

**Questions based on lecture 10 (Multi-class classification)**

- (1) (1.0 pt.) Select all correct statements:
- (a) One-vs-all multi-class classification scheme always produces the optimal error rate for a classifier **False**, see e.g. slides "Example: sub-optimality of OVA classification"
  - (b) One-vs-one is more robust to uneven classes than one-vs-all **True**
  - (c) Comparing classifier scores in one-vs-all scheme is a meaningful way to break the possible ties **False**, the fact that scores returned by individual classifiers are not comparable is called the calibration problem.
  - (d) One-vs-one model is more prone to overfitting than one-vs-all **True**
  - (e) If one-vs-one model misclassifies an example  $\mathbf{x}$ , at least half of the binary classification models concerning its true class must have made an error **False**, at least one of them must have made an error.
- (2) (2.0 pt.) [Programming exercise] Implement the ECOC scheme for digits data given by the example code below (also given in materials as a python script). Use the perceptron from sklearn as the binary base classifier with the default parameters (i.e. instantiate it as `classifier = Perceptron()`). Investigate the effect the minimum hamming distance of the random codewords have on the accuracy of the ECOC multi-class classification. In what interval does the difference in accuracy for minimum 10 and 1 Hamming distances (i.e.  $\text{mean}(\text{acc}_{\min(\text{hamming dists})=10}) - \text{mean}(\text{acc}_{\min(\text{hamming dists})=1})$ ) fall? If none, then choose the closest one.
- (a)  $[0.25, 0.4]$
  - (b)  $[0.1, 0.25[$  **Correct answer (see separate model solution code for details)**
  - (c)  $[0, 0.1[$
  - (d)  $[-0.1, 0[$

**Hint:** you can calculate Hamming distances between all pairs of elements in  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  (on rows) with

```
from sklearn.metrics import pairwise_distances
pdists = pairwise_distances(X, Y, metric="hamming")*X.shape[1]
```

(sklearn's implementation divides with the length of the elements)

**Hint:** Create a random array of size (number of classes  $\times$  length of codeword) by

```
np.round(np.random.rand(nc, rcode_len))
```

**Hint:** When creating the random codewords of various lengths remember to check if the codeword is suitable; i.e. remove columns where there is only one label, and duplicate columns. Note also that the codewords themselves need to be unique.

**Questions based on lecture 11 (Preference learning, ranking)**

- (1) (1.0 pt.) Select all correct statements:
- (a) When in rankSVM  $y_{ij}\mathbf{w}^\top \Delta x_{ij} < 0$ , then the pair  $(x_i, x_j)$  is correctly classified **False**, a pair is consistently predicted if it has non-negative margin (see slide 23 of lecture)
  - (b) RankSVM corresponds to traditional SVM problem with  $\phi((x_i, x_j)) = x_i - x_j$  **True**
  - (c) Given a set  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of objects for rankSVM, there can be  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  dual variables in the dual formulation **True**

(2) (1.0 pt.) Alice and Bob wish to have a literature circle during the Covid, and consider

- Alice's adventures in Wonderland (AAW)
- Diskworld series (DW)
- Dune
- Foundation series
- Pride and Prejudice (PP).

Alice prefers the order  $AAW \succ DW \succ PP \succ Dune \succ Foundation$  while Bob prefers the order  $PP \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ DW \succ Foundation$ . To resolve the conflict they decide that they should read the books in order  $\sigma$  that has a small value  $d_{max}(\sigma) = \max d_K(\sigma_{Alice}, \sigma), d_K(\sigma_{Bob}, \sigma)$  where  $d_K$  is the Kendall's distance, meaning that  $\sigma$  is close to both Alice's and Bob's preferred order.

Which of the following orders would be the best for Alice and Bob:

- (a)  $PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ Foundation$   
 (b)  $AAW \succ PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ Foundation$   
 (c)  $PP \succ AAW \succ DW \succ Dune \succ Foundation$  **Correct answer**

### Solution

The pairs:

(AAW, DW)  
 (AAW, Foundation)  
 (AAW, Dune)  
 (AAW, PP)  
 (DW, Foundation)  
 (DW, Dune)  
 (DW, PP)  
 (Foundation, Dune)  
 (Foundation, PP)  
 (Dune, PP)

In the following sums the pairs are gone through in this order.

(a)

$$d_K(PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ Foundation, \\ AAW \succ DW \succ PP \succ Dune \succ Foundation) = 1 + 0 + 1 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 4$$

$$d_K(PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ Foundation, \\ PP \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ DW \succ Foundation) = 1 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow d_{max}(PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ AAW \succ Foundation) = 4$$

(b)  $d_{max}(AAW \succ PP \succ DW \succ Dune \succ Foundation) = 3$

(c)  $d_{max}(PP \succ AAW \succ DW \succ Dune \succ Foundation) = 2$