

Ethereum Pectra: Erigon Competition

July 21, 2025

Contents

1	Introduction 2				
	1.1	About	Cantina	2	
			imer		
	1.3	Risk a	ssessment	2	
			Severity Classification		
2	Security Review Summary				
3	Find	lings		4	
			isk	4	
		3.1.1	Erigon incorrectly handles delegation removal	4	
		3.1.2			
		3.1.3	EIP-7702: Dangling authorizations in p.auths map in the mempool		
		3.1.4			
			handling in mempool	14	
		3.1.5			
			CodeTransaction into Message	15	
	3.2	Inforn	national		
		3.2.1	EIP-7702: ErrAuthorityReserved discard reason is not handled		
		3.2.2	EIP-7702: Recommend preventing more than one pending transaction from		
		3.2.2	accounts with a delegation designator	22	
		3.2.3			
		3.2.4	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		3.2.5	Erigon is unable to estimate gas for transactions involving EIP-7623 or EIP-7702		
			Erigon doesn't verify that executionRequests is not nil after Pectra		

1 Introduction

1.1 About Cantina

Cantina is a security services marketplace that connects top security researchers and solutions with clients. Learn more at cantina.xyz

1.2 Disclaimer

A competition provides a broad evaluation of the security posture of the code at a particular moment based on the information available at the time of the review. While competitions endeavor to identify and disclose all potential security issues, they cannot guarantee that every vulnerability will be detected or that the code will be entirely secure against all possible attacks. The assessment is conducted based on the specific commit and version of the code provided. Any subsequent modifications to the code may introduce new vulnerabilities, therefore, any changes made to the code would require an additional security review. Please be advised that competitions are not a replacement for continuous security measures such as penetration testing, vulnerability scanning, and regular code reviews.

1.3 Risk assessment

Severity	Description
High	Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed) and can be triggered by any user without significant constraints, generating outsized returns to the exploiter. For example: loss of user funds (significant amount of funds being stolen or lost) or breaking core functionality (failure in fundamental protocol operations).
Medium	Global losses <10% or losses to only a subset of users, requiring significant constraints (capital, planning, other users) to be exploited. For example: temporary disruption or denial of service (DoS), minor fund loss or exposure or breaking non-core functionality
Low	Losses will be annoying but easily recoverable, requiring unusual scenarios or admin actions to be exploited.
Gas Optimization	Suggestions around gas saving practices.
Informational	Suggestions around best practices or readability.

1.3.1 Severity Classification

The severity of security issues found during the security review is categorized based on the above matrix. High severity findings represent the most critical issues that must be addressed immediately, as they either have high impact and high likelihood of occurrence, or medium impact with high likelihood.

Medium severity findings represent issues that, while not immediately critical, still pose significant risks and should be addressed promptly. These typically involve scenarios with medium impact and medium likelihood, or high impact with low likelihood.

Low severity findings represent issues that, while not posing immediate threats, could potentially cause problems in specific scenarios. These typically involve medium impact with low likelihood, or low impact with medium likelihood.

Lastly, some findings might represent improvements that don't directly impact security but could enhance the codebase's quality, readability, or efficiency (Gas and Informational findings).

2 Security Review Summary

Ethereum is a worldwide system, an open-source platform to write computer code that stores and automates digital databases using smart contracts, without relying upon a central intermediary, solving trust with cryptographic techniques.

From Feb 21st to Mar 27th Cantina hosted a competition based on the Ethereum Pectra upgrade. The present report focuses in the erigon implementation. The participants identified a total of **11** issues in the following risk categories:

• Critical Risk: 0

· High Risk: 0

• Medium Risk: 0

• Low Risk: 5

• Gas Optimizations: 0

• Informational: 6

3 Findings

3.1 Low Risk

3.1.1 Erigon incorrectly handles delegation removal

Submitted by zigtur

Severity: Low Risk

Context: state_transition.go#L437-L441

Description: The erigon node does not expose the correct code when an EOA sets a delegation and then remove this delegation. When removing a delegation, the code of the account is set to nil:

```
// 7. set authority code
if auth.Address == (libcommon.Address{}) {
  if err := st.state.SetCode(authority, nil); err != nil {
    return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
  }
} else {
```

However, due to this nil argument, the code is not set correctly to the account. The GetCode function used for the eth_getCode RPC interface retrieves the code of an account. However, due to the issue, the returned the code of an account does not match the code hash of this account. By adding logs capabilities in this GetCode function, the following logs were obtained:

```
2025-03-03 10:18:54 [WARN] [03-03|09:18:54.301] ZIGTUR: GetCode
2025-03-03 10:18:54 [WARN] [03-03|09:18:54.301] ZIGTUR: GetCode - ReadAccountData

→ acc="&{Initialised:true Nonce:4 Balance:[11515771281038350272 54210108 0 0]

→ Root:0x56e81f171bcc55a6ff8345e692c0f86e5b48e01b996cadc001622fb5e363b421

→ CodeHash:0xc5d2460186f7233c927e7db2dcc703c0e500b653ca82273b7bfad8045d85a470 Incarnation:0

→ PrevIncarnation:0}" err=nil
2025-03-03 10:18:54 [WARN] [03-03|09:18:54.301] ZIGTUR: GetCode - ReadAccountCode res="[239 1 0 180 180

→ 107 218 168 53 248 228 180 216 226 8 182 85 156 210 103 133 16 81]"
```

As we can see, the CodeHash of the account corresponds to the EMPTY_CODEHASH. So, we should expected the account code to be empty (i.e. []). However, the account code retrieved here is the previous delegation, it is not empty code.

Proof of Concept:

• Initial setup: Start a localnet with Erigon and Go-ethereum nodes and configure it so Prague is active. Download Erigon repository, then run in this repo:

```
git clone https://github.com/erigontech/erigon
cd erigon
docker build . -t erigon/erigon:pectra-zigtur
```

Download Go-ethereum repository, then run in this repo:

```
git clone https://github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum
cd go-ethereum
docker build . -t local/geth:pectra-zigtur
```

Clone the ethereum-package repository to start a kurtosis enclave. Replace the existing network_params.yaml file by the one in Appendix. Follow the quickstart if not installed. Finally, run the following in this repository:

```
kurtosis run --enclave my-testnet . --args-file network_params.yaml
```

Once all docker containers are started, the RPC ports for each node should be available. Take the RPC ones of execution clients and set them in the Python script.

Note: the Erigon rpc port is printed as ws-rpc while others node rpc ports are named rpc in the kurtosis output.

