

THIS DOCUMENT WAS OBTAINED FROM 'THE MUFON ARCHIVE' IN THE BLACK VAULT ENCYCLOPEDIA PROJECT.
THIS SECTION IS A JOINT EFFORT BETWEEN THE MUTUAL UFO NETWORK (MUFON) AND THE BLACK VAULT
TO OFFER AN UNPRECEDENTED FREE RESOURCE FOR UFO HISTORICAL RESEARCH.

PART OF THE BLACK VAULT INTERNET ARCHIVE, YOU CAN CHECK IT OUT AT:

HTTP://WWW.THEBLACK.VAULT.COM/ENCYCLOPEDIA

ALSO, VISIT MUFON FOR THE LARGEST PRIVATE ORGANIZATION DEDICATED TO THE STUDY OF UFOS
FOR THE BENEFIT OF HUMANITY:

HTTP://WWW.MUFON.COM

MUFON UFO JOURNAL

NUMBER 250

FEBRUARY 1989

Founded 1967

OFFICIAL PURILICATION OF



MUTUAL UFO NETWORK, INC.

\$2.50

- IN THIS ISSUE -

CRASH/RETRIEVAL LID LIFTED? Part II Leonard H. Stringfield	3
HYBRID THOUGHTS David Jacobs, Ph.D.	10
MAJOR DONALD E. KEYHOE: AN APPRECIATION	12
GOVERNMENT HARASSMENT: MUFON PRESS RELEASE Robert Bletchman	13
OZARK UFO CONFERENCE	14
LOOKING BACK Bob Gribble	15
LETTERS Hopkins, Jacobs, Vallee, Clark, Etc.	21
FEBRUARY NIGHT SKY Walter N. Webb	22
DIRECTOR'S MESSAGE Walter Andrus	24

MUFON UFO JOURNAL

(USPS 002-970) (ISSN 0270-6822) 103 Oldtowne Rd. Seguin, Texas 78155-4099 U.S.A.

> DENNIS W. STACY Editor

WALTER H. ANDRUS, JR. International Director and Associate Editor

THOMAS P. DEULEY Art Director

MILDRED BIESELE Contributing Editor ANN DRUFFEL

Contributing Editor

ROBERT H. BLETCHMAN Public Relations

> PAUL CERNY Promotion/Publicity

MARGE CHRISTENSEN Public Education

REV. BARRY DOWNING Religion and UFOs

LUCIUS FARISH Books/Periodicals/History

T. SCOTT CRAIN GREG LONG MICHAEL D. SWORDS Staff Writers

TED PHILLIPS Landing Trace Cases

JOHN F. SCHUESSLER Medical Cases

LEONARD STRINGFIELD UFO Crash/Retrieval

> WALTER N. WEBB Astronomy

NORMA E. SHORT
DWIGHT CONNELLY
DENNIS HAUCK
RICHARD H. HALL
ROBERT V. PRATT
Editor/Publishers Emeritus
(Formerly SKYLOOK)

The MUFON UFO JOURNAL is published by the Mutual UFO Network, Inc., Seguin, Texas. Membership/Subscription rates: \$25.00 per year in the U.S.A.; \$30.00 foreign in U.S. funds. Copyright 1989 by the Mutual UFO Network. Second class postage paid at Seguin, Texas. POSTMASTER: Send form 3579 to advise change of address to The MUFON UFO JOURNAL, 103 Oldtowne Rd., Seguin, Texas 78155-4099

FROM THE EDITOR

The issue you hold in your hands is the MUFON Journal's 250th. No other continuously published UFO journal can make that claim, not even the venerable Flying Saucer Review, which first began appearing in 1955. How we achieved such a landmark is no dark mystery. For starters, for most of its nearly 25 years, FSR has been a quarterly publication. The Journal, on the other hand, for its 20 years, has appeared monthly. And while other UFO organizations have come and gone, MUFON, the Mutual UFO Network, the Journal's publisher, remains a vigorous, viable entity.

Needless to say, no one person is responsible for the Journal's success. An editor, and there has been an illustrious string of same, can only publish what comes in over the transom. For the overwhelming most part that means articles freely contributed by our writers, the great majority of whom have the ordinary first obligations of family and occupation. The ranks of professional ufologists, unfortunately, can be counted on the fingers of one hand. It is to those for whom ufology is a labor of love, then, that we at the Journal owe so much thanks and appreciation. Without you, there would most likely be no Journal.

The Mutual UFO Network, Inc. is exempt from Federal Income Tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. MUFON is a publicly supported organization of the type described in Section 509(a)(2). Donors may deduct contributions from their Federal Income Tax. In addition, bequests, legacies, devises, transfers, or gifts are deductible for Federal estate and gift tax purposes if they meet the applicable provisions of Sections 2055, 2106, and 2522 of the code.

The contents of the MUFON UFO JOURNAL are determined by the editor, and do not necessarily represent the official position of MUFON. Opinions of contributors are their own, and do not necessarily reflect those of the editor, the staff, or MUFON. Articles may be forwarded directly to MUFON. Responses to published articles may be in a Letter to the Editor (up to about 400 words) or in a short article (up to about 2,000 words). Thereafter, the "50% rule" is applied: the article author may reply but will be allowed half the wordage used in the response; the responder may answer the author but will be allowed half the wordage used in the author's reply, etc. All submissions are subject to editing for style, clarity, and conciseness. Permission is hereby granted to quote from this issue provided not more than 200 words are quoted from any one article, the author of the article is given credit, and the statement "Copyright 1989 by the Mutual UFO Network, 103 Oldtowne Rd., Seguin, Texas 78155" is included.

UFO Crash/Retrievals: Is the Coverup Lid Lifting?

Status Report V

By Leonard H. Stringfield

Part II

From my perspective the official coverup and the way it was controlled seemed as effective as ever up to the Fall of 1987. Both the Kentucky and Ohio incidents described in Part I which involved civilians, show that anything goes, from trickery to threats, to keep the facts hidden.

Then, throughout 1988, like the burst of dawn, something changed. Whether or not the iron lid has loosened or not, more people, concerned people, suddenly became more vocal. Of interest were the implications of MJ-12, the meaning of abductions, the flap of low-level UFOs in the Blue Ridge Mountains of Virginia, the Gulf Breeze affair, and what was said and left unsaid about alienhuman relations by two disquised informers, "Condor and Falcon" on TV's The UFO Coverup.* Yes, things have changed. It's reflected in my volume of mail and the phone calls I get at all hours. Is the coverup in a "calculated" countdown from ten to a three, two, one and lifting?

Frankly, I don't think the time is right for a sudden formal announcement of alien "visitors" by the world powers which would have unpredictable societal effects. If the press were to suddenly wake up and demanded the bottom line of all the UFO happenings in the MJ-12 files or

were to confirm the horrors described in an expose of the alleged secret Project Grudge Report #13 released by a former Air Force officer, Bill English, then we would have more to worry about than just the stock market.

But maybe the coverup is loosening a little because of new pressures, or guilt, or leaks, or the fear of more Falcons and Condors. Maybe we are being spoonfed in various ways and the masqueraded Falcon and Condor are only doing their job. But while the media still sleeps, amid all the UFO "noise," I have seen a change on my thermostat. For whatever reason, I'm suddenly getting more C/R input which I share, in brief, as follows:

CASE 9) Thanks to the in-depth research of Stan Gordon, Director of the Pennsylvania Association for the Study of the Unexplained, headquartered in Greensburg, the Kecksburg crash/retrieval case of December 9, 1965, may now find recognition as a classic in the annals of ufology. Considering the number of witnesses tracked down by the PASU group, it may be upfront with Roswell.

As Case B-1, the incident originally was reviewed in Status Report II by Clark McClelland but, at that time, save for knowing that something had crashed and was retrieved, there was some uncertainty about the nature of the object. The Air Force, of course, explained it away as a meteorite; others suggested space debris. Gordon, however, accepted neither explanation as more information and FOIA data surfaced. In the spirit of cooperation, he kept me informed.

Then, in 1987, by good fortune, Stan met "Pete" who showed up at a PASU UFO exhibit at a local mall. Having feared ridicule for 12 years, he finally decided to tell his story. It be-

gan at age 19, as a fireman, when his unit in a nearby village was summoned by the Kecksburg authorities to help in the search for something that crashed in a wooded area, possibly an aircraft. But the shocker, he said, was when the crash site was located, they found instead of an aircraft, something else — a large acorn-shaped object embedded deep into the ground.

Armed with Pete's new information, Gordon published the full Kecksburg story, in great detail, in Pursuit, the final quarter 1987 issue. In this lengthy paper he reports the UFO's flight pattern across several states, tells of the firsthand experiences of local residents who saw the fireball crash and of others who witnessed the sudden, incursive "takeover" by the military to perform the retrieval operation. According to Gordon, many of the local citizens viewed the action as constituting a state of martial law, and comments, "Many were influenced enough by their contacts with military or local authorities to the effect that they refused to discuss what they saw or were told even to this day."

Before being chased from the crash site by the retrieval team, Pete and companions had a closehand look at the semi-submerged mystery object. To them, it appeared that the object had descended in about a 30 degree angle and had broken tree limbs and knocked down a 15 to 20-foot high tree before impacting. The trench was about 25 feet long and at the greatest depth about 7 feet. Puzzling was that there were no signs of fire. The size of the acorn-shaped UFO without windows or seams — could not be determined because of its submergence, but it was estimated to have been about 7 feet high and

^{*} The identities of both "birds" are known to me. Condor was an Air Force officer stationed at Wright-Patterson AFB in September 1985 during which time he called me on several occasions. Expressing interest in my research and my sources, he claimed to know a colonel with sensitive information relative to my work but his proposal for me to meet with this source fell through. I heard no more. Later I learned that he confided with a member of the Fund for UFO Research and after that with Bill Moore, etc.



Author Leonard H. Stringfield

wide.

Pete, in trying to give a better description of the craft, said that it gave him the impression of a deflated beach ball pushed in and, toward the bottom, there was a ring or bumperlike structure about 8 to 10 inches wide that seemed to cover the circumference of the object. On this bumper, he said, which was raised up off the surface, was a writing that looked like Egyptian hieroglyphics. He remembered the characters of broken and straight lines, dots, rectangles and circles. As a machinist for 25 years and familiar with metals, solid and liquid, he said, "Never in my life have I seen the color of that metal in any shape or form."

Pete said he never will forget the excitement on his return to the Kecksburg firehall, which had been set up as a command post. It was swarming with military personnel, mostly Air Force, and a lot of equipment was being carried in. Soon guards were at the front entrance and the firemen, before being dis-

missed from the building, were told to use outside toilets.

There is much, much more to Gordon's article, like his learning later that Air Force records showed that NORAD's Space Detection and Tracking System did not have any space junk reentering Earth's atmosphere that day. Thanks to Gordon, I was privileged to interview Pete on the basis I would not use his name. Pete gave me his complete story, confirming Gordon's version. I asked him about the hieroglyphics wondering if they could be Russian. "No way!", he said, "I'm of Russian-Polish descent and can read Russian. It was not Russian, nor American." He added, "I'll stake my life on it, the object was not man-made."

Roswell

CASE 10) The crash and recovery of an "alien" object near Roswell, New Mexico in July 1947, so well documented by researchers Bill Moore and Stan Friedman, and others, is a

case that should cause skeptics to think twice before they impugn the existence of UFOs or the plausibility of the extraterrestrial hypothesis.

Though most of Roswell's firsthand sources were civilian, the overall evidence supporting this "nut-and-bolt" incident is massive. Of significance, ironically, is the report that news of the UFO's discovery at the base slipped out in an "uncleared" press release by the PIO, 1st Lt. Walter Haut. Had it not been for a fast and effective coverup, the full story once in public domain could have rewritten what we know as history. Having been informed, perhaps mankind in his philosophical and geopolitical pursuits would have chosen a wiser course.

Except for rumors, the truth about Roswell did not surface until 1978 when the late Jesse Marcel told an NBC radio newsman, Steve Tom in Chicago, about his official role as the intelligence officer assigned to the crash site to retrieve the scattered debris. On April 7, 1978, Tom called me and linked me up with Marcel in Houma, Louisiana, to get his story firsthand. This led to other calls to Marcel, and upon learning that we had served in the 5th Air Force, during WWII, in the same combat areas in Leyte, of the Phillipines, we developed a feeling of camaraderie and talked about meeting together for a UFO discussion in the near future. For the moment he confirmed that the debris he combed from the crash site on the Brazel ranch was not the remains of a balloon and that he had observed on a fragment of metal beam, a row of symbols looking like hieroglyphics. (See Status Report II, Case A-10, and the foregoing Kecksburg case describing hieroglyphic symbols.) Regretfully, I never got to meet Jesse Marcel as we had hoped to do. During 1978 when the floodgates opened for me with enormous C/R input I was working at full capacity and plans to go "here and there" were dropped. Bill Moore, however, concentrating on his book, The Roswell Incident, made the trip and interviewed Marcel. Following is one statement, in part, by Marcel that still had some questions unanswered:

"... that next afternoon, we loaded MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

everything into a B-29 on orders from Colonel Blanchard and flew it all to Ft. Worth. I was scheduled to fly if all the way to Wright Field in Ohio, but when we got to Carswell at Ft. Worth, the general nixed it. He took control at this point, told the press it was all a weather balloon, and ordered me not to talk to the press under any circumstances. I was pulled off the flight and someone else was assigned to fly the stuff up to Wright Field..." (Italics mine).

