My probability and statistics exercises

Evgeny Markin

2023

Contents

	0.1	Notation
1	Intr	oduction to Probability 4
	1.1	The History of Probability
	1.2	Interpretations of Probability
	1.3	Experiments and Events
	1.4	Set Theory
	1.5	The Definition of Probability
	1.6	Finite Sample Spaces
	1.7	Counting Methods
	1.8	Combinatorial Methods
	1.9	Multinomial Coefficients
	1.10	The Probability of a Union of Events
2	Con	ditional Probability 15
	2.1	Definition of Conditional Probability
	2.2	Independent Events
	2.3	Bayes' Theorem
	2.4	The Gambler's Ruin Promlem
3	Ran	dom Variables and Distributions 24
	3.1	Random Variables and Discrete Distributions
	3.2	Continous Distributions
	3.3	The Cumulative Distribution Function
	3.4	Bivariate Distributions
	3.5	Marginal Distributions
	3.6	Conditional Distributions
		3.6.1
	3.7	Multivariate Distributions
	3.8	Functions of a Random Variable
		3.8.1

CONTENTS	2
----------	---

	3.8.2																																	
	3.8.3																																	
	3.8.4																																	
	3.8.5																																	
3.9	Function	ıs	О	f	Τ	w	О	o	r	M	Ol	e	R	\mathbf{a}	n	de	m	1	Va	ıri	ia	bl	es	3										
	3.9.1																															,		
	3.9.2																															,		
	3.9.3																																	

Preface

0.1 Notation

Some notable deviations from book's notation are presented here

- 1. Sometimes instead of p.d.f. and the likes of it, we write PDF
- 2. Countable set is defined as a set that has an injection into naturals (i.e. countably infinite and finite)

Chapter 1

Introduction to Probability

- 1.1 The History of Probability
- 1.2 Interpretations of Probability
- 1.3 Experiments and Events
- 1.4 Set Theory

Although the section is not pretty complex, some definitions can use a tad bit of rigor.

Sample space is a set. An element of this set is called an outcome.

Event is a subspace of a sample space. Sometimes elements of sample space (i.e. outcomes) are called elementary events in some litrature. It might also be the case that elementary outcomes denote singletons (i.e. sets with one element) that contain an element of a sample space (i.e. an outcome). Same idea can be applies to the term "outcome" (i.e. sometimes singletons are reffered to as an outcome)

Although this books says that any given subset of a sample space is an event, Cormen's book notes that there are some restrictions when it comes to whacky subsets of uncountably infinite sets. I guess that eventually MIRA will place everything in order.

Event that is equal to the sample space is called a certain event. Empty event is called a null set. Disjoint events are called mutually exclusive. It doesn't take a genius to figure out that elementary events are mutually exclusive.

If S is a sample space, and $f: \mathcal{P}(S) \to R$ is a function such that

- 1. range $(f) \subseteq [0, \infty)$
- 2. f(S) = 1
- 3. if A is a countable set of events (i.e. $K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S)$ and $|K| \leq_c \omega$), and it is indexed by ω (i.e. $A = \{A_1, A_2, ...\}$) then $f(\bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i) = \sum f(A_i)$

then f is called a probability measure, or just probability. Canonical representation of probability measure is Pr and above mentioned items are usually referred to as axioms of probability.

Definitions for this note were compiled from the book itself, book on measure theory (MIRA by Axler) and general notes from the internet. Some definitions and terms were also borrowed from the Cormen's (et. al) book on algorithms (Appendix C). As of the time of writing this, the course on Cormen's book is currently ongoing within the same project, and MIRA is in the backlog.

Exercises in this section (or exercises similar to them) are handled in the set theory course

1.5 The Definition of Probability

1	2/5
2	0.7
3a	1/2
3b	1/6
3c	3/8
4	0.6
5	0.4
6	0.5
8	30
11a	1 - $\pi/4$
11b	0.75
11c	2/3
11d	0
14a	0.38, 0.16
14b	0.04

A little notation, related to 6:

$$Pr(A) = 0.5$$

$$Pr(B) = 0.2$$

$$Pr(A \cap B) = 0.1$$

$$Pr(A \cup B) = 0.6$$

$$Pr((A \cup B) \cap (A \cap B)^c) = P(A \cup B) - P((A \cup B) \cap (A \cap B)) = P(A \cup B) - P(A \cap B) = 0.5$$

1.5.7

If Pr(A) = 0.4 and Pr(B) = 0.7, then we follow that the maximum $Pr(A \cap B)$ is attained if $A \subset B$, in which case $Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A) = 0.4$. The minimum is obtained if $A \cup B = S$, in which case $Pr(A \cap B) = 0.1$

1.5.9

The event that exactly one of the events occurs can be expressed as

$$(A \cap B^c) \cup (A^c \cap B)$$

which comes from either the definition of xor, common sense or something else, depending on your preferences. Thus we follow that

$$Pr((A \cap B^{c}) \cup (A^{c} \cap B)) = Pr(A \cap B^{c}) + Pr(A^{c} \cap B) - Pr((A \cap B^{c}) \cap (A^{c} \cap B)) =$$

$$= Pr(A \cap B^{c}) + Pr(A^{c} \cap B) - Pr((A \cap A^{c}) \cap (B^{c} \cap B)) =$$

$$= Pr(A \cap B^{c}) + Pr(A^{c} \cap B) = Pr(A) - Pr(A \cap B) + Pr(B) - Pr(B \cap A) =$$

$$= Pr(A) - Pr(A \cap B) + Pr(B) - Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A) + Pr(B) - 2Pr(A \cap B)$$

as desired (rules used in this derivitation: association of unions, $A \cap A^c = \emptyset$ and other trivial stuff)

1.5.10

$$Pr(A \cap B^c) = Pr(A) - Pr(A \cap B)$$

$$Pr(A \cap B^c) + Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A)$$

as desired.

1.5.12

Suppose that $n > m \in N$. Then we follow that by definition

$$B_m \subseteq A_m$$

and

$$B_n \subseteq A_m^c$$

thus we follow that

$$B_m \cap B_n \subseteq A_m \cap A_m^c = \emptyset$$

thus

$$B_m \cap B_n = \emptyset$$

therefore we conclude that $B_1, B_2...$ are disjoint sets. Thus we follow that

$$Pr(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr(B_i)$$

For n=2 we've got that

$$B_1 \cup B_2 = A_1 \cup (A_1^c \cap A_2) = (A_1 \cup A_1^c) \cap (A_1 \cup A_2) = A_1 \cup A_2$$

and by induction we can follow that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i$$

thus

$$Pr(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr(B_i)$$

implies that

$$Pr(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Pr(B_i)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given that n is arbitrary, we can follow that

$$Pr(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Pr(B_i)$$

as desired.