• network_params.yaml:

```
participants:
# EL
```

```
- el_type: erigon
   el_image: erigon/erigon:pectra-zigtur
   el_log_level: "
   el_extra_env_vars: {}
   el_extra_labels: {}
   el_extra_params: []
   el_tolerations: []
   el_volume_size: 0
   el_min_cpu: 0
   el_max_cpu: 0
   el_min_mem: 0
   el_max_mem: 0
    # CL
   cl_type: lighthouse
   cl_image: sigp/lighthouse:latest-unstable
   cl_log_level: "
   cl_extra_env_vars: {}
   cl_extra_labels: {}
   cl_extra_params: []
   cl_tolerations: []
   cl_volume_size: 0
   cl_min_cpu: 0
   cl_max_cpu: 0
   cl_min_mem: 0
   cl_max_mem: 0
   supernode: false
   use_separate_vc: true
    # Validator
   vc_type: lighthouse
   vc_image: sigp/lighthouse:latest-unstable
   vc_log_level: ""
   vc_extra_env_vars: {}
   vc_extra_labels: {}
   vc_extra_params: []
   vc_tolerations: []
   vc_min_cpu: 0
   vc_max_cpu: 0
   vc_min_mem: 0
   vc_max_mem: 0
   validator_count: null
   use_remote_signer: false
    # Remote signer
   remote_signer_type: web3signer
   remote_signer_image: consensys/web3signer:latest
   remote_signer_extra_env_vars: {}
   remote_signer_extra_labels: {}
   remote_signer_extra_params: []
   remote_signer_tolerations: []
   remote_signer_min_cpu: 0
   remote_signer_max_cpu: 0
   remote_signer_min_mem: 0
   remote_signer_max_mem: 0
    # participant specific
   node_selectors: {}
   tolerations: []
   count: 1
   snooper_enabled: false
   ethereum_metrics_exporter_enabled: false
   xatu_sentry_enabled: false
   prometheus_config:
   scrape_interval: 15s
   labels: {}
   blobber_enabled: false
   blobber_extra_params: []
   builder_network_params: null
   keymanager_enabled: false
# SECOND config
- el_type: geth
   el_image: local/geth:pectra-zigtur
   el_log_level: "DEBUG"
   el_extra_env_vars: {}
   el_extra_labels: {}
   el_extra_params: []
   el_tolerations: []
   el_volume_size: 0
```

```
el_min_cpu: 0
   el_max_cpu: 0
   el min mem: 0
   el_max_mem: 0
    # CL
   cl_type: lighthouse
   cl_image: sigp/lighthouse:latest-unstable
   cl_log_level: ""
   cl_extra_env_vars: {}
   cl_extra_labels: {}
   cl_extra_params: []
   cl_tolerations: []
   cl_volume_size: 0
   cl_min_cpu: 0
   cl_max_cpu: 0
   cl_min_mem: 0
   cl_max_mem: 0
   supernode: false
   use_separate_vc: true
    # Validator
   vc_type: lighthouse
   vc_image: sigp/lighthouse:latest-unstable
   vc_log_level: ""
   vc_extra_env_vars: {}
   vc_extra_labels: {}
   vc_extra_params: []
   vc_tolerations: []
   vc_min_cpu: 0
   vc_max_cpu: 0
   vc_min_mem: 0
   vc_max_mem: 0
   validator_count: null
   use_remote_signer: false
    # Remote signer
   remote_signer_type: web3signer
   remote_signer_image: consensys/web3signer:latest
   remote_signer_extra_env_vars: {}
   remote_signer_extra_labels: {}
   remote_signer_extra_params: []
   remote_signer_tolerations: []
   remote_signer_min_cpu: 0
   remote_signer_max_cpu: 0
   remote_signer_min_mem: 0
   remote_signer_max_mem: 0
    # participant specific
   node_selectors: {}
   tolerations: []
   count: 1
   snooper_enabled: false
   ethereum_metrics_exporter_enabled: false
   xatu_sentry_enabled: false
   prometheus_config:
   scrape_interval: 15s
   labels: {}
   blobber_enabled: false
   blobber_extra_params: []
   builder_network_params: null
   keymanager_enabled: false
network_params:
network: kurtosis
network_id: "3151908"
seconds_per_slot: 12
num_validator_keys_per_node: 64
preregistered_validator_keys_mnemonic:
    "giant issue aisle success illegal bike spike
   question tent bar rely arctic volcano long crawl hungry vocal artwork sniff fantasy
   very lucky have athlete"
preregistered_validator_count: 0
genesis_delay: 20
genesis_gaslimit: 30000000
max_per_epoch_activation_churn_limit: 8
churn_limit_quotient: 65536
ejection_balance: 16000000000
eth1_follow_distance: 2048
min_validator_withdrawability_delay: 256
```

```
shard_committee_period: 256
deneb_fork_epoch: 0
electra_fork_epoch: 1
fulu_fork_epoch: 200000000
network_sync_base_url: https://snapshots.ethpandaops.io/
data_column_sidecar_subnet_count: 128
samples_per_slot: 8
custody_requirement: 4
max_blobs_per_block_electra: 9
target_blobs_per_block_electra: 6
max_blobs_per_block_fulu: 12
target_blobs_per_block_fulu: 9
additional_preloaded_contracts: {}
devnet_repo: ethpandaops
prefunded_accounts: {}
additional_services: []
dora_params:
image: ""
tx_spammer_params:
tx_spammer_extra_args: []
spamoor_blob_params:
spamoor_extra_args: []
prometheus_params:
storage_tsdb_retention_time: "1d"
storage_tsdb_retention_size: "512MB"
min_cpu: 10
max_cpu: 1000
min_mem: 128
max_mem: 2048
grafana_params:
additional_dashboards: []
min_cpu: 10
max_cpu: 1000
min_mem: 128
max_mem: 2048
assertoor_params:
image: ""
run_stability_check: false
run_block_proposal_check: false
run_transaction_test: false
run_blob_transaction_test: false
run_opcodes_transaction_test: false
run_lifecycle_test: false
tests: []
wait_for_finalization: false
global_log_level: info
snooper_enabled: false
ethereum_metrics_exporter_enabled: false
parallel_keystore_generation: false
disable_peer_scoring: false
persistent: false
mev_type: null
mev_params:
mev_relay_image: ethpandaops/mev-boost-relay:main
mev_builder_image: ethpandaops/flashbots-builder:main
mev_builder_cl_image: sigp/lighthouse:latest
mev_boost_image: ethpandaops/mev-boost:develop
mev_boost_args: ["mev-boost", "--relay-check"]
mev_relay_api_extra_args: []
mev_relay_housekeeper_extra_args: []
mev_relay_website_extra_args: []
mev_builder_extra_args: []
mev_builder_prometheus_config:
    scrape_interval: 15s
    labels: {}
mev_flood_image: flashbots/mev-flood
mev_flood_extra_args: []
mev_flood_seconds_per_bundle: 15
custom_flood_params:
    interval_between_transactions: 1
xatu_sentry_enabled: false
xatu_sentry_params:
xatu_sentry_image: ethpandaops/xatu-sentry
xatu_server_addr: localhost:8000
xatu_server_tls: false
xatu_server_headers: {}
```

```
beacon_subscriptions:
   - attestation
   - block
    - chain_reorg
    - finalized_checkpoint
    - head
    - voluntary_exit
    - contribution_and_proof
    - blob_sidecar
apache_port: 40000
global_tolerations: []
global_node_selectors: {}
keymanager_enabled: false
checkpoint_sync_enabled: false
checkpoint_sync_url: ""
ethereum_genesis_generator_params:
image: ethpandaops/ethereum-genesis-generator:3.7.0
port_publisher:
nat_exit_ip: KURTOSIS_IP_ADDR_PLACEHOLDER
    enabled: false
   public_port_start: 32000
    enabled: false
   public_port_start: 33000
    enabled: false
   public_port_start: 34000
remote_signer:
   enabled: false
   public_port_start: 35000
additional_services:
    enabled: false
   public_port_start: 36000
```

• Monitoring: The code of an account can be checked with:

```
cast code 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 --rpc-url $RPC_URL
```

To facilitate monitoring, the following python script can be used. Create a python localenv with following commands:

```
python3 -m venv localenv
source localenv/bin/activate
pip3 install web3
```

