

Cryptography Exercise Sheet 2

Prof. Dr. Thomas Wilke, Dr.-Ing. Kim-Manuel Klein

29. April 2020

1. **Problem:** *A broken one-time pad*

Consider a variant of the one time pad with message space $\{0, 1\}^L$ where the key space \mathcal{K} is restricted to all L -bit strings with an even number of 1s. Give an efficient adversary whose semantic security advantage is 1.

2. **Problem:** *Exercising the definition of semantic security*

Let $\mathcal{E} = (E, D)$ be a semantically secure cipher defined over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$, where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}^L$. Which of the following encryption algorithms yields a semantically secure scheme? Either give an attack or provide a security proof via an explicit reduction.

- (a) $E_1(k, m) := 0 \parallel E(k, m)$
- (b) $E_2(k, m) := E(k, m) \parallel \text{parity}(m)$
- (c) $E_3(k, m) := \text{reverse}(E(k, m))$
- (d) $E_4(k, m) := E(k, \text{reverse}(m))$

Here, for a bit string s , $\text{parity}(s)$ is 1 if the number of 1s in s is odd, and 0 otherwise; also, $\text{reverse}(s)$ is the string obtained by reversing the order of the bits in s , e.g., $\text{reverse}(1011) = 1101$.

3. **Problem:** *Key recovery attacks*

Let $\mathcal{E} = (E, D)$ be a cipher defined over $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$. A key recovery attack is modeled by the following game between a challenger and an adversary \mathcal{A} : the challenger chooses a random key k in \mathcal{K} , a random message m in \mathcal{M} , computes $c \xleftarrow{R} E(k, m)$, and sends (m, c) to \mathcal{A} . In response \mathcal{A} outputs a guess \hat{k} in \mathcal{K} . We say that \mathcal{A} wins the game if $D(\hat{k}, c) = m$ and define $KRadv[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}]$ to be the probability that \mathcal{A} wins the game. As usual, we say that \mathcal{E} is secure against key recovery attacks if for all efficient adversaries \mathcal{A} the advantage $KRadv[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}]$ is negligible.

- (a) Show that the one-time pad is not secure against key recovery attacks.
- (b) Show that if \mathcal{E} is semantically secure and $e = |\mathcal{K}|/|\mathcal{M}|$ is negligible, then \mathcal{E} is secure against key recovery attacks. In particular, show that for every efficient key-recovery adversary \mathcal{A} there is an efficient semantic security adversary \mathcal{B} , where \mathcal{B} is an elementary wrapper around \mathcal{A} , such that

$$KRadv[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}] \leq SSadv[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}] + e \tag{1}$$

Hint: Your semantic security adversary \mathcal{B} will output 1 with probability $KRadv[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}]$ in the semantic security Experiment 0 and output 1 with probability at most e in Experiment 1. Deduce from this a lower bound on $SSadv[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}]$ in terms of e and $KRadv[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}]$ from which the result follows.

4. Deduce from part (b) that if \mathcal{E} is semantically secure and $|\mathcal{M}|$ is super-poly then $|\mathcal{K}|$ cannot be poly-bounded.

Note: $|\mathcal{K}|$ can be poly-bounded when $|\mathcal{M}|$ is poly-bounded, as in the one-time pad.