1.5.13

First equation follow from induction on the result that

$$Pr(A \cup B) \le Pr(A) + Pr(B)$$

the second equation follows from the first equation, DeMorgan laws and induction on the form

$$Pr(A \cap B) = Pr((A^c \cup B^c)^c) = 1 - Pr(A^c \cup B^c) \ge 1 - (Pr(A^c) + Pr(B^c))$$

1.5.14

$$Pr(A) = 0.34$$

$$Pr(B) = 0.12$$

$$Pr(O) = 0.5$$

$$Pr(AB) = 1 - 0.34 - 0.12 - 0.5 = 0.04$$

$$Pr(a - A) = 0.34 + 0.04 = 0.38$$

$$Pr(a - B) = 0.12 + 0.04 = 0.16$$

1.6 Finite Sample Spaces

1	1/2
2	1/2
3	2/3
4	1/7
5	4/7
6	1/4
8b	1/4

1.6.7

The possible genotypes are Aa and aa with probabilities 1/2 and 1/2 respectively

1.6.8a

The sample space of the experiment is $\{heads, tails\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$,

1.7 Counting Methods

1	14
2	9000
3	120
4	24
5	5/18
6	5/324
7	0.014731
8	360 / 2401
9	1 / 20
10a	r/100
10b	r/100
10c	r/100

1.7.11

$$s(n) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n \approx \log n!$$
$$\log n! - \log(n - m)! = \log\frac{n!}{(n - m)!}$$
$$s(n) - s(n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (\frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + ((n - m) + \frac{1}{2})\log n - m - (n - m)) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log(2\pi) + (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log(2\pi) + (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log(2\pi) + (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\log(2\pi) + (n - m) = \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi) + (n - m) =$$

$$= (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - n - ((n - m) + \frac{1}{2})\log (n - m) + (n - m) =$$

$$= (n + \frac{1}{2})\log n - ((n - m) + \frac{1}{2})\log (n - m) - m \approx \log \frac{n!}{(n - m)!}$$

$$P(n, m) = \frac{n!}{(n - m)!} = \exp(s(n) - s(n - m))$$

1.8 Combinatorial Methods

1	184756
$\parallel 2$	latter
3	equal
\parallel 4	1 / 10626
5	-
6	$2/\mathrm{n}$
\parallel 7	(n - k - 1)/C(n, k)
8	(n - k)/C(n, k)
9	(n+1)/C(2n, n)
10	$15/92 \approx 0.16304$
11	$1/75 \approx 0.01333$
12	$69/119 \approx 0.57983$
13	$173/1518 \approx 0.114$
14	-
15	-
16a	$48/175 \approx 0.27429$
16b	$2^{50}/C(100,50) \approx 0$
17	$4C(13,4)/C(52,4) = 44/4165 \approx 0.0105$
18	$C(20,2)^5/C(100,10) \approx 0.0143$
19	-
20	-
21	C(365 + 7 - 1, 7)
22	-

1.8.5

Prove that

$$\frac{\prod_{4155 \le i \le 4251} i}{\prod_{2 < i < 97} i}$$

 $is\ an\ integer$

$$\frac{\prod_{4155 \le i \le 4251} i}{\prod_{2 \le i \le 97} i} = \frac{\prod_{4155 \le i \le 4251} i}{\prod_{1 \le i \le 97} i} =$$

$$=\frac{\prod_{4155\leq i\leq 4251}i}{97!}=\frac{4251!}{4154!97!}=\frac{4251!}{4154!(4251-4174)!}=C(4251,4154)$$

and binomial coefficients are integers (pretty sure that we can follow that by induction in some more advanced course).

1.8.10

There are total of C(24, 10) possible subsets of length 10 in the space of 24. We follow that there are C(22, 8) ways to pick 8 normal bulbs, which is what required to pick 2 defective bulbs. Therefore the probability is

$$\frac{C(22,8)}{C(24,10)} = 15/92 \approx 0.16304...$$

1.8.12

Using the same logic as in 1.8.10, there is a possibility $\frac{C(33,8)}{C(35,10)}$ that same two guys will be in the first team, and probability of $\frac{C(33,23)}{C(35,10)}$ that they'll be in the other team. Thus the total probability is the sum of two.

1.8.14

Prove that for all positive integers n, k such that $n \geq k$

$$C(n,k) + C(n,k-1) = C(n+1,k)$$

$$C(n,k) + C(n,k-1) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} + \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!(k-1)!} =$$

$$= \frac{n!}{k(n-k)!(k-1)!} + \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)(n-k)!(k-1)!} =$$

$$= \frac{(n-k+1)n!}{k(n-k+1)(n-k)!(k-1)!} + \frac{kn!}{k(n-k+1)(n-k)!(k-1)!} =$$

$$= \frac{(n-k+1)n! + kn!}{k(n-k+1)(n-k)!(k-1)!} = \frac{n!((n-k+1)+k)}{k(n-k+1)(n-k)!(k-1)!} =$$

$$= \frac{n!(n+1)}{k(n-k+1)(n-k)!(k-1)!} = \frac{(n+1)!}{((n+1)-k)!k!} = C(n+1,k)$$

as desired.

1.8.15

(a) Prove that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} C(n,i) = 2^n$$

We can follow that from the fact that there are 2^n subsets of any given finite set, which means that the number of subsets of different lengths sums up to 2^n .

Another way to do this is to use binomial theorem:

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)x^k y^{n-k}$$

thus if we subisitute x and y for 1, we get

$$(1+1)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i) 1^k 1^{n-k}$$

$$2^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)$$

(b) Prove that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} C(n, i) = 0$$

I'm sure that there is a neat explanation for this one as well, but using the binomial theorem once again, but now substituting 1 for x and -1 for y we get

$$(1-1)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)1^i(-1)^{n-i}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} C(n,i)1^{i}(-1)^{n-i} = 0$$

we can follow through the even-odd argument that $1^{i}(-1)^{n-i}=(-1)^{i}$, but I'll skip it.

1.8.19

(rewording) Prove the formula for unordered sampling with replacement.

This thing is ought to be covered rigorously in a course for discrete maths, combinatorics or something of sorts. Currentry there is a better proof at Belcastro's "Discrete mathematics with ducks".

