Authors	Median FDR	Mean FDR	# Units	$\tau_{\rm c}$ (ms)	Sorter
Xu et al. <i>Nature</i> (2022)	$3.1\% \pm 0.5\%$	$14.3\% \pm 0.4\%$	3046	0	Kilosort
Economo et al. Nature (2018)	$3.1\% \pm 0.6\%$	$12.5\% \pm 0.5\%$	1988	0.25	JRClust
Gao et al. Nature (2018)	4.4% ± 0.7%	$18.7\% \pm 0.6\%$	1923	0.5	UltraMegaSort2000
Li et al. <i>Nature</i> (2016)	$4.5\% \pm 0.8\%$	$19.7\% \pm 0.7\%$	1543	0.85	UltraMegaSort2000
Inagaki et al. Cell (2022)	6.2% ± 0.3%	$17.7\% \pm 0.3\%$	7968	0.25	JRClust/Kilosort
Guo et al. Nature (2017)	$6.5\% \pm 0.7\%$	$18.8\% \pm 0.6\%$	1936	0.85	UltraMegaSort2000
Steinmetz et al. Nature (2019)	6.9% ± 0.2%	28.0% ± 0.1%	48661	0	Kilosort
Sylwestrak et al. Cell (2022)	12.8% ± 0.4%	29.1% ± 0.3%	10548	0.25	Kilosort
Stringer et al. Science (2019) [†]	$21.9\% \pm 0.5\%$	$33.1\% \pm 0.4\%$	6446	0	Kilosort
Finkelstein et al. Nature Neuroscience (2021)	23.3% ± 0.5%	32.9% ± 0.4%	5164	0.25	JRClust
Chinta & Pluta Nature Communications (2022)	28.2% ± 1.3%	36.2% ± 1.0%	991	0	Kilosort
Juavinett et al. eLife (2019) [†]	52.9% ± 0.8%	45.4% ± 0.6%	2256	0	Kilosort

[†]Calculated using homogeneous equation

Table 1. Mean and median FDR of publicly available spike-sorted electrophysiology datasets