Fan Bu Duke University - Department of Statistical Science

Application Exercise 9: Multiple linear regression

| Your name: | | | | |
|---|-------|---------|----------|-----|
| Write your responses in the spaces provided below. WORK! Concise and coherent are best! | WRITE | LEGIBLY | and SHOW | ALL |

Cigarettes and CO

The Federal Trade Commission annually rates varieties of domestic cigarettes according to their tar, nicotine, and carbon monoxide content. The United States Surgeon General considers each of these substances hazardous to a smoker's health. Past studies have shown that increases in the tar and nicotine content of a cigarette are accompanied by an increase in the carbon monoxide emitted from the cigarette smoke.

In this exercise we will work with data from 2007 on cigarettes sold in the US. Each row in the dataset represents a cigarette. There are 11 variables in the dataset:

- BRAND_NAME
- TYPE: Type of cigarette, REGULAR or MENTHOL
- NIC: Nicotine content, in mg
- TAR: Tar content, in mg
- CO: Carbon monoxide, in mg
- LEN: Length of cigarette, in mm
- FLTR: Filter, F or NF
- PACK: Pack type, HARD or SOFT
- STRENGTH: Strength of cigarette, ULTRA LIGHT, LIGHT, MEDIUM, REGULAR FULL, or FLAVOR
- STYLE: Some information of style of cigarette (not available for all cigarettes, and not used in this analysis)
- OTHER: Other relevant information (not available for all cigarettes, and not used in this analysis)
- 1. Suppose the full model uses the following explanatory variables: nicotine, tar, length, filter, pack, strength, and type. Describe, briefly, in your own words, how you would carry out model selection using the backwards elimination method based on adjusted R^2 .


2. The output of the model resulting from backwards elimination with adjusted R^2 is shown below. Evaluate the slopes of NIC and TAR variables. Are these results surprising? Why, or why not? Make sure to use appropriate terminology in your answer. *Hint:* The pairs plot will at the end of this document can be helpful for determining whether the results are surprising or not.


| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| (Intercept) | -0.5489 | 0.5395 | -1.02 | 0.3092 |
| NIC | -4.0406 | 0.4342 | -9.31 | 0.0000 |
| TAR | 1.0485 | 0.0441 | 23.80 | 0.0000 |
| LEN | 0.0350 | 0.0055 | 6.38 | 0.0000 |
| FLTRNF | -6.4925 | 0.3577 | -18.15 | 0.0000 |
| PACKSOFT | 0.5128 | 0.1046 | 4.90 | 0.0000 |
| STRENGTHLIGHT | 1.6804 | 0.2110 | 7.96 | 0.0000 |
| STRENGTHMEDIUM | 0.7339 | 0.4607 | 1.59 | 0.1114 |
| STRENGTHREGULAR | 0.2801 | 0.3059 | 0.92 | 0.3600 |
| STRENGTHFULL FLAVOR | 2.2447 | 0.3287 | 6.83 | 0.0000 |
| STRENGTHMEDIUM STRENGTHREGULAR | 0.7339 0.2801 | $0.4607 \\ 0.3059$ | $1.59 \\ 0.92$ | 0.1114 0.3600 |


- 3. Next, we try the following two models, and obtain the following adjusted R^2 values:
 - Option 1, remove TAR: lm(CO \sim NIC + LEN + FLTR + PACK + STRENGTH, data = cig07), adjusted $R^2=0.7066$
 - Option 2, remove NIC: lm(CO \sim TAR + LEN + FLTR + PACK + STRENGTH, data = cig07), adjusted $R^2=0.7857$


Based on these results which variable should we keep in our full model, nicotine or tar? Why?

4. In the remainder of the application exercise we will complete some inferential tasks based on the final model. Use the following plots to check conditions before to determine whether we can proceed with these tasks.









5. Provided below is the final model output. Construct a 95% confidence interval for the slope of the pack variable (PACKSOFT), and interpret it in context.

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|---------------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | -0.0586 | 0.5555 | -0.11 | 0.9160 |
| TAR | 0.7344 | 0.0293 | 25.07 | 0.0000 |
| LEN | 0.0267 | 0.0056 | 4.76 | 0.0000 |
| FLTRNF | -6.1949 | 0.3686 | -16.81 | 0.0000 |
| PACKSOFT | 0.5597 | 0.1081 | 5.18 | 0.0000 |
| STRENGTHLIGHT | 1.9077 | 0.2168 | 8.80 | 0.0000 |
| STRENGTHMEDIUM | 0.7900 | 0.4766 | 1.66 | 0.0976 |
| STRENGTHREGULAR | 0.5664 | 0.3149 | 1.80 | 0.0723 |
| STRENGTHFULL FLAVOR | 3.0920 | 0.3268 | 9.46 | 0.0000 |

Residual standard error: 1.836 on 1216 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.7871, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7857 F-statistic: 561.8 on 8 and 1216 DF, p-value: ; 2.2e-16

6. The ANOVA output below shows the sum of squares attributed to each variable separately. Based on this output which predictor is able to explain the highest portion of the variability in CO emission of cigarettes? What percent of the variability in CO emission does this variable explain?

| | Df | Sum Sq | Mean Sq | F value | Pr(>F) |
|-----------|------|----------|----------|---------|--------|
| TAR | 1 | 12216.31 | 12216.31 | 3622.74 | 0.0000 |
| LEN | 1 | 194.02 | 194.02 | 57.54 | 0.0000 |
| FLTR | 1 | 1675.48 | 1675.48 | 496.86 | 0.0000 |
| PACK | 1 | 169.17 | 169.17 | 50.17 | 0.0000 |
| STRENGTH | 4 | 900.44 | 225.11 | 66.76 | 0.0000 |
| Residuals | 1216 | 4100.50 | 3.37 | | |