1.8.20

Prove the binomial theorem 1.8.2

1.8.2 states that

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)x^iy^{n-i}$$

Let

$$I = \{ n \in \omega : (x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)x^i y^{n-i} \}$$

We follow that

$$(x+y)^0 = C(0,0)x^0y^0 = 1$$

Thus $0 \in I$. (we can start with a base case of 1 as well for a more clear example, but I like this one more, and it suffices as well).

Now suppose that $n \in I$. We follow that

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)x^iy^{n-i}$$

thus we follow that

$$(x+y)(x+y)^n = (x+y) \left[\sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)x^i y^{n-i} \right]$$

Left-hand side is reduced to

$$(x+y)(x+y)^n = (x+y)^{n+1}$$

Right-hand side is obviously a bit trickier, but we can follow

$$(x+y)\sum_{i=0}^{n}C(n,i)x^{i}y^{n-i} =$$

$$= x\sum_{i=0}^{n}C(n,i)x^{i}y^{n-i} + y\sum_{i=0}^{n}C(n,i)x^{i}y^{n-i} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n}C(n,i)x^{i+1}y^{n-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n}C(n,i)x^{i}y^{n+1-i} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n}C(n,i)x^{i}y^{n+1-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{n}C(n,i)x^{i+1}y^{n-i} =$$

$$\begin{split} &=C(n,n)x^{n+1}y^0+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}C(n,i)x^{i+1}y^{n-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}C(n,i)x^{i+1}y^{n-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}+x\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}C(n,i)x^iy^{n-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}+x\sum_{i=0}^nC(n,i-1)x^{i-1}y^{n-(i-1)}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n,i-1)x^{i-1}y^{n-(i-1)}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+C(n,0)x^0y^{n+1}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n,i-1)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+y^{n+1}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n,i-1)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+y^{n+1}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=x^{n+1}+C(n+1,0)x^0y^{n+1-0}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=x^{n+1}+C(n+1,0)x^0y^{n+1-0}+\sum_{i=1}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=x^{n+1}y^0+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\\ &=C(n+1,n+1)x^{n+1}y^{n+1-(n+1)}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}C(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=x^{n+1}y^0+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^{n+1-i}=\\ &=x^{n+1}+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^n+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^n+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^n+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^n+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^n+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^n+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+1,i)x^iy^n+\sum_{i=0}^nC(n+$$

Thus we follow

$$(x+y)^{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} C(n+1,i)x^{i}y^{n+1-i}$$

or

$$(x+y)^{n^+} = \sum_{i=0}^{n^+} C(n^+, i) x^i y^{n^+ - i}$$

which means that $n \in I \Rightarrow n^+ \in I$, from which we conclude that $I = \omega$, and thus

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n C(n,i)x^iy^{n-i}$$

for all $n \in \omega$, as desired.

1.8.22

Skip

1.9 Multinomial Coefficients

1	(21!)/(7!*7!*7!)
$\parallel 2$	50!/(18! * 12! * 12! * 8!)
3	300!/(5!*8!*287!)
$\parallel 4$	(3!3!2!)/10! = 1/50400
5	$M(n,(n_1,,n_6))/6^n$
6	$(7!)/(2*6^7)$
7	M(12, (6, 2, 4)) * M(13, (4, 6, 3)) / M(25, (10, 8, 7))
8	M(12, (3, 3, 3, 3) * M(40, (10, 10, 10, 10)) / M(52, (13, 13, 13, 13))
9	4!/M(52, (13, 13, 13, 13))
10	(2! * 3! * 4!)/9!

1.10 The Probability of a Union of Events

1	≈ 0.11913
2	85
3	45

1.10.1

$$Pr(A_1) = Pr(A_2) = Pr(A_3) = C(4,2) * C(48,3) / C(52,5)$$

$$Pr(A_1 \cup A_2) = Pr(A_1 \cup A_3) = Pr(A_2 \cup A_3) = C(4,2) * C(48,3) * C(45,3) / C(52,5)^2$$

$$Pr(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) = 0$$

$$Pr(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) = 3 * C(4,2) * C(49,3) / C(52,5) - 3C(4,2) * C(49,3) * C(46,3) / C(52,5)^2$$

TODO later (probably never).

Chapter 2

Conditional Probability

2.1 Definition of Conditional Probability

$\parallel 1$	Pr(A)/Pr(B)
$\parallel 2$	0
3	Pr(A)
$\parallel 4$	$1/27 \approx 0.037037$
5	-
6	2/3
7	1/3
8	$0.6/0.85 \approx 0.706$
9a	3/4
9b	3/5
10	0.4485884485884486
11	-
12	-
13	4/9
14	0.056
15	0.47
16	5/12
17	-

$$Pr(A|B) = \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(B)}$$

2.1.5

$$\frac{r}{r+b} * \frac{(r+k)}{(r+k)+b} * \frac{(r+2k)}{(r+2k)+b} * \frac{b}{(r+3k)+b}$$

2.1.6

Let A be an event, that we've picked up a card, looked at its side and that the side is green. We can follow that

$$Pr(A) = 1/2$$

Let B be an event that we've picked up a card, and it's green on both sides. We follow that

$$Pr(B) = 1/3$$

Probability that both A and B happened are 1/3. Thus we follow that

$$Pr(B|A) = \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(A)} = \frac{1/3}{1/2} = 2/3$$

This makes me think about Monty Hall problem, as those two are (probably) closely related.

2.1.11

We want to prove that

$$Pr(A^c|B) = 1 - Pr(A|B)$$

we follow that by

$$Pr(A^{c}|B) = \frac{Pr(A^{c} \cap B)}{Pr(B)} = \frac{Pr(B) - Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(B)} = 1 - \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(B)} = 1 - Pr(A|B)$$

where

$$Pr(A^c \cap B) = Pr(B) - Pr(A \cap B)$$

is proven in Theorem 1.5.6. as desired.

2.1.12

$$\begin{split} Pr(A \cup B|D) &= \frac{Pr((A \cup B) \cap D)}{Pr(D)} = \frac{Pr((A \cap D) \cup (B \cap D))}{Pr(D)} = \\ &= \frac{Pr(A \cap D) + Pr(B \cap D) - Pr(A \cap D \cap B \cap D)}{Pr(D)} = \\ &= \frac{Pr(A \cap D) + Pr(B \cap D) - Pr(A \cap B \cap D)}{Pr(D)} = \\ &= \frac{Pr(A \cap D) + Pr(B \cap D)}{Pr(D)} - \frac{Pr(A \cap B \cap D)}{Pr(D)} = Pr(A|D) + Pr(B|D) - Pr(A \cap B|D) \end{split}$$

every deriviation that was done here was either justified by a theorem in section 1.5 or is a property of set operations.

