



Except where otherwise noted, the authors of "the 120days of *buntu" publish this book under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>
© 2011, Danja Vasiliev, Gordan Savičić (www.120buntu.com)

This publication and project has been financially supported by Fonds BKVB Amsterdam, The Netherlands and Bundesministerium für Unterricht, Kunst und Kultur Wien, Austria. Our two-month residency has been supported by the Austrian embassy in Brasilia and the Museo da imagem e do som Sao Paulo, Brazil.



Embaixada da Áustria
Brasília

*"Phloriano Cursero, Kalio de Zeeshal, Makro par Fumer and Shut la Worth
IS0late themselves for 120 days to violate and torture 46 virgin Ubuntu
systems. The earlier lifes of Linux libertines and their secret perversions
depicted in detail through those gory and bootable disk images."*

Excerpt from IRC-chat in #ubuntu-developers, December 2010




Foreword

The 120days of *buntu project follows a DIY approach not necessarily towards one goal, but towards many OS (operating system) interpretations. It employs one source, namely the code from the repositories of the popular GNU/Linux distribution Ubuntu and redistributes modified versions through the Internet and beyond. By cross-fertilizing, remixing and shamelessly making use of prejudices we create a collection of 120 essentially dadaistic yet humoristic and at the same time useless but bootable Linux-like distributions.

The modern computer operating system comprises an intimate interface for any user. Default systems are imposing many rules and dogmas onto the user which makes those systems very similar to our control-driven social environment. By breaking and modifying those rules user can liberate oneself and discover new facets of pre-determined paths, similar to strategies practiced in Situationism within urban environments. We envision the operating system as a contemporary replacement of urban space. Ubuntu, the most popular Linux distribution worldwide, has been chosen for its ubiquitousness and its pre-configured nature, just like Windows and OSX. However, what differs is that Ubuntu is open-source, meaning that any protagonist can adjust the system to ones own visions, conceptual thoughts and secret perversions.

The 120days of *buntu is an OS intervention.



Notes

Notes on 120days of *buntu
Geoff Cox, 2011

What kind of transgressions are imagined in the naming of this project? The 120 Days of Sodom, or the School of Libertinism, written by Donatien Alphonse François (aka Marquis de Sade) in 1785, famously depicts scenes of sexual violence and cruelty.¹ If operating systems act on the user as a form of violence, then are user interfaces similarly sadistic?² Are the alternatives offered here transgressive in line with de Sade's sense of the liberation of desire?³ Perhaps the operative violence needs explaining a little more before engaging with how libertarian attitudes might exceed the longings of free/libre software development. Software, like language, is bound to the constitution of subjectivity,⁴ and as such the user is violated by the operating system (OS) they use.

With the choice of Ubuntu, released as FLOSS (free libre open source software), the OS can be seen to tread a fine line between usability and the replication of proprietary forms, and thereby arguably misses the point.⁵ This partly identifies one of the problems of free software development more generally, and its ready recuperation; that its very success is part of its problem. Moreover, radical sharing communities that have emerged through projects like GNU/Linux are not alternatives to capitalism but new forms that express its ability to absorb social innovation and pervert it⁶ (capturing social imagination and desire⁷). Perhaps this is also what happened when Ars Electronica decided, in 1999, to award its Golden Nica not to an artwork but to the Linux operating system.⁸ So what is the intervention here in terms of operating systems more broadly (of art, of politics, of the body, and so on)?⁹

The point (if one is to follow the line of argument of Benjamin's "The Author as Producer"¹⁰) is that the producer should reflect upon their position within the production process like a technician, working at the level of the apparatus in order to transform it (and thereby transform social relations).¹¹ But has this become an orthodoxy these days, when alternative technical systems and creative activities seem to be released, then soon after effectively absorbed by free market ideology?¹² Taking de Sade as inspiration, the political struggle can be still characterised as between systems for liberating desire and mechanisms of control over the imaginary.¹³ 120 days of *buntu combines desire and imagination in this way, to open up new possibilities for socio-technical transgression.

1 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_120_Days_of_Sodom

2 Is our use of standard computer operating systems an example of masochistic desire, like organs without a body (making reference to Deleuze and Guattari, and their reference to Antonin Artaud)?

3 See Angela Carter's *The Sadeian Woman* for a libertarian feminist perspective on de Sade.

4 As Louis Althusser has described in his articulation of 'interpellation'.

5 See <http://distrowatch.com/table.php?distribution=ubuntu>

6 If the development of the Linux kernel challenges normative social relations, then the recognition of its recuperation is crucial.

