DTU42136, Large Scale Optimization using Decomposition

Assignment 2, Dantzig Wolfe Reformulation Edward J. Xu (Jie Xu), s181238, DTU Management May 27th, 2019

1 Part A

1.1 Task 1

Col Name	x ₁₁	x ₁₂	x ₁₃	X ₁₄	X ₁₅	y ₁	x ₂₁	X22	X23	X24	X25	y 2	Vector B
Vector C	292	453	359	219	268	736	291	443	403	498	400	967	-
cons 2.1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
cons 2.2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
cons 2.3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
cons 2.4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
cons 2.5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
cons 3.1	14	20	6	16	10	-43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
cons 3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	20	6	16	10	-43	0

Table 1. Matrix A, Vector B and Vector C for SSCFLP

2 Task A.2

One way of applying Dantzig-Wolfe reformulation to this problem is by keeping constraints (2) in the master and convexifying constraints (3)-(5).

Col Name	x ₁₁	x ₁₂	X ₁₃	X ₁₄	X ₁₅	y ₁	x ₂₁	X22	X23	x ₂₄	X ₂₅	y ₂	Vector B
Vector C	292	453	359	219	268	736	291	443	403	498	400	967	-
cons 2.1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
cons 2.2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
cons 2.3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
cons 2.4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
cons 2.5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

Table 2. Constraint Matrix for the Master Problem

Col Name	x ₁₁	x ₁₂	X ₁₃	X ₁₄	x ₁₅	y ₁	x ₂₁	X ₂₂	X23	X24	X ₂₅	y ₂	Vector B
Vector C	292	453	359	219	268	736	291	443	403	498	400	967	-
cons 3.1	14	20	6	16	10	-43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
cons 3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	20	6	16	10	-43	0

Table 3. Constraint Matrix for the Sub Problem

3 Task A.3

Branch 12-th variable to 1 and 0. Find branch_1 is better. Then branch 6-th variable to 1 and 0. Find branch_1.1 is the final result

Col Name	x ₁₁	x ₁₂	x ₁₃	X ₁₄	X ₁₅	y ₁	x ₂₁	X22	X23	x ₂₄	X ₂₅	у2	Vector B
Vector C	292	453	359	219	268	736	291	443	403	498	400	967	-
cons 5.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1
cons 5.2	0	0	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1

Table 4. Extra Constraint Matrix for the Sub Problem in Branch

4 Task A.4

Col Name	x ₁₁	x ₁₂	X ₁₃	x ₁₄	X ₁₅	y 1	Vector B
Vector C	292	453	359	219	268	736	-
cons 3.1	14	20	6	16	10	-43	0

Table 5. Constraint Matrix for the Sub Problem 1

Col Name	x ₂₁	X22	X23	X24	X ₂₅	y 2	Vector B
Vector C	291	443	403	498	400	967	-
cons 3.1	14	20	6	16	10	-43	0

Table 6. Constraint Matrix for the Sub Problem 2

4.1 Test using Generated Data Set and Conclusion

Data Set	Method	numSub	Obj	Time	numIteration
A	Gurobi	-	2834.02	0.0017	-
A	Gurobi-Relax	-	3283	0.0024	-
A	DW-Relax	1	3038	0.316	17
A	DW-Relax	2	3038	0.328	13
В	Gurobi	-	7956.88	0.100	-
В	Gurobi-Relax	-	8612.0	0.0023	-
В	DW-Relax	1	8583.33	6.138	409
В	DW-Relax	10	8583.33	1.425	44
C	Gurobi	-	16228	2.42	-
C	Gurobi-Relax	-	15301.81	0.0042	-
C	DW-Relax	1	15836.01	50.349	1383
C	DW-Relax	20	15836.01	1.371	71

Table 7. Table of Results from Optimizations using Different Data Sets and Methods

We can get three conclusions from the table:

- The objective value from Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition is better than that solved by Gurobi relaxation.
- The advantage of splitting sub-problems is more obvious, when there are more sub-problems. There will be less iterations and elapsed time.
- It's possible for Dantzig-Wolfe Decomposition to beat Gurobi in MILP, if there are many sub-problems and good branch-and-cut algorithm.