My Status Reports do pay off. Thanks to one reader, John August, in Hawaii, I got the "missing link" referred to by Marcel as the officer who flew the B-29 with the Roswell remains to Wright Field. Following up his initial phone call with the news Labor Day 1988, August wrote, in part, the following:

"Confirmation of the Roswell crash reached me through a Maui resident who claimed that her father, Captain O.W. Henderson, flew the retrieved spaceship from Roswell to Wright Field on a B-29. According to Henderson's wife, who was reached by phone, a news officer reported the incident but it was quickly quieted down. On February 17, 1981 the story appeared in the tabloid Globe and Henderson admitted to his wife and daughter that the story was true. The crew, she said, were little people with exceptionally large heads ... At the time Henderson was stationed with the 509th Bomb Group at Roswell. It was an elite group for which all involved required high-security clearances. Besides being a highly decorated pilot during WWII, with over 30 combat missions, Henderson was in charge of the movement of all passengers and freight transported by air for organizations participating in the atomic bomb tests and the Manhattan Project...'

Enclosed, as a result of August's attentive spadework, were copies of photos showing Captain Henderson and flight crew and a letter of commendation for an "excellent job accomplished" from Carl Spaatz, Commanding General, AAF, forwarded to Colonel William Blanchard, Commander, 509th, etc.

For verification, August footnoted MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

The crash and recovery of an "alien" object near Roswell, New Mexico in July 1947 is a case that should cause skeptics to think twice before they impugn the existence of UFOs or the plausibility of the extraterrestrial hypothesis.

his letter with Mrs. Henderson's address and phone number. Calling her October 1, 1988 I explained my work; asked many questions to which she cordially responded and got approval to publish her name in this report, minus address. She said that her husband, known as "Pappy" among his buddies, passed away in 1986 and stated unequivocally that he flew the B-29 with the Roswell wreckage, to Wright field and kept the secret faithfully until 1981. She remembers his comment, "I've been dying to tell you for years, but couldn't. It was top secret."

When I asked Mrs. Henderson if he had ever described the object he transported, she said he told her "it was strange," avoiding details.

CASE 11) Avoiding details, it seems goes with the business of covert work if one must talk at all. And so it was with another source who managed to whisper a few words on his death bed about his stealthy activity at Roswell in 1947.

The source, Bev, is British; her father, a former American serviceman, a staff sergeant who served and took up residence in England following duty in the Pacific theatre, WWII, and notably with the 509th Bomb Group, Walker Field, Roswell. According to records, he was at Roswell during the same time as Major Jesse Marcel and Captain O.W. Henderson.

Bev, referred to me by Timothy Good, British author of Above Top Secret, is by the tone of her letters and phone calls sincere in trying to verify her dad's alleged participation in the Roswell retrieval case. She sent me copies of all his military records which confirmed his assignment at Walker Field in Roswell. These included orders cut for hospitalization at the base for both he and Major Marcel and his pass to the base's Non-Com

Club dated July 1947.

As a child, Bev recalls her dad talking about his hush-hush work at Roswell and whenever he described the nondescript bodies her response was to giggle. The subject never came up much she said until she was a teenager. Once, she recalls, he had read a feature story in a newspaper about a UFO crash and looking grim he told about his experience of standing guard where the bodies were stored and cautioned all family members to keep it quiet lest he get into trouble. According to Bev's long letter and attached military records, she recalled the following:

... He stood guard once outside a hangar where a crashed saucer was stored. He couldn't see anything as it was all packed up and ready to be flown out to Texas the next day. We disagree on the number of bodies he saw. I'm sure he said two, but one of my sisters said three... All available men stood guard duty around the site where a crashed disc had come down and they couldn't understand why they had to be kept cold, as there were trucks of ice... Although he and others were told they would get into trouble if they saw too much they did look under the cover and saw two small dead bodies. They said they were like us, but not like us. They were smaller than a normal man with large heads and slanted eyes. He also said they looked yellowish, a bit Asian... I remember when I got older and asked for more information he got angry and said "that's all I know and I shouldn't have told you that much." Whenever he talked about it he always looked worried...

His last words, according to Bev, before he died in a hospital in February 1986 were about Roswell.

CASE 12) When I last made contact in January 1987 with Jeff Morse,

the former "Blue Beret" who lifted the lid on the Ft. Dix-MacGuire affair, I felt that my chase for more supportive information, at least through him, had ended and I was back on the treadle of the proverbial squirrel's cage.

As agreed, Morse met with Dick Hall, his brother William (an electronics engineer), Dr. Bruce Maccabee, Chairman of the Fund for UFO Research, and myself in a busy mall near Washington, D.C. in January, 1987. Over lunch, he openly answered any questions about the incident, but grimaced over the tangled and costly prospects of his current legal pursuits in Federal Court involving an incident of harassment (See MUFON UFO Journal, June 1987) On departure, he said he planned to return to his work overseas and I wished him well and thought of the seven years I had spent investigating his case. No word from Morse since, but his words that day left the four of us believing that he was telling the truth about the ill-fated alien interloper.

Since I presented Morse's story in Status Report IV at the MUFON Symposium in St. Louis, 1985, there was a spark of hope in 1986 of getting a new source as backup, a former master sergeant who was stationed at Ft. Dix in January, 1978. According to Pat Marcattilio, a MUFON investigator in New Jersey, the source, "Mr. S," whom he knew at a place of previous employment, told him that he heard the rumors about the incident and on his own time tried to round up the facts. But he got nowhere and learned that all records, tapes, everything, had been destroyed. He was also advised to stop snooping. When Marcattilio later tried to get more details from "Mr. S" he "seemed very nervous and refused to talk about it."

In September 1988 a new source with convincing credentials called me about the incident. As a MUFON State Section Director in New Jersey and presently serving as vice president of the Air Force Association, he was in January 1978 an intelligence officer with rank of major at McGuire AFB. Although not a witness to the retrieval operations, he was in a key position to put the twos-and-twos

together enough to give credence to the story told by Morse. His letter of September 6th to me, in part, follows:

"... I attempted to recall as best I could the report of the alien being shot on the base back in January 1978. My job was Deputy Director of 21st Air Force Intelligence 438th Military Wing Intelligence. In this dual role, we were responsible for briefing both the 21st Air Force Commander, who controlled all military airlift aircraft in half the world over to India and the Wing Commander at McGuire AFB.

"I remember walking into the 21st Command Post and most people were talking about an incident that had occurred on the base during the night. The report was that an alien, a short little guy, had died from a gunshot wound. No one seemed to know where he came from or what he was doing on the base. At first it was treated as a joke and that the Security Police must have meant an alien from another country, like Mexico.

"Usually, I would brief the Commander, Major General Tom Sadler, on anything important that occurred during the night. We were concerned about acts of terrorism, hijacking and Soviet movements that could be a threat to our aircraft. Normally, if something was felt to be important, I would either brief the General in a stand-up briefing in the Command Post or if it was classified above 'Secret' in his office.

"... Later in the morning I was told that Intelligence would not brief the General on the alien; that the Security Police Commander or a Liaison Officer was handling it. Later in the day I saw the officer who was a Lt. Colonel (name unknown), coming out of the general's office. He looked tired and somewhat under pressure. He said 'Hello.' I expected the Lt. Colonel to fill me in on the situation but he avoided saying anything ... Assuming this was an alien, I wonder how he got lost from his craft?"

The answer to my source's question comes from still another officer allegedly on the scene in early January during a phase of significant UFO activity over the two large military compounds. During this phase, I was

told one of the craft had malfunctioned and crash-landed. One survivor of the crew, lost and starving, managed to reach the outer perimeter near a guard post at Ft. Dix and was shot by an MP. Said my source, the interloper, mortally wounded, was later found dead at McGuire. Here, relying on Morse's testimony, the "alien" was retrieved from an abandoned airstrip.

The Lt. Colonel who briefed General Sadler, referred to by the intelligence officer in his letter, had been previously identified by Morse as his Commanding Officer, whose name was made known to me. His name, rank and serial number, as well as the officers who interrogated Morse at Wright-Patterson AFB following the incident had all been authenticated by the National Personnel Records Center, St. Louis in 1985.

Also of interest is a letter of September 12, 1985 from the New Jersey State Police in response to a letter from Robert Bletchman, attorney in Manchester, Connecticut, asking about accessibility to their records of alleged involvement in the incident. Theirs, quoted in part, reads: "... Please be advised that it has been and continues to be the policy of the New Jersey State Police that the records. radio logs and other documents maintained at our stations are privileged and are to be kept confidential. We further advise that we do not consider them to be within the public domain in conjunction with general disclosure, etc ..." This information should answer those in research who queried the State Police about its involvement and were told that they have no such records.

For me, the evidence collected over eight years of investigation into this case may not prove that UFOs are real or extraterrestrial, but it does show that such incidents, if true, would be difficult to explain to a benighted public. Thus, the coverup.

El Yunque

CASE 13) Had I known when I was touring San Juan in Puerto Rico March 7, 1984 that a UFO had crashed on February 19 into the MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

I was told one of the craft had malfunctioned and crash-landed. One survivor, lost and starving, managed to reach the outer perimeter near a guard post at Ft. Dix and was shot by an MP.

mountainous region of El Yunque and, had I gone there, I would have found units of the military retrieval team still active.

Barry Greenwood, editor of Just Cause, first enlightened me about the crash at the MUFON Symposium in Washington, D.C. in 1987. He then had few details and I heard nothing more. Then, in August, 1988, Jorge Martin, editor of Enigma, a UFO pictorial-news magazine, published in Puerto Rico in Spanish, called me to relate that he had two items of significance: one concerned a possible alien underground facility on the island; the other, new information about the 1984 UFO crash. His continuing investigations in the crash, he said, had produced new sources who had witnessed some important phases of the military retrieval operation.

Through the gracious assistance of Ms. Anna Gloria Colon, MUFON State Director of Puerto Rico, Martin's records, including tapes, were translated from Spanish to English for use in this report.

Martin, like all Puerto Ricans, first learned of the crash in the news. Witnesses were many, most describing the object as round, white, selfluminous with a tinge of orange at its rear outer edge. Flying horizontally to the East, the object suddenly jerked, and in arch fashion, went straight up, leveled, and then continued its soundless trajectory course eastward to where it was last seen crashing into the El Yungue mountains. Among the witnesses were the passengers and crew of a commercial airline flight from the Virgin Islands and a firsthand report from two men in Fajardo, who watched from their 10th floor apartment the "spinning" object as it plummeted to earth. Notable is the experience of Luis Morales, policeman, working out of the Rio Grande police station near El Yungue, who saw the object crashing, and said that MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

when he tried to reach the area his car suddenly went dead.

Before too much suspense could be built up in the news the story was snuffed. According to Martin, as a diversionary tactic to keep everybody out of the crash area, the authorities told the police that the object, a meteorite, fell well beyond El Yunque and that investigations had been called off.

Not so, said Martin, who talked with Benjamin Gascot, officer for the air rescue division operating out of Isla Grande Airport. According to Gascot, "Something was wrong about this incident because we as a group of officials always worked together in the past." Martin also learned from the officer that on the morning of the investigation a mysterious helicopter. painted black and without identification, showed up at the site. "The occupants" he said, "were dressed in military fatigues and in plain clothes," adding, "we were advised not to talk to the crew."

Confirmation came from another firsthand source, Michael Pazo, who operated a refueling station at the Isla Grande airport. He, too, had seen the helicopter, identified as a Chinook, that brought in the military personnel and further claimed that El Yunque had been under military sur-

veillance for three weeks. Pazo also stated that the object that crashed was "very radioactive" and that he, being a witness to the activities, was told by a federal agent, named Swaggart, to "keep things quiet or else ..." Still another source, according to Martin, claimed that a second helicopter landed two days later with more personnel and that a Learjet, with NASA markings, flew over the El Yunque area for two weeks.

Of Martin's many sources, one working in security (unknown to me) provided him with details of the onsite "packaging" of the pieces of metal from the wreckage. The informant said the parts were catalogued, labeled and protectively wrapped before being boxed conforming to the exact size of each artifact. Then, the wooden boxes were sprayed with a leaded paint, followed by another coat of a chemical substance the color of fuchsia.

