

ÉCOLE CENTRALE DE NANTES

MASTER CORO-IMARO  
“CONTROL AND ROBOTICS”

2020 / 2021

Master Thesis Report

Presented by

Selvakumar Hastham Sathiya Satchi Sadanandam

On 17 May 2021

**Realtime human motion imitation by humanoid robot  
with balance constraint**

Jury

Evaluators:	Dr. Olivier Kermognant	Assistant Professor (LS2N, ECN)
	Name	Position (Institution)
	Name	Position (Institution)

Supervisor(s):	Dr. Sophie Sakka	Assistant Professor (LS2N, ECN)
----------------	------------------	---------------------------------

Laboratory: Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes LS2N



# Abstract

Humanoid robots are made as mirror to the humans. Consequently, the humanoid motion is also expected to be real as human and it is natural to use human motion as an input to generate humanoid motion. This process is called motion imitation and there are several challenges posed due to kinematics and dynamics of the robot over the past decades. Although the work on the kinematic challenges is actively improving and notably better than dynamics, it allows the robot only to move and imitate slow actions. For the fast paced motions, *momentum* gets build up and needs dynamics to be taken into account. Due to the differences in redundancy between humanoid robots and humans, real-time imitation in humanoid robots while keeping balance and support changes is still an unsolved problem that need to be addressed.

During this research, imitation based on dynamics with task optimisation will be implemented on humanoid robot *NAO*, from Aldebaran Robotics. The imitation will be carried out online using marker-based motion capture system from *Xsens*, specifically *Xsens MVN* system.

Initially the captured motion will be preprocessed for its representation in operational space and then will be scaled to the robot's dimensions. From this scaled motion, the desired poses are taken and joint spaces in *NAO* and human actor will be mapped using the scaling function directly. The scaling constraints and the joint angle limits are taken into account for this process. Body Segment Parameter(BSP) Estimation is carried out on the scaled frames and the approximated *mass and Centre of Mass (CoM)* are calculated based on the principles of Modified Hanavan Model. The balance equations and the CoM trajectory are taken as the optimisation problem for the motion imitation. Finally the work will be presented as non-differential optimisation problem considering dynamics equations of motion and will be validated real-time on the humanoid robot.

# Acknowledgements

I would first like to thank my thesis advisor Dr Sophie Sakka for her motivation and opportunity for the thesis topic. I would like forward my thanks to Prof. Olivier Kermorgant for his continuous motivation and help in informing students about thesis positions without which I would not have found the position.

I would also like to thank Prof. Ina Taralova for her indispensable advice regarding the rules and practices involved in the organisation of this report , which I have tried to implement to the best of my abilities.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and my friends for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this report. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them.

## Notations

CoM

Centre of Mass

## Abbreviations

CoM	Centre of Mass
ZMP	Zero Moment Point

# Contents

---

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
1.1	Measuring Human Movement . . . . .	10
1.2	Humanoid robots . . . . .	10
1.3	Motion Imitation . . . . .	12
1.4	Problem Statement . . . . .	12
1.5	Report Overview . . . . .	13
<b>2</b>	<b>State of the art</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1	Motion Imitation Problem . . . . .	14
2.2	Simplified Humanoid Model . . . . .	14
2.3	Balance control . . . . .	14
<b>3</b>	<b>Actual work</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Experiments</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Proof of theorem</b>	<b>18</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>18</b>

# List of Figures

---

1.1	Human Locomotion using Differential Equations . . . . .	10
1.2	Humanoid robots over decades . . . . .	11
1.3	A motion imitation performance by HRP-2 humanoid robot . . . . .	12



## List of Tables

---

# Introduction

---

Humanoid robots are expected to exist and work in a close relationship with human beings in the everyday world and to serve the needs of physically handicapped people. These robots must be able to cope with the wide variety of tasks and objects encountered in dynamic unstructured environments. Imagining an humanoid robot collaborates with humans to execute some daily tasks, learn actions from humans and even improve it's ability to teleoperate [1]. When a humanoid robot works in collaboration with human, the interaction through gestures and cooperation is essential.

Besides the satisfaction of human curiosity and imagination, the integration of humanoid robots in our daily lives makes sense for a variety of practical reasons. Robots resembling us would make human-robot interaction more natural and thus more intuitive and pleasurable. Moreover, if robots are to assist people in daily chores, they have to fit the human environment, which is suitable for *human morphology* [2]. Performing tasks with two hands, handling tools, climbing stairs, reaching shelves - to name only a few tasks - require our assistants to have a similar morphology to ours, so robots can adapt to human lives, instead of us having to do the opposite. Furthermore, research in humanoid robots directly contributes to the field of prosthesis and exoskeletons.

