Relational Database Design Ch 14: 14-17 14.2 New ch 18: 15.1, 15.2 old Company Database
- why this design? - many designs possible - DB design theory. Goodness test 1) logical * ease of understanding * easy to formulate queries 2) physical * storage orguirement should be as minimal as possible. * easy to rebrieve 2 easy to update Emp- Dept Ename, SSN, Bdett, Address, Drumber, Drame, Dright SCN Problems (Informal design consideration)

* Insert: new dept with no employees.

* Delete: delete the last emp of a dept

> you lost the department.

* Update: Redundancy! mistake is data entry
- Research not Research

- different ngrs for sam dept.

- DO NOT combine 2 independent entities into one entity.
- minimize oredundancy e NULL values in tables: do not combine EMP & Dependent
- design should not generate false deta.

EMP-PROJ :

R: SSN, Pnumber, Hours, Ename, Pname, Plocations
Too much redundancy in

RI: EMP_LOCS: Engre Plocation

R2: Emp-Pooj-Locs: SSN, Pnumber, Hours, Pname, Plocation

RINR2 + R

Formal Design Considerations

Start with a relation that has all the attributes. Emp Proj:

(SSN, Pno, Hows, Ename, Pname, Plocation)

- 2) Specify the functional dependencies (fds) and the multivalued dependencies (mrds)
 - based on real-world relationships.
 - input by detabase designer
 - property of data.

SSN -> EName

- * SSN functionally determines Ename
- * Ename is functionally dependent on SSN.

Is this valid table?

If ename is John than SSN=1234. X

if A then B $A \Rightarrow B$ } equivalent not B then not A $7B \Rightarrow 7A$ Statements.

Example: if today is Sunday then school is closed.

if school is open (not closed) => today is not Sunday.

if school is closed today is surday.

- a) decompose the table with all the attributes into relations (i.e., tables) that are in normal form. (NF)
 - * a set of tables in NF does not suffer from insert, delete, update, join anomalies.

Original table: RDivide into RI, R2 where RI, R2 in NF.

Then $R = RI \otimes R2$