

Maxwell Language Design

F. Schuiki (fschuiki@student.ethz.ch)

February 26, 2014

Contents

1	Sequential Expressions aka Blocks	1
1.1	Future Work	1
2	Functions	1
2.1	Syntax	1
2.2	Bound Arguments	2
2.3	Contextual Calls	2

```
var b = r Real { r = sqrt(4); };  
  
// explicit tuple return value  
// c = (2,4), (Real,Real)  
var c = (r,s) { r = 2; s = r+2; };  
var c = (r Real, s Real) { r = 2; s  
    = r+2; };
```

1 Sequential Expressions aka Blocks

Since everything is an expression in Maxwell, there are no statements. Blocks { ... } in classic C-like languages are statements, i.e. they describe a computational step and do not have a value. In Maxwell, blocks also have a return value, depending on the syntax used for their definition. The following possibilities exist:

Implicit return value. In its simplest form, a block in Maxwell looks the same as in C. A list of semicolon-separated expressions enclosed in curly braces. The return value corresponds to the last statement in the block. { `expr0`; `expr1`; ... `exprn`; } = `exprn`;

Explicit single return value. The block expression may be prefixed by a return variable name which may be assigned a value inside the block body. The variable may be used like any other variable or function argument, and will be returned as the block's value. `r { ... r = exprn; ... } = r`;

Explicit tuple return value. Instead of a single return variable, the block expression may define a tuple of variables that will be returned as value. `(r,s) { ... r = exprn; s = exprm; ... } = (r,s)`;

Refer to listing 1.1 for an example of each type of sequential expression.

Listing 1.1: Sequential Expression examples

```
// implicit return value  
// a = 2, Real  
var a = { var k = 4; sqrt(k); };  
  
// explicit single return value  
// b = 2, Real  
var b = r { r = sqrt(4); };
```

1.1 Future Work

It might actually be possible to unify the definition of variables, function arguments and block return variables into one entity.

2 Functions

2.1 Syntax

Functions represent the core concept of the Maxwell programming language. They map a set of input arguments to an output expression. This expression can be anything from a simple arithmetic operation to a complex algorithm. Unlike most members of the C family of languages, functions in Maxwell are anonymous and need to be assigned to a variable or given a name in order to be called. The syntax for a function definition looks as shown in listing Listing 2.1.

Listing 2.1: Function definition syntax

```
-> expr // no arguments  
a0 -> expr // one argument  
(a1,a2) -> expr // multiple  
        arguments
```

Functions are objects that may either be defined in global scope (as you would do in classic C), or that may be assigned to a variable which may be called later. The two possibilities are outlined in listing Listing 2.2.

Listing 2.2: Giving names to functions

```
square: x -> x*x;  
var f = x -> x*x;  
// callable as square(...) and  
// f(...)
```

Note that the concept of return types the reader might be familiar with from the C language, arises

from Maxwell's sequential expression. By using a sequential expression as the function's expression, any number of return types may be realized. Refer to the example in listing Listing 2.3.

Listing 2.3: Function return values

```
square: x -> x*x
fibonacci: (x,y) -> x+y
invsquare: x -> r { r = x*x; r =
    1/r; }
swapinc: (x,y) -> (s,t) { s = y+1;
    t = x+1; }
```

```
// The following are equivalent:
a.add(b);
add(a,b);
add.bind(0,a)(b);

// Callback functions are easily
// defined:
var cb = a.add;
cb(5); // actually calls add(a,5)
```

2.2 Bound Arguments

Maxwell shall support the notion of Bound Arguments. That is, certain arguments of a function may be set to a constant value, thus yielding a new function which lacks those arguments. This concept is similar to Haskell's *currying*. This feature gives rise to contextual function calls (which C++ calls *member function calls*) and encourages software design that relies on callback functions, as well as functional design in general. The concept is illustrated in an example in listing Listing 2.4.

Listing 2.4: Example of function argument binding

```
add: (a Int, b Int) -> a+b;
var increment = add.bind("b", 1);
// add is a mapping (Int, Int) ->
// (Int)
// increment is now a mapping (Int)
// -> (Int)

var x = add(1,2); // x = 3
var y = increment(1); // y = 2
```

2.3 Contextual Calls

Consider C++'s member functions. They are functions which have an implicit function argument representing the object they are called on. For example `A a; B b; a.add(b);` is restructured to `A::add(&a,b)`, implicitly passing `a` as the first function argument. A similar concept is possible in Maxwell, yet in a more generic fashion. Typing `var x = a.add(b);` in Maxwell encompasses an argument bind as well as a function call. The expression `a.add` is restructured to `add.bind(0,a)`, thus creating a new function which has its first argument bound to `a`. Of course this expression by itself may already be assigned to a variable or given a name in order to call it later. Yet in this example the call expression `... (b)` immediately calls the function and returns its result. See listing Listing 2.5 for an example.

Listing 2.5: Contextual call examples

Listings

1.1	Sequential Expression examples . . .	1
2.1	Function definition syntax	1
2.2	Giving names to functions	1
2.3	Function return values	2
2.4	Example of function argument binding	2
2.5	Contextual call examples	2