Still hoping to get some kind of official response to the crash, Martin said he asked Barry Greenwood to check with the FAA. Word came back from an official of the Southeast Division: no such incident took place. For Martin, it was a flat denial of everything he had heard from scores of firsthand sources. For me, it was one more case in keeping with the coverup of all UFO crashes and retrievals and to hide the evidence at all costs.

CASE 14) John Lear, MUFON State Director for Nevada, undaunted in his pursuit of people, anywhere, to get UFO facts, has extensively lectured and made several radio and TV



Ordered to disrobe, they were issued white smocks and combat boots for security purposes. Mike was then escorted into the installation where he saw a disc-shaped craft about 30 feet in diameter contained in a heavy net suspended from a large crane.

appearances in Las Vegas, to tell his story. Listening was "Mike," (real name withheld on request) who surfaced in September 1988 to tell Lear his firsthand story. Admittedly troubled by his experience, Mike explained that in 1973 he had been an Air Force photographer stationed in Hawaii, following duty in Vietnam. His prime duty there was processing gun camera film. One day he said he was notified that his security clearance had been upgraded and several weeks later he and another photographer in his unit were flown for a temporary duty assignment to Norton AFB in California.

Lear's letter to me, November 21, 1988, recounting briefly his interview with Mike is paraphrased as follows: On landing at Norton, Mike and companion were taken by Air Force vehicle with windows blacked out on a two hour drive. During the drive, Mike was told by the driver, "So you guys are going to photograph the UFO, eh?"

The vehicle came to a halt on a platform which was then lowered into a large underground installation. Escorted to a briefing room they were told that they were to photograph a flying saucer and the autopsy of three dead aliens. Ordered to disrobe, they were issued white smocks and combat boots for security purposes. Mike was then escorted into the installation where he saw a disc-shaped craft about 30 feet in diameter contained in a heavy net suspended from a large crane.

Mike was boosted into the opening of the disc (no stairs or ramp) and proceeded to crawl inside. He was shocked, he said, to find that the inside looked to be about 10 times the size of the outside. He commented, "I could have thrown a foot-

ball as hard as I could and not hit the other side." Disoriented, Mike poked his head back outside to check the size which appeared to be about 30 feet in diameter as before. Once inside he met two persons similarly clothed in white smocks who showed him what they wanted photographed. He shot many photos of control panels and various other fixtures and later was asked to photograph the exterior from different angles and distances.

He was later taken to a room in which there were three dead aliens. He described them as approximately 5 feet tall, with almost normal human heads except the eyes were more rounded. The skin texture was of baking dough, very white. Before the autopsy the aliens had been dressed in blue uniforms, like flight suits.

As the initial incisions were made for the autopsy, Mike saw green fluid and black innards. At this time he became ill and called for the other photographer to continue the assignment. Later that day they were driven back to Norton where they spent the night and were flown out the next day to Hawaii. About two months later Mike's companion disappeared and neither Mike or his family have heard from him since.

The year 1973 brings to mind Case A-2, Status Report II, where three humanoids were examined at Wright-Patterson AFB. While the head sizes described in each case differ and the body heights also differ by a foot or so, the description of the skin is strikingly similar. According to the sergeant in Case A-2, who stood guard in the underground facility, the color was "off white or cream." Both observers, it should be noted, were admittedly traumatized by the sight of alien bodies which could account for

visual differences of anatomical sizes and heights.

FBI?

CASE 15) For those of us in research who wonder or worry about the rigors of coverup, effective for so long, the suspicion remains that the reason for it must be compelling; in fact, so compelling that it may explain why it is maintained at any price — even at the price of sudden death to those having sensitive information who may talk too much.

Evidence of such thinking finds support in the recent disclosure of a retired police officer with 22 years of service in an Eastern state. Through the cooperation of John Ford, head of the Long Island UFO Network, I first received word August 5, 1988, that his source had information concerning a crashed disc and the recovery of seven bodies in a western state, which in some way also involved the FBI.

Filling Ford's request for a notarized statement, insuring his source's anonymity, I then received his videotaped interview with him. After viewing this, which firmly established the former officer's credentials, I reached him by phone for his firsthand story.

It was in 1973, he said, that he was joined by two FBI agents in a special 3day training program for cadets on "behavioral science" at the police academy. One evening after a long day's work, he and the two agents went out to dine and relax when the subject of UFOs came up. To his surprise, he said, one talked about a crashed disc in Colorado and the recovery of bodies. "I could tell by his body English that he was disturbed." He said that a doctor who had been called on in the night for urgent medical needs died suddenly of "cancer" three weeks later. At that point, according to my informant, he observed that the talkative agent was booted under the table by his companion. The subject was dropped and nothing was said about the FBI's role in the affair.

Sudden and accidental death stories I've heard before. Never finding any substantiation, I relegated them to a quirk of orchestrated propaganda, probably a scare tactic to intimidate potential whistle-blowers.

However, reports of suspicious deaths, darkly and deeply linked to UFOs, persist and continue to cause speculation. Word comes from Gordon Creighton, editor of the informative Flying Saucer Review, who notes a possible deathly tie-in with the U.S. "Star Wars" program. Quoting his letter of November 15, 1988, in part, as follows: "... Here in Britain 22 scientists have recently either taken their own lives or died in very strange or mysterious circumstances. And it seems that most, or all, of them were engaged in British work on behalf of. or related to, the U.S. "Star Wars" program. The British government, it seems, was trying to hush it up. But. press statements here say that the U.S. government had put our government on the spot and demanded full enquiry. So quite clearly, it is either the Russians or THEM."

As many researchers have surmised, "Star Wars," obstensibly conceived as a defensive system against Russian missile attack, may have had from its beginning a "defensive" UFO connection. Whatever the case, a "mock test" in September 1988, of an earth-penetrating warhead - much like "Star Wars" in reverse - was conducted at the Tonapah Test Range in Nevada. While announced as a proposed super-weapon designed to destroy Russian underground command centers dug in solid rock down to 1000 meters, some UFO analysts believe that the real target is not Russian but another adversary down deep in cavernous installations in Nevada and New Mexico.

According to the Pentagon the proposed earth-penetrating warhead is "urgently needed." According to the rumormills, an alien race, the "grays," in their fortressed underground laboratories, are genetically experimenting with the human race. Even more ominous, rumors say that their intransigence today may lead to new perils tomorrow.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to speculate on all the sinister machinations attributed to the alien superrace, but news of the British death syndrome and my awareness of other suspicious deaths and disappearances allegedly connected to UFO crash and MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

retrieval events must be reckoned with. They may have at least a peripheral pertinence to fears, causing suicide or extreme security measures to maintain a monstrous coverup.

At this writing (early December, 1988) I have four other sources with UFO crash/retrieval information not included in this report. Most, as far as I know, are in positions to throw strong light on the humanoid factor and other phases of retrieval operations, which could confirm information already cited in my previous papers. But, at this stage, in some instances dependent on intermediaries, I find the material to be either too fragmentary or too sensitive to even hint at as to its nature.

The material herein was originally intended as an article updating my work for publication in the MUFON UFO Journal early in 1989. As I drafted my copy, however, I soon realized that I had enough for a 2-part article, also to be released separately as Status Report V.

@ 1989 Leonard Stringfield

Availability Of Literature By Author

1. Retrievals of the Third Kind, Revised edition (July, 1978) of paper presented at MUFON Symposium, Dayton, Ohio.

Original edition (April, 1978), published in 1978 MUFON UFO Symposium Proceedings, obtainable at MUFON address: 103 Oldtowne Road, Seguin, Texas 78155. Price \$7.50.

- 2. UFO Crash/Retrieval Syndrome, Status Report II, 1980. Obtainable only from publisher, MUFON. Price \$6.50.
- UFO Crash/Retrievals: Amassing the Evidence, Status Report III, 1982. Available from author's address. Price \$11.00.
- 4. The Fatal Encounter at Ft. Dix-McGuire: A Case Study, Status Report IV, 1985. Xeroxed from paper presented at MUFON SYmposium, St. Louis, Missouri. Available from author's address. Price \$7.00.
 - 5. UFO Crash/Retrievals: Is the

Coverup Lid Lifting? Status Report V, 1989. Available from author's address. Price \$7,00.

- 6. Inside Saucer Post 3-0 Blue, privately published in 1957. Available from author's address. Price \$6.00.
- 7. Situation Red: The UFO Seige, Hardcover published by Doubleday & Co., 1977, Paperback edition published by Fawcett, 1978. Also foreign publishers. Not available.
- 8. Set of 36 Monthly Issues, *Orbit* newsletter, published 1954-57. Some issues duplicated by Xerox. Price \$36.00.

Mailings to Canada, add \$.50 for each item ordered. All Foreign, payable U.S. funds, by International Money Order or Draft on U.S. Bank.

Leonard H. Stringfield 4412 Grove Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45227 Tel: (513) 271-4248

UFO NEWSCLIPPING SERVICE

The UFO NEWSCLIPPING SER-VICE will keep you informed of all the latest United States and World-Wide UFO activity, as it happens! Our service was started in 1969, at which time we contracted with a reputable international newspaper-clipping bureau to obtain for us, those hard to find UFO reports (i.e., little known photographic cases, close encounter and landing reports, occupant cases) and all other UFO reports, many of which are carried only in small town or foreign newspapers.

Our UFO Newsclipping Service issues are 20-page monthly reports, reproduced by photo-offset, containing the latest United States and Canadian UFO newsclippings, with our foreign section carrying the latest British, Australian, New Zealand and other foreign press reports. Also included is a 3-5 page section of "Fortean" clippings (i.e., Bigfoot and other "monster" reports). Let us keep you informed of the latest happenings in the UFO and Fortean fields.

For subscription information and sample pages from our service, write today to

UFO NEWSCLIPPING SERVICE Route 1 - Box 220 Plumerville, Arkansas 72127

Hybrid Thoughts

By David Jacobs, Ph.D.

Jacobs, a professor of history at Temple University, is the author of The UFO Controversy in America (1975). He is presently at work on a book about abduction cases.

We are indeed fortunate to have someone of Michael Sword's caliber involved with UFO thought. His clarity of mind, breadth of information and ability to explain the most technical and difficult concepts in an understandable manner is a special talent. Few are able to do it at all and fewer still are able to do it with Dr. Swords' extradorinary skill. He is also to be commended for opening this dialogue about abduction procedures.

In this light I was happy to read his thoughtful article about the unlikelihood of hybridization of extraterrestrial beings (MUFON Journal, November, 1988). In it Dr. Swords advances strong arguments about the difficulty of cross-breeding between species. While I would not presume to debate the biological information included in the article, I feel that there are a few points that need to be addressed.

Abnormal Babies

One of the constant features of the abduction phenomenon is that time and again male and female abductees say that during the event they are shown babies and that the babies appear to be different than "normal" babies. These differences are characteristically the same for virtually all abductees whether recalled under hupnosis or not. Abductees describe the babies as being phlegmatic, unresponsive, and "sickly." The babies have a large cranium, very large (for a human baby) eyes with small whites, a small (for a human baby) nose, a small (for a human baby) mouth, and small (for a human baby) ears. The skin color is not a normal human skin color, but either light

grayish or pale white. The hair is sparse. Their bodies are thin and frail. All the abductees realize that the babies are neither human babies nor alien babies. In fact it is the abductees who say that the babies look like a cross between the two and they continually refer to the babies as "hybrids" or "cross-breeds."

Although Aliens do not specifically state that the babies are hybrids, the aliens will frequently tell the abductee that "this is your baby." Many abductees immediately deny the possibility, but some suspect that this is true and the babies may exhibit features consistent with this assertion (e.g. the baby may, like the abductee, have red hair). In addition, many female and male abductees feel an instant affinity for the baby and they in some way "know" that the baby is indeed theirs or that at the very least theyhave some sort of "connection" with it. Time and again women state that the aliens forced them to "feed" the baby and that when they woke up in the morning, they were inexplicably lactating even though they were not pregnant. Finally, these accounts are entirely consistent with the tenacious interests that aliens have displayed in human reproductive processes and this has been a constant feature of virtually all abductee reports.

In his article Dr. Swords dismisses as unfeasible biotechnological processes that promote "hybrid" generation. He outlines the problems with cross-breeding between species, and he points out that cross-breeding, although seemingly easy to posit, has so many difficulties that the likelihood of it taking place is practically nil. Yet Dr. Swords ignores the obvious problem with this argument: Although it might be impossible to cross-breed species here on earth, it might be an altogether different situation when you are dealing with a non-earth species. Not only do we have absolutely

no idea what the organic and biological composition of the aliens is, we also have no sure knowledge that they are biological. Therefore we do not know whether cross-breeding is less or more feasible. It is certainly within the realm of possibility that it is far more feasible than we can imagine. Dr. Swords also fails to include an obvious non-hybridization procedure in his schema: human sperm and egg are united, and then genetic engineering takes place that leads to the babies' unusual physiological and mental characteristics. This is not cross-breeding but it would explain the data without having to overthrow it.

