Day 13: Professionalization and reflection

Erin Rossiter

March, 2022

- Last class next week!
- Presentations
 - » slides
 - motivation
 - hypotheses
 - estimands
 - design
 - etc...
 - » 15 minutes on paper
 - » 15 minute Q&A

- Last class next week!
- Presentations
 - » slides
 - motivation
 - hypotheses
 - estimands
 - design
 - etc...
 - » 15 minutes on paper
 - » 15 minute Q&A

- Last class next week!
- Presentations
 - » slides
 - motivation
 - hypotheses
 - estimands
 - design
 - etc...
 - » 15 minutes on paper
 - » 15 minute Q&A

- Last class next week!
- Presentations
 - » slides
 - motivation
 - hypotheses
 - estimands
 - design
 - etc. . .
 - » 15 minutes on paper
 - » 15 minute Q&A

- Last class next week!
- Presentations
 - » slides
 - motivation
 - hypotheses
 - estimands
 - design
 - etc...
 - » 15 minutes on paper
 - » 15 minute Q&A

1. Mediation

- Some of my experimental work
- Professionalization
- 4. Reflection

- 1. Mediation
- 2. Some of my experimental work
- 3. Professionalization
- 4. Reflection

- 1. Mediation
- 2. Some of my experimental work
- 3. Professionalization
- Reflection

- 1. Mediation
- 2. Some of my experimental work
- 3. Professionalization
- 4. Reflection

- 1. Mediation
- 2. Some of my experimental work
- 3. Professionalization
- 4. Reflection

Mediation

- Variables that "transmit the influence of an intervention"
- Ex: Limes reduced scurvy amongst 18th Century seafarers!
 - » treatment was limes, but mediating ingredient was vitamin C
- Ex: Learning about outgroup is thought to be a mediator of intergroup contact's effects on prejudice
 - » treatment is contact, but mediating "ingredient" is increased knowledge
- Learning about mediators helps us understand the causal processes
 - » we're after the mechanisms underlying our interventions

- Variables that "transmit the influence of an intervention"
- Ex: Limes reduced scurvy amongst 18th Century seafarers!
 - » treatment was limes, but mediating ingredient was vitamin C
- Ex: Learning about outgroup is thought to be a mediator of intergroup contact's effects on prejudice
 - » treatment is contact, but mediating "ingredient" is increased knowledge
- Learning about mediators helps us understand the causal processes
 - » we're after the mechanisms underlying our intervention:

- Variables that "transmit the influence of an intervention"
- Ex: Limes reduced scurvy amongst 18th Century seafarers!
 - » treatment was limes, but mediating ingredient was $\emph{vitamin}$ $\emph{\textbf{C}}$
- Ex: Learning about outgroup is thought to be a mediator of intergroup contact's effects on prejudice
 - » treatment is contact, but mediating "ingredient" is increased knowledge
- Learning about mediators helps us understand the causal processes
 - » we're after the mechanisms underlying our interventions

- Variables that "transmit the influence of an intervention"
- Ex: Limes reduced scurvy amongst 18th Century seafarers!
 - » treatment was limes, but mediating ingredient was vitamin C
- Ex: Learning about outgroup is thought to be a mediator of intergroup contact's effects on prejudice
 - » treatment is contact, but mediating "ingredient" is increased knowledge
- Learning about mediators helps us understand the causal processes
 - » we're after the mechanisms underlying our interventions

- Variables that "transmit the influence of an intervention"
- Ex: Limes reduced scurvy amongst 18th Century seafarers!
 - » treatment was limes, but mediating ingredient was vitamin C
- Ex: Learning about outgroup is thought to be a mediator of intergroup contact's effects on prejudice
 - » treatment is contact, but mediating "ingredient" is increased knowledge
- Learning about mediators helps us understand the causal processes
 - » we're after the mechanisms underlying our interventions

- Variables that "transmit the influence of an intervention"
- Ex: Limes reduced scurvy amongst 18th Century seafarers!
 - » treatment was limes, but mediating ingredient was vitamin C
- Ex: Learning about outgroup is thought to be a mediator of intergroup contact's effects on prejudice
 - » treatment is contact, but mediating "ingredient" is increased knowledge
- Learning about mediators helps us understand the causal processes
 - » we're after the mechanisms underlying our interventions

- Variables that "transmit the influence of an intervention"
- Ex: Limes reduced scurvy amongst 18th Century seafarers!
 - » treatment was limes, but mediating ingredient was vitamin C
- Ex: Learning about outgroup is thought to be a mediator of intergroup contact's effects on prejudice
 - » treatment is contact, but mediating "ingredient" is increased knowledge
- Learning about mediators helps us understand the causal processes
 - » we're after the mechanisms underlying our interventions

