Homework 8

Partial Differential Equations, Spring 2023

David Yang

HW 8 Problem

Consider the 1st order linear initial value PDE problem:

$$tu_t + u_x = 0$$
 for $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$u(x,0) = f(x)$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Apply the Method of Characteristics. Your goal is to find a characteristic value $\xi(x,t)$ so that any function of the form $u(x,t)=f(\xi)$ satisfies the PDE.

Tip: In most problems of this type that you have worked on in before, you have set $t=\tau$ and used the ξ variable to parameterize the values of x along the x axis. For this problem, set $x=\tau$ and use the ξ variable to parameterize the values of t along the t-axis.

Solution. We begin by applying the Method of Characteristics. We get that

$$t_{\tau} = t$$
, $x_{\tau} = 1$, and $U_{\tau} = 0$.

Since, as the tip says, we set $x = \tau$ and use the ξ variable to parameterize the values of t along the t-axis, we know $t(\tau = 0) = \xi$.

Solving for t and x by integrating with respect to τ and using these initial values, we find that

$$t = \xi e^{\tau}$$
 and $x = \tau$.

Solving for U, we get that

$$U = f(\xi)$$

which also matches the given initial condition $U(\xi,0) = f(\xi)$.

Now, inverting our change of coordinates by expressing ξ and τ in terms of x and t, we get that

$$\tau = x$$
 and $\xi = te^{-x}$.

Plugging this change of variables back into our solution, we get that

$$u(x(\xi,\tau), t(\xi,\tau)) = f(\xi(x,t)) = f(te^{-x}).$$

Thus, our solution is

$$u(x,t) = f(te^{-x})$$

(b) Set f(x) = x as the initial value for the PDE given above. Show that the form of the solution you found in (a) does not satisfy this initial value.

Remark: In fact, no solutions exist for this PDE that solve the initial value u(x,0) = x. This PDE is ill-posed for u(x,0) = x.

Solution. We set f(x) = x as per the instructions. We will now check whether the solution $u(x,t) = f(te^{-x})$ satisfies the initial value

$$u(x,0) = x \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Plugging in t = 0 to our solution, we get that

$$u(x,0) = 0$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{R}$

However, notice that to satisfy the initial condition, we must have that u(x,0) = f(x) = x for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Clearly,

$$u(x,0) = 0 \neq x \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and so the solution we found in (a) does not satisfy the initial value for the PDE.

(c) Set f(x) = 1 as the initial value for the PDE given above. Now let ξ be the characteristic variable you found in (a). For what values of the constants a and b does the function $u(x,t) = a + b\xi$ also solve the PDE and satisfy the initial value u(x,0) = 1?

Solution. Let $u(x,t) = a + b\xi$, where $\xi = te^{-x}$ as we found in part (a). Equivalently, we have that

$$u(x,t) = a + bte^{-x}.$$

Calculating the partial derivatives, we get that

$$u_t = be^{-x}$$
 and $u_x = -bte^{-x}$.

Plugging these partials back into our PDE, we get

$$tu_t + u_x = t (be^{-x}) + (-bte^{-x})$$
$$= bte^{-x} - bte^{-x}$$
$$= 0$$

Thus, every value of a, b will solve the initial PDE problem $tu_t + u_x = 0$ for all $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, to satisfy the initial value u(x,0) = 1, we must have

$$u(x,0) = a + b(0)e^{-x}$$
$$= a$$
$$= 1.$$

Thus, we must have that a=1 and $b\in\mathbb{R}$ for the function $u(x,t)=a+b\xi=a+b(te^{-x})$ to solve the PDE and initial value u(x,0)=1.

Is the PDE with the initial value f(x) = 1 well-posed or ill-posed? Why?

Solution. From our above work, we found that any solution $u(x,t) = a + b\xi = a + bte^{-x}$ with a = 1 and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ solves the PDE and satisfies the initial value u(x,0) = 1. Consequently, there are infinitely many solutions to the PDE with initial value f(x) = 1.

For PDE to be well-posed, it must have a unique solution. Since the PDE with initial value f(x) = 1 has infinitely many solutions, it is an ill-posed PDE.