Then start the following python script by replacing the given RPC urls with yours:

```
from web3 import Web3
import time
import logging
# Configure logging
logging.basicConfig(
   level=logging.INFO,
   format="%(asctime)s - %(levelname)s - %(message)s",
   handlers=[
       logging.FileHandler("code_monitor.log"), # Save logs to a file
       logging.StreamHandler() # Print logs to the console (CLI)
# Ethereum node RPC URLs (Replace these with actual node URLs)
NODE_URLS = [
    "http://127.0.0.1:50986",
    "http://127.0.0.1:51008"
# Ethereum account to monitor (Replace with the actual contract address)
ACCOUNT_ADDRESS = "0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776"
# Connect to nodes
web3_nodes = [Web3(Web3.HTTPProvider(url)) for url in NODE_URLS]
# Function to get the smart contract code at an address from a node
```

```
def get_account_code(w3, address):
       return w3.eth.get_code(address).hex()
   except Exception as e:
       logging.error(f"Error fetching code from node {w3.provider.endpoint_uri}: {e}")
       return None
def monitor_account_code():
   last_code = None
   while True:
       try:
           code_entries = {}
           # Fetch the contract code from all nodes
           for i, w3 in enumerate(web3_nodes):
               code = get_account_code(w3, ACCOUNT_ADDRESS)
               if code is not None:
                   code_entries[f"Node {i+1}"] = code
            # Ensure at least one node responded
           if not code_entries:
               logging.error("No nodes responded with code data.")
               time.sleep(3)
               continue
           # Check if all nodes report the same code
           unique_codes = set(code_entries.values())
           if len(unique_codes) > 1:
               logging.warning(f"Code mismatch detected for account {ACCOUNT_ADDRESS}: {code_entries}")
               logging.info(f"Account {ACCOUNT_ADDRESS} code verified successfully:
               # Check for changes in the code
           current_code = list(unique_codes)[0]
           if last_code is not None and last_code != current_code:
               logging.warning(f"Code change detected at {ACCOUNT_ADDRESS}: Old: {last_code}, New:
                   {current_code}")
           last_code = current_code
       except Exception as e:
           logging.error(f"Unexpected error in monitoring loop: {e}")
       time.sleep(3) # Check every 3 seconds
if __name__ == "__main__":
   logging.info(f"Ethereum Account Code Monitoring Started for {ACCOUNT_ADDRESS}...")
   monitor_account_code()
```

• Delegation: Then, start the following Go code twice. Please wait to have block number greater than 32 as Pectra activates in epoch 1:

```
go run script.go -step 1
go run script.go -step 2
```

Clone go-ethereum, go in the repo, create a script folder, go to this folder and import the following file as script.go.

```
import (
    "context"
    "crypto/ecdsa"
    "encoding/hex"
    "flag"
    "fmt"
    "log"

    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/common"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/core/types"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/core/types"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/crypto"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/crypto"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/ethclient"
    "github.com/holiman/uint256"
)
```

```
func main() {
   option := flag.Int("step", 1, "Select option 1 or 2 for authDel1 address")
   flag.Parse()
   rpcURL := "http://127.0.0.1:51022" // CHANGE PORT HERE
   privateKeyHex := "bcdf20249abf0ed6d944c0288fad489e33f66b3960d9e6229c1cd214ed3bbe31"
   toAddressHex := "0x72bCbB3f339aF622c28a26488Eed9097a2977404"
   // Connect to Ethereum client
   client, err := ethclient.Dial(rpcURL)
   if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Failed to connect to the Ethereum client: %v", err)
   defer client.Close()
   // Load the private key
   privateKeyBytes, err := hex.DecodeString(privateKeyHex)
   if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Invalid private key: %v", err)
   privateKey, err := crypto.ToECDSA(privateKeyBytes)
   if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Failed to parse private key: %v", err)
   publicKey := privateKey.Public()
   publicKeyECDSA, ok := publicKey.(*ecdsa.PublicKey)
   if !ok {
       log.Fatal("Failed to assert public key type")
   fromAddress := crypto.PubkeyToAddress(*publicKeyECDSA)
   nonce, err := client.PendingNonceAt(context.Background(), fromAddress)
   if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Failed to get nonce: %v", err)
   log.Printf("Nonce: %d\n", nonce)
   data := common.FromHex("f8a8fd6d")
   gasTipCap := uint256.NewInt(1000000000) // 1 Gwei
   gasFeeCap := uint256.NewInt(2000000000) // 20 Gwei
                                          // 1 Ether
   value := uint256.NewInt(0)
   toAddress := common.HexToAddress(toAddressHex)
   chainId := uint64(3151908)
   var delegatee string
   if *option == 1 {
       delegatee = "0x373E0B8B80A15cdf587C1263654c6B5edd195a43"
   } else {
       log.Printf("Delegatee: %s\n", delegatee)
   auth := types.SetCodeAuthorization{
       ChainID: *uint256.NewInt(chainId),
       Address: common.HexToAddress(delegatee),
       Nonce: nonce + 1,
       ۷:
               Ο,
               *uint256.NewInt(0),
       R.:
       S:
               *uint256.NewInt(0),
   signedAuth, err := types.SignSetCode(privateKey, auth)
   if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Failed to sign authorization: %v", err)
   tx := &types.SetCodeTx{
       ChainID: uint256.NewInt(chainId),
       Nonce:
                  nonce,
       GasTipCap: gasTipCap,
       GasFeeCap: gasFeeCap,
       Gas:
                   2000000,
       To:
                   toAddress,
       Value:
                   value.
       Data:
                   data,
```

```
AccessList: types.AccessList{},
        AuthList: []types.SetCodeAuthorization{signedAuth},
        ٧:
                   nil,
       R:
                   nil.
       S:
                   nil,
   // Sign the transaction
   chainID, err := client.NetworkID(context.Background())
    if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Failed to get network ID: %v", err)
   preTx := types.NewTx(tx)
   signedTx, err := types.SignTx(preTx, types.NewPragueSigner(chainID), privateKey)
    if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Failed to sign transaction: %v", err)
    // Send the transaction
   err = client.SendTransaction(context.Background(), signedTx)
   if err != nil {
       log.Fatalf("Failed to send transaction: %v", err)
   fmt.Printf("Transaction sent: %s\n", signedTx.Hash().Hex())
}
```

• Results: The monitoring shows the following:

```
2025-02-28 09:55:10,296 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
2025-02-28 09:55:13,311 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified

    successfully:

2025-02-28 09:55:16,330 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
\hookrightarrow successfully:
2025-02-28 09:55:19,353 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
\quad \hookrightarrow \quad \texttt{successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43}
2025-02-28 09:55:19,353 - WARNING - Code change detected at 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776:
\hookrightarrow 0ld: , New: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43
2025-02-28 09:55:22,376 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified

→ successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43

2025-02-28 09:55:25,399 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
\quad \hookrightarrow \quad \text{successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43}
2025-02-28 09:55:28,422 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
\quad \hookrightarrow \quad \text{successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43}
2025-02-28 09:55:31,434 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
  successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43
2025-02-28 09:55:34,469 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified

→ successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43

2025-02-28 09:55:37,488 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
\quad  \  \rightarrow \quad  \text{successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43}
2025-02-28 09:55:40,516 - INFO - Account 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776 code verified
\rightarrow successfully: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43
2025-02-28 09:55:43,541 - WARNING - Code mismatch detected for account
'ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43', 'Node 2': '', 'Node 3': '', 'Node 4': ''}
2025-02-28 09:55:43,541 - WARNING - Code change detected at 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776:
\hookrightarrow Old: ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43, New:
2025-02-28 09:55:46,559 - WARNING - Code mismatch detected for account
\ \hookrightarrow \ \ 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776: \ \cdot {\tt 'Node 1':}
   'ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43', 'Node 2': '', 'Node 3': '', 'Node 4': ''}
2025-02-28 09:55:49,581 - WARNING - Code mismatch detected for account
\ \hookrightarrow \ 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776: \ \cite{Node 1':}
   'ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43', 'Node 2': '', 'Node 3': '', 'Node 4': ''}
2025-02-28 09:55:52,603 - WARNING - Code mismatch detected for account
\ \hookrightarrow \ 0x8943545177806ED17B9F23F0a21ee5948eCaa776: \ \cdot {\tt 'Node 1':}
    'ef0100373e0b8b80a15cdf587c1263654c6b5edd195a43', 'Node 2': '', 'Node 3': '', 'Node 4': ''}
```

We can see that Erigon's node does not show zero code.

