

Data Engineering Capstone Project

Q&A on ArXiv Kaggle dataset

By Gari Ciodaro Guerra

Sept 24, 2020

Abstract

This document intends to give theoretical support and context to the built application [arXiv-haystack-app](#). Using [Arxiv](#) and Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) datasets I created a relational database model that allows the user to do Ad-Hoc queries for analytics. Using [haystack](#) the application allows for question and answering on user defined abstracts of the database.




Figure 1: Project Architecture

Keywords— S3, Amazon Elastic MapReduce, Pyspark, Airflow, Redshift, Flask, NLP, Q&A, Haystack, Machine Learning, Full stack development, Data Engineering.

1 Introduction

The application is the last requirement to graduate from Udacity's Data Engineering Nano degree. The following is the overview of the project as stated on Udacity page course.

The purpose of the data engineering capstone project is to give you a chance to combine what you've learned throughout the program. This project will be an important part of your portfolio that will help you achieve your data engineering-related career goals.

1.1 My vision

The project has two main parts. The back end was designed to run in the cloud. This includes storage in S3 (raw files and the parquet model), EMR processing, and relational database in Redshift. This would allow this back-end to serve multiple applications. The other part would be the front end, on the local / client side, this means that a local user is expected to have the web interface Flask. This would allow him/her to query the Redshift database plus the additional ability to ask questions about documents of interest. I imagine a local user, setting up the flask app and then browsing the database with it, then later indexing on their local elastic search server, the abstract and title of the article of interest, this could mean filtering by a particular topic, year, or author. After the indexing, the user can ask questions of the type *what do we know about the uncertainty principle?*. The answer will come on the form of a bootstrap card, with scores, possible answers, full paper URL pdf access to the document. The question and answering system is possible with [haystack](#). The following are the core features of haystack on their github.

- Powerful ML models: Utilize all latest transformer based models (BERT, ALBERT, RoBERTa ...)
- Modular & future-proof: Easily switch to newer models once they get published.
- Developer friendly: Easy to debug, extend and modify.
- Scalable: Production-ready deployments via Elasticsearch backend & REST API
- Customizable: Fine-tune models to your own domain & improve them continuously via user feedback

2 Gathering of Data

I used the [Arxiv](#) and Neural Information Processing Systems ([NIPS](#)) datasets from kaggle. On listing 1 we can see raw schema of the files. Arxiv in json format and NIPS is on csv files. I hosted both files on a S3: `s3a://arxiv3/input_data/arxiv-metadata-oai-snapshot.json`, `s3a://arxiv3/input_data/NIPS.csv`. To upload the data to s3, I had to create an EC2 instance, install *miniconda*, *kaggle* library and aws client (see listing 2).

Listing 1: Datasets Schema

```
>>> df_arxiv.printSchema()
root
  |-- abstract: string (nullable = true)
  |-- authors: string (nullable = true)
  |-- authors_parsed: array (nullable = true)
  |   |-- element: array (containsNull = true)
  |   |   |-- element: string (containsNull = true)
  |-- categories: string (nullable = true)
  |-- comments: string (nullable = true)
  |-- doi: string (nullable = true)
  |-- id: string (nullable = true)
  |-- journal-ref: string (nullable = true)
  |-- license: string (nullable = true)
  |-- report-no: string (nullable = true)
  |-- submitter: string (nullable = true)
```


Our hope is to empower new use cases that can lead to the exploration of richer machine learning techniques that combine multi-modal features towards applications like trend analysis, paper recommender engines, category prediction, co-citation networks, knowledge graph construction and semantic search interfaces.

ArXiv is a collaboratively funded, community-supported resource founded by Paul Ginsparg in 1991 and maintained and operated by Cornell University.

2.2 About NIPS Dataset

Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) is one of the top machine learning conferences in the world. It covers topics ranging from deep learning and computer vision to cognitive science and reinforcement learning.

This dataset includes the title, authors, abstracts, and extracted text for all NIPS papers to date (ranging from the first 1987 conference to the current 2016 conference). I've extracted the paper text from the raw PDF files and are releasing that both in CSV files and as a SQLite database

3 Exploration

On the web application under the *EDA* tab, it is possible to plot the current tendency on paper volume per year, and a distribution of the topics ¹. We see that papers increment exponentially with years, and also that the most popular topics are computer science, Math and Physics. The popularity of topics is always the same, since 2000.

3.1 Raw Volume and Uniqueness of the datasets.

From listing 3 we can see that in ArXiv dataset there are 3 papers duplicated, whereas NIPS has none. On listing 4 we can observe that ArXiv contains 32982 rows completed informed, however we are more interested on the abstracts of the papers, and the id. By constraining the null statement, we now have 1753042 row informed. NIPS has all the row filled.