4.2 Task 5.B

$$H = \sum_{i \in I} h_i \tag{1}$$

min
$$z$$
 (2)
s.t. $\alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{ji} + \beta_{ij} + \beta_{ji} \ge 1$ $\forall i, j \in I, i < j$ (3)
 $y_i + h_i \le z$ $\forall i \in I$ (4)
 $x_i + w_i \le W$ $\forall i \in I$ (5)
 $x_i + w_i \le x_j + W(1 - \alpha_{ij})$ $\forall i, j \in I$ (6)
 $y_i + h_i \le y_j + H(1 - \beta_{ij})$ $\forall i, j \in I$ (7)
 $x_i, y_i \ge 0$ $\forall i \in I$ (8)
 $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$ $\forall i, j \in I$ (9)

$$\max -z$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad -\alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{ji} - \beta_{ij} - \beta_{ji} \le -1 \quad \forall i, j \in I, i < j$$

$$x_i - x_j + W \alpha_{ij} \le W - w_i \quad \forall i, j \in I$$

$$y_i - y_j + H \beta_{ij} \le H - h_i \quad \forall i, j \in I$$

$$y_i - z \le -h_i \quad \forall i \in I$$

$$x_i \le W - w_i \quad \forall i \in I$$

$$x_i, y_i \ge 0 \quad \forall i \in I$$

$$\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, j \in I$$

$$(10)$$

$$(11)$$

$$(12)$$

$$(13)$$

$$(14)$$

$$(15)$$

$$(15)$$

$$(16)$$

$$(17)$$

 $\max -z \tag{19}$

s.t.
$$\begin{cases}
-\alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{ji} - \beta_{ji} \leq -1 & \forall i, j \in I, i < j \\
x_i \leq W - w_i & \forall i \in I \\
y_i - z \leq -h_i & \forall i \in I
\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases}
x_i - x_j + W \alpha_{ij} \leq W - w_i & \forall i, j \in I \\
y_i - y_j + H \beta_{ij} \leq H - h_i & \forall i, j \in I
\end{cases}$$
(21)

$$\begin{cases} x_i - x_j + W \alpha_{ij} \le W - w_i & \forall i, j \in I \\ y_i - y_j + H \beta_{ij} \le H - h_i & \forall i, j \in I \end{cases}$$
(21)

$$x_i, y_i \ge 0 \qquad \forall i \in I \tag{22}$$

$$\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \in \{0, 1\} \qquad \forall i, j \in I \tag{23}$$