Recommendation: Erigon's node must be fixed to expose the correct code for EOAs. The following patch seems to fix the issue:

3.1.2 EIP-7702: Incorrect AuthRaw in transaction parsing from the mempool

Submitted by Haxatron

Severity: Low Risk

Context: (No context files were provided by the reviewer)

Description: To compute the authorization hash to sign we do the following:

```
0x05 ++ rlp([chain_id, address_ nonce])
```

In Erigon, the AuthRaw, which aims to correspond to rlp([chain_id, address_ nonce]) is extracted incorrectly from the transaction (pool_txn_parser.go#L471-L503):

```
for authPos < dataPos+dataLen {</pre>
   var authLen int
   authPos, authLen, err = rlp.ParseList(payload, authPos)
   if err != nil {
       return 0, fmt.Errorf("%w: authorization: %s", ErrParseTxn, err) //nolint
   var sig Signature
   p2 := authPos
   rawStart := p2
   p2, err = rlp.ParseU256(payload, p2, &sig.ChainID)
   if err != nil {
       return 0, fmt.Errorf("%w: authorization chainId: %s", ErrParseTxn, err) //nolint
   if !sig.ChainID.IsUint64() {
       // https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/pull/8929
       return 0, fmt.Errorf("%w: authorization chainId is too big: %s", ErrParseTxn, &sig.ChainID)
   p2, err = rlp.StringOfLen(payload, p2, length.Addr) // address
   if err != nil {
       return 0, fmt.Errorf("%w: authorization address: %s", ErrParseTxn, err) //nolint
   p2 += length.Addr
   p2, _, err = rlp.ParseU64(payload, p2) // nonce
   if err != nil {
       return 0, fmt.Errorf("%w: authorization nonce: %s", ErrParseTxn, err) //nolint
   rawEnd := p2
   p2, _, err = parseSignature(payload, p2, false /* legacy */, nil /* cfgChainId */, &sig)
   if err != nil {
        return 0, fmt.Errorf("%w: authorization signature: %s", ErrParseTxn, err) //nolint
   slot.Authorizations = append(slot.Authorizations, sig)
   slot.AuthRaw = append(slot.AuthRaw, common.CopyBytes(payload[rawStart:rawEnd]))
```

Here, the AuthRaw is extracted from the transaction is just the slice of bytes from the start of the chain_id (rawStart) in the RLP list to the start of the signature (rawEnd) in the RLP list. In essence, this is how the AuthRaw is being computed in Erigon:

```
rlp(chain_id) ++ rlp(address) ++ rlp(nonce)
```

Note this is very different from:

```
rlp([chain_id, address_ nonce])
```

Which includes the corresponding length prefix of the list. The impact here is that the the signer recovered in the TXPool is incorrect (pool.go#L1487):

```
signer, err := types.RecoverSignerFromRLP(mt.TxnSlot.AuthRaw[i], uint8(signature.V.Uint64()), signature.R,

→ signature.S)
```

Which also results in the transaction check from a user with pending authority failing (pool.go#L1505-L1513):

```
// Do not allow transaction from reserved authority
addr, ok := p.senders.getAddr(mt.TxnSlot.SenderID)
if !ok {
    p.logger.Info("senderID not registered, discarding transaction for safety")
    return txpoolcfg.InvalidSender
}
if _, ok := p.auths[addr]; ok {
    return txpoolcfg.ErrAuthorityReserved
}
```

Recommendation: Need to RLP encode the list [chain_id, address_ nonce] to correctly obtain AuthRaw, as done in authorization.go#L44-L63:

```
authLen := (1 + rlp.Uint256LenExcludingHead(&ath.ChainID))
authLen += 1 + length.Addr
authLen += rlp.U64Len(ath.Nonce)

if err := rlp.EncodeStructSizePrefix(authLen, data, b); err != nil {
    return nil, err
}

// chainId, address, nonce
if err := rlp.EncodeUint256(&ath.ChainID, data, b); err != nil {
    return nil, err
}

if err := rlp.EncodeOptionalAddress(&ath.Address, data, b); err != nil {
    return nil, err
}

if err := rlp.EncodeInt(ath.Nonce, data, b); err != nil {
    return nil, err
}

return RecoverSignerFromRLP(data.Bytes(), ath.YParity, ath.R, ath.S)
```

3.1.3 EIP-7702: Dangling authorizations in p. auths map in the mempool

Submitted by Haxatron

Severity: Low Risk

Context: (No context files were provided by the reviewer)

Description: Erigon tracks any pending delegations in the mempool using a p.auths map as shown (pool.go#L1505-L1513):

```
// Check if we have txn with same authorization in the pool
if mt.TxnSlot.Type == SetCodeTxnType {
                   numAuths := len(mt.TxnSlot.AuthRaw)
                     foundDuplicate := false
                    for i := range numAuths {
                                         signature := mt.TxnSlot.Authorizations[i]
                                         \verb|signer|, \verb|err| := types.RecoverSignerFromRLP(mt.TxnSlot.AuthRaw[i], \verb|uint8(signature.V.Uint64())|, \verb|uint8(signature.V.U

→ signature.R, signature.S)

                                         if err != nil {
                                                              continue
                                         if _, ok := p.auths[*signer]; ok {
                                                               foundDuplicate = true
                                                              hreak
                                         p.auths[*signer] = mt
                    if foundDuplicate {
                                        return txpoolcfg.ErrAuthorityReserved
}
```

As seen if a transaction has an authorization that is duplicate of another authorization already in the mempool, then foundDuplicate will be true and the transaction will be rejected with txpoolcfg.ErrAuthorityReserved error.

But if an EIP-7702 transaction has multiple authorization tuples, then previously created authorizations will be created in p.auths map before the transaction is rejected. This authorization will be dangling in the p.auths map as they will not be deleted when the transaction is rejected.

Recommendation: Consider tracking any newly added authorizations in a separate array and map before adding to p. auths map when the transaction is fully successful.