2.1.17

We can't have

on the account that A|C is not an event, but just a funky notation introduced with the probability function. What this notation gives is just a syntactic sugar.

$$\begin{split} Pr(A|C) &= \frac{Pr(A \cap C)}{Pr(C)} = \frac{1}{Pr(C)} Pr(A \cap C) = \frac{1}{Pr(C)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Pr(B_j) Pr(A \cap C|B_j) = \\ &= \frac{1}{Pr(C)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Pr(B_j) \frac{Pr(A \cap C \cap B_j)}{Pr(B_j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Pr(B_j) \frac{Pr(A \cap C \cap B_j)}{Pr(B_j) Pr(C)} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{Pr(A \cap C \cap B_j)}{Pr(C)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{Pr(B_j \cap C) Pr(A \cap C \cap B_j)}{Pr(B_j \cap C) Pr(C)} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{Pr(B_j \cap C) Pr(A \cap B_j \cap C)}{Pr(C) Pr(B_j \cap C)} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{Pr(B_j \cap C)}{Pr(C)} * \frac{Pr(A \cap B_j \cap C)}{Pr(B_j \cap C)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Pr(B_j|C) Pr(A|B_j \cap C) \end{split}$$

assuming that $Pr(B_j \cap C), Pr(C) \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.

2.2 Independent Events

1	$Pr(A^c)$
\parallel 2	-
3	-
$\parallel 4$	1/216
5	$1 - 10^{-6}$
6	149/5000 = 0.0298
7a	23/25 = 0.92
7b	$20/23 \approx 0.869565$
8	$1/36 \approx 0.0277778$
9	$1/7 \approx 0.142857$
10	$\frac{106}{781} \approx 0.1357234314980794$
11	67/256 = 0.26171875
12a	3/4 = 0.75
12b	$11/24 \approx 0.45833333333$
13	0.09135172474836409
14	0.09561792499119552
15	161

2.2.1

Suppose that A and B are independent events. Thus

$$P(A|B) = P(A)$$

and

$$P(B|A) = P(B)$$

thus

$$Pr(A^{c}|B^{c}) = \frac{Pr(A^{c} \cap B^{c})}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{Pr((A \cup B)^{c})}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A \cup B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A \cup B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(B) + Pr(A)Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(B) + Pr(A)Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(B) - Pr(A) + Pr(A)Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} + \frac{-Pr(A) + Pr(A)Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(A) - Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(A) - Pr(A) - Pr(B)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(A) - Pr(A) - Pr(A)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(A) - Pr(A)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(A) - Pr(A)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A) - Pr(A)}{Pr(B^{c})} = \frac{1 - Pr(A)}{Pr$$

Same goes for $Pr(B^c|A^c)$

2.2.2

2.2.1 implies that

$$Pr(A^c) = Pr(A^c|B^c)$$

and

$$Pr(B^c) = Pr(B^c|A^c)$$

for the nonzero cases, and if Pr(A) = 0 or Pr(B) = 0, then the cases are trivial.

2.2.3

Suppose that A is an event and Pr(A) = 0 and B is another event. We follow that

$$Pr(A \cap B) \le Pr(A)$$

and thus

$$Pr(A \cap B) = 0$$

as desired.

2.2.7b

$$Pr(A|A \cup B) = \frac{Pr(A \cap (A \cup B))}{Pr(A \cup B)} = \frac{Pr(A)}{Pr(A \cup B)}$$

2.2.9

Assuming $1 \le n \le \infty$

$$\sum (p_n)^3 = \sum (2^{-n})^3 = \sum 2^{-3n} = \sum (1/8)^n = \frac{1/8}{1 - 1/8} = 1/7$$

2.2.10

Let A be an event that at least 1 child in the family has blue eyes and let B be an event that at least 3 children have blue eyes. We follow that

$$Pr(B|A) = \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(A)}$$

given that $B \subseteq A$, we follow that

$$Pr(B|A) = \frac{Pr(B)}{Pr(A)}$$

We follow that

$$Pr(A) = 1 - (1 - 1/4)^5 = 781/1024$$

and

$$Pr(B) = \sum_{i \in \{3,4,5\}} C(n,i)1/4 * C(n,n-i)(1-1/4) = \sum_{i \in \{3,4,5\}} C(n,i)(1/4)^i (3/4)^{5-i} = 53/512$$

thus

$$Pr(B|A) = \frac{Pr(B)}{Pr(A)} = \frac{106}{781} \approx 0.1357234314980794$$

2.2.11

If the youngest child in the family has the blue eyes, then we can't say that $B \subseteq A$. Given that the probabilities of children having different colored eyes are independent, we follow that we can rewrite this problem as "what's the probability of that the remaining 4 children have at least 2 blue-eyed children among them". This happens to be equal to

$$\sum_{i \in \{2,3,4\}} C(4,i)(1/4)^i(3/4)^{4-i} = 67/256 = 0.26171875$$

Done with this section; moving on

2.3 Bayes' Theorem

1	-
2	3
3	0.3
4	0.0001899658061548921
5	0.30508474576271183
6a	0.9896907216494846
6b	0.9846153846153847
7a	0, 1/10, 1/5, 3/10, 2/5
8	skip
16	-

2.3.1

Suppose that S can be partitioned into $B_1, ..., B_k$. Suppose also that A is an event such that Pr(A) > 0 and

$$Pr(B_1|A) < Pr(B_1)$$

and

$$Pr(B_i|A) \le Pr(B_i)$$

for all $1 < i \le k$. Thus we follow that

$$\sum Pr(B_i|A) < \sum Pr(B_i) = 1$$

thus

$$\sum Pr(B_i|A) < 1$$

$$\sum \frac{Pr(B_i \cap A)}{Pr(A)} < 1$$

$$\sum Pr(B_i \cap A) < Pr(A)$$

Given that B_i is a partition of S, we follow that B_i 's are disjoint (BTW if several sets are all pairwise disjoint, then all of them are disjoint), therefore we follow that $B_j \cap A$ is disjoint from $B_l \cap A$ for all $1 \leq j, l \leq k$. Thus

$$\sum Pr(B_i\cap A) = Pr(\bigcup [B_i\cap A]) = Pr(\bigcup [B_i]\cap A) = Pr(S\cap A) = Pr(A) < Pr(A)$$

which is a contradiction.

