

## Homework 2 - Chapter 1

1. (1 point) The conditional statements below are:

- i)  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$
- ii)  $p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$

- \*a. Logically equivalent
- b. Not logically equivalent
- c. Do not have a relation
- d. Cannot be compared

2.(1 point ) Let p and q be the propositions

p = "You drive over 65 miles per hour."

q = "You get a speeding ticket."

Write these propositions using p and q and logical connectives (including negations).

If you do not drive over 65 miles per hour, then you will not get a speeding ticket.

- \*a.  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$
- b.  $\neg p \wedge \neg q$
- c.  $\neg p \vee \neg q$
- d.  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

3. (1 point) The conditional statement are:

$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$  and  $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$

- a. Logically equivalent
- \*b. Not logically equivalent
- c. Do not have a relation
- d. Cannot be compared

4.(1 point) In the following proposition

$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

- a. p is the conclusion and q is the premise
- b. not p is the consequent and not q is the conclusion
- c. p is an hypothesis and not q is the conclusion
- \*d. not p is the antecedent and not q is the consequent

5. (1 points) How can the following English sentence be translated into a logical expression?

"Mary is nice; and it is Monday implies that Bob is tired."

Let p = It is Monday

q = Mary is nice

t = Bob is tired

- a.  $((q \wedge p) \rightarrow \neg t)$
- b.  $((q \wedge p) \rightarrow t)$
- c.  $(q \rightarrow t)$
- \*d.  $(q \wedge (p \rightarrow t))$

6. (1 point) Which statement best captures in logic the English sentence, "Somewhere, somebody done somebody wrong?"

- a.  $\forall x \exists y \forall z (W(x, y, z))$
- \*b.  $\exists x \exists y \exists z (W(x, y, z))$
- c.  $\exists x \forall y \forall z (W(x, y, z))$
- d.  $\forall x \forall y \forall z (W(x, y, z))$

7. (1 point) Which of the following is a logical equivalence?

- a.  $(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge \neg q$
- b.  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \vee \neg q$
- c.  $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv p \wedge q$
- \*d. None of the above

8. (1 point) Which of the following is a tautology?

- \*a.  $(\neg r \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow \neg q$
- b.  $(r \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow q$
- c.  $(r \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow \neg q$
- d.  $(\neg r \wedge (\neg q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow \neg q$

9. (1 point) Let  $W(x, z)$  mean that student  $x$  has visited website  $y$ , where the domain for  $x$  and  $y$  consists of all students in your school and the domain for  $z$  consists of all websites.

Which of the following expresses that there are two different people who have visited exactly the same websites ?

- a.  $\forall x \exists y \exists z ((x = y) \wedge (W(x, z) \leftrightarrow W(y, z)))$
- b.  $\forall x \forall y \forall z ((x \neq y) \wedge (W(x, z) \leftrightarrow W(y, z)))$
- c.  $\exists x \exists y \forall z ((x = y) \wedge (W(x, z) \leftrightarrow W(y, z)))$
- \*d.  $\exists x \exists y \forall z ((x \neq y) \wedge (W(x, z) \leftrightarrow W(y, z)))$

10. (1 point) Let  $L(x, y)$  be the statement "x loves y," where the domain for both  $x$  and  $y$  consists of all people in the world.

Which of the following English statements expresses this formal logic?

$$\exists x(\forall yL(y, x) \wedge \forall z((\forall wL(w, z)) \rightarrow z = x))$$

- \*a. There is exactly one person whom everybody loves.
- b. There is somebody whom no one loves.
- c. There are exactly two people whom everyone loves.
- d. Everyone loves himself or herself.

11. (1 point) A theorem is a:

- a. statement that is always true
- b. false statement
- \*c. statement that can be proven to be true
- d. proof

12. (1 point) Indirect proofs make use of the following:

- a.  $p \wedge p \Leftrightarrow p$
- b.  $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \sim p \vee q$
- c.  $p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$
- \*d.  $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \sim q \rightarrow \sim p$

13. (1 point) A proof that proves a theorem by checking every possibility is called a/an:

- a. Proof by contradiction
- \*b. Exhaustive proof
- c. Proof by contraposition
- d. Irrational proof

14. (1 point) A direct proof of a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  assumes:

- a. p and q both are true
- b. q is true so then p must be true
- \*c. p is true so then q must be true
- d. p and q both are false