3.1.4 EIP-7702: Permanent DoS of an EOA that has performed a SetCodeTx due to improper handling in mempool

Submitted by Haxatron, also found by guhu95

Severity: Low Risk

Context: (No context files were provided by the reviewer)

Description: The new EIP-7702 transaction is handled differently in the mempool, with strict limit on how many pending transactions an EOA can have. However, how Erigon handles this transaction is problematic (pool.go#L1505-L1513):

```
// Don't add blob txn to queued if it's less than current pending blob base fee
if mt.TxnSlot.Type == BlobTxnType && mt.TxnSlot.BlobFeeCap.LtUint64(p.pendingBlobFee.Load()) {
   return txpoolcfg.FeeTooLow
}
// Check if we have txn with same authorization in the pool
if mt.TxnSlot.Type == SetCodeTxnType {
   numAuths := len(mt.TxnSlot.AuthRaw)
   foundDuplicate := false
   for i := range numAuths {
        signature := mt.TxnSlot.Authorizations[i]
        signer, err := types.RecoverSignerFromRLP(mt.TxnSlot.AuthRaw[i], uint8(signature.V.Uint64()),

→ signature.R, signature.S)

        if err != nil {
            continue
        if _, ok := p.auths[*signer]; ok {
            foundDuplicate = true
           break
        p.auths[*signer] = mt
   }
    if foundDuplicate {
        return txpoolcfg.ErrAuthorityReserved
}
// Do not allow transaction from reserved authority
addr, ok := p.senders.getAddr(mt.TxnSlot.SenderID)
    p.logger.Info("senderID not registered, discarding transaction for safety")
   return txpoolcfg.InvalidSender
if _, ok := p.auths[addr]; ok {
   return txpoolcfg.ErrAuthorityReserved
```

In particular in the above code, if the account has a pending authorization (which means a EIP-7702 transaction that is inside the mempool but has not been confirmed), which is stored in p.auths, then the account cannot submit anymore transactions until that transaction is confirmed. Here is the problem associated with this:

- 1. Firstly, anyone can submit a valid authorization tuple for an account that is different from the transaction sender. This means that it is possible to submit even an old valid authorization tuple, which will be added to a p.auths map.
- 2. If this occurs, the victim account will be stored in p.auths.
- 3. Effectively, the victim EOA can be permanently DOS from submitting transactions until the attacker's transaction that contains the victim authorization is removed from the mempool, the attacker can set extremely low base fee which effectively DoS it forever.

Note: Currently, the AuthRaw bug is blocking this bug from occurring, however when that bug is fixed, this bug will appear.

Also, when the AuthRaw bug is fixed, then self-sponsored SetCode transactions (where the sender includes their own authorization) will not even be possible because p.auths will be set before it is checked again and the transaction becomes rejected.

Recommendation: If the EOA has a pending delegation, then allow any transaction of the next nonce, this match the GETH behaviour.

3.1.5 Missing authorizations in NewMessage() When Converting Transaction of Type SetCodeTransaction into Message

Submitted by codegpt

Severity: Low Risk

Context: transaction.go#L376-L404

Summary: Missing authorizations in NewMessage() when converting transaction of type SetCodeTransaction into message would incorrectly process the transaction of type SetCodeTransaction (introduced in EIP-7702). Any invocation to this function will not work as expected. Consequently, all the relevant API calls (for example, eth_call) do not work for the transaction type SetCodeTransaction.

Finding Description: The function NewMessage() is intended to convert a transaction into a message of the following structure:

• core/types/transaction.go#L357:

```
// Message is a fully derived transaction and implements core.Message
type Message struct {
                      *libcommon.Address
   to
   from
                     libcommon.Address
   gasLimit uint256.Int gasPrice uint256.Int feeCap uint256.T
   maxFeePerBlobGas uint256.Int
                    []byte
   data
    accessList
                     AccessList
   accessure
checkNonce
                    bool
    isFree
                   bool
   blobHashes
                      []libcommon.Hash
   authorizations [] Authorization
}
```

However, it misses the inclusion of authorizations (last field in Message) when the transaction is of type SetCodeTransaction that is introduced in the EIP-7702. As a result, the resulting message does not have the authorizations, which could be problematic in any execution flow that invokes the function NewMessage() without authorizations in the transaction of type SetCodeTransaction.

• core/types/transaction.go#L376:

```
func NewMessage(from libcommon.Address, to *libcommon.Address, nonce uint64, amount *uint256.Int,

→ gasLimit uint64,

   gasPrice *uint256.Int, feeCap, tip *uint256.Int, data []byte, accessList AccessList, checkNonce
    → bool.
   isFree bool, maxFeePerBlobGas *uint256.Int,
) *Message {
   m := Message{
       from:
                   from,
       to:
                   to,
       nonce:
                   nonce,
       amount:
                   *amount
        gasLimit: gasLimit,
       data:
                   data.
       accessList: accessList,
        checkNonce: checkNonce,
        isFree:
                   isFree,
   if gasPrice != nil {
       m.gasPrice.Set(gasPrice)
   if tip != nil {
       m.tip.Set(tip)
   if feeCap != nil {
       m.feeCap.Set(feeCap)
   if maxFeePerBlobGas != nil {
       m.maxFeePerBlobGas.Set(maxFeePerBlobGas)
   return &m
}
```

For instance, the function NewMessage() is called in function ToMessage() when performing the eth_call API call:

• turbo/adapter/ethapi/api.go#L65:

```
// ToMessage converts CallArgs to the Message type used by the core eum
func (args *CallArgs) ToMessage(globalGasCap uint64, baseFee *uint256.Int) (*types.Message, error) {
    // Reject invalid combinations of pre- and post-1559 fee styles
   if args.GasPrice != nil && (args.MaxFeePerGas != nil || args.MaxPriorityFeePerGas != nil) {
       return nil, errors.New("both gasPrice and (maxFeePerGas or maxPriorityFeePerGas) specified")
    // Set sender address or use zero address if none specified.
   addr := args.from()
    // Set default gas & gas price if none were set
   gas := globalGasCap
   if gas == 0 {
       gas = uint64(math.MaxUint64 / 2)
   if args.Gas != nil {
       gas = uint64(*args.Gas)
   if globalGasCap != 0 && globalGasCap < gas {</pre>
       log.Warn("Caller gas above allowance, capping", "requested", gas, "cap", globalGasCap)
        gas = globalGasCap
   var (
       gasPrice
                         *uint256.Int
                        *uint256.Int
        gasFeeCap
        gasTipCap
                        *uint256.Int
       maxFeePerBlobGas *uint256.Int
   if baseFee == nil {
        // If there's no basefee, then it must be a non-1559 execution
        gasPrice = new(uint256.Int)
        if args.GasPrice != nil {
           overflow := gasPrice.SetFromBig(args.GasPrice.ToInt())
           if overflow {
               return nil, errors.New("args.GasPrice higher than 2^256-1")
        }
       gasFeeCap, gasTipCap = gasPrice, gasPrice
   } else {
        // A basefee is provided, necessitating 1559-type execution
        if args.GasPrice != nil {
           // User specified the legacy gas field, convert to 1559 gas typing
           gasPrice = new(uint256.Int)
           overflow := gasPrice.SetFromBig(args.GasPrice.ToInt())
           if overflow {
               return nil, errors.New("args.GasPrice higher than 2^256-1")
           gasFeeCap, gasTipCap = gasPrice, gasPrice
        } else {
           // User specified 1559 gas fields (or none), use those
           gasFeeCap = new(uint256.Int)
            if args.MaxFeePerGas != nil {
               overflow := gasFeeCap.SetFromBig(args.MaxFeePerGas.ToInt())
               if overflow {
                   return nil, errors.New("args.GasPrice higher than 2^256-1")
            gasTipCap = new(uint256.Int)
            if args.MaxPriorityFeePerGas != nil {
                overflow := gasTipCap.SetFromBig(args.MaxPriorityFeePerGas.ToInt())
                if overflow {
                   return nil, errors.New("args.GasPrice higher than 2^256-1")
            // Backfill the legacy gasPrice for EVM execution, unless we're all zeroes
            gasPrice = new(uint256.Int)
            if !gasFeeCap.IsZero() || !gasTipCap.IsZero() {
               gasPrice = math.U256Min(new(uint256.Int).Add(gasTipCap, baseFee), gasFeeCap)
        if args.MaxFeePerBlobGas != nil {
           blobFee, overflow := uint256.FromBig(args.MaxFeePerBlobGas.ToInt())
           if overflow {
               return nil, errors.New("args.MaxFeePerBlobGas higher than 2^256-1")
```

```
maxFeePerBlobGas = blobFee
        }
    }
    value := new(uint256.Int)
    if args.Value != nil {
        overflow := value.SetFromBig(args.Value.ToInt())
        if overflow {
            return nil, errors.New("args.Value higher than 2^256-1")
    }
    var data []byte
    if args.Input != nil {
        data = *args.Input
    } else if args.Data != nil {
        data = *args.Data
    var accessList types.AccessList
    if args.AccessList != nil {
        accessList = *args.AccessList
    msg := types.NewMessage(addr, args.To, 0, value, gas, gasPrice, gasFeeCap, gasTipCap, data,
    \hookrightarrow accessList, false /* checkNonce */, false /* isFree */, maxFeePerBlobGas)
    return msg, nil
}
```