2.3.16

(a)

Suppose that D_1 is independent of B. That is,

$$Pr(D_1) = Pr(D_1|B) = 0.01$$

Assume that for some n we've got that

$$Pr(D_n) = 0.01$$

We follow that

$$Pr(D_{n+1}|B) = 0.01$$

If B^c is true and we know that n'th item is normal, then we can follow that

$$Pr(D_{n+1}|D_n^c \cap B^c) = 1/165$$

If n'th item is defective, then

$$Pr(D_{n+1}|D_n\cap B^c)=2/5$$

therefore, because D and D^c are partitioning space, we follow that

$$Pr(D_{n+1}|B^c) = Pr(D_n^c) * 1/165 + Pr(D_n) * 2/5 = 0.01$$

thus we now can follow that

$$Pr(D_{n+1}) = 0.1 * 0.7 + 0.01 * 0.3 = 0.1$$

therefore by induction we can conclude that $Pr(D_n) = 0.01$ for all $n \in N$

Let us assume that we've got a typo in the text, and we actually need to compute Pr(B|E). From our initial assumptions we follow that

$$Pr(E|B) = 0.99^4 * 0.01^2 = 9.65 * 10^{-5}$$

thus we need to compute

$$Pr(B|E) = \frac{Pr(E|B) * Pr(B)}{Pr(E|B) * Pr(B) + Pr(E|B^c) * Pr(B^c)}$$

thus the only thing that we need to compute is $Pr(E|B^c)$. We follow that

$$Pr(E|B^c) =$$

$$= Pr(D_1^c \cap D_2^c \cap D_3 \cap D_4 \cap D_5^c \cap D_6^c | B^c) = Pr(D_1^c | B^c) Pr(D_2^c | D_1^c \cap B) Pr(D_3 | D_2^c \cap B) \dots = 0.99 * 164/165 * 1/165 * 2/5 * 3/5 * 164/165 = 0.99 * (164/165)^2 * 1/165 * 2/5 * 3/5 = 0.001422598347107438$$

thus we can now compute the rest and state that

$$Pr(B|E) = 0.11898006688921978 \approx 12\%$$

2.4 The Gambler's Ruin Promlem

1	-
2	all the same
3	a
$\begin{vmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \end{vmatrix}$	c
5	198
6	7
7	-

2.4.1

Suppose that we've got conditions from Example 2.4.2. Let i be a natural number such that $i \leq 98$. Probability that gambler A's gonna win i dollars before losing 100 - i is

$$a_i = \frac{(3/2)^i - 1}{(3/2)^{100} - 1}$$

we follow that a_i is an increasing function and thus we can conclude that in order to get the desired conclusion, we need to calculate the case i = 98. We follow that

$$a_{98} = \frac{(3/2)^{98} - 1}{(3/2)^{100} - 1} \approx 0.444444$$

BTW, it's not a pretty rational number.

2.4.7

we follow that

$$f_i = \frac{(1/3)^i - 1}{(1/3)^{i+2} - 1}$$

is the desired function. We want to show that the function is decreasing and $a_1 < 1/4$. Simple calculation show that $a_1 \approx 0.14285714285714282$. We also follow that

$$f_n - f_{n+1} = \frac{(1/3)^n - 1}{(1/3)^{n+2} - 1} - \frac{(1/3)^{n+1} - 1}{(1/3)^{n+3} - 1}$$

Maxima shows that this thing is equal to

$$-\frac{16*3^{n+2}}{something.positive}$$

which is good enough for me to prove that this thing is always below 1/4, as desired. Done with this section

Chapter 3

Random Variables and Distributions

3.1 Random Variables and Discrete Distributions

Notes

Let S be a sample space

A random variable is a function $f: S \to R$ (which is confusing). Canonical representations of random variables are capital English letters (i.e. X, Y, etc.)

If X is a random variable, then R can be thought of as a sample space, and then we can define $g: \mathcal{P}(R) \to R$ such that

$$g(C) = Pr(\{s \in S : X(s) \in C\})$$

Function g is then called distribution of X, and it is a probability measure on S (in the book, definition of probability is somewhat un-intuitive, but the not just below the definition produces given definition)

Random variable X has a discrete distribution (we also say that X is a discrete variable) if range(X) is countable. Distribution of the variable does not show up in the definition, which is not helpful.

In general, we abuse notation in this book a lot. It's not hard to decode what Pr(X = c) is supposed to mean.

If X is discrete and g is a distribution of X, then a probability function $f: R \to R$ is a function such that $f(x) = g(\{x\})$ Closure of $\{x \in R: f(x) > 0\}$ is called a support of X. Although the term "closure" was not defined anywhere in this book, from the internet it seems that it is the topological notion of closure. It is nice that in the course of that book we've defined union, but we haven't mentioned closure at all.

If random variable X has range $\{0,1\}$ with Pr(X=1)=p for some $p \in R$, then we say that X has the Bernoulli distribution with parameter p. Although it might seem that

any given random variable with range of size of 2 has Bernoulli distribution, I'm pretty sure that we won't encounter such variables in the wild, but if for some reason I will ever have to use such a function, I'll name its distribution proto-Bernoulli.

Random variable X has uniform distribution of the integers a, ..., b (i.e. $Z \cap [a, b]$ for some $a, B \in Z$ such that $a \leq b$) if f(m) = f(n) for all $m, n \in Z \cap [a, b]$.

1	6/11
2	1/15
3	no
4	binomial with 10 and $1/2$
5	skip
6	0.15087890625
7	0.80589565
8	0.13295332343433508
9	1/2
10a	1/120 (x + 1)(8 - x)
10b	1/3
11	harmonics

3.2 Continous Distributions

$ \begin{array}{ c c } \hline 1\\2\\3\\\hline \end{array} $	4/9
$\parallel 2$	31/48, 9/16, 136/243
3	1/2, 13/27, 2/27

The rest of that damned section is just exercises in trivial calculus. Skipping all this stuff.

3.3 The Cumulative Distribution Function

Notes

c.d.f. is a really nice way to describe distribution of a given random variable. Firstly, we don't care whether or not the variable is discrete, continous, or whatever, it's got to have a c.d.f. Secondly, it's an increasing bounded function from reals to reals, which implies that any discontinuity in a given function is a jump discontinuity (see section 4.6. in real analysis course) and the set of its discontinuities is countable. Also, given distribution of a random variable, the c.d.f. is unique.

If we get into deeper parts of the book, we can conclude that we can ditch somewhat non-rigorous notions of p.d.f and p.f. and concentrate exclusively on c.d.f. of a given random variable for all the theoretical parts.

3.4 Bivariate Distributions

Notes

It's kinda hard to describe the terminology here on the account of the fact that it doesn't make any sense.

We've already defined distribution as the probability on the set of reals. By that logic, we can follow that bivariate distribution is some whacky kind of a distribution, that we want to describe further, right? Well, no. Bivariate distribution is a probability, that is defined on the \mathbb{R}^2 . Hense it's a completely different beast altogether.