5 Appendix

5.1 Task A.2 Detailed Result

```
2 \text{ vec_pi} = [-1.0e6 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0]
3 \text{ vec kappa} = [0.0]
4 —
5 \text{ 1--th iteration. obj_master} = -1.0e6.
7 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.997714e6.
8 \text{ objCoef} = -2286.0.
9 best reduced cost is 1.997714e6
10 \text{ vec_pi} = [-1143.0 -998857.0 0.0 0.0 0.0]
11 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
12 —
13 2-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
15 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.996818e6.
16 \text{ objCoef} = -3182.0.
17 best reduced cost is 1.996818e6
18 vec_pi = [-1143.0 -448.0 -998409.0 0.0 0.0]
19 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
20 ----
21 3-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
23 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.996061e6.
24 \text{ objCoef} = -3491.0.
25 best reduced cost is 1.996061e6
26 vec pi = [-1143.0 -448.0 -378.5 -9.98031e5 0.0]
27 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
29 4-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
31 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.9953635e6.
32 \text{ objCoef} = -3362.0.
33 best reduced cost is 1.9953635e6
34 \text{ vec_pi} = [-1143.0 -448.0 -378.5 -348.75 -9.97682e5]
35 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
36 —
37 5-th iteration. obj master = -1.0e6.
39 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.99482525e6.
40 \text{ objCoef} = -3173.0.
41 best reduced cost is 1.99482525e6
42 \text{ vec_pi} = [5.3081 \text{ e5} -5.32401 \text{ e5} -2.66355 \text{ e5} -3.99314 \text{ e5} -332740.0]
43 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
44 —
45 \text{ 6--th iteration. obj_master} = -1.0e6.
46 -
47 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 2.3920628000000003e6.
48 \text{ objCoef} = -4078.0.
49 best reduced cost is 2.3920628000000003e6
50 \text{ vec_pi} = [1.32816e6 -1.32976e6 -6.65032e5 1.99275e6 -2.32613e6]
51 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
52 ----
```

```
53 7-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
55 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 8.63779833333332e6.
56 \text{ objCoef} = -4029.0.
57 best reduced cost is 8.63779833333332e6
58 \text{ vec\_pi} = \begin{bmatrix} -242.5 & -448.0 & -378.5 & -312.0 & -287.5 \end{bmatrix}
59 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1801.0]
60 —
61 \text{ 8-th} iteration. obj master = -3469.5.
63 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1801.0.
64 \text{ objCoef} = 0.0.
65 best reduced cost is 1801.0
66 vec_pi = [-727.385 -863.615 -586.308 -589.077 -564.577]
67 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
69 \text{ 9-th iteration.} obj_master = -3330.9615384615386.
71 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 439.34615384615427.
72 \text{ objCoef} = -3619.0.
73 best reduced cost is 439.34615384615427
74 vec_pi = [-397.875 -1193.13 -37.125 -808.75 -784.25]
75 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
76 —
77 10-th iteration. obj_master = -3221.125.
79 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 761.25.
80 \text{ objCoef} = -3218.0.
81 best reduced cost is 761.25
82 vec_pi = [-397.875 -812.5 -417.75 -808.75 -784.25]
83 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
85 11-th iteration. obj_master = -3221.125.
86 -
87 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 475.875.
88 objCoef = -1515.0.
89 best reduced cost is 475.875
90 \text{vec\_pi} = [-556.5 - 1034.5 - 513.0 - 491.5 - 467.0]
91 vec kappa = [0.0]
93 12-th iteration. obj_master = -3062.5.
95 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 272.0.
96 \text{ objCoef} = -1767.0.
97 best reduced cost is 272.0
98 vec_pi = [-556.5 -1129.75 -417.75 -763.5 -739.0]
99 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [544.0]
100 -
101 13-th iteration. obj_master = -3062.5.
102 -
103 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 73.5.
104 \text{ objCoef} = -3980.0.
105 best reduced cost is 73.5
106 \text{ vec\_pi} = [-581.0 \ -1142.0 \ -381.0 \ -641.0 \ -690.0]
107 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [397.0]
```

```
108 —
109 14-th iteration. obj_master = -3038.0.
110 -
111 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 22.0.
112 \text{ objCoef} = -3468.0.
113 best reduced cost is 22.0
114 \text{ vec_pi} = [-581.0 \ -1142.0 \ -381.0 \ -1028.0 \ -1077.0]
115 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [1171.0]
117 15-th iteration. obj_master = -3038.0.
118 -
119 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 530.0.
120 \text{ objCoef} = -3671.0.
121 best reduced cost is 530.0
122 \text{ vec\_pi} = [-581.0 \ -1142.0 \ -381.0 \ -663.0 \ -712.0]
123 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [441.0]
124 -
125 16-th iteration. obj_master = -3038.0.
126 -
127 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 44.0.
128 \text{ objCoef} = -3325.0.
129 best reduced cost is 44.0
130 \text{ vec\_pi} = [-581.0 \ -1120.0 \ -403.0 \ -641.0 \ -690.0]
131 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [397.0]
132 -
133 17-th iteration. obj_master = -3038.0.
134 –
135 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 0.0.
136 best reduced cost is 0.0
138 Optimization Done After 17 Iterations.
139 \text{ obj_master} = -3038.0
147 extreme point = Any [0.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.0, 1.0, -0.0, 1.0, 1.0, -0.0, 1.0, 1.0]
149 x_{1,2} = 0.33333333333333333
150 x_{1,3} = 0.33333333333333333
151 \times \{1,4\} = 1.0
153 x_{1,6} = 1.0
154 x_{1,7} = 0.333333333333333333
155 x_{\{1,8\}} = 0.6666666666666667
158 x_{1,12} = 0.6666666666666666
159 Elapsed time is 0.7346489429473877 seconds.
```