In which the function ToMessage() is called by function DoCall():

• turbo/transactions/call.go#L43:

```
func DoCall(
   ctx context.Context,
    engine consensus. Engine Reader,
    args ethapi2.CallArgs,
    tx kv.Tx,
   blockNrOrHash rpc.BlockNumberOrHash,
   header *types.Header,
   overrides *ethapi2.StateOverrides,
    gasCap uint64,
   chainConfig *chain.Config,
   stateReader state.StateReader,
   headerReader services.HeaderReader,
   callTimeout time.Duration,
) (*evmtypes.ExecutionResult, error) {
   // todo: Pending state is only known by the miner
        if blockNrOrHash.BlockNumber != nil && *blockNrOrHash.BlockNumber == rpc.PendingBlockNumber {
            block, state, _ := b.eth.miner.Pending()
return state, block.Header(), nil
    state := state.New(stateReader)
    // Override the fields of specified contracts before execution.
    if overrides != nil {
        if err := overrides.Override(state); err != nil {
            return nil, err
   }
    // Setup context so it may be cancelled the call has completed
    // or, in case of unmetered gas, setup a context with a timeout.
    var cancel context.CancelFunc
   if callTimeout > 0 {
        ctx, cancel = context.WithTimeout(ctx, callTimeout)
   } else {
        ctx, cancel = context.WithCancel(ctx)
    // Make sure the context is cancelled when the call has completed
    // this makes sure resources are cleaned up.
   defer cancel()
    // Get a new instance of the EVM.
```

```
var baseFee *uint256.Int
   if header != nil && header.BaseFee != nil {
        var overflow bool
        baseFee, overflow = uint256.FromBig(header.BaseFee)
       if overflow {
            return nil, errors.New("header.BaseFee uint256 overflow")
   }
   msg, err := args.ToMessage(gasCap, baseFee)
   if err != nil {
       return nil, err
   blockCtt := NewEVMBlockContext(engine, header, blockNrOrHash.RequireCanonical, tx, headerReader,
    \hookrightarrow chainConfig)
   txCtx := core.NewEVMTxContext(msg)
   evm := vm.NewEVM(blockCtx, txCtx, state, chainConfig, vm.Config{NoBaseFee: true})
   // Wait for the context to be done and cancel the evm. Even if the
   // EVM has finished, cancelling may be done (repeatedly)
   go func() {
       <-ctx.Done()
       evm.Cancel()
   }()
   gp := new(core.GasPool).AddGas(msg.Gas()).AddBlobGas(msg.BlobGas())
   result, err := core.ApplyMessage(evm, msg, gp, true /* refunds */, false /* gasBailout */, engine)
   if err != nil {
       return nil, err
    // If the timer caused an abort, return an appropriate error message
   if evm.Cancelled() {
       return nil, fmt.Errorf("execution aborted (timeout = %v)", callTimeout)
   return result, nil
}
```

This function is invoked in the API eth_call, Call():

• turbo/jsonrpc/eth_call.go#L64:

```
// \ \textit{Call implements eth\_call. Executes a new message call immediately without creating a transaction on \\

    the block chain.

func (api *APIImpl) Call(ctx context.Context, args ethapi2.CallArgs, blockNrOrHash
→ rpc.BlockNumberOrHash, overrides *ethapi2.StateOverrides) (hexutil.Bytes, error) {
           tx, err := api.db.BeginTemporalRo(ctx)
           if err != nil {
                       return nil, err
           defer tx.Rollback()
            chainConfig, err := api.chainConfig(ctx, tx)
            if err != nil {
                       return nil, err
           engine := api.engine()
           if args.Gas == nil || uint64(*args.Gas) == 0 {
                       args.Gas = (*hexutil.Uint64)(&api.GasCap)
           \verb|blockNumber|, hash, \_, err := rpchelper.GetCanonicalBlockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNrOrHash, tx, blockNumber(ctx, blockNumber(c
             → api._blockReader, api.filters) // DoCall cannot be executed on non-canonical blocks
            if err != nil {
                       return nil, err
           block, err := api.blockWithSenders(ctx, tx, hash, blockNumber)
           if err != nil {
                       return nil, err
           if block == nil {
                      return nil, nil
```

```
stateReader, err := rpchelper.CreateStateReader(ctx, tx, api._blockReader, blockNrOrHash, 0,

→ api.filters, api.stateCache, chainConfig.ChainName)

   if err != nil {
       return nil, err
   header := block.HeaderNoCopy()
   result, err := transactions.DoCall(ctx, engine, args, tx, blockNrOrHash, header, overrides,
    → api.GasCap, chainConfig, stateReader, api._blockReader, api.evmCallTimeout)
    if err != nil {
       return nil, err
    if len(result.ReturnData) > api.ReturnDataLimit {
        return nil, fmt.Errorf("call returned result on length %d exceeding --rpc.returndata.limit %d",
        → len(result.ReturnData), api.ReturnDataLimit)
    // If the result contains a revert reason, try to unpack and return it.
   if len(result.Revert()) > 0 {
       return nil, ethapi2.NewRevertError(result)
   return result.Return(), result.Err
}
```

Note that the input args does not contain any Authorization that makes these APIs unusable for the transaction SetCodeTransaction:

• turbo/adapter/ethapi/api.go#L41:

```
// CallArgs represents the arguments for a call.
type CallArgs struct {
    From
                               *libcommon.Address `json:"from"`
                              *libcommon.Address json:"to"

*hexutil.Uint64 json:"gas"

*hexutil.Big json:"gasPrice"
    To
    Gas
                              *hexutil.Big json:"gasPrice"

*hexutil.Big json:"maxPriorityFeePerGas"

""""FeePerGas"
    GasPrice
    MaxPriorityFeePerGas *hexutil.Big
                                                     `json:"maxFeePerGas"
    MaxFeePerGas *hexutil.Big
MaxFeePerBlobGas *hexutil.Big
                                                    `json: "maxFeePerBlobGas"`
'json:"value"`
...
                               *hexutil.Big
    Value
                               Nonce
                              *hexutil.Bytes json:"data"

*hexutil.Bytes json:"input"
    Data
    Input
                              *types.AccessList `json:"accessList"`
*hexutil.Big `json:"chainId,omitempty"`
    AccessList
    ChainID
}
```

In case that user wants to perform the eth_call for transaction of type SetCodeTransaction, it will not work as expected due to the missing of authorizations in the resulting message.