Dr. Swords says that the aliens appear to be only slightly more advanced than we are and he rejects the argument that they are advanced enough to have solved the seemingly insoluble problems of cross breeding. But since we do not really know exactly what the aliens' purposes are or how they are accomplishing their tasks, it can be just as easily argued (and probably with a greater assurance of rectitude) that they are in fact doing exactly what abductees report and therefore they are far more advanced biotechnologically than we can know at this time. The same argument applies to the seeming illogicalities of utilizing sperm and egg for cross-breeding rather than other more complete cells. The point is we simply do not know what is happening biologically and trying to uncover the intricacies of what is not transpiring is a difficult if not impossible task at this time.

Moreover, to suggest that aliens can or cannot do something is to pass judgement on their scientific capabilities and to display a rather thorough knowledge about the limits of alien biotechnology and psychology that is not found in the evidence. But Dr. Swords demonstrates familiarity with alien limitations by stating that, "An artificial birthing tank is surely child's play for such a species," and, "They do not need to harvest sperm or eggs to pursue any genetic experiments including hybridization." Although he may be right, this type of speculation and conclusions is at

Although the hybridization of disparate genes may seem highly unlikely at the moment, given our present state of technology, can we preclude it from being a possibility 100 or even 1000 years from now?

present unverifiable; it does not add to our knowledge, and it may throw us off the track.

Speculative Scenarios

Dr. Swords suggests several scenarios for suspecting that these events may not have taken place as described. One of these scenarios is that aliens might be putting images in people's minds therefore the children may not exist at all. If this is true, he wonders what else might be put in people's minds and therefore even the entire abduction scenario could be a mental construct. In fact images are placed in people's minds for specific procedural reasons and in my forthcoming book I will demonstrate how this works within the abduction scenario. In my research, however, I have found no evidence whatsoever that child presentations are mental constructs. Implanted images are easily discernible and child presentations do not fit this procedure. Child presentations have the same quality of recall as all the other nonimagined and seemingly authentic episodes. In order to make the case for image implantation, one must demonstrate that child presentations differ qualitatively from the reality of all other event-level data within the abduction scenario and then show how that data is generated. Then one must show the connection between an imagined event and its physical sequelae such as lactation. Once again, one can always speculate but there must be some evidence upon which to base the guess.

As Dr. Swords alluded to, the key question is not whether aliens can or cannot cross breed between species, but whether the abductees are accurately reporting what they are seeing and experiencing. Based on a careful study of over two hundred abduction MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

reports in the past several years, I can state with a reasonably high degree of assurance that they are. If this is true then we are left with a puzzle and no amount of nay-saying is going to make it go away. Denying their validity is certainly one way to confront them. But a more challenging and I think more accurate way of dealing with the material is to do what all good investigators do: go where the evidence leads you and refrain from imposing an anthropomorphic framework around an event and then denying its reality because the data does not fit that framework.

We have a phenomenon and we have the data. We may not have the best data that one can come up with and this has been a problem with the UFO phenomenon in general for the past forty-one years. Abduction data is not any more vulnerable than the standard UFO data that seem to be scientifically impossible like right-angle turns, noiseless hovering, instant acceleration, and so forth, and like UFOs, we must come to terms with it. The problem of hybridization is just one of an enormous variety of seemingly incomprehensible problems that the abduction phenomenon presents us with.

For example, there is no known physiological processes which might lead to an understanding of how telepathy works. Yet virtually all abductees state that the communication they have with the aliens is accomplished by this method. Are we to state that this cannot possibly happen and therefore all abductees are mistaken and that they are actually hearing communication through their ears? We can, of course, make this assertion but it takes us away from the data and when that happens, any thing can be explained away and nothing can be learned. Similarly, many abductees claim to have floated

through closed windows. At present this is quite impossible and yet to say it cannot happen and therefore it is not happening is to deny one of the central features of the abduction experience and then launch us into a never-never land of groundless speculation.

Hynek used to talk about the dominant frame of mind in the Air Force as being, "It can't be, therefore it isn't." We must be careful not to fall into this trap. By saying that they can not be in some way either combining disparate genes and therefore they are not is to suggest that our present knowledge of genetics and biotechnology prevents such an event from happening forever. Although right now it might seem highly unlikely, I certainly would not preclude it from happening 100 or 1000 years from now.

Let us not get carried away searching for answers to explain away these accounts. In the end Dr. Swords may be absolutely correct and cross-breeding is not taking place, but we are involved with a highly complex and important area of research and it calls for a steady hand in correctly perceiving and analyzing the information that abductees relate. Even though faulty memories and confabulation can be involved in these accounts we must learn to correctly evaluate the data as best we can. Above all we must not make the central mistake that has fueled emotionally committed debunkers for years: assuming that the data is not correct and then basing explanations upon either changed or ignored data.

From what I know about the abduction phenomenon, I would be quite happy to find that all the data that we have amassed has been internally generated by the abductees. But let us not be overzealous trying to make this happen. Saying, "There ain't no such animal" can defeat the primary purpose of investigation and analysis: the discovery of the truth. We can only find the truth by confronting the data realistically without attaching a current cultural and technological overlay to it.

Major Donald E. Keyhoe: An Appreciation

By Richard Hall

Hall, author of *Uninvited Guests* (1988), is a former MUFON Journal editor.

As an Air Force enlisted man in the early 1950's I read Maj. Keyhoe's Flying Saucers Are Real, and saw on the service club bulletin board at Keesler AFB, Mississippi, newswire service stories on UFO sightings. Later I read Flying Saucers From Outer Space (which I consider his most important book), and in July of 1952 (vividly remembered) saw the banner headlines about UFOs tracked on radar and pursued by jet interceptors over Washington, D.C. By the time I enrolled at Tulane University in 1954, I was convinced that UFOs were no joke and deserved serious scientific attention.

Also in 1951 or 1952 — as best I can reconstruct it — while employed in a Connecticut general store I heard a radio newscast reporting that a UFO had crashed somewhere in the West and a surviving occupant was being rushed to a laboratory in California to try to keep him alive. This was totally fascinating, since it was a very straight newscast, and I was (naively) surprised when there was no follow-up report in succeeding days.

At Tulane I originally majored in mathematics (later switching to philosophy), and while working in the Math Department on a scholarship one of my duties was to open and distribute the mail. One fateful day in 1957 a letter came addressed to the one-person Astronomy Department, Fraser Thompson (who also taught mathematics), from the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP) in Washington, D.C. Maj. Keyhoe was announcing the formation of NICAP to encourage serious investigation of UFOs, and seeking support from scientists. I was DONALD E. KEYHOE

ONALD E. KEYHOE 1897 - 1988

In memory of Major Donald E Keyhoe, U.S.M.C. Retired, Board of Directors, Mutual UFO Network and former Director, National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP)

Died November 29, 1988

very excited, wrote to Maj. Keyhoe, and soon became an informal correspondent and investigator for NICAP in New Orleans.

By the time I graduated with a B.A. in Philosophy in 1958, I had carried on a fairly extensive correspondence with Maj. Keyhoe and was aware that NICAP was operating on a shoestring budget. Nevertheless, I moved to Washington, D.C. to seek Government work so I could be near NICAP and do what I could to help. While looking for a job I did volunteer work at the Connecticut Avenue office of NICAP. Then came a decision that would change my life.

When I was offered a job by Air Force Times at the going salary for new graduates, Maj. Keyhoe offered me a job at part-time salary rates with

the hope of becoming full-time as NICAP grew. The first time I met him (he was then a very young 60) he took me to lunch. He was dapper and lively, with a quick intelligence and an equally quick wit. Though he was small in physical stature he stood erect like the Annapolis graduate he was (1919) and was very forthright. His energy and enthusiasm were impressive. I thought over my prospects. I was 28, a bachelor who could get by on a small salary, the UFO subject was utterly fascinating — it was no contest.

For about the first six years it was tough sledding; UFO sightings faded to an all-time low and NICAP grew only slowly. Then the UFO waves of 1964-1967 turned things around and NICAP reached its peak of activity and influence. Maj. Keyhoe gave me important responsibilities at NICAP, and treated me kindly, with evident care and concern, like a son. He was my mentor, training me in investigative journalism and the craft of writing and editing.

When Flying Saucers: Top Secret was published (1960) reporting the early years of NICAP, he gave me a copy inscribed as follows:

"To Dick Hall, my good friend, who as Secretary of NICAP had the hard job of running the organization (doubling in brass raised to the nth degree) while I labored over this book. With the fervent wish that we can soon end the tough battle. With heartfelt thanks and best wishes. Don Keyhoe, May 24, 1960."

It is one of my prized possessions.

In my opinion, Major Keyhoe was the single most important person over the decades of the 1950s to 1970s in publicizing UFOs and keeping the issue alive. He was tireless in making public appearances (radio, TV, and lectures) to argue for serious attention to UFOs, and became a

public figure as the leading spokesperson on the subject. Without his leadership and energetic personality, it is doubtful that the accomplishments of today would have been possible. The groundwork he laid both personally and through NICAP paved the way. He was a pioneer and pathfinder on UFOs.

Contrary to the quite unjust image of him purveyed by detractors, he was far from a one-dimensional person with an axe to grind against the Air Force, writing books solely for profit. He was also an aviation pioneer, as an aircraft and balloon pilot, a Government editor and aviation information official, and an early investigative journalist (probably before the term was coined) who contributed articles to National Geographic Society, Saturday Evening Post, and other major magazines of the time.

I knew him as a warm human being with a puckish sense of humor (as is evident from his 1928 book Flying

With Lindbergh), and as a dedicated and unselfish man who fought as hard as he could to bring out the truth about UFOs, at considerable personal sacrifice. I am proud to say that "I Flew With Keyhoe" — literally en route to brief the University of Colorado UFO Project scientists and staff in 1967, and figuratively during the NICAP years. His role in UFO history has been hugely important.

Bryant/Stone v U.S. Government

A MUFON Press Release

By Robert H. Bletchman

Partial Victory

Bryant, a writer with the Army's Office of the Chief of Public Affairs, succeeded in settling Count No. 1 with a "consent order" issued by the district court judge in April 1987. The order upholds his right to continue submitting his ads seeking first-hand testimony and other evidence about what he calls the "Cosmic Watergate." "This represents," he said from his home in Alexandria, "a turning point - both for preserving free speech on public issues and for furthering government accountability for official UFO-related actions and documentation. As director of the Washington office for the public-interest group Citizens Against UFO Secrecy, I've been pursuing the ad campaign to encourage current and former government officials to blow the whistle on the government's 40-year history of deceiving the public as to the reality of crash-landed UFOs and their retrieved occupants."

But arriving at the turning point cost Bryant dearly. For his having dared point a finger at the naked emperor's UFO clothes, he found himself the target of trumped-up counseling memos and other harassment aimed at punishing him for his heresy and at dissuading him from

continuing the ad campaign.

Legal Marathon

Undaunted in his commitment both to greater freedom of UFO information and to protection of civil liberty, Bryant chose to take on his detractors in Count No. 2 of his lawsuit. Unfortunately, the government was able to convince the district court judge that the U.S. Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 precludes a civil servant like Bryant from gaining access to the federal court system to contest such cases of on-the-job harassment — even when the free-speech exercise takes place off the job in his capacity as a private citizen.

Bryant appealed to the U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, based in Richmond, VA. A three-judge panel meeting in Baltimore cited its ruling in the 1985 free-speech case of *Pinar v. Dole* as governing the dismissal of Bryant's claim.

"Had I chosen to stop there, their decision would have meant that the several hundred thousand federal employees no longer would have the same degree of First Amendment protection enjoyed by non-federal people," Bryant explained. "So there was much more at stake here than just the stifling of a UFO researcher."

Suddenly, and totally unrelated to his job performance, a U.S. Army employee of some 29 years' service receives the ultimate designation of persona non grata from his superiors: an "unsatisfactory" performance rating.

Earlier, in September 1984, "UFO-coverup whistleblower" advertisements had begun appearing nationwide in military post and base newspapers seeking details on such issues as the U.S. government's analysis of artifacts from crash-landed "flying saucers."

What could these two circumstances possibly have in common?

The recent decision of the U.S. Supreme Court in the First Amendment case of Larry W. Bryant v. Caspar W. Weinberger, et al.