The challenges in creating such machines are numerous. Human bodies are energy efficient machines with extremely elaborated mechanics and incredible cognitive and perceptual abilities. Thus, the creation of humanoid robots requires advances in more areas than one, from the improvement of sensors and processing of information, to efficient control techniques and suitable mechanical structures with constraints in shape, size and weight to improve the resemblance to human beings.

In order to develop more autonomous interactions in humanoid robots, one of the major and main objective is that it should be able to imitate human motions precisely. This would be a major milestone to be achieved in the field of humanoid robots. Scientists and researchers, over the past decades are thriving to make the humanoid robot movements as close as human motions. Recently, the ability of the humanoid robots to teleoperate increases rapidly. To imitate the motion perfectly, the robot should be able to understand the motion; hence, the importance of motion capture systems has widely increased in robotics especially in humanoid robots.

Nowadays, a variety of technologies exist that allow for high accurate capturing of human motions with high frequency. By imitating captured motion, humanoid robots can be teleoperated and also learn new skills resembling human actions. However, there is a catch because the direct imitation of captured movements is impossible due to the differences in the degree of

freedoms and the weight distributions between humans and humanoids. Depending on the complexity of the motion, the challenge of motion generation increases due to various humanoid's constraints including the constraints in stability and the extended period of imitation.

## 1.1 Measuring Human Movement

Kinesiology is defined as the scientific study of human movement. To access human motion, Kinesiology involves principles and methods from *biomechanics*, *anatomy*, *physiology* and *motor learning*. Its range of application includes health promotion, rehabilitation, ergonomics, health and safety in industry, disability management, among others. The measurement of human movement is one of the tools that is central in this research field. In 19th century, various devices were built to produce the moving pictures, among those exists the most advanced technique named *chronophotography*. This device allowed to study fast paced human motions by recording and reproducing the captured motion [3]. Another major contribution in motion study is the study on the path of center of mass during human displacement [4].



Figure 1.1: Computation of human locomotion using differential equations by Weber Brothers [4]. The coordination between arms and legs was observed clearly.

The first experimental studies of human gait [4], i.e. determining physical quantities like inertial properties, were conducted by Christian W. Braune (1831-1892) and Otto Fischer (1861-1917). They considered the human body as rigid bodies in form of dynamic links in series. The work of Nicholas Bernstein (1896-1966) in Moscow introduced the 3D analysis based on cameras. The methods for measuring human movement continued improving with the advent of new technologies like electronics and magnetic devices, up until today's motion capture systems based on reflective markers, magnetic or inertial devices. Recently, there has been a huge development in the motion capture systems which evolved the motion study to newer dimensions (discussed in the later chapter).

## 1.2 Humanoid robots

The idea of building machines which look and move like humans has been explored by philosophers and mathematicians since antiquity. Nowadays, the concepts of such machines are a part of research in robotics. Humanoid robots can be thought of as mechanical, actuated devices that can perform human-like manipulation, including locomotion as their main skill for displacement. Well before the first modern humanoid robot, one of the biggest steps towards this objective was achieved in 1956 with the first commercial robot manipulator, *Unimate*, from *Unimation*. The automotive industry was the first to benefit from these kinds of manipulator

robots. Recently, the development of humanoid robots for education, research and services has proved the work in multiple ways.




Figure 1.2: Some Bipedal Android robots over decades [5] (a) First humanoid robot by Leonardo Da vinci (b) Steam Mam in 1865 (c) Electric man in 1885 (d) ELEKTRO in 1938 (e) BIPER - 4 in 1984 (f) Tron-XM in 1997 (g) H6 Humanoid robot in 2000 (h) Robot JACK in 2000 (i) GuRoo in 2002 (j) QRIO, Sony in 2003 (k) Partnar Robot, Toyoto in 2004 (l) TwentyOne in 2007 (m) REEM-A in 2007 (n) REEM-B in 2008 (o) NAO in 2008.

Current goals of research in humanoid robots include industrial and social applications in day-to-day life. A study was conducted by Tanie, [6] and is briefed below

- maintenance tasks of industrial plants,
- security service for home and offices,
- human care, teleoperation of construction machines.
- cooperative work

Since many studies have explored this aspect of robot motion and how to make robots more *human-like* and *human-aware*. Human Robot Interaction (HRI) is now a challenging research field and studies on the efficacy of humanoid robots in human environments are further proceeded.