Impact Explanation: The flawed function NewMessage() is invoked by the following APIs, which makes them unusable for transaction SetCodeTransaction:

- CreateAccessList().
- CallMany().
- TraceCall().
- TraceCallMany().
- EstimateGas().
- Call().

Additionally, it will also impact the Erigon node when running for Polygon network.

Likelihood Explanation: The case that all the aforementioned APIs do not work for the transaction Set-CodeTransaction definitely happens when user makes these API calls as they do not contain the authorizations in the transaction.

Proof of Concept: For simplicity, a simple unit test is provided for the function NewMessage():

```
package types
```

```
import (
    "bytes"
    "crypto/ecdsa"
   "encoding/json"
    "errors"
    "fmt"
   "io"
    "math/big"
    "math/rand"
    "reflect"
    "testing"
    "time"
    "github.com/holiman/uint256"
    "github.com/stretchr/testify/assert"
   libcommon "github.com/erigontech/erigon-lib/common"
    "github.com/erigontech/erigon-lib/common/fixedgas"
    "github.com/erigontech/erigon-lib/common/u256"
    "github.com/erigontech/erigon-lib/crypto"
    "github.com/erigontech/erigon-lib/rlp"
    "github.com/erigontech/erigon/core/types/typestest"
func TestNewMessage(t *testing.T) {
   var (
        fromAddr
                        = libcommon.HexToAddress("b94f5374fce5edbc8e2a8697c15331677e6ebf0b")
                       = libcommon.HexToAddress("095e7baea6a6c7c4c2dfeb977efac326af552d87")
        toAddr
                       = uint64(0)
       nonce
        amount
                        = uint256.NewInt(10)
        gasLimit
                        = uint64(1000)
        gasPrice
                        = uint256.NewInt(10)
                        = uint256.NewInt(10)
                        = uint256.NewInt(10)
        tip
                       = []byte{}
        data
        maxFeePerBlobGas = uint256.NewInt(10)
   msg := NewMessage(fromAddr, &toAddr, nonce, amount, gasLimit,
        gasPrice, feeCap, tip, data, nil, true,
        true, maxFeePerBlobGas)
   fmt.Printf("Authorizations in msg is empty? %t\n", len(msg.Authorizations()) == 0)
}
```

Test result:

```
=== RUN TestNewMessage
Authorizations in msg is empty? true
--- PASS: TestNewMessage (0.00s)
PASS

Process finished with the exit code 0
```

Since there is not input of authorization, the resulting message cannot contain any authorization.

Recommendation: Adding the authorization to all the workflow with SetCodeTransaction transaction to ensure the resulting message contain them.

3.2 Informational

3.2.1 EIP-7702: ErrAuthorityReserved discard reason is not handled

Submitted by Haxatron

Severity: Informational

Context: (No context files were provided by the reviewer)

Description: We can see the following discard reason ErrAuthorityReserved (txpoolcfg.go#L120):

```
ErrAuthorityReserved DiscardReason = 34 // EIP-7702 transaction with authority already reserved
```

The discard reason is not handled during String() when converted to string to display to transaction submitter during RPC call (txpoolcfg.go#L123-L188):

```
func (r DiscardReason) String() string {
    // ...
    case GasLimitTooHigh:
        return "gas limit is too high"
    default:
        panic(fmt.Sprintf("discard reason: %d", r))
}
```

The result is that the server returns method handler crashed when the error is triggered via multiple conflicting pending authorizations in the mempool.

```
Error: server returned an error response: error code -32000: method handler crashed
```

Recommendation: Handle it in the switch-case statement.

3.2.2 EIP-7702: Recommend preventing more than one pending transaction from accounts with a delegation designator

Submitted by Haxatron

Severity: Informational

Context: (No context files were provided by the reviewer) **Description:** As per EIP-7702#Transaction Propagation:

Allowing EOAs to behave as smart contracts via the delegation designation poses some challenges for transaction propagation. Traditionally, EOAs have only be able to send value via a transaction. This invariant allows nodes to statically determine the validity of transactions for that account. In other words, a single transaction has only been able to invalidate transactions pending from the senders account.

With this EIP, it becomes possible to cause transactions from other accounts to become stale. This is due to the fact that once an EOA has delegated to code, that code can be called by anyone at any point in a transaction. It becomes impossible to know if the balance of the account has been sweeped in a static manner.

While there are a few mitigations for this, the authors recommend that clients do not accept more than one pending transaction for any EOA with a non-zero delegation designator.

Erigon attempts to do this, but is flawed. In particular, Erigon only tracks any pending authorization of a pending transaction in the mempool, basically transaction that has not been confirmed (pool.go#L1481):

```
// Check if we have txn with same authorization in the pool
if mt.TxnSlot.Type == SetCodeTxnType {
              numAuths := len(mt.TxnSlot.AuthRaw)
              foundDuplicate := false
               for i := range numAuths {
                              signature := mt.TxnSlot.Authorizations[i]
                              \verb|signer|, \verb|err| := types.RecoverSignerFromRLP(mt.TxnSlot.AuthRaw[i], \verb|uint8(signature.V.Uint64())|, \verb|uint8(signature.V.U
                               \hookrightarrow signature.R, signature.S)
                              if err != nil {
                                             continue
                              if _, ok := p.auths[*signer]; ok {
                                             foundDuplicate = true
                                            hreak
                              p.auths[*signer] = mt
              if foundDuplicate {
                             return txpoolcfg.ErrAuthorityReserved
}
// Do not allow transaction from reserved authority
addr, ok := p.senders.getAddr(mt.TxnSlot.SenderID)
              p.logger.Info("senderID not registered, discarding transaction for safety")
              return txpoolcfg.InvalidSender
if _, ok := p.auths[addr]; ok {
              return txpoolcfg.ErrAuthorityReserved
}
```

If the transaction is confirmed in the block then the authorization is deleted from p.auths during removeMined which calls discardLocked to delete the authorization from p.auths. This means an account that have a delegation designator can send more than one pending transaction. In summary, Erigon only tracks the pending delegations in the p.auths map. However, both pending delegations and the current delegation designator of the account should be tracked as recommended by the EIP and done also by Nethermind and Geth.

Recommendation: Here is how Geth does the above by checking if the codehash of the account matches the empty code hash (legacypool.go#L613-L615):

```
// Allow at most one in-flight tx for delegated accounts or those with a
// pending authorization.
if pool.currentState.GetCodeHash(from) != types.EmptyCodeHash || len(pool.all.auths[from]) != 0 {
```

