

# SOMETHING WITH CONNECTED COMPONENTS

Michael Bernasconi, Roman Haag, Giovanni Balduzi (?), Lea Fritschi

Department of Computer Science  
ETH Zürich  
Zürich, Switzerland

## ABSTRACT

We present an implementation of a hook tree based algorithm for connected component from the original paper of [1].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In computer science problems often get model as graphs and therefore graph algorithms are ubiquitous. One of these graph problems is the task of finding the connected components in a graph. It is a well understood problem in graph theory with a variety of applicable domains. Computer vision tasks such as pattern recognition and image segmentation [2] can make use of connected component [3]. Other fields are medical imaging [4] and image processing [5]. We will not discuss the related problem of strongly connected components.

As already mentioned this problem is well-studied both sequentially and in parallel. The first sequential algorithm goes back to [6]. A few parallel approaches would be [7][8] and recently [9] where they used a communication-avoiding approach. A communication-avoiding algorithm uses asymptotically less communication. By doing so [9] sacrifice some efficiency in the computation as the root node does most of the work. We wanted to improve on this by also introducing a distributed computation based on hooking [1] and ignoring the communication part. In an additional step we distributed the list of edges evenly among different MPI processes. This allows us to outperform the communication avoiding approach especially on denser graphs. Our approach performs significantly worse on a small amount of nodes ( $n \leq 5$ ) but as we increase the total number of cores the benefits of our algorithms starts to show.

## 2. REFERENCES

- [1] Tsan-sheng Hsu, Vijaya Ramachandran, and Nathaniel Dean, “Parallel implementation of algorithms for finding connected components in graphs (preprint),” 01 2019.
- [2] Jia-Ping Wang, “Stochastic relaxation on partitions with connected components and its application to image segmentation,” *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 619–636, June 1998.
- [3] Andrew D. Wilson, “Robust computer vision-based detection of pinching for one and two-handed gesture input,” in *Proceedings of the 19th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, New York, NY, USA, 2006, UIST ’06, pp. 255–258, ACM.
- [4] Jayaram K Udupa and Venkatramana G Ajjanagadde, “Boundary and object labelling in three-dimensional images,” *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 355 – 369, 1990.
- [5] Luigi Ambrosio, Vicent Caselles, Simon Masnou, and Jean-Michel Morel, “Connected components of sets of finite perimeter and applications to image processing,” *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 39–92, Feb 2001.
- [6] John Hopcroft and Robert Tarjan, “Algorithm 447: Efficient algorithms for graph manipulation,” *Commun. ACM*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 372–378, June 1973.
- [7] M Manohar and H.K Ramapriyan, “Connected component labeling of binary images on a mesh connected massively parallel processor,” *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 133 – 149, 1989.
- [8] Yujie Han and Robert A. Wagner, “An efficient and fast parallel-connected component algorithm,” *J. ACM*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 626–642, July 1990.
- [9] Lukas Gianinazzi, Pavel Kalvoda, Alessandro De Palma, Maciej Besta, and Torsten Hoefler, “Communication-Avoiding Parallel Minimum Cuts and Connected Components,” 02 2018, Accepted at The ACM Conference Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming 2018 (PPoPP’18).