

TLS 1.2 Status

Eric Rescorla

Network Resonance

`ekr@networkresonance.com`

DH Group/Exponent Checking

- Basic issue: TLS uses arbitrary DH groups
 - Chosen by the server
 - What if the server chooses an unsafe group?
- Proposed solution
 - Client SHOULD verify DH group correctness and modulus size
 - * Need a reference for how to check. Help!
 - Server MAY use a known group
 - * But no hint that this is a known group

DigestInfo

- We now use DigestInfo with RSA signatures
- How is Parameters in AlgorithmIdentifier encoded?
 - MUST be NULL
 - MUST be accepted as empty
- Outcome of a decision in Prague:

Cert Hash Types

- Some comments on the list...
- Generalized to signature hash types
- Added a preference order

Alerts

- Any fatal alert **MUST** be sent
 - Used to be optional
- Error alerts sent before closure **MUST** be fatal
- But alerts don't have to be sent

Whenever an implementation encounters a condition which is defined as a fatal alert, it **MUST** send the appropriate alert prior to closing the connection. In cases where an implementation chooses to send an alert which **MAY** be a warning alert but intends to close the connection immediately afterwards, it **MUST** send that alert at the fatal alert level.

If an alert with a level of warning is sent and received, generally the connection can continue normally. If the receiving party decides not to proceed with the connection (e.g., after having received a `no_renegotiation` alert that it is not willing to accept), it **SHOULD** send a fatal alert to terminate the connection.

Signature Hash Agility (I)

- Need a hash indicator
- Also needs to be indicated in cert
- Proposed new struct

```
struct {
    select (SignatureAlgorithm) {
        case anonymous: struct { };
        case rsa:
            HashType digest_algorithm;          // NEW
            digitally-signed struct {
                opaque hash[Hash.length];
            };
        case dsa:
            HashType digest_algorithm;          // NEW
            digitally-signed struct {
                opaque sha_hash[20];
            };
    };
};
} Signature;
```

Signature Hash Agility (II)

- Pasi suggests that `digest_algorithm` be an `AlgorithmIdentifier`
 - Allows carrying parameters
- This isn't the ordinary TLS style
 - But we may need parameters
- Proposal: pack into the signature if required