{\mathfrak{A}} ord)
   by (insert assms, transfer) (auto simp: lift-def split: order.splits)
lemma assigns-SNOC[simp]:
    assumes \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size\text{-}atom\ bs1\text{-}bs2
   shows LESS ord x (#_V \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow x^{SNOC\ bs1-bs2} \mathfrak{A} ord =
        (if split case-order bs1-bs2 ord! x then finsert (Length \mathfrak{A}) (x^{\mathfrak{A}} ord) else x^{\mathfrak{A}} ord)
   by (insert assms, transfer) (force simp: snoc-def Let-def split: order.splits)
lemma map-index'-eq-conv[simp]:
   map-index' if xs = map-index' jg xs = (\forall k < length xs. <math>f(i + k) (xs ! k) = g
(j + k) (xs ! k)
proof (induct xs arbitrary: i j)
    case Cons from Cons(1)[of Suc i Suc j] show ?case by (auto simp: nth-Cons
split: nat.splits)
qed simp
lemma fMax-Diff-\theta[simp]: Suc m \in P \implies fMax (P \mid -1 \mid \{|\theta|\}) = fMax P
   by (rule fMax-eqI) (auto intro: fMax-in dest: fMax-ge)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{Suc-fMax-pred-fimage}[\mathit{simp}] :
    assumes Suc \ p \mid \in \mid P \ \theta \mid \notin \mid P
```

```
shows Suc (fMax ((\lambda x. x - Suc \theta) | `| P)) = fMax P
     using assms by (subst mono-fMax-commute[of Suc, unfolded mono-def, simpli-
fied]) (auto simp: o-def)
lemma Extend-CONS[simp]: \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size-atom x \Longrightarrow Extend \ ord \ 0 \ (CONS \ x \mathfrak{A})
     CONS (extend ord (eval P \ 0) x) (Extend ord 0 \ \mathfrak{A} (downshift P))
    by transfer (auto simp: extend-def o-def gr0-conv-Suc
         mono-fMax-commute[of Suc, symmetric, unfolded mono-def, simplified]
        lift-def upshift-def downshift-def eval-def
         dest!: fsubset-fsingletonD split: order.splits)
lemma size-atom-extend[simp]:
     size-atom (extend ord b x) = SUC ord (size-atom x)
    unfolding extend-def by transfer (simp split: prod.splits order.splits)
lemma size-atom-zero[simp]:
     size-atom (zero idx) = idx
    unfolding extend-def by transfer (simp split: prod.splits order.splits)
lemma interp-eqI:
     [Length \mathfrak{A} = Length \, \mathfrak{B}; \, \#_V \, \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \, \mathfrak{B}; \, \bigwedge m \, k. \, LESS \, k \, m \, (\#_V \, \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow m^{\mathfrak{A}} k = m^{\mathfrak{A} k = m^{\mathfrak{A}} k = m^{\mathfrak{A}} k = m^{\mathfrak{A}} k = m^{\mathfrak{A}} k = m^{\mathfrak{A} 
m^{\mathfrak{B}}k\mathbb{I} \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}
    by transfer (force split: order.splits intro!: nth-equalityI)
lemma Extend-SNOC-cut[unfolded eval-def cut-def Length-SNOC, simp]:
     \llbracket len\ P \leq Length\ (SNOC\ x\ \mathfrak{A});\ \#_V\ \mathfrak{A} = size\text{-}atom\ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
     Extend ord 0 (SNOC x \mathfrak{A}) P =
         SNOC (extend ord (if eval P (Length \mathfrak{A}) then True else False) x) (Extend ord
0 \mathfrak{A} (cut (Length \mathfrak{A}) P))
   by transfer (fastforce simp: extend-def len-def cut-def ffilter-eq-fempty-iff snoc-def
eval-def
        split: if-splits order.splits dest: fMax-ge fMax-boundedD intro: fMax-in)