## 1.3 Motion Imitation

Given the resemblance between humanoid robots and human beings, it is natural to look for inspiration in human movements in order to generate motion for the humanoid. The most straightforward way is to have the robot observe what the human does and reproduce that behaviour, i.e. perform imitation. After all, even human beings themselves are able to acquire skills by imitation and learning.



Figure 1.3: A performance based on motion imitation done by HRP-2 humanoid robot

If humanoid robots are to interact with human beings, it is imperative that their gestures are human-like since much of human communication is non-verbal. Programming each aspect of the motion detail by detail in order to make it human-like is time-consuming and not fit to handle the immense variety and complexity of human behaviours. Thus, imitation comes as a more natural and intuitive alternative to classical methods, since more information can be transmitted directly.

### Challenges in Imitation

Human motion cannot be directly transferred to the robot without choosing beforehand which affects the ocean or what is transferring. The most advanced human robots cannot move completely like a human being. The robots are limited by differences to human counterparts such as the number of degrees of freedom, link lengths, motor torques, etc. Robots which tried to reproduce the whole human body inevitably have never taken dynamic differences from the human body they are trying to represent.

While performing imitations, the physical differences have to be taken into account when mapping the register home movements to the robot morphology. This was addressed by Pollard et al. [5], who limited the captured human motion to a range achievable by the robot by locally scaling angles and velocities in order to preserve as much as possible local variations in the motion imitated by the Sarcos robot.

## 1.4 Problem Statement

Recently, almost every humanoid robots are able to walk and balance in flat indoor environments and there are robots proved walking on rugged terrains and uneven planes are possible and achievable. A lot of effort is being done to make them more autonomous by incorporating

the perception, planning and action loop. One of the ultimate objective of the humanoid robot, as mentioned before is to create the humanoid motion more human-precise. In this sense, robots require real-time imitation processed by higher reactivity compensating the unpredictable nature of human motion.

In humans, imitation is an advanced behavior whereby an individual observes and replicates the action of another human arguably with more accuracy and precision. However in humanoid robots, these kind of motion imitations are possible up to kinematic level thorough perception; the robot can be able to imitate the action posture using its predefined configurations and controllers. But to imitate the action at dynamic level, humanoid robots are still struggling to approximately copy the dynamic parameters applied during the action. Presently, to copy the action at dynamic level, feedback data from human during motion or action is mandatory. The motion data from human action is transferred using either marker-based or marker-less tracking systems. The main objective of the thesis is to define realtime dynamics motion imitation and validate it experimentally using an affordable humanoid robot. *NAO* from *Aldebaran Robotics*. The motion capture system used in this scenario is *Xsens MVN* from manufacturer *Xsens*.

## 1.5 Report Overview

# State of the art

---

- 2.1 Motion Imitation Problem
- 2.2 Simplified Humanoid Model
- 2.3 Balance control

# Actual work

---



# Experiments

---

# Conclusion

---

# Proof of theorem

---

# Bibliography

---

- [1] N. Fukaya, S. Toyama, T. Asfour, and R. Dillmann, “Design of a humanoid hand for human friendly robotics applications,” in *Human Friendly Mechatronics*, E. Arai, T. Arai, and M. Takano, Eds. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 2001, pp. 273 – 278. [Online]. Available: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780444506498500462>
- [2] C. C. Kemp, P. Fitzpatrick, H. Hirukawa, K. Yokoi, K. Harada, and Y. Matsumoto, *Humanoids*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 1307–1333. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-30301-5\\_57](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-30301-5_57)
- [3] B. Rosenhahn, R. Klette, D. Metaxas, and D. N. Metaxas, *Human Motion Understanding, Modelling, Capture, and Animation / edited by Bodo Rosenhahn, Reinhard Klette, Dimitris Metaxas.*, 1st ed., ser. Computational Imaging and Vision, 36. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands : Imprint: Springer, 2008.
- [4] M. Eadweard, *Muybridge’s complete human and animal locomotion : all 787 plates from the 1887 animal locomotion / by Eadweard Muybridge ; introd. to the Dover ed. by Anita Ventura Mozley,...*, ser. Collections of fine art in Dover books. New York: Dover.
- [5] M. Akhtaruzzaman and A. Shafie, “Evolution of humanoid robot and contribution of various countries in advancing the research and development of the platform,” 11 2010, pp. 1021 – 1028.
- [6] K. Tanie, “Humanoid robot and its application possibility,” in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Multisensor Fusion and Integration for Intelligent Systems, MFI2003.*, 2003, pp. 213–214.