

Forcing and the independence of the continuum hypothesis

Jesse Han

September 5, 2018

Abstract

In these notes, intended as the plaintext part of the Flypitch project, we give a complete account of the independence of the continuum hypothesis from ZFC, with special attention paid to comparing the different approaches: generic sets, Boolean-valued models, and double-negation sheaves.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 First-order logic

1.1.1 General logical symbols

Definition 1.1. We reserve the following general logical symbols:

\neg	not
\vee	or
\wedge	and
\forall	for all
\exists	exists
$=$	equals
$(,)$	parentheses
$(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$	variables

1.1.2 First-order languages

Definition 1.2. A (first-order, one-sorted) **language** \mathcal{L} comprises the following data:

- (i) A collection of **constant symbols** $\text{Const}(\mathcal{L})$,
- (ii) a collection of **relation symbols** $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{L})$,
- (iii) a collection of **function symbols** $\text{Funct}(\mathcal{L})$, and
- (iv) an assignment of each symbol $S \in \text{Const}(\mathcal{L}) \cup \text{Rel}(\mathcal{L}) \cup \text{Funct}(\mathcal{L})$ to a natural number $\text{arity}(S) \in \mathbb{N}$.

Whenever we interpret a language on some carrier set A , we mean for constants c to be interpreted as elements of $A^{\text{arity}(c)}$, relations R to be interpreted as subsets of $A^{\text{arity}(R)}$, and for function symbols to always be interpreted as functions $A^{\text{arity}(f)} \rightarrow A$.

Example 1.3. • The language of groups comprises a 1-ary constant symbol for the identity and a 2-ary function for group multiplication.

- The language of rings comprises constant symbols 0 and 1 and 2-ary functions for addition and multiplication.
- The language of set theory comprises just one 2-ary relation \in .

1.1.3 Terms, formulas, and sentences

Definition 1.4. A **term** is a string of symbols defined by structural induction as follows:

1. Any variable v is a term.
2. Any constant c is a term.
3. If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms of arities a_1, \dots, a_n , then (t_1, \dots, t_n) is a term of arity $a_1 + \dots + a_n$.
4. If t is a term and f is a function symbol with matching arities, then ft is a term.

Whenever we interpret our language on a carrier A , we mean for terms to be interpreted as functions into A which we can construct by composing existing constants (constant functions), basic functions (i.e. the interpretations of the function symbols), and variables (identity).

Definition 1.5. A **formula** is defined by structural induction as follows:

1. If t_1 and t_2 are terms of the same arity, $t_1 = t_2$ is a formula.
2. If t is a term and R is a relation symbol, and t and R have the same arity, then Rt is a formula.
3. If φ is a formula, $\neg\varphi$ is a formula.
4. If φ and ψ are formulas, then $\varphi \vee \psi$ is a formula.
5. If φ and ψ are formulas, then $\varphi \wedge \psi$ is a formula.
6. If φ is a formula containing a variable v , then $\exists v\varphi$ is a formula.
7. If φ is a formula containing a variable v , then $\forall v\varphi$ is a formula.

Definition 1.6. Let φ be a formula containing the variables x_1, \dots, x_n . We say that the variable x_k is **free** if x_k is not contained in a subformula of the form $\exists x_k\psi$ or $\forall x_k\psi$.

x_k is **bound** if it is not free.

Definition 1.7. A formula is a **sentence** (or **statement**) if it contains no free variables.

We write $\text{Formulas}(\mathcal{L})$ for all the first-order formulas of \mathcal{L} , and we write $\text{Sentences}(\mathcal{L})$ for all the first-order sentences of \mathcal{L} .

By convention, we always include sentences called “true” and “false”.

1.1.4 Predicate calculus and rules of deduction

Definition 1.8. A **propositional function** is a constructive $f : \text{Prop}^k \rightarrow \text{Prop}$, for some $1 < k \in \mathbb{N}$, which does not mention quantifiers. f is a **tautology** if $\vdash \forall \vec{p} : \text{Prop}^k, f\vec{p} \leftrightarrow \text{true}$.

Every propositional function can be reified as a function $\text{Sentences}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{Sentences}(\mathcal{L})$.

Definition 1.9. The **predicate calculus** comprises the following rules for deducing sentences from other sentences. We call deducible sentences **valid**.

- (a) (Rule of the propositional calculus) if \bar{f} is a reified tautology which takes k arguments, then for any k sentences A_1, \dots, A_k , the propositional combination $\bar{f}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$ is a valid sentence.
- (b) (Rule of modus ponens) If A and $A \rightarrow B$ are valid, then B is valid.
- (c) (Rules of equality)
 - (i) $\forall x, x = x$, $\forall x \forall y, x = y \wedge y = x$, and $\forall x \forall y \forall z, x = y \wedge y = z \rightarrow x = z$ are all valid.
 - (ii) Let $\varphi(x)$ be a formula whose only free variable is x . Then

$$\forall x \forall y, (x = y) \rightarrow (\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(y))$$

is valid.

- (d) (Change of variable) If A is a sentence and A' represents A with all instances of a variable x switched to y , then $A \leftrightarrow A'$ is valid.
- (e) (Rule of specialization “ \forall -elimination”) Let c be any constant symbol, and let $\varphi(x)$ be a formula whose only free variable is x . Then $(\forall x \varphi(x)) \rightarrow \varphi(c)$ is valid.
- (f) (“ \neg -introduction”) If $\neg A \leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow \text{false})$ is valid.
- (g) (Generalization of constants “ \forall -introduction”) Let B be a sentence which does not contain the constant c or the variable x . Let $\varphi(x)$ be some formula such that $\varphi(x) \rightarrow B$ is valid. Then $\exists x \varphi(x) \rightarrow B$ is also valid.¹
- (h) (de Morgan laws) Let $\varphi(x)$ have x as its only free variable. Let B be a sentence which does not contain x . Then the following are valid statements:

$$(\neg(\forall x \varphi(x))) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \neg \varphi(x))$$

$$((\forall x \varphi(x)) \wedge B) \leftrightarrow ((\forall x (\varphi(x) \wedge B))$$

$$((\exists x \varphi(x)) \wedge B) \leftrightarrow ((\exists x (\varphi(x) \wedge B))$$

Definition 1.10. Let S be a collection of sentences. We say that A is provable from S if there exist finitely many $B_1, \dots, B_n \in S$ such that $(B_1 \wedge \dots \wedge B_n) \rightarrow A$ is valid.

1.2 The completeness theorem

TODO(jesse)

¹In particular, using the next rule, if $\neg \varphi(c) \rightarrow \text{false}$ is valid, so is $\exists x \neg \varphi(x) \rightarrow \text{false}$, so is $\neg \exists x \neg \varphi(x)$, and therefore so is $\forall x \varphi(x)$.

- 2 Generic sets: Cohen's original proof
- 3 Boolean-valued models
- 4 Sheaves and filterquotients