3.2.3 Erigon computes IntrinsicGas after applying EIP-7702 authorizations

Submitted by alexfilippov314

Severity: Informational

Context: state_transition.go#L456

Description: Processing EIP-7702 authorizations is computationally intensive, as it requires signature verification, state reading, and state updates. Therefore, it is crucial to ensure that a transaction provides sufficient gas before execution to prevent potential DoS issues. The issue arises because erigon applies EIP-7702 authorizations before computing IntrinsicGas, as shown in the following code snippet:

```
// set code tx
auths := msg.Authorizations()
verifiedAuthorities := make([]libcommon.Address, 0)
if len(auths) > 0 {
   if contractCreation {
      return nil, errors.New("contract creation not allowed with type4 txs")
   }
   var b [32]byte
   data := bytes.NewBuffer(nil)
```

```
for i, auth := range auths {
    data.Reset()
    if !auth.ChainID.IsZero() && rules.ChainID.String() != auth.ChainID.String() {
        log.Debug("invalid chainID, skipping", "chainId", auth.ChainID, "auth index", i)
    // 2. authority recover
    authorityPtr, err := auth.RecoverSigner(data, b[:])
    if err != nil {
        log.Debug("authority recover failed, skipping", "err", err, "auth index", i)
        continue
    authority := *authorityPtr
    // 3. add authority account to accesses_addresses
    verifiedAuthorities = append(verifiedAuthorities, authority)
    // authority is added to accessed_address in prepare step
    // 4. authority code should be empty or already delegated
    codeHash, err := st.state.GetCodeHash(authority)
    if err != nil {
        return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
    if codeHash != emptyCodeHash && codeHash != (libcommon.Hash{}) {
        // check for delegation
        _, ok, err := st.state.GetDelegatedDesignation(authority)
        if err != nil {
            return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
            log.Debug("authority code is not empty or not delegated, skipping", "auth index", i)
            continue
        // noop: has delegated designation
    // 5. nonce check
    authorityNonce, err := st.state.GetNonce(authority)
    if err != nil {
        return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
    if authorityNonce != auth.Nonce {
        log.Debug("invalid nonce, skipping", "auth index", i)
    // 6. Add PER_EMPTY_ACCOUNT_COST - PER_AUTH_BASE_COST gas to the global refund counter if authority
    → exists in the trie.
    exists, err := st.state.Exist(authority)
    if err != nil {
        return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
    if exists {
        \verb|st.state.AddRefund(fixedgas.PerEmptyAccountCost - fixedgas.PerAuthBaseCost)| \\
    // 7. set authority code
    if auth.Address == (libcommon.Address{}) {
        if err := st.state.SetCode(authority, nil); err != nil {
           return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
        if err := st.state.SetCode(authority, types.AddressToDelegation(auth.Address)); err != nil {
            return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
        }
    // 8. increase the nonce of authority
    if err := st.state.SetNonce(authority, authorityNonce+1); err != nil {
        return nil, fmt.Errorf("%w: %w", ErrStateTransitionFailed, err)
}
```

This means a transaction could include numerous arbitrary authorizations while setting a low gas limit. Despite this, erigon will attempt to apply all authorizations before ultimately rejecting the transaction due to running out of gas.

That said, in practice, such transactions would be rejected before reaching the transaction pool. This suggests that the only viable way to execute this attack is by being a proposer. Even if the attack was carried out, the worst-case impact would likely be that some validators relying on erigon might fail to propose blocks on time or miss attestations.

Recommendation: Consider verifying intrinsic gas before applying EIP-7702 authorizations.

3.2.4 FlatRequests. Hash implementation doesn't follow the specification

Submitted by alexfilippov314

Severity: Informational

Context: eip7685_requests.go#L54-L65

Description: EIP-7686 provides the following reference implementation for computing the requests hash:

```
def compute_requests_hash(block_requests: Sequence[bytes]):
    m = sha256()
    for r in block_requests:
        if len(r) > 1:
            m.update(sha256(r).digest())
    return m.digest()
```

Erigon uses the following implementation:

```
func (r FlatRequests) Hash() *libcommon.Hash {
    if r == nil {
        return nil
    }
    sha := sha256.New()
    for i, t := range r {
        hi := sha256.Sum256(append([]byte{t.Type}, r[i].RequestData...))
        sha.Write(hi[:])
    }
    h := libcommon.BytesToHash(sha.Sum(nil))
    return &h
}
```

This implementation does not strictly follow the specification, as it doesn't filter out empty requests. Here, an empty request refers to a request with a non-empty request type but empty request data. That said, at present, such requests do not occur during normal execution, so there is no impact.

Recommendation: Consider filtering out requests with empty RequestData.

3.2.5 Erigon is unable to estimate gas for transactions involving EIP-7623 or EIP-7702

Submitted by alexfilippov314

Severity: Informational **Context:** eth_call.go#L304

Description: The eth_estimateGas call fails for transactions involving EIP-7623 or EIP-7702. The issue arises due to the following reasons:

- 1. eth_estimateGas is implemented in the APIImpl.EstimateGas function.
- 2. Initially, this function attempts to execute the transaction with either the user-provided gas value or a default "high" gas value:

```
// First try with highest gas possible
result, err := caller.DoCallWithNewGas(ctx, hi, engine, overrides)
if err != nil || result == nil {
   return 0, err
}
```

3. It then uses the gas spent in this call as the lower bound for the gas estimate:

```
// Assuming a contract can freely run all the instructions, we have
// the true amount of gas it wants to consume to execute fully.
// We want to ensure that the gas used doesn't fall below this
trueGas := result.EvmGasUsed // Must not fall below this
lo = min(trueGas+result.EvmRefund-1, params.TxGas-1)
```

- 4. The 10 value here will likely always be set to 20999.
- 5. Erigon then performs a binary search to refine the gas estimate:

```
// Execute the binary search and hone in on an executable gas limit
for lo+1 < hi {
    mid := (hi + lo) / 2
    result, err := caller.DoCallWithNewGas(ctx, mid, engine, overrides)
    // If the error is not nil(consensus error), it means the provided message
    // call or transaction will never be accepted no matter how much gas it is
    // assigened. Return the error directly, don't struggle any more.
    if err != nil {
        return 0, err
    }
    if result.Failed() || result.EvmGasUsed < trueGas {
            lo = mid
        } else {
            hi = mid
      }
      i++
}</pre>
```

6. The issue arises because the next gas limit value is computed as mid := (hi + 1o) / 2. For transactions involving EIP-7702 and EIP-7623, this value might result in an intrinsic gas error:

7. As a result, eth_estimateGas execution fails with an error.

Proof of Concept: Run the following test with Erigon and other execution clients:

```
package main
import (
    "context"
    "fmt"
    "testing"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/common"
    "github.com/ethereum/go-ethereum/ethclient"
    "github.com/stretchr/testify/require"
func TestPocErigonEstimateGasEip7623(t *testing.T) {
    // 1. Generate huge calldata
    data := make([]byte, 40000)
   for i := range data {
       data[i] = byte(i)
    // 2. Prepare message
   msg := ethereum.CallMsg{
        To: &common.Address{},
        From: common.Address{},
        Data: data,
    // 3. Check the gas estimate for all RPCs. The RPCs correspond to Geth, Reth, and Erigon nodes,
    \hookrightarrow respectively.
   rpcs := []string{"http://127.0.0.1:32913", "http://127.0.0.1:32918", "http://127.0.0.1:32923"}
    for _, rpc := range rpcs {
        client, err := ethclient.Dial(rpc)
        require.NoError(t, err)
        gas, err := client.EstimateGas(context.Background(), msg)
        require.NoError(t, err)
        fmt.Println(gas)
   }
```

The request to an Erigon RPC should fail with an error.

Recommendation: Consider updating the lo value to lo = mid in case of an intrinsic gas error.

3.2.6 Erigon doesn't verify that executionRequests is not nil after Pectra

Submitted by alexfilippov314

Severity: Informational

Context: engine_server.go#L154-L161

Description: The engine_newPayloadV4 method has an executionRequests parameter representing EIP-7685 execution requests. While the specification does not explicitly mention this, all other clients reject requests where executionRequests is nil/null/None.

Example from geth:

The issue arises because Erigon accepts nil and effectively interprets it as []. On its own, this behavior does not cause issues if the consensus client functions correctly. However, if a bug in the consensus client causes it to send nil instead of [], all Erigon nodes paired with this consensus client will split from the rest of the network.

Recommendation: Consider verifying that executionRequests is not nil in the checkRequestsPresence function.