
Linear Regression


Boston University CS 506 - Lance Galletti


Challenge for those who have LR experience

- Find the data.csv file in the regression folder of our course repo
- Challenge:
 - Every day my alarm goes off at seemingly random times...
 - I've recorded the times for the past year or so (1 355 days)
 - Today is day 356
 - Can you predict when my alarm will ring?


Given \mathbf{n} samples / data points (\mathbf{y}_i , \mathbf{x}_i). Y is a continuous variable (as opposed to classification).










Understand/explain how \mathbf{y} varies as a function of \mathbf{x} (i.e. find a function $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x})$ that best fits our data)









Should **h** be the curve that goes through the most samples? I.e. do we want $h(x_i) = y_i$ for the maximum number of **i**?





h may be too complex overfitting - may not perform well on unseen data


The following curves seem the most intuitive "best fit" to our samples. How can we define this best fit mathematically? Is it just about finding the right distance function?



















VS


























Assumptions $Y = X\beta$ $Y = X\beta$ X = x


Assumptions $Y = X\beta$ $Y = X\beta$


X = x


















Where does this randomness come from?



Our data was generated by a **linear function** plus some **noise**:

$$\vec{y} = h_X(\beta) + \vec{\epsilon}$$

Where **h** is linear in a parameter β .

Where ϵ_i are independent $N(0, \sigma^2)$ distribution.

Cost Function

Given our data: $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$

Suppose we are given a curve y = h(x), how can we evaluate whether it is a good fit to our data?

Compare $h(x_i)$ to y_i for all i.

Cost Function

Given our data: $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$

Suppose we are given a curve y = h(x), how can we evaluate whether it is a good fit to our data?

Compare $h(x_i)$ to y_i for all i.

Goal: For a given distance function **d**, find **h** where **L** is smallest.

$$L(h) = \sum_{i} d(h(x_i), y_i)$$

Worksheet a)

- 1. The relation between **x** (independent variable) and **y** (dependent variable) is linear in a parameter β .
- 2. ϵ_i are independent, identically distributed random variables following a N(0, σ^2) distribution. (Note: σ is constant)

Goal

Given these assumptions, let's try to minimize the cost function defined earlier!

Q: What parameter(s) are we trying to learn / estimate?

A: **β**

Least Squares

$$eta_{LS} = \mathop{\mathrm{arg\,min}}_{eta} \sum_{i} d(h_{eta}(x_i), y_i)$$

Least Squares

$$\beta_{LS} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i} d(h_{\beta}(x_{i}), y_{i})$$

$$= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|\vec{y} - h_{\beta}(X)\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \|y - X\beta\|_{2}^{2}$$

Least Squares

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (y^T y - y^T X\beta - \beta^T X^T y - \beta^T X^T X\beta) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} (y^T y - 2\beta^T X^T y - \beta^T X^T X\beta) = 0$$

$$-2X^T y - X^T X\beta = 0$$

$$X^T X\beta = X^T y$$

$$\beta_{LS} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

Worksheet b) & c)

Assumptions

Our data was generated by a **linear function** plus some **noise**:

$$\vec{y} = h_X(\beta) + \vec{\epsilon}$$

Where **h** is linear in a parameter β .

Which functions below are linear in **\beta**?


$$h(\beta) = \beta_1 x$$


$$h(\beta) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$


$$h(\beta) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2$$


$$h(\beta) = \beta_1 \log(x) + \beta_2 x^2$$


$$h(\beta) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_1^2 x$$




















Worksheet d) -> f)

Maximum Likelihood

Another way to define this problem is in terms of probability.

Define **P(Y | h)** as the probability of observing **Y** given that it was sampled from **h**.

Goal: Find **h** that maximizes the probability of having observed our data.

Maximum Likelihood

Maximize L(h) = P(Y | h)

Since $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$ then $Y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2)$.

Maximum Likelihood

Since $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ and $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$ then $Y \sim N(X\beta, \sigma^2)$.

$$\beta_{MLE} = \underset{\beta}{\arg \max} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \sigma^n}} \exp(-\frac{\|y - X\beta\|_2^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

$$= \underset{\beta}{\arg \max} \exp(-\|y - X\beta\|_2^2)$$

$$= \underset{\beta}{\arg \max} - \|y - X\beta\|_2^2$$

$$= \underset{\beta}{\arg \min} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2$$

$$= \beta_{LS} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

 β_{15} is an unbiased estimator of the true β . That is $\mathbf{E}[\beta_{15}] = \beta$.

$$E[\beta_{LS}] = E[(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y]$$

 β_{15} is an unbiased estimator of the true β . That is $\mathbf{E}[\beta_{15}] = \beta$.

$$E[\beta_{LS}] = E[(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y]$$
$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[y]$$

 β_{15} is an unbiased estimator of the true β . That is $\mathbf{E}[\beta_{15}] = \beta$.

$$E[\beta_{LS}] = E[(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y]$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[y]$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[X\beta + \epsilon]$$

 β_{1S} is an unbiased estimator of the true β . That is $\mathbf{E}[\beta_{1S}] = \beta$.

$$E[\beta_{LS}] = E[(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y]$$


$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[y]$$

$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E[X\beta + \epsilon]$$


$$= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X\beta + E[\epsilon]$$

$$= \beta$$


Worksheet g)



Is Linear Regression just PCA?



Principal Component Analysis



Linear Regression