7 Deleuze and Guattari might be further introduced here.

8 "Linux Torvalds Wins Prix Ars Electronica Golden Nica", Linux Today, http://www.linuxtoday.com/news_story.php3?ltsn=1999-05-29-003-05-PS

9 See Wizards of OS conferences, <http://wizards-of-os.org/>

10 Walter Benjamin, "The Author as Producer" (1934). His "Critique of Violence" might also be a useful reference.

11 There's an essay by Josie Berry-Slater that makes similar connections.

12 For instance, social media largely appropriated free software development. Commons-based peer production should be also mentioned here.

13 Here I am also paraphrasing Franco 'Bifo' Berardi's *Precarious Rhapsody: Semiocapitalism and the pathologies of the post-alpha generation* (2009).



ein offenes Betriebssystem hat nicht nur Vorteile

Faust von Sodom

Faust on Sodom

Florian Cramer

In his seminal 1971 book "Art et Ordinateur" ("Art and Computer"), the French cultural theoretician Abraham Moles observed that D.A.F. de Sade's *_120 Days of Sodom_* "anticipate the infinite play of permutteral combinations. In them, originality recreates itself from a repertoire whose elements consist of the partners of a group and their organs"¹. Guy Debord consequently accused Moles of dreaming of "the production of women with an *_n_* series of breasts"². But even this critique only affirmed the computational logic of sexual combinatorics established by Sade that has remained unchanged for pornography and organized forms of systematic excess ever since.

*_The 120 days of *buntu_* are firmly rooted in this tradition, but make it a point of departure for humorous cultural commentary. Each mutation of the computer operating system becomes a statement, not just by its visual aesthetic, but in its way of functioning. Unlike classical linguistics with its separation of syntax, semantics and pragmatics, syntax and operability become semantic statements. Hacker culture has traditionally insisted on the semantics of syntax, if we take popular t-shirts with "Alt-F4", the shutdown code for a Windows application, or " :(){ :|:& };:", jaromil's fork bomb for Linux and Unix-like operating systems.

In *_The 120 days of *buntu_* however, the statement is enforced onto people's everyday work environment, as if one was wearing a straightjacket or were forced to do carpentry with a hammer that had been oddly constructed for political-poetic reasons.

This straightjacket is what the poets of the French Oulipo group called a "constraint" ("contrainte"); the self-imposed rule, for example to write a novel without a single occurrence of the letter "e"³. In a 1980 children's book of the German novelist Hans-Joachim Schädlich, a character called the "language chopper" bribes a kid to give away letters of their alphabet, one after another, until it is muted⁴. What was a reflection of Schädlich's experience with East German communism turns, in the hands of Savicic and Vassiliev, into a - more lightweight, but not less sarcastic - image of contemporary popular culture and society in the various constraints superimposed by the various Ubuntu operating system variations.

The other tradition the project is part of is computer cultural. In 2000, Microsoft placed an ad in the German computer magazine *_c't_* that showed a penguin alongside three mutations of itself - with rabbit ears, a tail, a frog head, an elephant's trunk among others - and the headline that "an open operating system does not only have advantages"⁵. The ad alluded to the various distributions of Linux but also played with fears of viruses and trojan horses. But it also was a rare example of Microsoft being witty, ultimately bringing together and summing up the concepts discussed here: constraint, mutation, perversion. The Open Source community, however, reacted defensively, concentrating its efforts on creating a serious image of itself. This history has repeated with other open projects, such as Wikipedia, many times since. It took a whole decade after the ad until its subversive message was literally taken up and realized.

Very seriously though, _The 120 days of *buntu_ demonstrate the real creative potential and excitement of Open Source/Free Software. Too often, it is lost because its original developers are stuck in engineering mindsets, lacking the imagination or economic freedom to leave beaten paths. Ubuntu, with its dreadful efforts of creating an image of unity, simulating a corporate identity with the same branding and happy smiling faces known from Apple and others, was a welcome target for these perversions - the right piece of software to be fucked with.

What is wrong with dreaming of the production of operating systems with an *_n_* series of holes, 120 days and nights of backdoor penetration and eleven thousand devices to slap you in the face?

1 Translated from the German edition of the book: Abraham M. Moles, Kunst & Computer, Cologne: DuMont 1973 (Tournai 1971), p. 124

2 "tu rêves à la production de femmes à n séries de seins", Internationale Situationniste, Édition augmentée, Paris: Librairie Arthème Fayard, 1997, p. 411

3 Georges Perec, A Void, The Harvill Press, 1994

4 Hans Joachim Schädlich, Der Sprachabschneider, Reinbek: Rowohlt, 1980

5 "ein offenes betriebssystem hat nicht nur vorteile", c't, October 21, 2000

Distributions