lemma nth-replicate-simp: replicate m \times ! i = (if \ i < m \ then \times else \ [] ! (i - m))
    by (induct m arbitrary: i) (auto simp: nth-Cons')
lemma MSB-eq-SucD: MSB Is = Suc x \Longrightarrow \exists P \in set Is. x \in P
     using Max-in[of \bigcup x \in set\ Is.\ fset\ x]
     unfolding MSB-def by (force simp: fmember-def split: if-splits)
lemma last-enc-atom-MSB:
    fixes I1 I2
     defines xs \equiv map \ (enc\text{-}atom \ I1 \ I2) \ [0..< MSB \ (I1 @ I2)]
    assumes m = length \ I1 \ n = length \ I2 \ xs \neq []
    shows last xs \neq (replicate \ m \ False, replicate \ n \ False)
proof (rule ccontr, unfold not-not)
     assume last xs = (replicate m False, replicate n False)
    moreover from \langle xs \neq | 1 \rangle obtain i where i: MSB (I1 @ I2) = Suc i
```

```
unfolding xs-def by (auto simp: qr0-conv-Suc)
  ultimately have enc-atom I1 I2 i = (replicate \ m \ False, replicate \ n \ False)
    unfolding xs-def by auto
  with i show False
    by (auto simp: list-eq-iff-nth-eq max-def in-set-conv-nth dest!: MSB-eq-SucD
split: if-splits)
qed
lemma append-replicate-inj:
  assumes xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow last \ xs \neq x \ and \ ys \neq [] \Longrightarrow last \ ys \neq x
  shows xs @ replicate m x = ys @ replicate n x \longleftrightarrow (xs = ys \land m = n)
  from assms have assms': xs \neq [] \implies rev \ xs \ ! \ 0 \neq x \ ys \neq [] \implies rev \ ys \ ! \ 0 \neq x
    by (auto simp: hd-rev hd-conv-nth[symmetric])
  assume *: xs @ replicate m x = ys @ replicate n x
  then have rev (xs @ replicate m x) = rev (ys @ replicate n x)..
  then have replicate m \times @ rev \times s = replicate \times n \times @ rev \times s \text{ by } simp
 then have take (max \ m \ n) (replicate \ m \ x \ @ \ rev \ xs) = take \ (max \ m \ n) (replicate \ m \ x \ @ \ rev \ xs) = take \ (max \ m \ n)
n \ x \ @ \ rev \ ys) \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
  then have replicate m \times @ take (max \ m \ n - m) (rev \ xs) =
    replicate n \times (max + m + n) (rev ys) by (auto simp: min-def max-def
split: if-splits)
  then have (replicate m \ x \ @ \ take \ (max \ m \ n \ - \ m) \ (rev \ xs)) \ ! \ min \ m \ n =
    (replicate n \times @ take (max \ m \ n - n) (rev \ ys))! min \ m \ n \ by \ simp
  with arg\text{-}cong[OF *, of length, simplified] assms' show <math>m = n
    by (cases \ xs = [] \ ys = [] \ rule: bool.exhaust[case-product bool.exhaust])
      (auto simp: min-def nth-append split: if-splits)
  \mathbf{with} * \mathbf{show} \ xs = ys \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
qed
lemma enc-inj[simp]: \#_V \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \mathfrak{B} \Longrightarrow enc \mathfrak{A} = enc \mathfrak{B} \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}
  using MSB-greater
  by transfer (elim exE conjE, hypsubst, unfold prod.case Pair-eq Let-def, elim
conjE, simp only:,
    subst (asm) append-replicate-inj,
    erule (2) last-enc-atom-MSB[OF sym sym], erule last-enc-atom-MSB[OF refl
refl],
    auto simp: list-eq-iff-nth-eq, (metis less-max-iff-disj)+)
lemma length-enc[simp]: length (enc \mathfrak{A}) = Length \mathfrak{A}
  by transfer (auto simp: Let-def)
