BNUMMET

VERSION 1

Code analysis

By: default

2023-04-23

CONTENT

Content	
Introduction	2
Configuration	2
Synthesis	3
Analysis Status	3
Quality gate status	3
Metrics	3
Tests	3
Detailed technical debt	4
Metrics Range	5
Volume	5
Issues	6
Charts	6
Issues count by severity and type	8
Issues List	8
Security Hotspots	10
Security hotspots count by category and priority	10
Security hotspots List	11

INTRODUCTION

This document contains results of the code analysis of BNumMet.

CONFIGURATION

- Quality Profiles
 - Names: Sonar way [Python]; Sonar way [XML];
 - o Files: AYZE7i3U2dITZFwgEli2.json; AYZE7jaV2dITZFwgEmPx.json;
- Quality Gate
 - o Name: Sonar way
 - o File: Sonar way.xml

SYNTHESIS

ANALYSIS STATUS

Reliability Security S	Security Review	Maintainability
------------------------	-----------------	-----------------









QUALITY GATE STATUS

Quality Gate Status

Passed

Metric	Value
Reliability Rating on New Code	OK
Security Rating on New Code	OK
Maintainability Rating on New Code	ОК
Coverage on New Code	ОК
Duplicated Lines (%) on New Code	ОК

METRICS				
Coverage	Duplication	Comment density	Median number of lines of code per file	Adherence to coding standard
98.2 %	0.0 %	42.9 %	210.0	99.8 %

TESTS				
Total	Success Rate	Skipped	Errors	Failures

96 100.0 %	0	0	0
------------	---	---	---

DETAILED TECH	HNICAL DEBT		
Reliability	Security	Maintainability	Total
-	-	0d 1h 30min	0d 1h 30min


METE	RICS RANGE					
	Cyclomatic Complexity	Cognitive Complexity	Lines of code per file	Comment density (%)	Coverage	Duplication (%)
Min	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	95.5	0.0
Max	296.0	269.0	2076.0	61.5	100.0	0.0

VOLUME	
Language	Number
Python	2076
Total	2076


ISSUES


CHARTS


Number of issues by severity



Number of issues by type







ISSUES COUNT BY SEVE	RITY AND 1	ГҮРЕ			
Type / Severity	INFO	MINOR	MAJOR	CRITICAL	BLOCKER
BUG	0	0	0	0	0
VULNERABILITY	0	0	0	0	0
CODE_SMELL	0	7	2	4	0

ISSUES LIST				
Name	Description	Туре	Severity	Number
String literals should not be duplicated	Duplicated string literals make the process of refactoring error-prone, since you must be sure to update all occurrences. On the other hand, constants can be referenced from many places, but only need to be updated in a single place. Noncompliant Code Example With the default threshold of 3: def run(): prepare("this is a duplicate") # Noncompliant - "this is a duplicate" is duplicated 3 times execute("this is a duplicate") release("this is a duplicate") Compliant Solution ACTION_1 = "action1" def run(): prepare(ACTION_1) execute(ACTION_1) release(ACTION_1) Exceptions No issue will be raised on: duplicated string in decorators strings with less than 5 characters strings with only letters, numbers and underscores @app.route("/api/users/", methods=['GET', 'POST', 'PUT']) def users(): pass @app.route("/api/projects/", methods=['GET', 'POST', 'PUT']) # Compliant def projects(): pass	CODE_SMELL	CRITICAL	1
Cognitive Complexity of functions should not be too high	Cognitive Complexity is a measure of how hard the control flow of a function is to understand. Functions with high Cognitive Complexity will be difficult to maintain. See Cognitive Complexity	CODE_SMELL	CRITICAL	3
Function names should comply with a naming convention	Shared coding conventions allow teams to collaborate efficiently. This rule checks that all function names match a provided regular expression. Noncompliant Code Example With the default provided regular expression: ^[a-z_][a-z0-9_]*\$ def MyFunction(a,b): Compliant Solution def my_function(a,b):	CODE_SMELL	MAJOR	2
Local variable and function	Shared naming conventions allow teams to collaborate effectively. This rule raises an issue when a local variable or	CODE_SMELL	MINOR	7

parameter	function parameter name does not match the provided
names should	regular expression. Exceptions Loop counters are ignored
comply with a naming convention	by this rule. for i in range(limit): # Compliant print(i)

SECURITY HOTSPOTS

SECURITY HOTSPOTS COUNT BY CATEGORY AND PRIORITY					
Category / Priority	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH		
LDAP Injection	0	0	0		
Object Injection	0	0	0		
Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF)	0	0	0		
XML External Entity (XXE)	0	0	0		
Insecure Configuration	0	0	0		
XPath Injection	0	0	0		
Authentication	0	0	0		
Weak Cryptography	0	0	0		
Denial of Service (DoS)	0	0	0		
Log Injection	0	0	0		
Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)	0	0	0		
Open Redirect	0	0	0		
Permission	0	0	0		
SQL Injection	0	0	0		
Encryption of Sensitive Data	0	0	0		
Traceability	0	0	0		
Buffer Overflow	0	0	0		
File Manipulation	0	0	0		
Code Injection (RCE)	0	0	0		

Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	0	0	0
Command Injection	0	0	0
Path Traversal Injection	0	0	0
HTTP Response Splitting	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0

SECURITY HOTSPOTS LIST