

STAT 6710
Mathematical Statistics I
Fall Semester 2000

Dr. Jürgen Symanzik

Utah State University

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

3900 Old Main Hill

Logan, UT 84322-3900

Tel.: (435) 797-0696

FAX: (435) 797-1822

e-mail: `symanzik@sunfs.math.usu.edu`

Contents

Acknowledgements	1
1 Axioms of Probability	1
1.1 σ -Fields	1
1.2 Manipulating Probability	5
1.3 Combinatorics and Counting	11
1.4 Conditional Probability and Independence	17
2 Random Variables	24
2.1 Measurable Functions	24
2.2 Probability Distribution of a Random Variable	27
2.3 Discrete and Continuous Random Variables	31
2.4 Transformations of Random Variables	36
3 Moments and Generating Functions	42
3.1 Expectation	42
3.2 Generating Functions	50
3.3 Complex-Valued Random Variables and Characteristic Functions	56
3.4 Probability Generating Functions	66
3.5 Moment Inequalities	68
4 Random Vectors	71
4.1 Joint, Marginal, and Conditional Distributions	71
4.2 Independent Random Variables	77
4.3 Functions of Random Vectors	82
4.4 Order Statistics	89
4.5 Multivariate Expectation	91
4.6 Multivariate Generating Functions	97
4.7 Conditional Expectation	102
4.8 Inequalities and Identities	106

5	Particular Distributions	112
5.1	Multivariate Normal Distributions	112
5.2	Exponential Family of Distributions	119
6	Limit Theorems	121
6.1	Modes of Convergence	122
6.2	Weak Laws of Large Numbers	135
	Index	139

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my students, Hanadi B. Eltahir, Rich Madsen, and Bill Morphet, who helped during the Fall 1999 and Spring 2000 semesters in typesetting these lecture notes using L^AT_EX and for their suggestions how to improve some of the material presented in class. Thanks are also due to my Fall 2000 and Spring 2001 semester students, Weiping Deng, David B. Neal, Emily Simmons, Shea Watrin, Aonan Zhang, and Qian Zhao, for their comments that helped to improve these lecture notes.

In addition, I particularly would like to thank Mike Minnotte and Dan Coster, who previously taught this course at Utah State University, for providing me with their lecture notes and other materials related to this course. Their lecture notes, combined with additional material from Rohatgi (1976) and other sources listed below, form the basis of the script presented here.

The textbook required for this class is:

- Rohatgi, V. K. (1976): *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

A Web page dedicated to this class is accessible at:

http://www.math.usu.edu/~symanzik/teaching/2000_stat6710/stat6710.html

This course closely follows Rohatgi (1976) as described in the syllabus. Additional material originates from the lectures from Professors Hering, Trenkler, and Gather I have attended while studying at the Universität Dortmund, Germany, the collection of Masters and PhD Preliminary Exam questions from Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, and the following textbooks:

- Bandelow, C. (1981): *Einführung in die Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie*, Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim, Germany.
- Casella, G., and Berger, R. L. (1990): *Statistical Inference*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, CA.
- Fisz, M. (1989): *Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung und mathematische Statistik*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, German Democratic Republic.
- Kelly, D. G. (1994): *Introduction to Probability*, Macmillan, New York, NY.
- Mood, A. M., and Graybill, F. A., and Boes, D. C. (1974): *Introduction to the Theory of Statistics* (Third Edition), McGraw-Hill, Singapore.
- Parzen, E. (1960): *Modern Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Wiley, New York, NY.

- Searle, S. R. (1971): *Linear Models*, Wiley, New York, NY.

Additional definitions, integrals, sums, etc. originate from the following formula collections:

- Bronstein, I. N. and Semendjajew, K. A. (1985): *Taschenbuch der Mathematik* (22. Auflage), Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun, German Democratic Republic.
- Bronstein, I. N. and Semendjajew, K. A. (1986): *Ergänzende Kapitel zu Taschenbuch der Mathematik* (4. Auflage), Verlag Harri Deutsch, Thun, German Democratic Republic.
- Sieber, H. (1980): *Mathematische Formeln — Erweiterte Ausgabe E*, Ernst Klett, Stuttgart, Germany.