lemma in\text{-}set\text{-}encD[simp]:
  x \in set \ (enc \ \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = size \text{-}atom \ x
 by transfer (auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits)
lemma fin-lift[simp]: m \in lift bs i(I!i) \longleftrightarrow (case m of 0 \Rightarrow bs!i \mid Suc m \Rightarrow lift[simp]
m \in I ! i
 unfolding lift-def upshift-def by (auto split: nat.splits)
```

```
lemma enc-CONS[simp]:
  assumes \#_V \mathfrak{A} = size - atom x
  shows enc (CONS x \mathfrak{A}) = x \# enc \mathfrak{A}
  using assms by transfer (fastforce simp add: Let-def nth-append nth-Cons
    simp del: upt-conv-Nil diff-is-0-eq' atLeastLessThan-empty
    split: prod.splits nat.splits intro!: nth-equalityI)
lemma ex-Length-0[simp]:
  \exists \mathfrak{A}. \ Length \ \mathfrak{A} = 0 \land \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx
  by transfer (auto intro!: exI[of - replicate \ m \ \{||\} \ for \ m])
lemma is-empty-inj[simp]: [Length \mathfrak{A} = 0; Length \mathfrak{B} = 0; \#_V \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \mathfrak{B}] \Longrightarrow
\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{B}
 by transfer (simp add: list-eq-iff-nth-eq split: prod.splits,
    metis MSB-greater fMax-in less-nat-zero-code)
lemma set-\sigma-length-atom[simp]: (x \in set (\sigma idx)) \longleftrightarrow idx = size-atom x
  by transfer (auto simp: set-n-lists enum-UNIV image-iff intro!: exI[of - I1 @ I2
for I1 I2])
lemma fMin-less-Length[simp]: x \in m1^{\mathfrak{A}}k \Longrightarrow fMin (m1^{\mathfrak{A}}k) < Length \mathfrak{A}
  by transfer
     (force elim: order.strict-trans2[OF MSB-greater, rotated -1] intro: fMin-in
split: order.splits)
lemma min-Length-fMin[simp]: x \in m1^{\mathfrak{A}}k \implies min (Length \mathfrak{A}) (fMin (m1^{\mathfrak{A}}k))
= fMin (m1^{\mathfrak{A}}k)
  using fMin-less-Length [of x m1 \mathfrak{A} k] unfolding fMin-def by auto
lemma assigns-less-Length[simp]: x \in m1^{\mathfrak{A}}k \Longrightarrow x < Length \mathfrak{A}
  by transfer (force dest: MSB-greater split: order.splits if-splits)
lemma Length-notin-assigns[simp]: Length \mathfrak{A} \notin m^{\mathfrak{A}}k
  by (metis assigns-less-Length less-not-refl)
lemma nth-zero[simp]: LESS ord m (\#_V \mathfrak{A}) \Longrightarrow \neg split case-order (zero (\#_V \mathfrak{A}))
ord ! m
 by transfer (auto split: order.splits)
lemma in-fimage-Suc[simp]: x \in Suc \cap A \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. y \in A \land x = Suc y)
 by blast
lemma fimage-Suc-inj[simp]: Suc |`| A = Suc |`| B \longleftrightarrow A = B
lemma MSB-eq0-D: MSB I = 0 \Longrightarrow x < length I \Longrightarrow I ! x = \{||\}
  unfolding MSB-def by (auto split: if-splits)
```

```
lemma Suc-in-fimage-Suc: Suc x \in Suc ' \mid X \longleftrightarrow x \in X
 by auto
lemma Suc-in-fimage-Suc-o-Suc[simp]: Suc x | \in | (Suc \circ Suc) | '| X \longleftrightarrow x | \in | Suc
|\cdot| X
 by auto
lemma finsert-same-eq-iff[simp]: finsert k \mid X = finsert \mid k \mid Y \longleftrightarrow X \mid - \mid \{\mid k \mid \} = Y
|-| \{|k|\}
 by auto
lemma fimage-Suc-o-Suc-eq-fimage-Suc-iff [simp]:
  ((Suc \circ Suc) \mid `\mid X = Suc \mid `\mid Y) \longleftrightarrow (Suc \mid `\mid X = Y)
  by (metis fimage-Suc-inj fset.map-comp)
lemma fMax-image-Suc[simp]: x \in P \Longrightarrow fMax (Suc '| P) = Suc (fMax P)
 by (rule fMax-eqI) (metis Suc-le-mono fMax-ge fimageE, metis fimageI fempty-iff
fMax-in
lemma len-downshift-helper:
  x \in P \Longrightarrow Suc (fMax ((\lambda x. x - Suc 0) | (P = \{ |0| \}))) \neq fMax P \Longrightarrow xa
|\in| P \Longrightarrow xa = 0
proof -
  assume a1: xa \in P
 assume a2: Suc (fMax ((\lambda x. x - Suc \theta) | \cdot | (P | - | \{ |\theta| \}))) \neq fMax P
 have xa \in \{|\theta|\} \longrightarrow xa = \theta by fastforce
  moreover
  { assume xa \notin \{|\theta|\}
   hence \theta \notin P \mid - \mid \{ |\theta| \} \land xa \notin |\theta| \} by blast
     then obtain esk1_1 :: nat \Rightarrow nat where xa = 0 using a1 a2 by (metis
Suc\text{-}fMax\text{-}pred\text{-}fimage\ fMax\text{-}Diff\text{-}0\ fminus\text{-}iff\ not0\text{-}implies\text{-}Suc)\ }
 ultimately show xa = 0 by blast
qed
declare [[goals-limit = 50]]
definition restrict ord P = (case \ ord \ of \ FO \Rightarrow P \neq \{||\} \mid SO \Rightarrow True)
definition Restrict ord i = (case \ ord \ of \ FO \Rightarrow FBase \ (Q \ i) \mid SO \Rightarrow FBool \ True)
permanent-interpretation WS1S: Word-Formula SUC LESS assigns nvars Ex-
tend CONS SNOC Length
  extend size-atom zero eval downshift upshift finsert cut len restrict Restrict
  left-formula0 FV0 find0 wf0 decr0 satisfies0 nullable0 lderiv0 rderiv0 undefined
enc \ \sigma \ ZERO
  defining norm = Formula-Operations.norm find0 decr0
  and nFOr = Formula-Operations.nFOr :: formula <math>\Rightarrow
  and nFAnd = Formula-Operations.nFAnd :: formula \Rightarrow -
  and nFNot = Formula-Operations.nFNot :: formula <math>\Rightarrow -
```

```
and nFEx = Formula-Operations.nFEx find0 decr0
 and nFAll = Formula-Operations.nFAll find0 decr0
 and decr = Formula-Operations.decr decr0 :: - <math>\Rightarrow - \Rightarrow formula \Rightarrow -
 and FV = Formula-Operations.FV FV0
 and RESTR = Formula-Operations.RESTR Restrict
 and RESTRICT = Formula-Operations.RESTRICT Restrict FV0
 and deriv = \lambda d\theta (a :: atom) (\varphi :: formula). Formula-Operations. deriv extend d\theta
a \varphi
 and nullable = \lambda \varphi :: formula. Formula-Operations.nullable nullable 0 \varphi
 and fut-default = Formula.fut-default extend zero rderiv0
 and fut = Formula.fut \ extend \ zero \ find0 \ decr0 \ rderiv0
 and finalize = Formula.finalize SUC extend zero find0 decr0 rderiv0
 and final = Formula.final SUC extend zero find0 decr0
    nullable0 \ rderiv0 :: idx \Rightarrow formula \Rightarrow -
 and ws1s-wf = Formula-Operations.wf SUC (wf0 :: idx <math>\Rightarrow ws1s \Rightarrow -)
 and ws1s-left-formula = Formula-Operations.left-formula left-formula 0:: formula
\Rightarrow -
  and check\text{-}eqv = \lambda idx. DA.check\text{-}eqv \ (\sigma \ idx)
   (\lambda \varphi. norm (RESTRICT \varphi) :: (ws1s, order) a formula)
   (\lambda a \varphi. norm (deriv (lderiv0 :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow formula) (a :: atom) \varphi))
    (final idx) (\lambda \varphi :: formula. ws1s-wf idx \varphi \wedge ws1s-left-formula \varphi)