5.2 Task A.3 Detailed Result

```
2 12-th variable in 1-th sub-problem is branched to 1.
3 6-th variable in 1-th sub-problem is branched to 1.
7 \text{ vec_pi} = [-1.0e6 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0]
8 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
10 \text{ 1--th iteration}. obj master = -1.0e6.
12 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.997714e6.
13 objCoef = -2286.0.
14 best reduced cost is 1.997714e6
15 vec_pi = [-1143.0 -998857.0 0.0 0.0 0.0]
16 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
17 —
18 \text{ } 2\text{--th } \text{ iteration. obj_master = } -1.0e6.
20 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.996818e6.
21 \text{ objCoef} = -3182.0.
22 best reduced cost is 1.996818e6
23 vec_pi = [-1143.0 -448.0 -998409.0 0.0 0.0]
24 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
25 —
26 3-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
28 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.996061e6.
29 objCoef = -3491.0.
30 best reduced cost is 1.996061e6
31 vec_pi = [-1143.0 -448.0 -378.5 -9.98031e5 0.0]
32 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
34 \text{ 4-th iteration. obj_master} = -1.0e6.
35 -
36 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.9953635e6.
37 objCoef = -3362.0.
38 best reduced cost is 1.9953635e6
39 vec_pi = [-1143.0 -448.0 -378.5 -348.75 -9.97682e5]
40 \text{ vec kappa} = [0.0]
41 -
42 5-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
44 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.99482525e6.
45 \text{ objCoef} = -3173.0.
46 best reduced cost is 1.99482525e6
47 \text{ vec_pi} = [5.3081 \text{ e}5 -5.32401 \text{ e}5 -2.66355 \text{ e}5 -3.99314 \text{ e}5 -332740.0]
48 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
50 \text{ 6--th iteration. obj_master} = -1.0e6.
51 -
52 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 2.3920628000000003e6.
53 objCoef = -4078.0.
54 best reduced cost is 2.3920628000000003e6
55 \text{ vec_pi} = [1.32816e6 -1.32976e6 -6.65032e5 1.99275e6 -2.32613e6]
56 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0]
```

```
58 \text{ 7-th iteration. obj_master} = -1.0e6.
60 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 8.63779833333332e6.
61 \text{ objCoef} = -4029.0.
62 best reduced cost is 8.63779833333332e6
63 vec_pi = \begin{bmatrix} -242.5 & -448.0 & -378.5 & -312.0 & -287.5 \end{bmatrix}
64 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1801.0]
66 \text{ 8-th iteration. obj_master} = -3469.5.
67 -
68 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 235.0.
69 objCoef = -2992.0.
70 best reduced cost is 235.0
71 vec_pi = [-425.278 -604.667 -456.833 -416.444 -391.944]
72 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1122.11]
74 9-th iteration. obj_master = -3417.277777777774.
76 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 223.55555555555543.
77 objCoef = -3619.0.
78 best reduced cost is 223.55555555555543
79 \text{vec\_pi} = [-313.5 -604.667 -456.833 -304.667 -280.167]
80 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1345.67]
82 10-th iteration. obj_master = -3305.5.
83 -
84 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 215.83333333333326.
85 \text{ objCoef} = -3871.0.
86 best reduced cost is 215.83333333333326
87 vec_pi = [-313.5 -496.75 -402.875 -196.75 -334.125]
88 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1561.5]
90 11-th iteration. obj_master = -3305.5.
92 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 88.5.
93 objCoef = -3517.0.
94 best reduced cost is 88.5
95 vec pi = [-313.5 - 452.5 - 469.25 - 241.0 - 356.25]
96 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1473.0]
98 12-th iteration. obj_master = -3305.5.
100 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 88.75.
101 \text{ objCoef} = -3686.0.
102 best reduced cost is 88.75
103 \text{ vec\_pi} = [-313.5 \ -453.0 \ -380.5 \ -241.5 \ -268.0]
104 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1649.0]
105 -
106 \ 13-th iteration. obj_master = -3305.5.
107 -
108 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 44.0.
109 \text{ objCoef} = -3307.0.
110 best reduced cost is 44.0
111 vec_pi = [-313.5 -497.0 -380.5 -285.5 -312.0]
```

```
112 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [-1517.0]
114 14-th iteration. obj_master = -3305.5.
115 -
116 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 22.5.
117 objCoef = -3283.0.
118 best reduced cost is 22.5
119 vec_pi = [-336.0 -497.0 -403.0 -263.0 -312.0]
120 vec kappa = [-1472.0]
121 —
122 15-th iteration. obj_master = -3283.0.
124 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 0.0.
125 best reduced cost is 0.0
127 Optimization Done After 15 Iterations.
128 \text{ obj_master} = -3283.0
131 \ lambda_15 = 1.0, \ sub = 1,
133 \times \{1,3\} = 1.0
134 x_{\{1,4\}} = 1.0
135 x_{\{1,5\}} = 1.0
136 x_{\{1,6\}} = 1.0
137 \times \{1,7\} = 1.0
138 x_{1}, 8 = 1.0
139 \times \{1,12\} = 1.0
```