Suppose that we've got a couple of random variables (which are the functions, so in turn we gotta have a couple of sample spaces, two probabilities for those sample spaces, etc.). We follow that we can in that case see R^2 as the sample space, that we've concocted out of all of those things. In that case we say that the probability on the R^2 is a joint (or a bivariate) distribution. Going a bit further I can also foreshadow that multivariate distribution is also not a distribution, but a probability, that is defined on R^n for arbitrary $n \in \omega$ that we get out of several different random variables (and all of the things that go with a random variable).

It's also important to note that if we take two discrete variables and make a joint distribution out of them, we get a discrete joint distribution.

In a fashion similar to a standart distribution we can define discrete and continous joint distributions. Discrete joint distribution is a joint distribution that is nonzero on a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^2 and continous joint distribution is the one whose probability can be described by an integral.

By taking half-opened (where we include the higher bound of the interval, i.e. the oppositive of the R_l) intervals and making a cartesian product of them we can also define a joint cumulative distribution (or joint distribution) function. There are similar things goint on with joint distributions and joint cumulative distribution functions as with the standart ones: given a joint distribution we can always have its joint c.d.f.

1	1/2, 1/4
$\parallel 2$	$0.27, \dots$
3	1/40, 1/20, 0.175(7/40), 7/10
$\parallel 4$	3/2, 3/8, 1/8, 1/2, 0
$\parallel 5$	5/4, 49/256, 13/16, 0

Exercises are a bunch of integrals/sums and other borderline trivial stuff. This is practically an exercise in Maxima. Skip

3.5 Marginal Distributions

Notes

Given a joint distribution (or probably multivariable too) we can get a joint c.d.f. Given a joint c.d.f, we can use it on subsets, that consist of cartesian product of a half-closed interval, that are bounded by some $k \in R$ and the whole R. Out of those things we can make a real functions: $F_x : R \to R$ and $F_y : R \to R$ such that

$$F_x(x) = F(x, \infty)$$

$$F_y(y) = F(\infty, y)$$

those things are called marginal c.d.f.'s, and out of them we can create either probability density functions, probability functions and all sorts of other stuff.

Given a joint probability function (i.e. the one for the discrete joint distribution) we can sum it over a variable to get a marginal p.f. of a specific variable. By using integrals over the whole real line instead of sums we get p.d.f's out of joint p.d.f.'s.

Remember independent events? Me neither. To refresh the memory: if A and B are events (i.e. subsets of the sample space), then they are called independent (given a probability, of course) if

$$Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A)Pr(B)$$

At this point the book goes completely off the rails and starts abusing notation like there's no tomorrow. Instead of doing that, I'm just gonna note that joint c.d.f. is called independent in its variables if and only if

$$F(x,y) = F_x(x)F_y(y)$$

where in the rhs we've got marginal c.d.f.'s. We then follow that if you think about it a little bit, then you can see how the original notion of independence is connected to the presented one. For instance, given a probability Pr over R^2 and two subsets A, B of R we've got that

$$Pr(A \times B) = Pr(A \times R \cap R \times B)$$

and hence

$$Pr(A \times B) = Pr(A \times R)Pr(R \times B)$$

if and only if $A \times R$ and $R \times B$ are independent.

Out of definition of independence in variables of c.d.f. we can define various independence of p.f's, p.d.f's and so on and so forth. Thankfully, it's usually clear from context what exactly we're trying to do in each particular case. The only thing of note that is that our original idea in the definition of independence of a joint c.d.f translates neatly into various p.d.f's and p.f.'s and whatnot: given independent joint thing, we can state that

$$f(x,y) = f_x(x)f_y(y)$$

where rhs are marginal things for $x, y \in R$.

Sometimes, when we look at some formula for some joint p.f/p.d.f. or whatever, we see how the formula might break down into product of two formulas in one variable. Although it might look like the initial joint thing is independent, it's not necessarily true. Theorem 3.5.6 states that it's true for continous joint p.d.f.'s if and only if the support (i.e. region in which the function is positive) for the initial p.d.f. is a cartesian of two intervals. It's probably also somehow true for p.f.'s and whatnot, but let's not digress.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline 1 & f(y) = (d-c)k, f(y) = (b-a) k\\ 2 & f(y) = \frac{y+1}{30}, f(x) = \frac{2x+3}{15}, \text{ dependent}\\ 3 & f(y) = 3y^2, f(x) = 1/2, \text{ yes, yes} \end{array}$$

this section is also an exercise in calculus.

3.6 Conditional Distributions

Notes

In this book we concern ourselves with conditional probabilities exclusively with joint p.f.'s, p.d.f's, or joint p.f./p.d.f's.

From the standpoint of sets and whatnot we've got that

$$Pr(A|B) = \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(B)}$$

where the lhs is just a syntactic sugar for the rhs.

In the book, if we've got joint p.f (or p.d.f, or p.f./p.d.f), then we define conditional probability as the family of functions $g(.|y): R \to R$ as

$$g(x|y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_y(y)}$$

for $y \in R$ such that $f_y(y) > 0$ and where the funky notation in the lhs is nothing, but a funky notation, and f_y in the rhs is the marginal p.f./p.d.f. Quick note: it works for both x's, and y's, (i.e. first and second positions in the vector).

In the book we also define p.d.f's for cases $y \in R$ such that $f_y(y) = 0$ as g(x|y) being some sort of a p.d.f. (no matter which one). The only implication that we get out (as far as I undesrtand it) of it is that g(x|y) is a p.d.f. for all $y \in R$. I don't like that definition, and hence want to define it to be g(x|y) = 0 for all $y \in R$ such that $f_y(y) = 0$. We lose that implication, yes, but we can reformat it a bit and state that g(x|y) is a p.d.f. given that $f_y(y) > 0$. If we define the function this way, then out of somewhat sane p.d.f we don't get a whacky family of functions, but a pretty well-defined function. We can also do practically the same thing for a p.f., and out of all of that we can define g to be $R^2 \to R$.

If we've got a conditional function g(.|y) and f_y , then we can reconstitute original joint thing by using some algebra on the original definition:

$$f(x,y) = g(x|y)f_y(y)$$

We also include here marginal cases with $f_y(y) = 0$, and both the book's definition and my definition work out pretty much fine.

If the joint thing is independent in its variables, then we follow that

$$g(x|y) = f_x(x)$$

which we follow from the corresponding definitions and whatnot.