Filed as Civil Action No. 86-1323A in U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (Alexandria Division), the case emerged as a twocount suit by Bryant to (1) protect his right to submit his ads to printers of various military "commercial enterprise" newspapers without his having to endure arbitrary rejection or other interference from the newspapers' overseers, the post/base public affairs officers; and to (2) reverse the on-thejob reprisal (in the form of the unjustified "unsatisfactory" rating) taken against him by his superiors at the Pentagon.

Indeed, Bryant felt he had no choice but to file a "Petition for a Writ of Certiorari" with the U.S. Supreme Court. On the opening day of its current term, Oct. 3, 1988, the court issued the following order to the U.S. Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals: "The petition ... is granted. The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit for further consideration in light of Webster v. Doe, 486 U.S. — (1988)." In turn, that order was relayed to the District Court in Alexandria.

As he awaits further developments in his case, Bryant acknowledges the show of support from fellow UFO researchers, colleagues, and friends.

"They knew, perhaps more than I, that every step of the way I was making UFOlogical and legal history," Bryant said. "In particular, I owe the most gratitude to a former co-worker, Lisa G. Fetterolf, who bravely had come forward with evidence crucial to the government's admission that Army officials had violated my First Amendment right of free speech. Her sworn declaration; reveals that the former chief of Army public affairs was under orders 'from on high' to 'get rid of' me because of my being 'an embarrassment to the government."

Now that his case has added to legal precedent, Bryant intends not to let up.

"I may write a book about all this, some day. Meantime, I've resumed the ad campaign, and I hope to have more time to share results with readers of the MUFON UFO Journal and other publications," he added.

Stone Affair

One of Bryant's latest series of ads dealt with the plight of Army Sgt. Ist Class Clifford E. Stone in Roswell, N.M.

The ad's title — "Exposed: Army Invokes 'Stone'-Age Tactics to Silence UFO Activist" — introduced military personnel to the circumstances surrounding Stone's fall from grace at the hands of his superiors at the New Mexico Military Institute.

Stone, a veteran of the Vietnam

conflict and a MUFON researcher specializing in analysis of the government's UFO-related documentation, has been leading efforts at getting the full story on the "Snowbird" document. This one-page, "leaked" document had surfaced a few years ago, purporting to reveal the existence of "Project Snowbird," "Project Sigma," and other official projects dealing with U.S. retrieval of "crashed saucers" and U.S. exploitation of pertinent alien technology.

When some of Stone's superiors learned of his off-the-job pursuit of UFOlogical truth, they chose to make an issue of it at his office. Among other harassment tactics, they relieved Stone of his duty position and branded him an incompetent — this coming from the same officers who earlier had rated him an outstanding non-commissioned officer.

After going public with his story, seeking the aid of the Army's inspector general, and, basically, sticking to his constitutional guns. Stone has won some vindication. A "commander's inquiry" recently completed by Stone's new chain-of-command concluded that "The XO (executive officer) (indorser) overreacted to Stone's UFO interest and congressional contact, and in so doing, exhibited intolerance and bias against him. Reconstruction of events ... strongly suggests that action against Stone was not originally intended as a 'relief' but merely as a means to conveniently and quickly eliminate him as an 'embarrassment.'"

Toward Future

Now spurred on by such highhanded efforts to curb their UFO activism, both Stone and Bryant are continuing to seek greater freedom of UFO information.

For his part, Stone is pressing for congressional review of, and intervention in, the U.S. National Security Agency's stonewalling on responding further to Stone's pointed inquiries about the "Snowbird" document. That agency has invoked the defense that to respond further on such an issue dealing with "national security policy" would be improper.

Moving ahead on another front, Bryant has been pursuing a freedom-of-information lawsuit against the U.S. Department of Justice to free up the identity of the principals cited in the now-famous F.B.I. memorandum of March 22, 1950, recounting how three "flying saucers" had crashed in New Mexico.

"Because of this suit (which now awaits the judge's decision on the government's motion for summary judgment), the government has admitted that it was a 'local law-enforcement officer' who had relayed the story to a special agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation," Bryant said. "This revelation adds to the credibility of the memo's content."

Ozark UFO Conference

The MUFON UFO Journal is privileged to announce the forthcoming Ozark UFO Conference to be held on the weekend of April 14, 15, and 16, 1989 at the beautiful Inn of the Ozarks in Eureka Springs, Arkansas, sponsored by Arkansas MUFON. The conference is chaired by Ed Mazur, State Director for Arkansas and Lucius "Lou" Farish, Editor and Publisher of the UFO News Clipping Service.

Speakers who have accepted the committee's invitation to speak are Dr. James W. Deardorff, British researchers Timothy Good and Norman Oliver, Cynthia Hind of Zimbabwe, Danny Gordon (discussing the Wytheville, VA UFO flap), Robert Reid (detailing his involvement in the Gulf Breeze, Florida case) and Daniel W. Fry (author of The White Sands *Incident* and other books). Rosemary Decker, a veteran California researcher will discuss her sightings and experiences dating back into the early 1950's. Among others who have expressed an interest in participating are Vicki Cooper and/or Sherie Stark (publishers of UFO Magazine), Thomas R. Adams, Bill Hamilton (Lancaster, California) and Wendelle Stevens. It is hoped that David Branch will be able to speak about

his research into the files of the late Edward Ruppelt, author of *The Report* on *Unidentified Flying Objects* and former head of Project Blue Book.

The site of the Conference will be the Inn of the Ozarks, in picturesque Eureka Springs, the "Switzerland of Arkansas." Ninety rooms have been set aside for the Conference attendees. The Conference will begin at noon on Friday, April 14th, and will conclude at noon on Sunday, April 16th. Special room rates are \$36.00 single occupancy and \$39.00 double occupancy. Rooms may be reserved at any time by writing to the Inn (P.O. Box 431, Eureka Springs, AR 72632) or by calling them at (501) 253-9768. Please indicate that the reservations are for the Ozark UFO Conference, in order to receive the special room rates.

The registration fee for the entire Conference is \$35.00. Payments for Conference registrations should be

made payable to Ozark UFO Conference Fund and mailed to Route 1, Box 220, Plumerville, AR 72127. Additional information may be obtained by calling (501) 354-2558.

For those traveling by automobile, Eureka Springs is located on U.S. Highway 62, approximately 48 miles west of Harrison, Arkansas, 35 miles northeast of Favetteville, Arkansas and about 10 miles south of the Missouri border. For those traveling by air, commuter air service is provided to Fort Smith and to Fayetteville. Efforts are presently underway to provide a shuttle bus service from the Fayetteville Airport to the Inn of the Ozarks. (When making your reservations with the Inn of the Ozarks. please inquire about their shuttle bus service.)

We hope to see our friends in Eureka Springs for a delightful and rewarding conference.

Looking Back

By Bob Gribble

FORTY YEARS AGO - Februaru 1949: Many witnesses observed a large UFO over Little Rock, Arkansas about 3 a.m. on the 25th. The shape appeared as flat and perfectly circular with a diameter of 30 to 60 feet. The entire disc was plainly outlined by luminescence at an altitude estimated at 10,000 to 12,000 feet. The disc was highly maneuverable. During 30 minutes of observation the UFO appeared to stop and reverse its direction several times. At one time it came to a sudden stop, ascended several thousand feet, stopped, then returned to its previous level. The speed was estimated to be several times faster than conventional aircraft, without sound. At one time a beam of light from the disc was directed at the ground.

THIRTY FIVE YEARS AGO — February 1954: At 11 p.m. on the MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

fourth, two radar operators at the Ground Control Approach (GCA) station at Carswell Air Force Base (near Fort Worth, Texas), were startled by the sudden appearance of a large blip on their radar scope. Because of the unusual size of the blip — which measured one inch in diameter - and because the object was approaching directly over the runway, the GCA controller notified the officer of the day and the base control tower. The UFO passed directly over the tower and was observed by four controllers, one using a pair of binoculars. The tower personnel said they watched the object for an estimated five minutes after it passed over the tower and at no time did it change course.

As the UFO passed over the tower the controllers observed a long fuselage-like craft with what appeared to be protrusions like wings and stabilizer, and appeared to be just as large or larger than a B-36 bomber. The craft emitted no sound and it had a very bright white light in the nose and tail and two yellowish lights on the underside. No cabin or other lights were observed. At 11:20 p.m. the GCA radar picked up two more unknowns 27 miles southwest of Carswell, flying in formation, Suddenly the two targets merged into one and registered as a one-and-ahalf-inch blip on the radar screen. Then the one blip seperated into two targets and changed course. Suddenly, one of the UFOs instantaneously reversed course, traveled for about two miles, then instantaneously reversed course again and overtook the second object within a few seconds.

The following article, written by Jim G. Lucas, Staff Writer for Scripps-Howard newspapers, was published from coast-to-coast on the 13th: "Commercial airline pilots report between five and 10 UFO sightings each night, it was learned today. Representatives of major airlines will meet Wednesday (the 17th) in Los Angeles, California, with Military Air Transport Service Intelligence officers to discuss speeding up UFO reporting procedures. The idea will be to 'get the reports in the quickest possible way' so that the Air Force can send fast jet fighters to investigate. Heretofore, commercial pilots have landed and then reported to MATS through their companies. By that time, the trail usually is cold.

"Now, pilots are instructed to flash reports direct from the air to MATS intelligence in Washington, D.C., or to the nearest Air Force Base. Airline pilots are asked not to discuss their sightings publicly or give them to newspapers... Two typical UFO reports this week: 1. - A Colonial Airlines pilot, en route to Washington, D.C. from Richmond, Virginia, said he saw a UFO descend from the stratosphere, approach his aircraft, hesitate for a moment and then reverse its course. He said it appeared to reenter the stratosphere. 2. - Two Northwest Airlines pilots en route from Seattle, Washington to Anchorage, Alaska reported a strange object with several portholes which exuded a 'bluish light' flew alongside their aircraft most of the journey, disappearing as they were about to land in Anchorage. The pilots tried several times to close the gap between their aircraft and the strange object, but could not. They said the object was 'definitely under someone's control.' They were questioned for two days in Alaska before going on to Tokyo."

THIRTY YEARS AGO - February 1959: Thirty-five passengers aboard a Detroit, Michigan-bound American Airlines DC-6 watched with awe as three illuminated flying discs escorted the airliner through the dark sky for 45 minutes on the 11th. Both passengers and crew members on the plane which left Newark, New Jersey nonstop for Detroit at 7:10 p.m. viewed the phenomena while questioning each other, their own powers of observation and their sanity. Probably the most startled was Capt. Peter Killian who had flown passenger planes for 15 years and had "never saw anything like it before." Killian even radioed two other American Airlines planes flying in the vicinity to make sure "I wasn't seeing lightning bugs in the cockpit." Both other captains called Killian back to assure him he wasn't - they saw the UFOs, too.

Killian said: "We were flying around 8500 feet between Philipsburg and Bradford, Pennsylvania at 8:45 p.m. when I looked off to the south and saw three vellowish lights in a single horizontal line overhead. At first I thought it was the Belt of Orion (a group of stars in a constellation) but then I took a second look and saw both the Belt and the foreign objects. The objects were by no means close to the plane, but one would move in at intervals, fall back again, and change its place in the formation while keeping abreast of the ship, which was traveling at 350 miles per hour. All in all, the objects traveled in our direction for about 45 minutes. During that time I kept watch on the radar screen but saw nothing on it."

Deciding it might be an after-dinner treat for his passengers, Killian talked to them over the intercom. The pas-

sengers peered out, saw the objects and began guessing what they might be. One passenger, N.D. Puscas, 41, said: "The way he broke the news to us was very clever. No one panicked or showed any signs of worry. Evervone immediately began to show a keen interest in what was going on. He did a fine job. There wasn't a cloud in the sky when I looked out and saw the objects in precision formation. They were round-like and every now and then one would glow brighter than the other as if it had moved nearer to the plane." Based on information Killian had received from other pilots in the area, a triangulation was done, and an estimate of 15 miles distance between his aircraft and the nearest UFO was made. The estimated size of the objects was about 300 feet in diameter.

FIFTEEN YEARS AGO - February 1974: Two brothers, both businessmen in Orange County, California, had a terrifying encounter in the Nevada desert on the 14th, with six UFOs which apparently took control of their truck and, flanking it on three sides, floated it slightly off the ground, disrupted its electrical and mechanical systems, and guided it to a halt. One of the craft was seen landed on the road ahead on Highway 93, the others in the air. The two were driving a 12-foot U-Haul truck loaded with furniture and were located north of McGill, Nevada when the driver looked out of the left window and observed a round, orange object that appeared to be 10 feet off the desert floor. He then looked out the right window and saw three more smaller objects.