5.3 Task A.4 Detailed Result

```
2 \text{ vec_pi} = [-1.0e6 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0 \ 0.0]
3 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0 \ 0.0]
5 \text{ 1--th iteration. obj_master} = -1.0e6.
7 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 998972.0.
8 \text{ objCoef} = -1028.0.
9 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 998742.0.
10 \text{ objCoef} = -1258.0.
11 best reduced cost is 998972.0
12 \text{ vec_pi} = [-1028.0 -998972.0 0.0 0.0 0.0]
13 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0 \ 0.0]
14 —
15 2-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
16 –
17 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 998519.0.
18 \text{ objCoef} = -1481.0.
19 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 998299.0.
20 \text{ objCoef} = -1701.0.
21 best reduced cost is 998519.0
22 vec pi = [-1028.0 -453.0 -998519.0 0.0 0.0]
23 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [0.0 \ 0.0]
24 -----
```

```
25 3-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
27 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 998160.0.
28 \text{ objCoef} = -1387.0.
29 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 997896.0.
30 objCoef = -2104.0.
31 best reduced cost is 998160.0
32 \text{vec\_pi} = [-764.0 \ -717.0 \ -623.0 \ -997896.0 \ 0.0]
33 vec kappa = [0.0 \ 0.0]
34 —
35 \text{ 4-th iteration. obj_master} = -1.0e6.
37 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 997677.0.
38 objCoef = -1606.0.
39 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 997124.0.
40 objCoef = -2159.0.
41 best reduced cost is 997677.0
42 \text{ vec_pi} = [1.99459e6 -998394.0 -998300.0 -219.0 0.0]
43 vec_kappa = [-997677.0 0.0]
44 —
45 5-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
46 —
47 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 2.992823e6.
48 \text{ objCoef} = -1548.0.
49 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 1.994881e6.
50 \text{ objCoef} = -1813.0.
51 best reduced cost is 2.992823e6
52 \text{ vec_pi} = [-1204.0 -497.0 -403.0 -219.0 -997897.0]
53 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [220.0 \ 0.0]
54 —
55 6-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
57 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 997629.0.
58 \text{ objCoef} = -1655.0.
59 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 997443.0.
60 objCoef = -1658.0.
61 best reduced cost is 997629.0
62 \text{ vec_pi} = [4.97518e5 - 4.99219e5 \ 4.97451e5 - 996794.0 \ -4.99176e5]
63 vec kappa = [220.0 \ 0.0]
64 –
65 7-th iteration. obj_master = -1.0e6.
67 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 1.4945265e6.
68 \text{ objCoef} = -1223.0.
69 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 1.4941045e6.
70 objCoef = -1908.0.
71 best reduced cost is 1.4945265e6
72 \text{ vec_pi} = [-444.8 -1147.4 -511.8 -760.6 -573.6]
73 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [111.2 \ 0.0]
74 —
75 8-th iteration. obj_master = -3327.0.
76 -