- whether Z_i induced a change in mediating variable M_i , and
- whether a Z_i -induced change in M_i produced a change in Y_i
- Board

- whether Z_i induced a change in mediating variable M_i , and
- whether a Z_i -induced change in M_i produced a change in Y_i
- Board

- whether Z_i induced a change in mediating variable M_i , and
- whether a Z_i -induced change in M_i produced a change in Y_i
- Board

- whether Z_i induced a change in mediating variable M_i , and
- whether a Z_i -induced change in M_i produced a change in Y_i
- Board

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- -c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- Z_i randomly assigned
- $-M_i$ not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- -c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- -d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- $-Z_i$ randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned

$1. M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$

- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- -c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- -d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- $-Z_i$ randomly assigned
- $-M_i$ not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- -c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- -d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- $-Z_i$ randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- -c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- -d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- $-Z_i$ randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- -c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- -c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

- c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

Problems w/ mediation using standard experimental design

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

Notice:

- c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

Problems w/ mediation using standard experimental design

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

Notice:

- c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

Problems w/ mediation using standard experimental design

- Z_i randomly assigned
- M_i not pre-treatment nor randomly assigned
- 1. $M_i = \alpha_1 + aZ_i + e_{1i}$
- 2. $Y_i = \alpha_2 + cZ_i + e_{2i}$
- 3. $Y_i = \alpha_3 + dZ_i + bM_i + e_{3i}$

Questions:

- how do these equations align with our drawing?
- which are causal estimates? and why?

Notice:

- c is "total effect" of Z_i on Y_i
- d is "direct effect" of Z_i on Y_i without passing through M_i
- a and b make up "indirect effect" of Z_i on Y_i that does pass through M_i
- How do direct and indirect effects make up c? Board
- Why is regression approach to mediation biased/not causal?

Regression approaches

Must assume sequential ignorability

- Conditional on the observed pretreatment covariates, the treatment is independent of all potential values of the outcome and mediating variables
- The observed mediator is independent of all potential outcomes given the observed treatment and pretreatment covariates

Regression approaches

Must assume sequential ignorability

- Conditional on the observed pretreatment covariates, the treatment is independent of all potential values of the outcome and mediating variables
- The observed mediator is independent of all potential outcomes given the observed treatment and pretreatment covariates

Regression approaches

Must assume sequential ignorability

- Conditional on the observed pretreatment covariates, the treatment is independent of all potential values of the outcome and mediating variables
- The observed mediator is independent of all potential outcomes given the observed treatment and pretreatment covariates

- Need to manipulate M_i !

- "Implicit mediation analysis
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - » Cons
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator. . .

- Need to manipulate M_i !
- "Implicit mediation analysis"
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - » Cons
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator. . .

- Need to manipulate M_i !
- "Implicit mediation analysis"
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - (Cons
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator. . .

- Need to manipulate M_i !
- "Implicit mediation analysis"
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - w Cons
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator. . .

- Need to manipulate M_i !
- "Implicit mediation analysis"
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - Cons
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator. . .

- Need to manipulate M_i !
- "Implicit mediation analysis"
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - » Cons
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator. . .

- Need to manipulate M_i !
- "Implicit mediation analysis"
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - » Cons:
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator...

- Need to manipulate M_i !
- "Implicit mediation analysis"
 - » Design in which you add and subtract different "ingredients" from the treatment
 - » Allows us to:
 - get unbias causal estimates
 - explore what ingredients cause a treatment to work
 - » Cons:
 - not really direct manipulation of mediator...

TABLE 2. Effects of Four Mail Treatments on Voter Turnout in the August 2006 Primary Election						
Experimental Group						
	Control	Civic Duty	Hawthorne	Self	Neighbors	
Percentage Voting	29.7%	31.5%	32.2%	34.5%	37.8%	
N of Individuals	191,243	38,218	38,204	38,218	38,201	

- control: no mailer

civic duty: encouraged to vote

hawthorne: encourage to vote + monitored

- self: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting

 neighbors: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting + shown others' past voting

"Implicit" mediation analysis because we aren't positive we've manipulated social costs in neighborhood but some learning about norms of voting

TABLE 2. Effects of Four Mail Treatments on Voter Turnout in the August 2006 Primary Election						
	Experimental Group					
	Control	Civic Duty	Hawthorne	Self	Neighbors	
Percentage Voting N of Individuals	29.7% 191,243	31.5% 38,218	32.2% 38,204	34.5% 38,218	37.8% 38,201	

- control: no mailer

civic duty: encouraged to vote

hawthorne: encourage to vote + monitored

self: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting

 neighbors: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting + shown others' past voting