Jürgen Symanzik, January 12, 2001

1 Axioms of Probability

1.1 σ -Fields

Let Ω be the **sample space** of all possible outcomes of a chance experiment. Let $\omega \in \Omega$ (or $x \in \Omega$) be any outcome.

Example:

Count # of heads in n coin tosses. $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Any subset A of Ω is called an **event**.

For each event $A \subseteq \Omega$, we would like to assign a number (i.e., a probability). Unfortunately, we cannot always do this for every subset of Ω .

Instead, we consider classes of subsets of Ω called *fields* and *σ -fields*.

Definition 1.1.1:

A class L of subsets of Ω is called a **field** if $\Omega \in L$ and L is *closed* under complements and finite unions, i.e., L satisfies

- (i) $\Omega \in L$
- (ii) $A \in L \implies A^C \in L$
- (iii) $A, B \in L \implies A \cup B \in L$

■

Since $\Omega^C = \emptyset$, (i) and (ii) imply $\emptyset \in L$. Therefore, (i)': $\emptyset \in L$ [can replace (i)].

Note: De Morgan's Laws

For any class \mathcal{A} of sets, and sets $A \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds:

$$\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \left(\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^C \right)^C \text{ and } \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A = \left(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A^C \right)^C.$$

■

Note:

So (ii), (iii) imply (iii)': $A, B \in L \implies A \cap B \in L$ [can replace (iii)].

Proof:

$$A, B \in L \xrightarrow{(ii)} A^C, B^C \in L \xrightarrow{(iii)} (A^C \cup B^C) \in L \xrightarrow{(ii)} (A^C \cup B^C)^C \in L \xrightarrow{DM} A \cap B \in L$$

■

Definition 1.1.2:

A class L of subsets of Ω is called a σ -**field** (*Borel field, σ -algebra*) if it is a field and closed under *countable* unions, i.e.,

$$(iv) \{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in L \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in L.$$

■

Note:

(iv) implies (iii) by taking $A_n = \emptyset$ for $n \geq 3$.

Example 1.1.3:

For some Ω , let L contain all finite and all cofinite sets (A is *cofinite* if A^C is finite — for example, if $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, $A = \{x \mid x \geq c\}$ is not finite but since $A^C = \{x \mid x < c\}$ is finite, A is cofinite). Then L is a field. But L is a σ -field **iff** (if and only if) Ω is finite.

For example, let $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}$. Take $A_n = \{n\}$, each finite, so $A_n \in L$. But $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \mathbb{Z}^+ \notin L$, since the set is not finite (it is infinite) and also not cofinite ($(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^C = \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ is infinite, too).

Question: Does this construction work for $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}^+$??

■

Note:

The largest σ -field in Ω is the *power set* $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ of all subsets of Ω . The smallest σ -field is $L = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$.

■

Terminology:

A set $A \in L$ is said to be “*measurable* L ”.

■

Note:

We often begin with a class of sets, say a , which may not be a field or a σ -field.

■

Definition 1.1.4:

The σ -**field generated by** a , $\sigma(a)$, is the smallest σ -field containing a , or the intersection of all σ -fields containing a .

■

Note:

(i) Such σ -fields containing a always exist (e.g., $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$), and (ii) the intersection of an arbitrary $\#$ of σ -fields is always a σ -field.

Proof:

(ii) Suppose $L = \bigcap_{\theta} L_{\theta}$. We have to show that conditions (i) and (ii) of Def. 1.1.1 and (iv) of Def. 1.1.2 are fulfilled:

(i) $\Omega \in L_{\theta} \quad \forall \theta \implies \Omega \in L$

(ii) Let $A \in L \implies A \in L_{\theta} \quad \forall \theta \implies A^C \in L_{\theta} \quad \forall \theta \implies A^C \in L$

(iv) Let $A_n \in L \quad \forall n \implies A_n \in L_{\theta} \quad \forall \theta \quad \forall n \implies \bigcup_n A_n \in L_{\theta} \quad \forall \theta \implies \bigcup_n A_n \in L$ ■

Example 1.1.5:

$\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, a = \{\{0\}\}, b = \{\{0\}, \{0, 1\}\}.$

Lecture 03: Fr 09/01/00

What is $\sigma(a)$?

