## Using LATEX Shorthands for Math Notation

## Michael Friendly

March 8, 2024

In LATEX documents, I use a standard set of shorthands to keep math notation *consistent* and allow me to easily change how something is represented throughout an entire document.

```
\renewcommand*{\vec}[1]{\ensuremath{\mathbf{#1}}}
\newcommand{\trans}{\ensuremath{^\mathsf{T}}}}
\newcommand*{\mat}[1]{\ensuremath{\mathbf{#1}}}
\newcommand*{\diag}[1]{\ensuremath{\mathrm{diag}\, #1}}
\renewcommand*{\det}[1]{\mathrm{det}(#1)}
\newcommand*{\rank}[1]{\ensuremath{\mathrm{rank} (\mathbf{#1})}}
\newcommand*{\trace}[1]{\ensuremath{\mathrm{tr} (\mathbf{#1})}}
\newcommand*{\dev}[1]{(#1 - \bar{#1})}
\newcommand *{\langle inv \rangle [1] {\langle ensuremath \{\langle mat \{\#1\}^{-1}\} \}}
\newcommand*{\nvec}[2]{\ensuremath{{#1}_{1}, {#1}_{2},\ldots,{#1}_{#2}}}
\newcommand*{\Beta}{B}
\newcommand*{\Epsilon}{E}
\newcommand*{\period}{\:\: .}
\newcommand*{\comma}{\:\: ,}
\newcommand*{\given}{\ensuremath{\, | \,}}
\newcommand*\widebar[1]{\overline{#1}}
% R stuff
\newcommand{\pkg}[1]{\textsf{#1}}
\newcommand{\Rpackage}[1]{\pkg{#1} package}
\let\proglang=\textsf
\newcommand{\R}{\textsf{R}\xspace}
```

I like to use R for data analysis and graphics, but I've also used \proglang{SAS}

which prints as SAS. In R my favorite package is \pkg{ggplot2} which prints as ggplot2.

I love matrices:  $\mathtt{Mat}\{X\}$ ,  $\mathtt{Mat}\{Y\} \to X, Y$  are favorites. Transpose,  $\mathtt{Mat}\{X\}\mathtt{trans} \to X^\mathsf{T}$  turns them on their side. But I can change the notation for transpose T to ' with

## \newcommand{\trans}{\ensuremath{^'}}

There are diagonal ones too, like  $\diag\{(1,2,3)\} \rightarrow diag(1,2,3)$  which gives:

$$\mathbf{D} = \operatorname{diag}(1, 2, 3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Sometimes I like to talk about the  $\trace{}$  or rank{} of a matrix, as in

$$tr(\mathbf{D}) = 6$$
  $rank(\mathbf{D}) = 3$ 

A data ellipsoid can be defined as:

 $\label{eq:dsq} $$ \mathbf{E}_c ( \widetilde{y}), \mathbf{S} ) := \{ \vec{y} : \dev{\vec{y}} \n, \vec{S} ) := \ \hat{y} : \dev{\vec{y}} \n, \vec{S} \n, \vec{S} \n \end{equation} $$$ 

where  $\dev{\vec{y}}$  and  $\inv{S}$  simplify the notation. This gives:

$$\mathcal{E}_c(\overline{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{S}) := \{ \mathbf{y} : (\mathbf{y} - \overline{\mathbf{y}})^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}) \le c^2 \} . \tag{1}$$