The truck was moving at about 55 miles per hour, and suddenly it felt like they had been hit by a blast of wind. The lights flickered on and off and the engine started to miss. Then the driver lost control of the truck and couldn't steer it. Before they could stop, the transmission selector jumped out of drive into neutral, and the truck coasted to a stop in the middle of the road. As they sat in the road with the motor running, they

observed a huge silver metal ball with a dome on top and sharp wings, standing still over a hill to the left. It was pulsating. Then they spotted a huge light on the road in front of them about a mile away. The driver then got out of the truck and looked under the truck to discover the drive shaft turning.

Fearing for his life the driver got back into the truck, and they both kept watching the light as it appeared to be moving closer. They felt that they were in a vacuum of some kind and isolated from the rest of the world. This lasted for about 20 minutes; then seemed to fade and was over. A car stopped and took the brothers into McGill where they got another U-Haul truck. After unloading the disabled truck they had to shove it off the highway, as the back wheels would not move.

Lewis Sandberg, owner of the U-Haul outlet in Elv. said that the entire rear end of the witnesses' U-Haul truck had to be replaced — the tires, the rear axle, the outside housing, and the gears, "which were all messed up. The rear end was beyond repair. The back axle was twisted right off. When our tow truck lifted up the back of the truck, the rear wheels just fell right off! Those men stopped that truck just in time." The driver of the damaged truck said that when the truck had been hit by the blast of air, he felt that the truck had been lifted off the ground. "It was floating slightly off the highway in the air," he said, "None of the wheels were touching the highway." When the transmission selector skipped into "neutral," the truck swayed in the air, he said, and the vehicle came smoothly to a stop without skidding.

"There I was alone in the middle of the night of the 17th on a very lonely road with this thing following me," Eric Marks said. "It was like a flat plate with a bell-shaped dome on it. I was nervous ... terrified to be more exact. The bell shape of the UFO glowed like a million car lights and it appeared to be suspended on many pencil rays of bright white light beamed towards the ground. I was going down a steep hill near Warkworth (New Zealand) but it felt as

though something was holding the car back," Marks explained. "I put my foot flat to the boards but the car wouldn't go over 45 miles an hour. The UFO must have been exerting some type of force field ... but at the bottom of the hill I was able to accelerate away at top speed."

Clay Knight was the only witness to a series of three landings and takeoffs by what he described as a silvery object with flashing red or orange lights. The landings began about 4:30 a.m. on the 18th while the rest of his family was asleep, and the oject made a "high-pitched whistling noise." Knight, a dairyman, was getting his herd out for the first milking of the day at that time. The UFO frightened his cattle, causing them to scatter. It also caused their milk production to fall off about 100 pounds. In the series of three takeoffs, Knight said the UFO first rose straight up from his pasture, landed after traveling a short distance, took off and landed once again, and then "went straight up in the air and disappeared."

On the 19th an astounded policeman tailed a meandering, flame-spewing UFO along the outskirts of Rochester, Minnesota as dozens of motorists pulled off the road in bewilderment. "I followed the object and kept it in view for about five miles," said Officer Jim Preiss. "I continued to chase the UFO and it started to

zoom away. It climbed very rapidly and within six seconds it was out of sight." The bizarre pursuit began shortly before midnight as the 28-year-old patrolman was cruising along Highway 52. "About 1000 feet in front of me I saw this mysterious object with jets of fire coming from underneath it. I got out of my car for a better look, and saw the object moving above the road without making a sound. It was about 100 feet off the ground when it started to slowly move away and I decided to chase it."

After following the UFO for a mile, Preiss pulled off the road to where Jim Kuhlman had parked his truck to gaze at the object. "Kuhlman looked a bit shaken and said that the craft had passed right over him," said Preiss. "I almost pinched myself to make sure it was happening," said Kuhlman, 27-year-old owner of a towtruck service. "As it passed over my head, I could clearly see that it had a square base with a dome on top. The craft was about the size of three tow trucks and was traveling about 20 miles an hour. The strangest thing of all was that it had five rows of what appeared to be afterburners like those on jet planes. Six jets of very bright orange flames were spewing out of each row. When it passed about 100 feet over me, it made no noise at all."

clear, logical, scientific consistency had all but disappeared. Originally I had regarded Vallee as something of a younger Allen Hynek, but now he seemed more like a Gallic John Keel.

Messengers of Deception was a truly bizarre book. Its basic problem can be stated this way: Let us say a man awakes in the night hearing whispered voices. He wonders if it's a burglar, a ghost, or if he's just "hearing things." Should he phone the police, should he summon an exorcist or possibly make an appointment with a psychiatrist? Vallee intervenes and announces that it makes virtually no difference which solution to the problem he entertains. The whispered voices are "a control system." The nature of this "control system" and its ultimate purposes are all but irrelevant; the most important fact is that it is a control system. Thus the man is offered no help with regard to his problem as he perceives it — are thieves stealing from him or endangering his life? Is he having some kind of mental breakdown? Are there "extra-terrestrial ghosts" in his life, with their own possibly disturbing agenda? He needs to know! But Vallee, the UFO expert, offers only dark and contrived hints about deception and control and goes about his business. Messengers of Deception was a sad and dispiriting performance.

And now comes Vallee's interview in the May MUFON Journal. Researchers like Dr. David Jacobs and myself among others are assailed (anonymously) for not possessing certain academic credentials, and for "messing with the minds of impressionable witnesses" in UFO cases. Philip Klass is even praised indirectly for, having helped to "clean up" our abduction research.

Now, I have always been open to helpful criticism from any source, and am sorry Vallee never bothered to contact me personally, if he had reservations about my work. I am saddened that a one-time colleague chose to adopt the Klassic method of skipping the bother of interviews and discussion simply to attack a perceived adversary in print. I do not have to defend myself against Vallee's uninformed charges. I will not list the

Letters to the Editor ...

Dear Editor,

The subject of UFOs is one that can demonstrably addle the brains of otherwise intelligent, curious people. For me, the case of Jacques Vallee offers a particularly touching example. My interest in UFOs began in 1964 with a daylight sighting which lasted roughly three minutes. One of the first books I purchased after that event, in an attempt to understand the meaning of what I had observed, was Vallee's Anatomy of a Phenomenon, a book that remains extremely important in the literature. I eagerly awaited his next work (Challenge to Science) but was sadly disappointed. MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

It seemed to me to be a fairly irrelevant critique of the work of Aime Michel, and I wondered why the book had been written in the first place; it has since been dropped from most lists of serious works on the subject of UFOs. Passport to Magonia was next, but a strange tone now seemed to be present - an odd confluence of UFO case studies, free-wheeling speculation and folklore of obviously uncertain authenticity. The resulting stew was nearly indigestible. Eventually Messengers of Deception arrived, a work that for me finally moved into the area of incoherence. A paranoid tone was its sine qua non, while psychiatrists and psychologists I use as consultants in my research, as it is unlikely that Vallee, a hermetic computer expert and sci-fi novelist, knows much about the mental health field in general, and its New York practitioners in particular. But it is worth mentioning that in addition, three psychologists, two psychiatrists and roughly ten psychotherapists have come to me, as abductees, for hypnosis, and are extremely supportive of my work.

Vallee's interview reads, alas, like the bitter retrospective view of a man who started well but is ending badly. He is obviously a highly intelligent and gifted man. His first book nearly a quarter of a century back made a major and lasting contribution. His apparent loss to serious UFO research is truly unfortunate.

— **Budd Hopkins** New York

Dear Editor,

I was disheartened to read Jacques Vallee's remarks about abductions and UFO history in the May issue of the *Journal*, and I believe that they deserve a reply.

Vallee's comments about the use of hypnosis in abduction research are not well informed. Instead of attempting to find out the nature of the actual methodologies employed by "artists and college professors," he predicates his remarks, in part, on the idea that Budd Hopkins and I are "untrained in this matter" and that we "mess with the minds of impressionable witnesses." Although I cannot speak for other investigators (and Vallee might be quite right about others), I can say that both Budd Hopkins and I are extraordinarily careful about how we conduct our hypnotic sessions and our primary concern is the well-being of the abductee above all else. To this end we have sought the advice of psychologists and psychiatrists on how to help abductees suffering from the effects of abductions. We have painstakingly worked out a methodology of recovering information that causes the least amount of disturbance for the subject and we have striven to help abductees come to terms with the enormity of the events that have befallen them.

In addition, all of my subjects are meticulously briefed both verbally and in written form on the negatives and pitfalls of memory recovery through hypnosis. I am very selective about who I take on to work with. I work closely with a psychologist who has seen several of my subjects independently. I have had four individuals referred to me by psychotherapists and I have instructed psychologists and psychiatrists on how to conduct hypnotic regressions of abductees.

I understand Vallee's concern for the abductee's welfare, but his lack of knowledge about the specifics of our abduction research allows him to fall prey to debunkers' charges without having personal knowledge about what is happening, as evidenced by his unfortunate remarks about Phil Klass having to "clean up" after UFO researchers.

Vallee's theories about UFO history and historians also need comment. He states that his research was a "key factor" in changing J. Allen Hynek's mind about UFOs toward a more positive stance. It might well have been a factor but interviews with Hynek and Hynek's own written and verbal analyses of his changing viewpoints do not admit to it being a "key" factor. Vallee says that the most important events were occurring behind the scenes and points to Hynek's work with Fred Beckman and William Powers as an example. While it is true that this story remains to be told, Hynek's transformation was part of a process of historical change that began quite a bit before he met Vallee. As is well known, as early as 1953 Hynek began to think that the subject needed more scientific investigation. By 1964, according to his oft-stated admission, the Socorro had fairly well convinced him of the UFOs' anomalous nature. By 1966 he was emerging as a public advocate for that stance.

Vallee also states that because Hynek "found no convincing scientific evidence for the existence of UFOs, ...he remained skeptical." If by this Vallee means that Hynek was skeptical of the existence of UFOs as artifacts of nonterrestrial intelligence, then he is in error. Hynek devoted

the last fifteen years of his life to pursuing the UFO mystery. His personal theories privately stated many times to me and to others about the origin of UFOs were not only based on their nonterrestrial origin, but they were considerably more "far out" than most of his contemporaries were willing to go. All of his conversations with me and many others point to the fact that there was not a shred of doubt in Hynek's mind about the anomalous and nonterrestrial origin of UFOs. If Vallee has something else to offer on this point I think that we would all welcome an article by him detailing the evolution and impact of Hynek's ideas during this fascinating period.

Vallee says that James McDonald's public stance in some way led to the establishment of the Condon Committee. In fact, McDonald did not "go public" until well after the February, 1966, O'Brien Committee had recommended that universities study the UFO problem. Nor did he go public until after the April 1966 House Hearings in which the Secretary of the Air Force was urged to implement these recommendations. When he finally did go public, the processes which led to the Condon Committee were already well underway.

Finally, Vallee is puzzled by why historians have concentrated on the House hearings instead of what was happening with Hynek's study group. I am not exactly sure what Vallee had in mind by this puzzling statement, but he could not have possibly meant that it is of only minor importance in UFO history when the House of Representatives of the United States Congress holds a public hearing on unidentified flying objects that directly leads to the establishment of the most important scientific committee to study the subject to date, and that in turn leads directly to the decision by the United States Air Force to abandon its official UFO investigations project.

I think that some of the problems with Vallee's interview were that in an off-the-cuff discussion one has a tendency to say things that might have a specific verbal meaning but when written they can take on a very differ-

ferent meaning. If this is the case then I think we can all learn from this incident that in granting interviews, always demand to see and make changes in the finished article as part of the price of the interview.

David Jacobs, Ph.D.
 Wyndmoor, PA

Dear Editor:

The recent publication of my interview with Linda Strand in the MUFON Journal has elicited violent reactions from Budd Hopkins and David Jacobs, although neither one of them was personally taken to task in the interview. Their reaction is remarkable for what it reveals about the current state of the UFO research community, of which both men are influential members. While UFO research needs to attract the open participation of professional scientists, it reacts to the first hint of criticism by lashing out in personal attacks against the person voicing the concern, instead of addressing the issue at hand. I believe we should all be worried about this problem, and we should take steps to correct it.

David Jacobs assures us that in his hypnotic practice he works closely with a psychologist, and that both he and Budd seek the advice and counsel of professional psychiatrists. Budd is angry at me for not asking him personally to explain his methods. Both of these agruments miss the point. In my view, the regression should never be conducted by individuals who hold strong personal beliefs about the nature of the experience they are investigating, and who are searching for confirmation of their specific interpretation of the phenomenon.

Too often UFO researchers have used hypnosis to force the phenomenon into a preconceived pattern. For instance, in 1987 Budd Hopkins appeared with Lee Spiegel on the WABC television "Good Morning New York." He brought with him one of the witnesses he had studied under hypnotic regression, a very sincere young man named Michael Bershad, and he stated in response to questions by Lee and another interviewer: "in this case, the physical examina-MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1988

tion he went through involved stimulation of nerves down the right leg from his hip ..." Yet Bershad repeated several times, on camera: "I'm not sure it happened ..." "I'm not sure I was abducted!" What kind of scientific investigation is this?