As the consequence of all things said, we can follow that

$$f(x,y) = g(x|y)f_y(y)$$

and

$$f(x,y) = g(y|x)f_x(x)$$

and hence

$$g(x|y)f_y(y) = g(y|x)f_x(x)$$

which gives us the Bayes rule or something like that. Although everything here desperally lacks rigor, if you think about it a little bit, it's not hard to figure out all the missing pieces.

3.6.1

$$f(x|y) = \frac{3y^2}{2x^2 - 1^{3/2}}$$

for appropriate values of x and y.

The rest is calculus and counting

3.7 Multivariate Distributions

Notes

Multivariate distributions are originally defined from making a c.d.f from the random functions (and spaces, and probabilities, etc.) in an obvious way:

$$F(x_1,...,x_n)=Pr((-\infty,x_1]\times...\times(-\infty,x_n))$$

We also start denoting this thing as joint as well, but we say that it's joint in n variables, which does not help. Important to note that joint might denote either bivariate or multivariate (bivariate by that logic is a part of multivariate). If we want clarity, we usually

use terms "univariate" for 1 variable, "bivariate" for 2 and "multivariate" for 2 or more. Should seen it coming and use the term "bivariate" exclusively way earlier.

If every given initial distribution has a p.d.f, then in an obvious way we define multivariate p.d.f, and so on. Same thing applies to discrete distributions. Thus taking a whaky sum or a whacky integral out of whose p.f./p.d.f/whatnots gives us a probability of an event. Just as with the joint p.f/p.d.f we can take sums and integrals together whenever it's appropriate.

If we've got p.f.'s and p.d.f's mixed together into one happy function, then we call it that distribution mixed.

You don't have to be a genious in order to get a marginal c.d.f from the original c.d.f: just restrict one of the variables, set the rest to infinity, and you're golden. If you've got a p.d.f or some other such thing, integrate/sum over the rest of the variables over R. The only thing of note is that we can fix several variables and have a whacky marginal c.d.f over several variables.

Fun starts whenever we get to independence. Firstly, if

$$F(x_1, ..., x_n) = F_1(x_1)...F_n(x_n)$$

then we've got independence in all of the variables (as expected). Same thing applies to p.f.'s and p.d.f's.

$$f(x_1,...,x_n) = f_1(x_1)...f_n(x_n)$$

if some of the f_j 's are equal, then (according to the book) the random variables, that correspond to those things are called independent and identically distributed (or i.i.d.). I don't like this definition on the account of the fact that it breaks the original definition of the random variable, and I don't see the reason not to call the marginal c.d.f.'s with the same name. For obvious reasons, the multivariate distribution that we get out of initial F cannot be mixed. Length of the equal marginal things in that case is called sample size. According to the book, "random sample" is the collection of those random variables, whatever that means.

For conditional stuff we once again constraint ourselves with p.f's, p.d.f's or something mixed (no funny stuff). We also start using the vector notation extensively here: we denote

$$\mathbf{x} := x_1, ..., x_n$$

there's actually no rigorous definitions for this stuff, we just kind start abusing notation a great bit. There are subvectors and whatnot, for example we can split

$$\mathbf{x} := x_1, ..., x_n$$

into

$$\mathbf{z} := x_1, ..., x_j$$

$$\mathbf{y} := x_{j+1}, ..., x_n$$

we can also mix'em together a bit, and have

$$\mathbf{q} := x_1, x_3, x_i, x_n$$

and so forth. When we deconstruct and reconstitute vector back together, we tend to use commans (e.g. $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$). It's usually clear from the context what exactly what we mean.

Conditional stuff is defined in an intuitive way: given that \mathbf{x} destructs into two subvectors \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} we have

$$g_1(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{z}) = \frac{f(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})}{f_2(\mathbf{z})}$$

where in the rhs f_2 denotes the marginal p.f/p.d.f over variables of **z** and provided that $f_2(\mathbf{z})$ is positive. We can use the same thing as in the bivariate distribution and in both p.f. and p.d.f. cases define $g_1(.|\mathbf{z})$ to be zero in zero cases for $f_2(\mathbf{z})$. We also got

$$f(y,z) = g_1(y|z)f_2(z)$$

just as with the bivariate stuff, and we also get the Bayes theorem out of this

$$g_2(z|y)f_1(y) = g_1(y|z)f_2(z)$$

We also can define something interesting: we say that variables are independent given some other variables if

$$g(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) = \prod_{x \in \mathbf{x}} g_x(x|\mathbf{z})$$

definition in the book kinda implies that everything is consecutive in there, which it might not be.

Then the book goes on a tanget about histograms for some reason.

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1/3, x_3 + 1/3x_1 + 1/3, 5/13 \approx 0.38461538461538464 \\ 2 & 6, f(0,0) = f(1,1) = 3/10; f(0,1) = f(1,1) = 1/5, f(x_1) = 20x_1^3(1-x_1)$$

3.8 Functions of a Random Variable

Notes

If we've got a random variable (and everything that usually accompanies a random variable), we can create another random variable through a composition with another real function.

Generally speaking, whenever we have a fandom variable X, there's a c.d.f F of that variable. F is defined as

$$F(y) = Pr(X \le y)$$

this can be restated as

$$F(y) = Pr(x \in (-\infty, y])$$

if $g: R \to R$ is some function of a random variable, then its c.d.f G is

$$G(y) = Pr(g(x) \in (-\infty, y])$$

since the Pr is the same. We then can use the identity

$$q(x) \in A \iff x \in q^{-1}[A]$$

to conclude that

$$G(y) = Pr(x \in g^{-1}[(-\infty, y]])$$

By computing the $g^{-1}[(-\infty, y]]$ and corresponding probability, we can compute the proper c.d.f. of a function of a random variable, given the variable and the corresponding function.

