

# Spatially continuous identification of beta diversity hotspots using species distribution models

Gabriel Dansereau<sup>1,2,3</sup>    Timothée Poisot<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>    Pierre Legendre<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal

<sup>2</sup>BIOS<sup>2</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Quebec Center for Biodiversity Science


<sup>4</sup>Groupe de recherche interuniversitaire en limnologie et environnement aquatique

10th Annual QCBS Symposium  
December 19, 2019

# Suggestion

Bring together 2 elements:

1. Identification of beta diversity hotspots → LCBD calculation
2. Species distribution modelling on continuous scales → SDMs



# Definitions, 'cause why not?

## Beta diversity

- ▶ Community composition, not turnover

*"Variation in species composition among sites within a geographical region of interest" (Legendre et al. 2005)*

## Local contribution to beta diversity (LCBD) coefficients

- ▶ Highlights exceptional species compositions

*"Comparative indicators of the ecological uniqueness of sites in terms of community composition" (Legendre & De Caceres, 2013)*

# Why continuous scales?





Figure 1: Example of discontinuous LCBD calculation along a river stream (Legendre & De Caceres, 2013)



# Why continuous scales?



**Figure 2:** Example of discontinuous LCBD calculation on an extended scale (Poisot et al., 2017)


# Why continuous scales?

- ▶ Online data on extended scales is increasingly accessible
- ▶ Potential for novel ecological insights



**Figure 3:** Example of Yellow Warbler occurrence data from eBird (left) and annual mean temperature data from WorldClim 2 (right)

# Objective



# Relevance


## Novel ecological insights

- ▶ Tool for poorly sampled regions, or with sparse sampling
- ▶ Identification of conservation targets

## Combination with IPCC climate change scenarios

- ▶ Model beta diversity changes
- ▶ Identify sites with significant changes

⇒ Insight-oriented approach, exploratory analyses for now





# Data - Why eBird & Warblers

According to Johnston et al. (2019):

1. Complete checklists to infer absences
2. Sampling effort metadata to reduce biases

**Table 1:** Structure of the Warblers (*Parulidae*) occurrence data for North America in the eBird complete checklists

Country	Observations	Checklists	Species	Species per checklist (mean)	Species per checklist (median)	Species per checklist (max)
US	19 206 453	7 840 526	56	2.450	2.0	34
CA	3 360 650	1 115 625	45	3.012	2.0	31
MX	407 227	147 599	61	2.759	2.0	21
Total	22 974 330	9 103 750	63	2.523	2.0	34

# Data - Why WorldClim 2

- ▶ Interpolated climate data
- ▶ Global range
- ▶ Resolutions from 10 arc-minutes to 30 arc-seconds
- ▶ 2 types of variables: temperature, precipitation
- ▶ High cross-validation coefficients

Variable	Description
1	Annual Mean Temperature
2	Mean Diurnal Range
3	Isothermality
4	Temperature Seasonality
5	Max Temperature of Warmest Month
6	Min Temperature of Coldest Month
7	Temperature Annual Range
8	Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter
9	Mean Temperature of Driest Quarter
10	Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter
11	Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter
12	Annual Precipitation
13	Precipitation of Wettest Month
14	Precipitation of Driest Month
15	Precipitation Seasonality
16	Precipitation of Wettest Quarter
17	Precipitation of Driest Quarter
18	Precipitation of Warmest Quarter
19	Precipitation of Coldest Quarter

# Methods - BIOCLIM





Figure 4: Representation of the climate envelope in the BIOCLIM method<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup><https://support.bccvl.org.au/support/solutions/articles/6000083201-bioclim>


# Preliminary Results

## Single species example - Raw data




**Figure 5:** Distribution of the Yellow Warbler based on the raw occurrence data after transformation into presence-absence per site

## Single species example - SDM with threshold




**Figure 6:** Distribution of the Yellow Warbler based on the SDM predictions with a threshold of 5%

## Single species example - SDM without threshold



**Figure 7:** Distribution of the Yellow Warbler based on the SDM predictions without a threshold


## Species richness - Raw data



**Figure 8:** Species richness based on the raw occurrence data, defined as the number of species present per site



## Species richness - SDM without threshold



**Figure 9:** Species richness based on the SDM predictions without threshold, and defined as the number of species present per site

## LCBD - Raw data (with Hellinger transformation)






Figure 10: LCBD values relative to maximum value based on the raw data after Hellinger transformation

## LCBD - SDM without threshold (no transformation)




**Figure 11:** LCBD values relation to maximum value based on the SDM predictions without threshold or transformation

# LCBD-richness relationship



**Figure 12:** Relationship between the relative LCBD values and species richness, defined as the number of species ( $\alpha$ ) divided by the total number of species ( $\gamma$ )

# LCBD-richness relationship



**Figure 13:** Relationship between the relative LCBD values and species richness, defined as the number of species ( $\alpha$ ) divided by the total number of species ( $\gamma$ )

# Obvious things to improve

Result validation



SDM underfitting

Land cover variables

Other drivers of species distribution

eBird sampling biases

# Yet... Promising potential!



## Questions?

# References

Johnston, A., W. M. Hochachka, M. E. Strimas-Mackey, V. Ruiz Gutierrez, O. J. Robinson, E. T. Miller, T. Auer, S. T. Kelling, and D. Fink. 2019. "Best Practices for Making Reliable Inferences from Citizen Science Data: Case Study Using eBird to Estimate Species Distributions." bioRxiv, March, 574392. <https://doi.org/10.1101/574392>.

Legendre, Pierre, and Miquel De Cáceres. 2013. "Beta Diversity as the Variance of Community Data: Dissimilarity Coefficients and Partitioning." *Ecology Letters* 16 (8): 951–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12141>.

Poisot, Timothée, Cynthia Guéveneux-Julien, Marie-Josée Fortin, Dominique Gravel, and Pierre Legendre. 2017. "Hosts, Parasites and Their Interactions Respond to Different Climatic Variables." *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 26 (8): 942–51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12602>.