$\sigma(a)$: must include $\Omega, \emptyset, \{0\}$

also: $\{1, 2, 3\}$ by 1.1.1 (ii)

Since all unions are included, we have $\sigma(a) = \{\Omega, \emptyset, \{0\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$

What is $\sigma(b)$?

$\sigma(b)$: must include $\Omega, \emptyset, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}$

also: $\{1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3\}$ by 1.1.1 (ii)

$\{0, 2, 3\}$ by 1.1.1 (iii)

$\{1\}$ by 1.1.1 (ii)

Since all unions are included, we have $\sigma(b) = \{\Omega, \emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{0, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ ■

Note:

If Ω is finite or countable, we will usually use $L = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. If $|\Omega| = n < \infty$, then $|L| = 2^n$.

If Ω is uncountable, $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ may be too large to be useful and we may have to use some smaller σ -field. ■

Definition 1.1.6:

If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, an important special case is the **Borel σ -field**, i.e., the σ -field generated from all half-open intervals of the form $(a, b]$, denoted \mathcal{B} or \mathcal{B}_1 . The sets of \mathcal{B} are called **Borel sets**.

The Borel σ -field on \mathbb{R}^d (\mathcal{B}_d) is the σ -field generated by d -dimensional rectangles of the form $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \mid a_i < x_i \leq b_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$. ■

Note:

\mathcal{B} contains all points: $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (x - \frac{1}{n}, x]$

closed intervals: $[x, y] = (x, y] + \{x\} = (x, y] \cup \{x\}$

open intervals: $(x, y) = (x, y] - \{y\} = (x, y] \cap \{y\}^C$

and semi-infinite intervals: $(x, \infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, x + n]$ ■

Note:

We now have a *measurable space* (Ω, L) . We next define a *probability measure* $P(\cdot)$ on (Ω, L) to obtain a *probability space* (Ω, L, P) . ■

Definition 1.1.7: Kolmogorov Axioms of Probability

A **probability measure (pm)**, P , on (Ω, L) is a set function $P : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

(i) $0 \leq P(A) \quad \forall A \in L$

(ii) $P(\Omega) = 1$

(iii) If $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are disjoint sets in L and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in L$, then $P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$. ■

Note:

$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in L$ holds automatically if L is a σ -field but it is needed as a precondition in the case that L is just a field. Property (iii) is called *countable additivity*. ■

1.2 Manipulating Probability

Theorem 1.2.1:

For P a pm on (Ω, L) , it holds:

- (i) $P(\emptyset) = 0$
- (ii) $P(A^C) = 1 - P(A) \quad \forall A \in L$
- (iii) $P(A) \leq 1 \quad \forall A \in L$
- (iv) $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) \quad \forall A, B \in L$
- (v) If $A \subseteq B$, then $P(A) \leq P(B)$.

Proof:

$$(i) \quad A_n \equiv \emptyset \quad \forall n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset \in L.$$

$$A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \quad \forall i, j \Rightarrow A_n \text{ are disjoint } \forall n.$$

$$P(\emptyset) = P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \stackrel{Def 1.1.7(iii)}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(\emptyset)$$

This can only hold if $P(\emptyset) = 0$.

$$(ii) \quad A_1 \equiv A, A_2 \equiv A^C, A_n \equiv \emptyset \quad \forall n \geq 3.$$

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \bigcup_{n=3}^{\infty} A_n = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \emptyset.$$

$$A_1 \cap A_2 = A_1 \cap \emptyset = A_2 \cap \emptyset = \emptyset \Rightarrow A_1, A_2, \emptyset \text{ are disjoint.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = P(\Omega) &= P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \\ &\stackrel{Def 1.1.7(iii)}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) \\ &= P(A_1) + P(A_2) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} P(A_n) \\ &\stackrel{Th 1.2.1(i)}{=} P(A_1) + P(A_2) \\ &= P(A) + P(A^C) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A^C) = 1 - P(A) \quad \forall A \in L.$$

(iii) By Th. 1.2.1 (ii) $P(A) = 1 - P(A^C)$

$$\implies P(A) \leq 1 \quad \forall A \in L \text{ since } P(A^C) \geq 0 \text{ by Def. 1.1.7 (i).}$$