  and bounded-check-eqv = \lambda idx. DA.check-eqv (\sigma idx)
    (\lambda \varphi. norm \ (RESTRICT \ \varphi) :: (ws1s, order) \ aformula)
   (\lambda a \varphi. norm (deriv (lderiv0 :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow formula) (a :: atom) \varphi))
   nullable (\lambda \varphi :: formula. ws1s-wf idx \varphi \wedge ws1s-left-formula \varphi)
  and automaton = DA.automaton
   (\lambda a \varphi. norm (deriv lderiv0 (a :: atom) \varphi :: formula))
proof
 fix k idx and a :: ws1s and l assume wf0 (SUC k idx) a LESS k l (SUC k idx)
\neg find0 \ k \ l \ a
 then show wf0 idx (decr0 k l a)
   by (induct a) (unfold wf0.simps decr0.simps find0.simps,
    (transfer, force simp: dec-def split: if-splits order.splits)+)
next
 fix k and a :: ws1s and l assume left-formula0 a
 then show left-formula 0 (decr0 k l a) by (induct a) simp-all
  fix i \ k and a :: ws1s and \mathfrak{A} :: interp and P assume \neg find0 \ k \ i \ a \ LESS \ k \ i
(SUC \ k \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{A}))
  then show satisfies 0 (Extend k i \mathfrak{A} P) a = satisfies 0 \mathfrak{A} (decr0 k i a)
   by (induct a) (auto split: if-splits order.splits)
 fix idx and a :: ws1s and x assume wf0 idx a
 then show Formula-Operations.wf SUC wf0 idx (lderiv0 \ x \ a)
   by (induct rule: lderiv0.induct)
    (auto simp: Formula-Operations.wf.simps Let-def split: bool.splits order.splits)
next
 fix a :: ws1s and x assume left-formula0 a
```

```
then show Formula-Operations.left-formula left-formula (lderiv0 \ x \ a)
   by (induct a rule: lderiv0.induct)
     (auto simp: Formula-Operations.left-formula.simps split: bool.splits)
next
  fix idx and a :: ws1s and x assume wt0 idx a
 then show Formula-Operations.wf SUC wf0 idx (rderiv0 x a)
   by (induct rule: lderiv0.induct)
    (auto simp: Formula-Operations.wf.simps Let-def split: bool.splits order.splits)
next
  fix \mathfrak{A} :: interp and a :: ws1s
 assume Length \mathfrak{A} = 0
 then show nullable0 a = satisfies0 \mathfrak{A} a
   by (induct a, unfold wf0.simps nullable0.simps satisfies0.simps Let-def)
     (transfer, (auto 0 2 dest: MSB-greater split: prod.splits if-splits) [])+
next
  note Formula-Operations.satisfies-qen.simps[simp] Let-def[simp] upshift-def[simp]
  fix x :: atom and a :: ws1s and \mathfrak{A} :: interp
  assume wf0 (#_V A) a \#_V A = size-atom x
  then show Formula-Operations.satisfies Extend Length satisfies 0 \mathfrak{A} (lderiv0 x
a) = satisfies0 (CONS x \mathfrak{A}) a
  proof (induct a)
  qed (auto split: prod.splits bool.splits)
  note Formula-Operations.satisfies-gen.simps[simp] Let-def[simp] upshift-def[simp]
  fix x :: atom \text{ and } a :: ws1s \text{ and } \mathfrak{A} :: interp
  assume wf0 (#_V A) a \#_V A = size-atom x
  then show Formula-Operations.satisfies-bounded Extend Length len satisfies0 21
(lderiv0 \ x \ a) = satisfies0 \ (CONS \ x \ \mathfrak{A}) \ a
  proof (induct a)
  qed (auto split: prod.splits bool.splits)
next
  note Formula-Operations.satisfies-gen.simps[simp] Let-def[simp]
  fix x :: atom \text{ and } a :: ws1s \text{ and } \mathfrak{A} :: interp
  assume wf0 (#_V A) a \#_V A = size-atom x
  then show Formula-Operations.satisfies-bounded Extend Length len satisfies0