"Implicit" mediation analysis because we aren't positive we've manipulated social costs in neighborhood but some learning about norms of voting

TABLE 2. Effects of Four Mail Treatments on Voter Turnout in the August 2006 Primary Election						
	Experimental Group					
	Control	Civic Duty	Hawthorne	Self	Neighbors	
Percentage Voting N of Individuals	29.7% 191,243	31.5% 38,218	32.2% 38,204	34.5% 38,218	37.8% 38,201	

- control: no mailer

civic duty: encouraged to vote

hawthorne: encourage to vote + monitored

self: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting

 neighbors: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting + shown others' past voting

"Implicit" mediation analysis because we aren't positive we've manipulated social costs in neighborhood but some learning about norms of voting

TABLE 2. Effects of Four Mail Treatments on Voter Turnout in the August 2006 Primary Election						
Experimental Group						
	Control	Civic Duty	Hawthorne	Self	Neighbors	
Percentage Voting	29.7%	31.5%	32.2%	34.5%	37.8%	
N of Individuals	191,243	38,218	38,204	38,218	38,201	

- control: no mailer

civic duty: encouraged to vote

hawthorne: encourage to vote + monitored

self: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting

 neighbors: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting + shown others' past voting

"Implicit" mediation analysis because we aren't positive we've manipulated social costs in neighborhood but some learning about norms of voting

TABLE 2. Effects of Four Mail Treatments on Voter Turnout in the August 2006 Primary Election						
	Experimental Group					
	Control	Civic Duty	Hawthorne	Self	Neighbors	
Percentage Voting N of Individuals	29.7% 191,243	31.5% 38,218	32.2% 38,204	34.5% 38,218	37.8% 38,201	

- control: no mailer

civic duty: encouraged to vote

hawthorne: encourage to vote + monitored

self: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting

 neighbors: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting + shown others' past voting

"Implicit" mediation analysis because we aren't positive we've manipulated social costs in neighborhood but some learning about norms of voting

TABLE 2. Effects of Four Mail Treatments on Voter Turnout in the August 2006 Primary Election						
	Experimental Group					
	Control	Civic Duty	Hawthorne	Self	Neighbors	
Percentage Voting N of Individuals	29.7% 191,243	31.5% 38,218	32.2% 38,204	34.5% 38,218	37.8% 38,201	

- control: no mailer

civic duty: encouraged to vote

hawthorne: encourage to vote + monitored

self: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting

 neighbors: encouraged to vote + monitored + shown past voting + shown others' past voting

"Implicit" mediation analysis because we aren't positive we've manipulated social costs in neighborhood but some learning about norms of voting

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- 2. Online chat experiments
- Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- 2. Online chat experiments
- Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- 2. Online chat experiments
- Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- 2. Online chat experiments
- Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- Online chat experiments
- 3. Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- Online chat experiments
- 3. Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- Online chat experiments
- 3. Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- Online chat experiments
- 3. Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- 2. Online chat experiments
- 3. Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- 2. Online chat experiments
- 3. Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Experiments

- How does conversation affect affective polarization?
 - » dissertation & cross-partisan conversations
 - » electoral threat & heterogeneous effects by partisanship (with Taylor Carlson)
 - » amongst Mexican partisans (with Greene, Simpser, and Siera)
- How does self-selection into political conversation affect conversation's ideologically polarizing effects? (with Taylor Carlson)

- 1. How to *set up* a *good* cluster-randomized experiment when you create the clusters
- 2. Online chat experiments
- 3. Precision-retention tradeoff (with Gustavo Diaz)
- 4. Self-selection

Slides

Professionalization

Staying connected

EGAP

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Subscribe to email list

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Evidence in Governance and Politics

 a research, evaluation, and learning network with worldwide reach that promotes rigorous knowledge accumulation, innovation, and evidence-based policy in various governance domains, including accountability, political participation, mitigation of societal conflict, and reducing inequality

Why stay connected?

- Workshops
- Seminars
- Grants
- Resources: Methods Guides (10 things to know), Standards Discussions, Tools
- EGAP Registry (on OSF)

Polmeth listserv

Subscribe here

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

What is it?

- newsletter
- journal (JEPS)
- awards
- etc.