Listing 3: Volume and Uniqueness

```
>>> df_arxiv = spark.read.json(input_data_1)
>>> df_arxiv.persist()
>>> df_papers_nips = spark.read.format("csv")...
>>> df_arxiv.select("id").distinct().count()
1753039
>>> df_arxiv.select("id").count()
1753042
>>> df_papers_nips.select("id").distinct().count()
3946
>>> df_papers_nips.select("id").count()
3946
```

Listing 4: Completeness of the Datasets

```
>>> df_arxiv.dropna().count()
```

¹The application will query redshift to get the current state

Papers over years?

Question Is there a tendency on the paper production per year?

R. as 2020 Sept. Exponential tendency

Query. select year,count (distinct id) from versions group by year

Plot

Popular Macro-Taxonomy

Question Is there any predominant topic on Arxiv?

R. as 2020 Sept. Computer science, Math, and Physics.

Query. select year,category, count(a.id) as count_id from (select id, category from categories) a left join (select

Plot

Frequency of paper by macro-category




Figure 2: Exploratory plots on Database.




Figure 3: Paper production per year as Sept.2020




Figure 4: Popular topics in 2000 20010 2015 2020

```

32982
>>> df_arxiv.dropna(subset=('id', 'abstract')).count()
1753042
>>> df_papers_nips.dropna().count()
3924

```

3.2 Assessment

Since both data sets come from kaggle, they have great quality. Additionally, constraints on redshift enforce primary key uniqueness and nullity, so no further quality checks were required.

4 The Data model

Initially, I thought having a star schema would be the correct choice. It would offer a degree of normalization, while still providing an easy to understand the data model. Nonetheless, upon trying to implement it, I realized that a query optimized model, where you have a table per query seems more appropriate, that way, in principle, you could train machine learning models per table, without having to refer to the fact table or others tables. On the other hand, I also envisioned non-technical users exploring basic trends, or asking questions about popular authors or topics in a given year. In the end, my data model resembles the star schema, allowing for ad hoc queries, while at the same time provides query optimized tables for machine learning. This hybrid approach was the main reason to select AWS Redshift cluster as the host of the model. Redshift provides Postgresql-like query language suitable for non-technical users while being Massively parallel processing (MPP) to operate on large amounts of Data. Additionally, Redshift is easily integrated with python3 with the *psycopg2* module (for front-end) and with *Airflow* through the Postgres operator.

4.1 ETL

Once I designed the data model and selected the hosting technology, I constructed the ETL scripts. I used *PySpark* to transform the json and csv into DataFrames, process them and then leave them on S3 as parquet

files. *Spark*, in contrast to Redshift, supports json data manipulation, it is also parallelizable and comes with machine learning libraries. It is able to store data as parquet files, a columnar format particularly suitable for big data.

Most of the transformations involved flattening the nested data from the original .json, with the occasional use of regular expressions to extract temporal features of the records. All this process can be found in [pysparkCreateParquets.TEMP.py](#)

4.2 ETL Orchestration with Airflow

Airflow allows for ETL Orchestration with its webserver. I created tree DAGs. [create_tables_redshift](#) allows you to create the structure on Redshift of the data model. [create_parquet_area](#) runs [pysparkCreateParquets.TEMP.py](#) script, and finally [load_data_to_redshift](#) copies the parquet files to Redshift and performs data quality checks (volume check, and id nullity).

All the DAGs are set to run on demand, this means that a user would have to trigger the dag to actually execute it. If the user wanted to setup the automatic execution, for example **daily basis by 7am** all he/she needs to do is change the dag configuration ([load_data_to_redshift](#)) as in [5](#).

Listing 5: Dag configuration

```
args = {
    'owner': 'arXiv-haystack-app',
    'start_date': datetime.datetime.utcnow(),
    'catchup': False,
    'depends_on_past': False
}

dag = DAG(
    dag_id='create-parquet-area',
    default_args=args,
    schedule_interval='30 7 * * *')
)
```

The whole development is ready to handle a 100x increase in data thanks to *PySpark* scalability, and to withstand high user concurrency thanks to Redshift MPP nature.




Figure 5: Data model of Papers Data base




Figure 6: Example of question and answers.

5 front-end

I used Flask to create a web interface that queries the redshifts back-end. My original idea was to allow the users to explore the database to select a subset of papers and then add the full-text paper to an elastic search cluster (key-pair document storage) for machine learning exploitation. Unfortunately the full pdfs of Arxiv are not available for *wget* download, which I found out after finishing the code (can be seen on branch pdf_fail_aws). This pipeline consisted of obtaining the URL from Redshift, downloading the pdf to the client, and then upload them to s3, where Amazon Textract could process them asynchronously to text, and eventually append them to the local elastic search server. I was forced to change it to appending the title and abstract from Redshift to Elastic.

Appendix A Code Repository

All the code implemented and utilized during the execution of the data workflow described in this report is available at the GitHub repository <https://github.com/gariciodaro/arXiv-haystack-app>.