I am not as naive as Budd Hopkins would like your readers to believe on the use of hypnosis in this research. As a member of the Board of Consultants of the Institute for Advanced Psychology I have researched carefully under what minimum standards hypnosis would be acceptable in Court. None of the hypnotic regressions I have seen coming from the recent series of abduction investigations would meet these standards. I, too, have consulted with several professionals in this area. Their approach has been cautious and extremely skeptical of the type of "evidence" claimed by Hopkins, One M.D. psychiatrist who is trained in the use of hypnosis declined to perform a regression on a witness I brought to him because he felt he knew too much about the UFO phenomenon and had reached a personal conclusion about it, a fact which he felt disqualified

In another case I am currently investigating, which involves a possible abduction from a car when the witness was a child, the regression in which I participated was conducted by two doctors, with on-camera recording of the entire session and of several physiological variables, but it was felt that professional standards did not permit pursuing the sessions in the absence of a therapy contract with the witness. In view of these concerns on the part of professionals of hypnosis, I am extremely surprised to see that so many UFO researchers, armed only with their enthusiasm, are charging ahead with the kind of exploration into the minds of the witnesses which Hopkins and Jacobs describe.

To close this part of the discussion, let me say again for the record that I believe the "abductees" are telling the truth as they experience it, that there is a genuine phenomenon involved in the abductions (although it is not necessarily extraterrestrial) and that

it should be a high-priority concern for our community to develop a clean, reliable, safe way to study it.

Let me now turn to the other points raised in the two letters.

Regarding Budd Hopkins' attacks on Challenge to Science, I can only say that the book went through four mass paperback editions in the U.S. alone after the hardcover had gone out of print, that it was translated into several languages, that it was used as the basis for the often-quoted chapter on UFOs in the Air Force Physics Handbook, where two of my figures are reprinted, and that it was recommended by Margaret Mead as the most important book she had read on the subject. If it has become obsolete after twenty years I can only say, sorry Budd, I'll try to do better next time!

In discussing Hynek's views during the key period of 1963 to 1966 David Jacobs is in the unusual position of a presumably objective professional historian telling me, a witness and participant in the events, what happened in my own life. Allen Hynek invited me to join him at Northwestern University in the Fall of 1963 so that we could work together on the UFO problem. I brought my data-base with me, so that the European UFO files could be confronted with the American data for the first time. I was with him almost daily in the ensuing years, through the Socorro investigation, the arguments with McDonald and the Condon briefings. Several of Allen's position papers were written in collaboration with me and were typed on my typewriter. I still have the handwritten drafts. Today my file of correspondence with him bulges to a barely manageable volume. Yet David never interviewed me about that period. He never consulted my private correspondence, nor did he see the research proposals Hynek and I submitted to the Air Force.

Perhaps it is better to leave things as they are. Although I may decide to publish my own documented account of that phase of UFO research some day, I have no intention of violating Hynek's confidence during our years of collaboration, when we shared many facts and many contacts that

have never been made public. I am glad that Dave acknowledges that my intense work with Allen during that period "may have been a factor" in his changing perception of the phenomenon.

I do agree with Dave that "there was not a shred of doubt in Hynek's mind about the anomalous origin of UFOs." I never said that Hynek thought anything else. I did choose my words carefully during my interview with Linda Strand. If Dave refers to the text he will see that I stated, in the context of those early years with Allen at Northwestern, that he never found convincing evidence for the existence of UFOs. There is no contradiction here. Surely it is possible for a scientist to believe in the anomalous origin of a phenomenon and at the same time to recognize that he does not have the kind of final proof he could take before a committee of the National Academy of Sciences.

Are we in a different situation today?

I respect both Budd and Dave too much to pursue the debate at the level of personal vindictiveness they have unfortunately chosen. In the past I have engaged in lively debates in print with such UFO debunkers as Menzel and Klass, but I have never experienced the kind of venom conveyed by these letters from fellow ufologists. If the intended message is that the contribution I can make in UFO research is not welcome, that message is clearly understood. In their view I should have dropped out after publishing Anatomy of a Phenomenon. The field would certainly be a lot simpler today if we could turn back the clock to 1965. We could all ignore the masses of disturbing historical material brought to light by Passport to Magonia, the psychic implications stressed in Invisible College, and the manipulation of both the UFO groups and some of the sightings exposed in Messengers of Deception.

I can only assure David Jacobs that I have not "increasingly abandoned empirical evidence." If I have the opportunity to continue to publish my work in spite of the antagonism manifested by these two col-

leagues, perhaps they will eventually agree with me that the phenomenon is more complex, more interesting and more profound than any of us has ever dreamt. Then, perhaps, the pointless quarrels of today will be forgotten, and we can be friends again, as we should be — fellow explorers of a reality that dwarfs all of our pet theories.

— Jacques Vallee San Francisco

Readers may wish to consult Vallee's original interview (May 1988 Journal) which is scheduled to be reprinted in UFO Magazine and also in the paperback edition of Dimensions: A Casebook of Alien Contact. A reprint of Vallee's Challenge to Science will be released by Ballantine in April 1989.

Dear Editor:

Judging from his letter in the December issue of the MUFON UFO Journal, Dennis Stillings remains resolute in his determination to occupy the heights of foolishness. Far be it for me to try to bring him down.

Stillings complains about my observation that it is foolish to try to explain an unknown with another unknown. It is even worse, I might add, to try to explain an unknown with the imaginary. There is no evidence whatever for the existence of macropsychokinesis, as Stillings in effect concedes by not addressing the issue. Stillings' beloved materialized psychisms belong more properly outside the arena of academic parapsychology (which has little if anything to say about them) and inside the arena of occultism (which, being as unbound as Stillings by so lowly a concern as "evidence," has much to say on the subject). Stillings to the contrary, by the way, Jung specifically rejected the notion of materialized psychisms -to which I assume (judging from correspondence I've had with Stillings on the subject) he is referring when he writes that Jung "speculated that it might well be that some UFO phenomena are of psychic origin."

Stillings further imagines that I have a "personal need for UFOs to be of extraterrestrial origin" — again, of course, offering no evidence. In

reality, I have repeatedly stated (e.g., IUR. September/October 1985, and my MUFON Proceedings paper, "Fall and Rise of the ET Hypothesis," which Stillings seems not to have read in its entirety) that the ET hypothesis is, from an emotional point of view, the least desirable of all UFO explanations. In fact, the emotional rejection of the ET hypothesis by debunkers, occultists and others is understandable; after all, ET visitation has all kinds of unsettling implications which even many ufologists have not considered. It is easy to see why an occultist like Stillings would want to imagine a relatively safe phenomenon like macropsychokinesis, since "materialized psychisms" at least are (one assumes) in some sense under our control, which cannot be said of potentially threatening somebodies with an advanced technology with which they can do anything, presumably, they wish.

Stillings manages to bring in the hoary charge that ET proponents are "religious" — always a sure sign that one is losing the argument. Even Phil Klass knows better than that. Klass, for all that his specific interpretations are open to dispute, knows at least that the argument about ET visitation is over evidence, which (whether sufficient to prove the case or not) indisputably exists; theological interpretations or attacks are simply irrelevant to the argument, except to the most desperate. (Rather than repeat muself. I refer readers to my "Ufologists and the Extraterrestrial Hypothesis," June 1988 MUFON UFO Journal.)

Evidence, alas, never enters into Stillings' formulations, nor does actual investigation of actual UFO cases. If anything, Stillings acts as if he were speaking from revealed wisdom — the basis, of course, of religious belief. Meanwhile, not just ufologists but military officers, scientists, psychologists and others who have concerned themselves with the evidence, by investigating and documenting it, have been uniformly puzzled by everything from radar-visual cases to physical-trace incidents to close encounters.

It is also worth pointing out, as MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

Prof. Michael Swords has shown in three excellent papers, that ufologists' conclusions about the nature of ETI are entirely consistent with state-ofthe art exobiological thinking on the same subject. Moreover, a to-bepublished study of the abduction phenomenon by a psychiatrist with investigative experience rejects as inadequate all psychological explanations so far proposed — a view endorsed by just about all other mental-health professionals who have bestirred themselves from the armchair on this matter. In his massive study of the phenomenon, folklorist Eddie Bullard conclusively falsified, among other notions, the loony "birthtrauma hypothesis" (once, and for all I know still, championed by Stillings) and documented the extraordinarily puzzling nature of the abduction experience.

Stillings' response to this, as his various writings attest, is to call ufologists nasty names and to accuse them of assorted thought crimes of which they are mostly innocent. The real source of his unhappiness is their well-founded skepticism of his peculiar ideas about the UFO phenomenon.

I leave Stillings to his occult speculations. I prefer the evidence, disturbing as its implications seem to be. I hope that in the end we will find that ET visitation is not the answer.

Jerome Clark
 Evanston, IL

Dear Editor,

I haven't met Mr. Robert Baker, and quite frankly, don't care to ... at least not from a professional stand; point. His obvious panic at the Lincoln Symposium, which you refer to in the October issue of *The Journal*, was quite disturbing. It's disheartening to think that this man represents an official organization, the CSICOP.

Now, I respect and listen to anu opinions put forth by UFO researchers, whether they be to prove the phenomenon exists or state to the contrary, but Mr. Baker's actions at the conference appear unwarranted. His frequent outbursts, wild accusations. and twisted interpretations at the proceedings that you mention make me a little uneasy. Are all CSICOP members irrational, obstinate know-italls? I sincerely hope not. Any person that seems oblivious to any incongruous assertions by others is one to be warv of in my book. His speedy rattling raises a few questions about his stability and reliability in ever discussing the phenomenon objectively.

It's always sad when someone gets hurt when dealing with this controversial subject, but it appears Mr. Baker has made his own bed.

-- Eric Grant Manchester, NH

I appreciate your letter and support. Dr. Baker and I have since engaged in a rather lively correspondence in which he admitted to (and apologized for) a moment of intemperateness and I agreed to apologize for the tone and content of some of my published remarks as well. It's doubtful we'll be collaborating on any forthcoming articles or exchanging annual birthday greetings, but I think it safe to say we better understand one another now and have agreed to put the incident behind us. I should also point out that Dr. Baker, like

myself, is a private citizen and not a public spokesman for CSICOP — the Committee for the Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal — any more than I am a public spokesperson for MUFON. Obviously, it behooves us all to regard one another as individuals rather than as faceless factotums of any organization or immutable mindset. — Editor

Dear Editor:

I protest Bob Gribble's use of the words "Extraterrestrial" and "E.T." to describe unidentified entities which witnesses have reported throughout the years. ("Looking Back," MUFON UFO Journal, Dec. 1988, pp 14-16.)

The entities observed may very well have been from another planet, but then again it is entirely possible that they were not. Until we know more, and in an effort to improve our scientific and journalistic credibility, perhaps we'd better hedge our bets.

Jennie Zeidman
 Columbus, OH

Dear Editor:

"Theories as Zecharia Sitchin proposed" are listed as Scenario A by Dr. M. Swords (Extraterrestrial Hybridization Unlikely," *MUFON Journal*, November 1988) that might allow crossbreeding between Aliens and humans. But since we are also akin to animals and indeed to all life on Earth, the kinship had to begin some 3½ billion years ago, which Dr. Swords finds improbable as "Aliens" did not exist then.

Since neither the titles of my books nor full explanations of "Sitchin's theories" were given, let me outline

Calendar of UFO Conferences for 1989

April 1 & 2 -- Indiana UFO Conference, Ramada Inn South, Indianapolis, Indiana

April 14, 15 & 16 — Ozark UFO Conference, Inn of the Ozarks, Eureka Springs, Arkansas

April 29, 30 & May 1 — The Third European "Rencontres de Lyon - 1989" Congress, Lyon Conference Center, Lyon, France

June 30, July 1 & 2 — MUFON International UFO Symposium, Aladdin Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, Nevada

July 14, 15 & 16 — Fifth London International UFO Congress, London Business School, Regents Park, London, England

September 15, 16 & 17 — 26th Annual National UFO Conference, Phoenix Arizona (location to be announced)

November 11 & 12 - The UFO Experience, Ramada Inn, North Haven, Connecticut

them briefly.

My writings, and especially Book I of THE EARTH CHRONICLES titled The 12th Planet, are based primarily on Sumerian texts that have been unearthed by archaeologists. These texts, of which the early chapters of Genesis are concise versions, offer a sophisticated astronomy and cosmogony, of Evolution and Creation, as well as of space travel in prehistory.