       \mathfrak{A} (rderiv0 x a) = satisfies0 (SNOC x \mathfrak{A}) a
  proof (induct a)
   case Less then show ?case by (auto split: prod.splits) (metis fMin-less-Length
less-not-sym)
  next
   case LessT then show ?case by (auto split: prod.splits) (metis fMin-less-Length
less-not-sym)
  next
   case LessF then show ?case by (auto split: prod.splits) (metis fMin-less-Length
less-not-sym)
  next
    case In then show ?case by (auto split: prod.splits) (metis fMin-less-Length
less-not-sym)+
  next
```

```
case InT then show ?case by (auto split: prod.splits) (metis fMin-less-Length
less-not-sym)+
   qed (auto split: prod.splits)
\mathbf{next}
   fix a :: ws1s and \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} :: interp
   assume wf0 \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{B}) \ a \ \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = \#_V \ \mathfrak{B} \ (\bigwedge m \ k. \ LESS \ k \ m \ (\#_V \ \mathfrak{B}) \Longrightarrow m^{\mathfrak{A}} k
= m^{\mathfrak{B}}k
     left-formula0 a
   then show satisfies 0 \ \mathfrak{A} \ a \longleftrightarrow satisfies 0 \ \mathfrak{B} \ a \ \text{by} \ (induct \ a) \ simp-all
next
   \mathbf{fix} \ a :: ws1s
  let ?d = Formula-Operations.deriv\ extend\ lderiv0
   def \Phi \equiv \lambda a. (case a of
       Q i \Rightarrow \{FBase (Q i), FBool True\}
      Less i j \Rightarrow \{FBase (Less i j), FBase (Q j), FBool True, FBool False\}
       LessT \ i \ j \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (LessT \ i \ j), FBool \ True, FBool \ False\}
      LessF \ i \ j \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (LessF \ i \ j), FBool \ True, FBool \ False\}
     | In \ i \ I \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (In \ i \ I), FBool \ True, FBool \ False\} |
      | InT \ i \ I \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (InT \ i \ I), FBool \ True, FBool \ False\} \} :: (ws1s, order)
a formula\ set
   { fix xs
   note Formula-Operations.fold-deriv-FBool[simp] Formula-Operations.deriv.simps[simp]
\Phi-def[simp]
     have \forall a. fold ?d xs (FBase a) \in \Phi a
      by (induct xs) (auto split: ws1s.splits bool.splits if-splits, metis+)
   }
   moreover have finite (\Phi \ a) unfolding \Phi-def by (auto split: ws1s.splits)
   ultimately show finite {fold ?d xs (FBase a) | xs. True}
     by (blast intro: finite-subset)
next
   \mathbf{fix} \ a :: ws1s
  let ?d = Formula-Operations.deriv\ extend\ rderiv0
   def \Phi \equiv \lambda a. (case a of
       Q i \Rightarrow \{FBase (Q i), FBool True\}
     | Less \ i \ j \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (Less \ i \ j), FBase \ (LessF \ i \ j), FBase \ (LessT \ i \ j)\} 
       LessT \ i \ j \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (LessT \ i \ j), \ FBase \ (LessF \ i \ j)\}
       LessF \ i \ j \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (LessF \ i \ j), FBase \ (LessT \ i \ j)\}
       In i I \Rightarrow \{FBase (In i I), FBase (In T i I)\}
      InT \ i \ I \Rightarrow \{FBase \ (InT \ i \ I), \ FBase \ (In \ i \ I)\}\} :: (ws1s, order) \ aformula \ set
   \{ \mathbf{fix} \ x \ xs \}
   note Formula-Operations.fold-deriv-FBool[simp] Formula-Operations.deriv.simps[simp]