For example, if $g(x) = x^2$, then

$$G(y) = Pr(g(x) \in (-\infty, y])$$

$$G(y) = Pr(x \in [-\sqrt{y}, \sqrt{y}])$$

If h is a linear function (i.e. h(x) = ax + b), then

$$G(y) = Pr(ax + b \le y)$$

$$G(y) = Pr(ax + b \in (-\infty, y])$$

$$G(y) = Pr(ax \in (-\infty, y - b])$$

if a > 0, then

$$G(y) = Pr(x \in (-\infty, \frac{y-b}{a}])$$

$$G(y) = Pr(x \le \frac{y-b}{a}) = F(\frac{y-b}{a})$$

and if a < 0, then

$$G(y) = Pr(x \in [\frac{y-b}{a}, \infty)) = 1 - Pr(x \in (\infty, \frac{y-b}{a})) = 1 - F(\frac{y-b}{a})$$

and hence if the initial function f is continuous with c.d.f F, then p.d.f. g of G is

$$g(y) = \frac{d}{dy}G(y)$$

if a > 0, then

$$g(y) = \frac{d}{dy}F(\frac{y-b}{a}) = f(\frac{y-b}{a})\frac{1}{a}$$

where the last part was derived from the chain rule of the differentiation. If a < 0, then

$$g(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(1 - F(\frac{y-b}{a})) = -f(\frac{y-b}{a})\frac{1}{a}$$

by using absolute value of a we can combine previous 2 cases into one case for $a \neq 0$

$$g(y) = f(\frac{y-b}{a})\frac{1}{|a|}$$

Probability Integral Theorem states that if the function of the random variable is the c.d.f. of that function, then the resulting function has unifom distribution on [0,1]. Its main use is the implication that if we've got a random variable X with the uniform distribution over [0,1], and a random variable Y, whose quantile function is G^{-1} , then $G^{-1}(X)$ is the function with the same distribution as Y. This gives us an idea on how to implement a halfway decent random variable, when we're given a random variable with uniform distribution over [0,1] in a given programming environment.

3.8.1

Suppose that a p.d.f. of a random variable X is as follows

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 3x^2 & \text{for } 0 < x < 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Also, suppose that $Y = 1 - X^2$. Determine the p.d.f of Y. We follow that

$$G(y) = Pr(g(x) \le y) = Pr(1 - x^2 \le y) = Pr(x^2 \ge 1 - y) = Pr(x \ge \sqrt{1 - y})$$

where the latest implication does not include the $Pr(x \le -\sqrt{1-y})$ on the account of the fact that it's zero due to the restrictions on the original function. We then follow that

$$G(y) = Pr(x \ge \sqrt{1-y}) = \int_{\sqrt{1-y}}^{1} 3x^2 = x^3 \Big|_{\sqrt{1-y}}^{1} = 1 - (1-y)^{3/2}$$

and hence

$$g(y) = d/dx1 - (1-y)^{3/2} = -\sqrt{1-y}3/2$$

as desired

3.8.2

Suppose that a random variable X can have each of the seven values $\{-3, ..., 3\}$ with equal probability. Determine the p.f. of $Y = X^2 - X$.

We've got

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x = 12 \to 1/7 \\ x = 6 \to 2/7 \\ x = 2 \to 2/7 \\ x = 0 \to 2/7 \end{cases}$$

3.8.3

Suppose that the p.d.f. of a random variable X is as follows:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}x \text{ for } 0 < x < 2\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Also suppose that Y = X(2 - X). Determine the c.d.f and the p.d.f. of Y

We've got that (i've skipped some calculus and algebra in the deriviation, but the idea is pretty straightforward):

$$G(y) = Pr(Y \le y) = Pr(X \le 1 - \sqrt{1 - y}) + Pr(X \ge 1 + \sqrt{1 - y}) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - y}$$

differentiating over the thing we get

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-y}}$$

for $y \in [0, 1]$

3.8.4

Suppose that the p.d.f. of X is as given in Exercise 3. Determine the p.d.f. of $Y = 4 - X^3$ We follow that

$$G(y) = Pr(Y \le y) = Pr(4 - X^3 \le y) = Pr(X \ge (4 - y)^{1/3}) = 1 - Pr(X < (4 - y)^{1/3})$$

thus for $y \in (-4, 4)$

$$G(y) = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^{(4-y)^{1/3}} f(x)dx = 1 - \int_{0}^{(4-y)^{1/3}} \frac{1}{2}xdx = 1 - \left[x^{2}/4\right]_{0}^{(4-y)^{1/3}} = 1 - \frac{(4-y)^{2/3}}{4}$$

and appropriate values otherwise. For p.d.f. we've got

$$g(y) = \frac{1}{6(4-y)^{1/3}}$$

for $y \in (-4,4)$ and 0 otherwise

3.8.5

Taken care of in the notes to the section

The rest of the section is left for the better days

3.9 Functions of Two or More Random Variables

Notes

There aren't any fundamentally new ideas in this chapter: all we do is combinating ideas of functions of random variables and joint distributions. All that we do is pretty much trying to find the inverses of the required functions (sometimes in a some whacky way if we talk about specific functions), and carrrying out the obvious steps afterwards.

Whenever we talk about functions of several variables, we usually differentiate between scalar functions (i.e. $R^n \to R$) and vector functions (i.e. $R^n \to R^m$). This chapter only discusses scalar functions. Not only that, here we start to discuss exclusively continous and discrete functions. Nothing mixed and/or arbitrary is discussed at all.

One thing of note is that it appears that there's a lot more depth to some of the concepts, that were defined in the chapter. It seems that convolution, for example, is a somewhat notable concept in functional analysis, so the planned MIRA jorney will hopefully shed light on some of the concepts, that I'm currently not fully grasping in regards to this chapter

3.9.1

Suppose that X_1 and X_2 are i.i.d. and each of them has the uniform distribution on the interval [0,1]. First the p.d.f. of $Y=X_1+X_2$

We follow that

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \in [0,1] \to 1\\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a p.d.f for X_1 and X_2 . Although there's a convolution theorem, it's somewhat easier to wrap your head around an idea of brute-forcing this thing.

We can dance for a long time around the more general concepts of supports and their respective intersections with the desired sets, but it'll be more straightforward to see the desired function as the area of intersection of the triangle with a square at the origin. After a brief interaction with a graph calculator one might obtain a function

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} y \in [0, 1] \to y \\ y \in (1, 0] \to 2 - y \end{cases}$$

3.9.2

For the conditions of Exercise 1, find the p.d.f. of the average $(X_1 + X_2)/2$

Although there's a arguable reason to use the linear case, we can also see, that the desired function is nothing more than a function of the function, that we've already derived.

By using methods from the previous chapter, we can follow that c.d.f. ${\cal H}$ of the newfound function is

$$\begin{split} H(y) &= Pr(Y/2 < y) = Pr(Y < 2y) = \int_0^{2y} g(x) = \begin{cases} 2y \in [0,1] \to 2y^2 \\ 2y \in [1,2] \to 1/2 + 4y - 2y^2 \end{cases} &= \\ &= \begin{cases} y \in [0,1/2] \to 2y^2 \\ y \in [1/2,1] \to 1/2 + 4y - 2y^2 \end{cases} = \end{split}$$

and the appropriate p.d.f. is

$$h(y) = \begin{cases} y \in [0, 1/2] \to 4y \\ y \in [1/2, 1] \to 4 - 4y \end{cases}$$

and the appropriate values otherwise.

3.9.3