

Diverse migratory portfolios drive inter-annual switching behavior of elk across the Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem

Gabriel R. Zuckerman, Kristin J. Barker, Laura C. Gigliotti, Eric K. Cole, Justin A. Gude, Mark A. Hurley, Matthew J. Kauffman, Daryl Lutz, Daniel R. MacNulty, Eric J. Maichak, Doug McWhirter, Tony W. Mong, Kelly Proffitt, Brandon M. Scurlock, Daniel R. Stahler, Ben Wise, and Arthur D. Middleton

Ecosphere

Appendix S3

	Int.	Win. range prop. dev : herd-lvl. mig. div.	herd-lvl. mig. div.	Win. range prop. dev	Spr. mig. range prop. dev	F.g. access	Mean days- from- peak green -up	k	logLik	AICc	Delta	AICc Weight	MCC
<i>Top-ranked</i>	-0.55	3.13* (-5.723, -1.302)	-0.56* (-1.0023, -0.142)	-3.31* (1.171, 5.451)	—	—	—	4	-68.42	145.2 3	0	0.15	0.21
<i>Null</i>	-0.49	—	—	—	—	—	—	1	-71.70	145.4 4	0.21	—	0
<i>Model2</i>	-0.50	—	—	-0.17	—	—	—	2	-71.37	146.8 5	1.62	0.07	0
<i>Model5</i>	-0.49	—	—	—	—	—	-0.16	2	-71.38	146.8 8	1.65	0.07	0
<i>Model 3</i>	-0.49	—	—	—	0.15	—	—	2	-71.43	146.9 7	1.74	0.06	0.12
<i>Model 4</i>	-0.49	—	—	—	—	-0.12	—	2	-71.53	147.1 8	1.95	0.06	0

Table S1. Model selection table of the six competitive models for switching from an elevational migrant tactic. * indicates informative covariates with 85% confidence intervals that do not overlap 0 (Arnold, 2010).

	Int.	Spr. mig. range prop. dev. : herd-lvl. mig. div.	Herd-lvl. mig. div.	Spr. mig. range prop. dev.	k	logLik	AICc	Delta	AICc Weigh t	MCC
<i>Top-ranked</i>	-3.31	-3.48	0.88* (0.534, 1.253)	-0.73	4	-92.45	193.06	0	0.97	0.25

Table S2. Model selection table of the one competitive model for switching from a short distance migrant tactic. * indicates informative covariates with 85% confidence intervals that do not overlap 0 (Arnold, 2010).

	Int.	Max. snow : herd-lvl. mig. div.	Herd- lvl. mig. div.	Max. snow on win. range	Spr. mig. range prop. dev.	Mean days- from-peak green-up	k	logLi k	AICc	Delta	AICc Weight	MCC
<i>Top-ranked</i>	-1.69	-3.63* (-5.737, -1.717)	2.37* (1.11, 3.725)	3.30* (1.482, 5.224)	—	—	4	-39.62	87.70	0	0.17	0.31
<i>Model 21</i>	-1.56	—	—	-0.50* (-1.029, -0.029)	0.59* (0.203, 1.075)	—	3	-41.21	88.70	1.00	0.10	0.12
<i>Model 3</i>	-1.50	—	—	—	0.39* (0.06, 0.79)	—	2	-42.39	88.91	1.21	0.09	0.12
<i>Model 20</i>	-1.54	—	—	—	0.42* (0.083, 0.828)	0.32	3	-41.46	89.20	1.50	0.08	0.31
<i>Null</i>	-1.47	—	—	—	—	—	1	-43.82	89.69	1.99	—	0

Table S3. Model selection table of the five competitive models for switching from a long distance migrant tactic. * indicates informative covariates with 85% confidence intervals that do not overlap 0 (Arnold, 2010).