\Phi-def[simp]
     have \forall a. fold ?d xs (FBase a) \in \Phi a
      by (induct xs) (auto split: ws1s.splits bool.splits if-splits, metis+)
   moreover have finite (\Phi \ a) unfolding \Phi-def by (auto split: ws1s.splits)
   ultimately show finite {fold ?d xs (FBase a) | xs. True}
     by (blast intro: finite-subset)
next
```

```
fix k \ l and a :: ws1s
 show find0 k l a \longleftrightarrow (k, l) \in set (FV0 a) by (induct a rule: find0.induct) auto
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ a :: ws1s
  show distinct (FV0 a) by (induct a) auto
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} idx \ a \ k \ v
  assume wf0 idx a(k, v) \in set(FV0|a)
  then show LESS \ k \ v \ idx by (induct \ a) auto
next
  \mathbf{fix} idx k i
  assume LESS k i idx
  then show Formula-Operations.wf SUC wf0 idx (Restrict k i)
   unfolding Restrict-def by (cases k) (auto simp: Formula-Operations.wf.simps)
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ k \ i
 show Formula-Operations.left-formula left-formula0 (Restrict k i)
  unfolding Restrict-def by (cases k) (auto simp: Formula-Operations.left-formula.simps)
  fix i \mathfrak{A} k P
  assume i^{\mathfrak{A}}k = P
  then show restrict k P \longleftrightarrow
     Formula-Operations.satisfies-gen Extend Length satisfies 0 (\lambda- - -. True) \mathfrak{A}
(Restrict \ k \ i)
   unfolding restrict-def Restrict-def
   by (cases k) (auto simp: Formula-Operations.satisfies-gen.simps)
\mathbf{next}
  fix i \mathfrak{A} k P
  assume i^{\mathfrak{A}}k = P
  then show restrict k P \longleftrightarrow
    Formula-Operations.satisfies-gen Extend Length satisfies 0 \ (\lambda - P \ n. \ len \ P \le n)
\mathfrak{A} (Restrict k i)
   unfolding restrict-def Restrict-def
   by (cases k) (auto simp: Formula-Operations.satisfies-gen.simps)
qed (auto simp: Extend-commute-unsafe downshift-def upshift-def fimage-iff Suc-le-eq
  eval	ext{-}def cut	ext{-}def len	ext{-}downshift-helper
  dest: fMax-ge fMax-ffilter-less fMax-boundedD fsubset-fsingletonD
  split: order.splits if-splits)
lemma [code]: check-eqv idx r s =
  ((ws1s-wf\ idx\ r\ \land\ ws1s-left-formula\ r)\ \land\ (ws1s-wf\ idx\ s\ \land\ ws1s-left-formula\ s)\ \land
  (case rtrancl-while (\lambda(p, q)). final idx p = \text{final idx } q)
    (\lambda(p, q). map \ (\lambda a. (norm \ (deriv \ lderiv0 \ a \ p), norm \ (deriv \ lderiv0 \ a \ q))) \ (\sigma
idx))
    (norm\ (RESTRICT\ r),\ norm\ (RESTRICT\ s))\ of
    None \Rightarrow False
  | Some ([], x) \Rightarrow True
```

```
| Some (a \# list, x) \Rightarrow False))
  unfolding check-eqv-def WS1S.check-eqv-def ...
definition while where [code del, code-abbrev]: while idx \varphi = while-default (fut-default
idx \varphi
declare while-default-code [of fut-default idx \varphi for idx \varphi, folded while-def, code]
export-code check-eqv in SML module-name WS1S-Generated
lemma check-eqv-sound:
  \llbracket \#_V \ \mathfrak{A} = idx; \ check-eqv \ idx \ \varphi \ \psi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (WS1S.sat \ \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow WS1S.sat \ \mathfrak{A} \ \psi)
 unfolding check-eqv-def by (rule WS1S.check-eqv-soundness)
lemma bounded-check-eqv-sound:
 \llbracket \#_V \mathfrak{A} = idx; bounded\text{-}check\text{-}eqv idx \ \varphi \ \psi \rrbracket \implies (WS1S.sat_b \mathfrak{A} \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow WS1S.sat_b)
 unfolding bounded-check-eqv-def by (rule WS1S.bounded-check-eqv-soundness)
end
11
        Examples
abbreviation (input) FImp where FImp \varphi \psi \equiv FOr (FNot \varphi) \psi
abbreviation FIff where FIff \varphi \psi \equiv FAnd \ (FImp \ \varphi \ \psi) \ (FImp \ \psi \ \varphi)
abbreviation FBall where FBall X \varphi \equiv FAll \ FO \ (FImp \ (FBase \ (In \ 0 \ X)) \ \varphi)
abbreviation SUBSET where SUBSET X Y \equiv FBall \ X \ (FBase \ (In \ 0 \ Y))
abbreviation EQ where EQ X Y \equiv FAnd (SUBSET X Y) (SUBSET Y X)
abbreviation First where First x \equiv FNot (FEx\ FO (FBase (Less\ 0\ (x+1))))
abbreviation Last where Last x \equiv FNot (FEx FO (FBase (Less (x+1) 0)))
abbreviation Succ where Succ sucx x \equiv FAnd (FBase (Less x sucx)) (FNot
(FEx\ FO\ (FAnd\ (FBase\ (Less\ (x+1)\ 0))\ (FBase\ (Less\ 0\ (sucx+1))))))
definition Thm idx \varphi = check\text{-}eqv \ idx \varphi \ (FBool \ True)
export-code Thm in SML module-name Thm
abbreviation FTrue \ (\top) where FTrue \equiv FBool \ True
abbreviation FFalse (\perp) where FFalse \equiv FBool False
notation FImp (infixr --> 25)
notation (output) FO (1)
notation (output) SO(2)
notation FEx (\exists - [10] \ 10)
notation FAll (\forall - [10] \ 10)
notation FNot (\neg - [40] 40)
notation FOr (infixr \vee 30)
notation FAnd (infixr \land 35)
```

abbreviation FLess $(x_- < x_- [65, 66] 65)$ where FLess m1 m2 \equiv FBase (Less

```
m1 m2
abbreviation FIn (x_{-} \in X_{-} [65, 66] 65) where FIn m M \equiv FBase (In m M)
abbreviation FQ ([x-] [66] 65) where FQ m \equiv FBase (Q m)
definition M2L = (FEx SO (FAll FO (FBase (In 0 0))) :: formula)
definition \Phi = (FAll\ FO\ (FEx\ FO\ (FBase\ (Less\ 1\ 0))) :: formula)
lemma Thm (Abs-idx (0, 1)) (FNot M2L)
 by eval
lemma Thm (Abs-idx (\theta, \theta)) \Phi
 \mathbf{by} \ eval
abbreviation Globally (\square- [40] 40) where Globally P = \%n. FAll FO (FImp
(FNot\ (FBase\ (Less\ (n+1)\ \theta)))\ (P\ \theta))
abbreviation Future (\lozenge-[40] 40) where Future P == \%n. FEx FO (FAnd (FNot
(FBase\ (Less\ (n+1)\ \theta)))\ (P\ \theta))
abbreviation IMP (infixr \rightarrow 50) where IMP P1 P2 == \%n. FImp (P1 n) (P2
n)
definition \Psi :: nat \Rightarrow formula \text{ where}
  \Psi n = FAll\ FO\ (((\Box(foldr\ (\lambda i\ \varphi.\ (\lambda m.\ FBase\ (In\ m\ i)) \to \varphi)\ [\theta..< n]\ (\lambda m.\ f))
FBase\ (In\ m\ n)))) \rightarrow
     foldr (\lambda i \ \varphi. \ (\Box(\lambda m. \ FBase \ (In \ m \ i))) \rightarrow \varphi) \ [0...< n] \ (\Box(\lambda m. \ FBase \ (In \ m \ i))) \rightarrow \varphi)
n)))) \theta)
lemma Thm (Abs\text{-}idx\ (\theta,\ 1))\ (\Psi\ \theta) by eval
lemma Thm (Abs\text{-}idx\ (0,\ 2))\ (\Psi\ 1) by eval
lemma Thm (Abs\text{-}idx\ (0,\ 3))\ (\Psi\ 2) by eval
lemma Thm (Abs\text{-}idx\ (0, 4))\ (\Psi\ 3) by eval
lemma Thm (Abs\text{-}idx\ (0,\ 5))\ (\Psi\ 4) by eval
lemma Thm (Abs\text{-}idx\ (0,\ 6))\ (\Psi\ 5) by eval
lemma Thm (Abs-idx (0, 11)) (\Psi 10) by eval
lemma Thm (Abs-idx (0, 16)) (\Psi 15) by eval
```