Earth, they tell us, is a remaining half of a larger planet ("Tiamat") that was broken up in a celestial collision with an invading planet ("Nibiru"). The "seed of life" was brought into the solar system and imparted to Earth by Nibiru. It happened when the solar system was young — some 3½4 billion years ago.

Evolution then proceeded on both Nibiru and Earth from the same seed of life, but with a somewhat earlier start on Nibiru.

About 450,000 years ago, the Anunnaki ("Those Who From Heaven To Earth Came") — the Bible called them Nefilim - came from Nibiru to Earth. How and why is described in the texts and in my books. In need of "Primitive Workers," they combined the genes of their males with the eggs of Apewoman to create The Adam (literally, "Earthling"). As all hybrids (e.g. mules) this being could not procreate. The tale of Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden is the story of the second genetic manipulation giving Homo sapiens the ability to procreate.

This double compatibility — first the same genetic "seed of life" billions of years ago, then the genetic engineering some 300,000 years ago — made it possible for the Nefilim to marry the daughters of Man and have children by them.

Zecharia Sitchin
 New York

20_{th} Anniversary Year

THE NIGHT SKY

By Walter N. Webb MUFON Astronomy Consultant

February 1989

Bright Planets (Evening Sky):

Mars, in Aries, remains high in the southern sky at dusk. Shining at 0.8 magnitude, the reddish planet sets in the NW about midnight. Mars is drawing closer to giant Jupiter, in Taurus, which outshines its ruddy neighbor by nearly 20 times (at magnitude -2.4).

Bright Planets (Morning Sky):

Venus (magnitude -3.9) may be glimpsed early in the month, rising only three-quarters of an hour before the Sun. It is very low in the SE at dawn.

Jupiter sets in the NW about I AM in mid-February.

Saturn, in Sagittarius, rises about 4 AM and lies low in the SE at dawn.

Total Lunar Eclipse:

The full moon enters the Earth's shadow on the morning of the 20th. The early partial phases of the eclipse occur near moonset from the western U.S. Total eclipse happens shortly before or during moonset from Washington, Oregon, northern California, and Alaska. The Moon first contacts the dark umbral shadow at 5:44 AM PST, while totality begins at 6:56. (Times are one hour earlier in Alaska.)

Moon Phases:

New moon — February 6
First quarter — February 12
Full moon — February 20
Last quarter — February 28



The Stars:

At 9 PM Orion and the Winter Circle light up the southern sky. While the dim stars of autumn set in the west, the springtime sky symbol Leo the Lion is already up in the east. Ursa Major the Great Bear, which contains the more easily seen Big Dipper, is prominent in the NE.

Sirius the Dog Star lies due south during the midevening. It is the brightest nighttime star in the entire sky because it is both a hot A-type star and one of our nearest stellar neighbors (9 light years distant). The Dog Star also has a tiny telescopic companion called Sirius B or "the Pup," a white dwarf star only twice the size of the Earth yet containing the mass of our Sun. A teaspoonful of the Pup's material would weigh as much as a car if placed on our planet! A white dwarf is a collapsed star near the end of its life — the eventual fate of our own Sun.

MESSAGE, continued

MUFON 1989 SYMPOSIUM

The theme for the MUFON 1989 International UFO Symposium in Las Vegas, Nevada at the Aladdin Hotel and Casino on June 30, July 1 & 2, will be "The UFO Cover-Up: A Government Conspiracy?" Speakers presently committed are William L. "Bill" Moore. Donald A. Johnson, Ph.D., John E. Brandenburg, Ph.D., (MARS Research). Stanton T. Friedman, Timothy Good, Linda Moulton Howe, Jennie Zeidman and John O. Lear. Five of these speakers will relate intriguing new information involved in the U.S. Government's conspiracy to hide the real evidence behind the UFO phenomena.

John Lear, State Director for Nevada, will serve as the host chairman with Hal Starr, State Director for Arizona, Co-Host Committee. Reservations for rooms may be made by writing or calling the Aladdin Hotel and Casino, 3667 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109 or telephone (702) 736-0111 or (800) 634-03428. The price per night is \$48.00 for a single and \$48.00 for double occupancy.

Guest room accomodations will be available starting Thursday, June 29 and extending through July 3 for people arriving early or departing after the symposium at the same prices. Only a limited number of rooms in in this category are available, so early reservations are recommended. 150 rooms have been allocated for Friday, June 30 and Saturday, July 1st for the majority of the attendees. The hotel will hold the block of sleeping rooms until May 30, 1989. The Aladdin Hotel will continue to accept reservations after this date based on room and rate availability. Early reservations are highly recommended. The State Directors Meeting is scheduled for June 30th and the Board of Directors Meeting for July 2, 1989. The cost of registration will be announced in the near future.

NEWS AROUND THE NETWORK

Three candidates have been nominated for Eastern Regional Director to fill the vacancy created when **Joe** MUFON UFO Journal, No. 250, February 1989

Santangelo's term expires on the Board of Directors this year. Listed alphabetically, they are **Stephen J. Firmani**, State Director for Massachusetts; **Robert L. Oechsler**, State Section Director for Arundel, Howard and Calvert Counties in Maryland; and **Donald M. Ware**, State Director for Florida. Nominations closed on January 31, 1989. A ballot will be enclosed in a future issue of the *Journal* to all members in the Eastern Region of states so they may cast their vote for Regional Director.

"Interviewing the UFO Witness," a 45-minute VHS videotape produced by Michigan MUFON, Inc. is now available for \$15.00 U.S. (including postage and handling). Narrated by Dan Wright, MUFON Deputy Director of Investigations, the program depicts a field investigator in a variety of circumstances. This is an ideal means for training field investigators in a classroom environment or better still for the field investigator trainee that is somewhat isolated geographically and does not have the benefit of group instruction. Send orders to: Shirley Coyne, 73 Borman, Flushing, MI 48433. The MUFON Field Investigator's Manual (161 pages) is still available from MUFON for \$6.00 for current members and \$10.00 for all others, plus \$1.50 for postage and handling.

The Calendar of UFO Conferences for 1989, appearing in each issue of the *Journal* is only published as a reminder of future scheduled events. It is not intended to be an advertisement, listing all the details for making reservations and registrations for each conference. Details will be published separately in the *Journal* as the Conference date approaches. The Ozark UFO Conference on April 14, 15, and 16, 1989 in Eureka Springs, Arkansas will be the first example of this policy.

MUFON of Northern California is hereby announcing the dates of their meetings for 1989. Meetings will be held on the third Sunday of every other month on March 19, May 21, July 16, September 17, and November 19 at Mercury Savings and Loan in Mt. View, CA. Mercury Savings and Loan is located behind Mervyn's

Dept. store on California Ave. in the San Antonio shopping mall at the corner of San Antonio Road and El Camino Real. (Northern California members should mark these dates on their calendar as a reminder.)

NEW PUBLICATIONS

ODDYSEY, the Official Newsletter of Para Net Alpha, Volume 1, No. 1 December 1988, is the publication for the computer network of the same name published in Fountain Hills, Arizona. The managing editor is James Vincent and James Speiser is the Para Net Director. Further information may be obtained by writing to ODDYSEY, P.O. Box 80313, Phoenix, Arizona 85060 or by contacting them by computer on Para Net Alpha (602) 837-0062 leaving mail. to James Vincent or Jim Speiser, Sysop. MUFON recommends this organization to all of our members who are computer equipped and would like to participate.

The magazine Caveat Emptor No. 16 Winter 1988-89 has been revived by Gene Steinberg after a 14 year vacation. It disappeared from the UFO scene in 1974 during an economic slump. Always a controversial publication, Caveat Emptor covers more than UFOs. Subscription information on the quarterly magazine may be obtained by writing to Caveat Emptor, Dept. 16, 8 Gate House Lane, Edison, NJ, 08820.

RESEARCH REQUEST

George Fawcett, assistant state director for MUFON North Carolina, is interested in receiving reports concerning "Human Reactions to UFOs," especially after the year 1984 (but not limited to this time span). The reports will be used to update Fawcett's earlier study which covered the years 1944 to 1984.

Fawcett is particularly interested in learning what the various eyewitnesses do during and immediately after their UFO encounters, including any long term reactions.

Mr. Fawcett can be reached by writing him at 602 Battleground Road, Lincolnton, NC 28092.

Director's Message

By Walt Andrus

The December 1988 issue of the MUFON UFO Journal sadly announced the passing of Major Donald E. Keyhoe on November 29, 1988. One of the true pioneers in Ufology, he was best known as the Director of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP) from 1957 to 1969 and the author of six UFO books. At the time of his death, Major Keyhoe was serving on the MUFON Board of Directors as Director of Government Affairs, For a personal tribute to Major Keyhoe by one of his closest associates, please read the article in this issue titled "Major Donald E. Keyhoe: An Appreciation" by Richard Hall.

MUFON AWARD FOR 1988 -1989

The Annual MUFON Award plague for the most outstanding contribution to Ufology for 1988-1989 will be presented at the MUFON 1989 UFO Symposium in Las Vegas, Nevada on July 1, 1989. The actual contribution or work is not confined to the calendar year of 1988-89, but may include significant accomplishments during the past 5 years. Nominees or candidates for this award may reside anywhere in the world. A person may be nominated by submitting his or her name with a written paragraph stating briefly why their candidate should receive the award, listing the nominee's accomplishments and recognition in the field of Ufology.

By action taken at the MUFON Board of Directors Meeting in Washington, D.C. on June 28, 1987, only members of the Board of Directors may nominate candidates. Anyone may submit the name of a candidate to one of the members of the Board of Directors, listed in the MUFON 1988 International UFO Symposium Proceedings, for his/her consideration. The deadline for receiving nomi-

nations from Board Members is April 15, 1989. Board Members will mail their nominations to MUFON, 103 Oldtowne Road, Seguin, Texas 78155. A ballot will be enclosed with the May 1989 issue of the MUFON UFO Journal so all members and subscribers may vote for their choice for this prestigious award. The deadline for return of ballots will be specified on the ballot.

NEW OFFICERS

C.L. "Chuck" Brooks, former State Director for South Dakota, has accepted new employment in Glenwood Springs, Colorado as of January 1, 1989. Tedra L. Plane, Asst. State Director living in Sioux Falls, has been promoted to State Director to fill the created vacancy. She was previously very active in two UFO groups in Laramie, Wyoming. Scott A. Caldwell of Huntsville, Alabama and a State Section Director was appointed State Director upon the recommendation of Duncan R. Crow, J.D., Acting State Director. Mr. Caldwell immediately promoted Burtus "Jeff" Ballard to State Section Director for Madison, Jackson, Limestone, Morgan, and Marshall counties to replace him.

Richard D. Seifried, Co-State Director for Ohio, has made the following reassignments or appointments of State Section Directors to strengthen the Ohio investigative team: Jennie Zeidman, Coordinator of Technical Analysis on the MUFON Board of Directors, is also the State Section Director for Franklin County; Irena Scott, Ph.D., a Consultant in Physiology, was assigned to Delaware and Licking Counties; Delbert E. Anderson, Amateur Radio Operator NW8I of Laurelville, Ohio, was made responsible for Hocking, Ross, and Pickaway Counties; Larry J. Tillman, W8WYN, was reassigned as State Section Director for Madison, Union, Clark, and Fayette Counties; and James R. Haddox, living in McComb, Ohio, was appointed for Hancock and Putnam Counties.

S. Christopher Early, State Director for Georgia, approved the appointment of Walter (Dennis) Maxwell of Fairburn to State Section Director for Fulton, Fayette, and Clayton Counties. F.E. Johnstone, residing in Smith River, (northern California) has accepted the position of State Section Director for Del Norte County. Ed Mazur, Arkansas State Director. reappointed Lawrence Willett as State Section Director for the northern counties of Baxter, Marion, Fulton, Stone, Izard, and Searcy. Bruce A. Widaman, State Director for Missouri, appointed M. Clifford Stewart of Hillsboro and Amateur Radio Operator WB0FDA to be the State Section Director for Jefferson County. John E. Schroeder, a clergyman and early member of MUFON is now responsible for St. Louis County.

Dan C. Overlade, Ph.D., living in Gulf Breeze, Florida, has accepted the position of Consultant in Clinical Psychology and Hypnotherapy, He has already used his expertise to investigate several abduction cases in Pensacola, including "Mr. Ed." Horace Jacobs, Ph.D., a book publisher in San Diego, California was appointed a Consultant in Languages. Dr. Jacobs is a fellow member in both the American Astronautical Society and the British Interplanetary Society. Univelt, Inc., his publishing firm, is working on a new technical UFO book authored by John Ackerman. a MUFON member, devoted to a hypothetical theory for UFO propulsion. Robert K. Cover, M.S.E.E. of Albuquerque. New Mexico has ioined as a Research Specialist in Electrical Engineering.

Continued on page 23