[ChatGPT] Understanding toxicity of small molecules:

Features Identified by ChatGPT

- Molecular weight
- Lipophilicity (LogP)
- Hydrogen bond donors
- Hydrogen bond acceptors
- Polar surface area (PSA)
- Aromatic rings
- Functional groups (e.g., amines, carboxylic acids, halogens)
- Electrophilicity
- Metabolic stability
- Reactive functional groups (e.g., epoxides, aldehydes)
- Structural alerts (e.g., nitro groups, anilines)
- pKa
- Stereochemistry

Molecular weight:

Explanation: Higher molecular weight can influence the distribution, metabolism, and excretion of small molecules. Generally, molecules with very high molecular weights may have reduced permeability and bioavailability, potentially leading to accumulation and toxicity.

Hypothesis: Molecules with molecular weights above a certain

threshold (e.g., 500 Da) are more likely to exhibit toxicity due to poor excretion and potential accumulation in tissues.

Lipophilicity (LogP):

Explanation: Lipophilicity, often measured as LogP, affects the ability of a molecule to cross cell membranes. Highly lipophilic molecules can accumulate in lipid-rich tissues, potentially leading to toxicity. Conversely, very hydrophilic molecules may have poor cell membrane permeability.

Hypothesis: Molecules with a LogP value outside the optimal range (typically between 1 and 3) are more likely to be toxic due to either excessive accumulation in lipid tissues or poor cellular uptake.

Hydrogen bond donors:

Explanation: Hydrogen bond donors can influence the solubility and permeability of molecules. Excessive hydrogen bonding can reduce membrane permeability, affecting distribution and excretion.

Hypothesis: Molecules with a high number of hydrogen bond donors are more likely to exhibit toxicity due to poor permeability and potential accumulation.

Hydrogen bond acceptors:

Explanation: Similar to hydrogen bond donors, hydrogen bond acceptors can affect solubility and permeability. A high number of hydrogen bond acceptors can lead to poor membrane permeability.

Hypothesis: Molecules with a high number of hydrogen bond acceptors are more likely to be toxic due to reduced permeability and potential bioaccumulation.

Polar surface area (PSA):

Explanation: PSA is a measure of the surface area occupied by polar atoms. High PSA can reduce membrane permeability and affect the distribution of the molecule.

Hypothesis: Molecules with high PSA are more likely to exhibit toxicity due to poor permeability and potential accumulation in non-target tissues.

Aromatic rings:

Explanation: Aromatic rings can contribute to the stability and reactivity of molecules. However, they can also lead to the formation of reactive metabolites, which can be toxic.

Hypothesis: Molecules with multiple aromatic rings are more likely to be toxic due to the potential formation of reactive metabolites.

Functional groups (e.g., amines, carboxylic acids, halogens):

Explanation: Different functional groups can influence the reactivity, solubility, and metabolism of molecules. For example, halogens can increase lipophilicity, while amines and carboxylic acids can affect solubility and ionization.

Hypothesis: Molecules with certain functional groups (e.g., halogens, nitro groups) are more likely to be toxic due to increased reactivity and potential for forming reactive intermediates.

Electrophilicity:

Explanation: Electrophilic molecules can react with nucleophilic sites in biological macromolecules, leading to toxicity. This can result in covalent binding to proteins, DNA, and other cellular components.

Hypothesis: Highly electrophilic molecules are more likely to be toxic due to their potential to form covalent bonds with biological macromolecules.

Metabolic stability:

Explanation: Metabolic stability affects the duration and intensity of a molecule's action. Molecules that are rapidly metabolized may produce toxic metabolites, while those that are highly stable may accumulate and cause toxicity.

Hypothesis: Molecules with either very high or very low metabolic stability are more likely to be toxic due to the formation of toxic metabolites or accumulation, respectively.

Reactive functional groups (e.g., epoxides, aldehydes):

Explanation: Reactive functional groups can form covalent bonds with biological macromolecules, leading to toxicity. These groups can also generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), causing oxidative stress.

Hypothesis: Molecules with reactive functional groups are more likely to be toxic due to their potential to form covalent bonds and generate ROS.

Structural alerts (e.g., nitro groups, anilines):

Explanation: Structural alerts are specific substructures known to be associated with toxicity. For example, nitro groups and anilines can undergo metabolic activation to form reactive intermediates.

Hypothesis: Molecules containing structural alerts are more likely to be toxic due to the formation of reactive intermediates during metabolism.

pKa:

Explanation: The pKa of a molecule affects its ionization state at physiological pH, influencing solubility, permeability, and distribution. Molecules with extreme pKa values may have poor permeability and bioavailability.

Hypothesis: Molecules with pKa values far from physiological pH (7.4) are more likely to be toxic due to poor permeability and potential accumulation.

Stereochemistry:

Explanation: Stereochemistry can influence the binding of molecules to biological targets and their metabolism. Enantiomers can have different pharmacokinetic and toxicological profiles.

Hypothesis: Molecules with chiral centers are more likely to exhibit stereochemistry-dependent toxicity due to differences in binding affinity and metabolic pathways.

Summary

The toxicity of small molecules is influenced by a variety of molecular features, including molecular weight, lipophilicity, hydrogen bond donors and acceptors, polar surface area, aromatic rings, functional groups, electrophilicity, metabolic stability, reactive functional groups, structural alerts, pKa, and stereochemistry. Each of these features can affect the solubility, permeability, distribution, metabolism, and reactivity of the molecules, ultimately influencing their potential to cause toxicity. Understanding these relationships can help in the design of safer and more effective small molecules.

References

1. Lipinski, C. A., Lombardo, F., Dominy, B. W., & Feeney, P. J. (2001). Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, 46*(1-3), 3-26.
2. Veber, D. F., Johnson, S. R., Cheng, H. Y., Smith, B. R., Ward, K. W., & Kopple, K. D. (2002). Molecular properties that influence the oral bioavailability of drug candidates. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 45*(12), 2615-2623.
3. Enoch, S. J., & Cronin, M. T. (2010). A review of the electrophilic reaction chemistry involved in covalent protein binding relevant to toxicity. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 40*(8), 728-748.
4. Gleeson, M. P. (2008). Generation of a set of simple, interpretable ADMET rules of thumb. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 51*(4), 817-834.
5. Kalgutkar, A. S., & Soglia, J. R. (2005). Minimising the potential for metabolic activation in drug discovery. *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism & Toxicology, 1*(1), 91-142.