77 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 541.599999999999.
78 \text{ objCoef} = -1767.0.
79 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 108.7999999999995.
```

```
80 \text{ objCoef} = -2311.0.
81 best reduced cost is 541.5999999999999
82 vec_pi = [-770.0 -931.0 -403.0 -741.0 -790.0]
83 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [308.0 \ 0.0]
84 ---
85 9-th iteration. obj_master = -3327.0.
87 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 478.0.
88 \text{ objCoef} = -1515.0.
89 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 145.0.
90 objCoef = -2156.0.
91 best reduced cost is 478.0
92 \text{ vec\_pi} = [-292.0 \ -453.0 \ -639.0 \ -499.0 \ -548.0]
93 vec_kappa = [-176.0 -720.0]
94 ---
95 10-th iteration. obj_master = -3327.0.
97 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 280.0.
98 objCoef = -1582.0.
99 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 720.0.
100 objCoef = -0.0.
101 best reduced cost is 720.0
102 \text{ vec\_pi} = [-336.0 \ -1365.0 \ -403.0 \ -263.0 \ -1180.0]
103 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [264.0 \ 0.0]
104 -
105 \ 11-th iteration. obj_master = -3283.0.
106 -
107 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 868.0.
108 \text{ objCoef} = -1816.0.
109 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 735.0.
110 \text{ objCoef} = -1810.0.
111 best reduced cost is 868.0
112 \text{vec\_pi} = \begin{bmatrix} -532.0 & -973.0 & -599.0 & -739.0 & -788.0 \end{bmatrix}
113 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [544.0 \ 0.0]
114 —
115 12-th iteration. obj_master = -3087.0.
116 —
117 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 0.0.
118 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 147.0.
119 objCoef = -2213.0.
120 best reduced cost is 147.0
121 \text{vec\_pi} = [-581.0 - 875.0 - 648.0 - 641.0 - 690.0]
122 \text{ vec\_kappa} = [397.0 \ 0.0]
123 —
124 \ 13-th iteration. obj_master = -3038.0.
125 -
126 Reduced cost of 1-th sub model is 0.0.
127 Reduced cost of 2-th sub model is 0.0.
128 best reduced cost is 0.0
130 Optimization Done After 13 Iterations.
131 \text{ obj_master} = -3038.0
134 \ lambda_7 = 0.3333333333333337, sub = 2,
```

```
135 extreme point = Any [1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.0].
137 extreme point = Any[0.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.0, 1.0].
139 extreme point = Any [1.0, -0.0, -0.0, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0].
140 \ lambda_21 = 0.3333333333333336, sub = 2,
141 extreme point = Any [0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0].
142 \ lambda_24 = 0.3333333333333337, sub = 2,
143 extreme point = Any[-0.0, 1.0, 1.0, -0.0, 1.0, 1.0].
145 x_{\{1,2\}} = 0.33333333333333333
146 x_{1,3} = 0.33333333333333333
147 x_{\{1,4\}} = 1.0
148 x_{1,5} = 0.666666666666666
149 x_{\{1,6\}} = 1.0
150 x_{2,1} = 0.333333333333333333
152 x_{2,3} = 0.6666666666666666
153 x_{2,5} = 0.333333333333333333
155 Elapsed time is 0.3501169681549072 seconds.
```