APSA official webpage

- membership is free for Students
- in fact, I'd encourage you to sign up for a bunch of these sections to get announcements

Journal of Experimental Political Science (JEPS)

(from the website)

Journal of Experimental Political Science (JEPS)

(from the website)

We invite authors to submit concise articles (around 4000 words or fewer) that immediately address the subject of the research. We do not require lengthy explanations regarding and justifications of the experimental method. Nor do we expect extensive literature reviews of pros and cons of the methodological approaches involved in the experiment unless the goal of the article is to explore these methodological issues. We expect readers to be familiar with experimental methods and therefore to not need pages of literature reviews to be convinced that experimental methods are a legitimate methodological approach. We will consider longer articles in rare, but appropriate cases, as in the following examples: when a new experimental method or approach is being introduced and discussed or when novel theoretical results are being evaluated through experimentation. Finally, we strongly encourage authors to submit manuscripts that showcase informative null findings or inconsistent results from well-designed, executed, and analyzed experiments.

(also from the website)

- Research Articles (4000 words) that report novel empirical findings grounded in social science theory
- Short Reports (1000 words) concisely summarize empirical findings that primarily inform best practices, measurement strategies, or innovations in experimental design
- 3) Replications (3000 words) of previous experiments
- 4) Meta-Analyses (3000 words) of a well-defined set of experiments

(also from the website)

- 1) Research Articles (4000 words) that report novel empirical findings grounded in social science theory
- Short Reports (1000 words) concisely summarize empirical findings that primarily inform best practices, measurement strategies, or innovations in experimental design
- 3) Replications (3000 words) of previous experiments
- Meta-Analyses (3000 words) of a well-defined set of experiments

(also from the website)

- 1) Research Articles (4000 words) that report novel empirical findings grounded in social science theory
- 2) Short Reports (1000 words) concisely summarize empirical findings that primarily inform best practices, measurement strategies, or innovations in experimental design
- Replications (3000 words) of previous experiments
- 4) Meta-Analyses (3000 words) of a well-defined set of experiments

(also from the website)

- 1) Research Articles (4000 words) that report novel empirical findings grounded in social science theory
- 2) Short Reports (1000 words) concisely summarize empirical findings that primarily inform best practices, measurement strategies, or innovations in experimental design
- 3) Replications (3000 words) of previous experiments
- 4) Meta-Analyses (3000 words) of a well-defined set of experiments

(also from the website)

- 1) Research Articles (4000 words) that report novel empirical findings grounded in social science theory
- Short Reports (1000 words) concisely summarize empirical findings that primarily inform best practices, measurement strategies, or innovations in experimental design
- 3) Replications (3000 words) of previous experiments
- 4) Meta-Analyses (3000 words) of a well-defined set of experiments

- posters for grad students
- experimental methods and awesome, rigorous applied work
- network within the community

- posters for grad students
- experimental methods and awesome, rigorous applied work
- network within the community

- posters for grad students
- experimental methods and awesome, rigorous applied work
- network within the community

- posters for grad students
- experimental methods and awesome, rigorous applied work
- network within the community

Resources

- Representative sample
- Online survey
- Can include randomization!
- Last year, subsidized by Rooney

- Representative sample
- Online survey
- Can include randomization!
- Last year, subsidized by Rooney

- Representative sample
- Online survey
- Can include randomization!
- Last year, subsidized by Rooney

- Representative sample
- Online survey
- Can include randomization!
- Last year, subsidized by Rooney

TESS

Time Sharing Experiment for the Social Science (TESS)

- submit proposal
- peer review
- free to you if accepted!
- representative sample, online survey experiment
 - » must be an experiment

TESS

Time Sharing Experiment for the Social Science (TESS)

- submit proposal
- peer review
- free to you if accepted!
- representative sample, online survey experiment
 - » must be an experiment

- submit proposal
- peer review
- free to you if accepted!
- representative sample, online survey experiment
 - » must be an experiment

- submit proposal
- peer review
- free to you if accepted!
- representative sample, online survey experiment
 - » must be an experiment

- submit proposal
- peer review
- free to you if accepted!
- representative sample, online survey experiment
 - » must be an experiment

- submit proposal
- peer review
- free to you if accepted!
- representative sample, online survey experiment
 - » must be an experiment

- submit proposal
- peer review
- free to you if accepted!
- representative sample, online survey experiment
 - » must be an experiment

- Experiments take money!
- NSF grant up to 15K
- Website here
- Fantastic success by ND grad students for these!

- Experiments take money!
- NSF grant up to 15K
- Website here
- Fantastic success by ND grad students for these!

- Experiments take money!
- NSF grant up to 15K
- Website here
- Fantastic success by ND grad students for these!

- Experiments take money!
- NSF grant up to 15K
- Website here
- Fantastic success by ND grad students for these!

Stuff on campus

Lab experiments in Behavioral Research Lab

- Netflix see here and here
- The Trade Desk see here and here
- So much more!

- Netflix see here and here
- The Trade Desk see here and here
- So much more

- Netflix see here and here
- The Trade Desk see here and here
- So much more!