(iv) $A \cup B = (A \cap B^C) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \cap A^C)$. So, $(A \cup B)$ can be written as a union of disjoint sets $(A \cap B^C), (A \cap B), (B \cap A^C)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \implies P(A \cup B) &= P((A \cap B^C) \cup (A \cap B) \cup (B \cap A^C)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 1.1.7 (iii)}}{=} P(A \cap B^C) + P(A \cap B) + P(B \cap A^C) \\ &= P(A \cap B^C) + P(A \cap B) + P(B \cap A^C) + P(A \cap B) - P(A \cap B) \\ &= (P(A \cap B^C) + P(A \cap B)) + (P(B \cap A^C) + P(A \cap B)) - P(A \cap B) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 1.1.7 (iii)}}{=} P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) \end{aligned}$$

(v) $B = (B \cap A^C) \cup A$ where $(B \cap A^C)$ and A are disjoint sets.

$$P(B) = P((B \cap A^C) \cup A) \stackrel{\text{Def. 1.1.7 (iii)}}{=} P(B \cap A^C) + P(A)$$

$$\implies P(A) = P(B) - P(B \cap A^C)$$

$$\implies P(A) \leq P(B) \text{ since } P(B \cap A^C) \geq 0 \text{ by Def. 1.1.7 (i).}$$

Lecture 04:
We 09/06/00

■

Theorem 1.2.2: Principle of Inclusion–Exclusion

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in L$. Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n P(A_k) - \sum_{k_1 < k_2} P(A_{k_1} \cap A_{k_2}) + \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < k_3} P(A_{k_1} \cap A_{k_2} \cap A_{k_3}) - \dots + (-1)^{n+1} P\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k\right)$$

Proof:

$n = 1$ is trivial

$n = 2$ is Theorem 1.2.1 (iv)

Use induction for higher n (Homework).

■

Note:

A proof by **induction** consists of two steps:

First, we have to establish the **induction base**. For example, if we state that something holds for all non-negative integers, then we have to show that it holds for $n = 0$. Similarly, if we state that something holds for all integers, then we have to show that it holds for $n = 1$. Formally, it is sufficient to verify a claim for the smallest valid integer only. However, to get some feeling how the proof from n to $n + 1$ might work, it is sometimes beneficial to verify a claim for 1, 2, or 3 as well.

In the second step, we have to establish the result in the **induction step**, showing that something holds for $n + 1$, using the fact that it holds for n (alternatively, we can show that it holds for n , using the fact that it holds for $n - 1$). ■

Theorem 1.2.3: Bonferroni's Inequality

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in L$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} P(A_i \cap A_j) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$$

Proof:

Right side: $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$

Induction Base:

For $n = 1$, the right side evaluates to $P(A_1) \leq P(A_1)$, which is true.

Formally, the next step is not required. However, it does not harm to verify the claim for $n = 2$ as well. For $n = 2$, the right side evaluates to $P(A_1 \cup A_2) \leq P(A_1) + P(A_2)$.

$P(A_1 \cup A_2) \stackrel{Th.1.2.1(iv)}{=} P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) \leq P(A_1) + P(A_2)$ since $P(A_1 \cap A_2) \geq 0$ by Def. 1.1.7 (i).

This establishes the induction base for the right side.

Induction Step:

We assume the right side is true for n and show that it is true for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i\right) &= P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \cup A_{n+1}\right) \\ &\stackrel{Th.1.2.1(iv)}{=} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) + P(A_{n+1}) - P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \cap A_{n+1}\right) \\ &\stackrel{Def.1.1.7(i)}{\leq} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) + P(A_{n+1}) \\ &\stackrel{I.B.}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) + P(A_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

Left side: $\sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - \sum_{i < j} P(A_i \cap A_j) \leq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right)$

Induction Base:

For $n = 1$, the left side evaluates to $P(A_1) \leq P(A_1)$, which is true.

For $n = 2$, the left side evaluates to $P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) \leq P(A_1 \cup A_2)$, which is true by Th. 1.2.1 (iv).

For $n = 3$, the left side evaluates to

$$P(A_1) + P(A_2) + P(A_3) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_3) - P(A_2 \cap A_3) \leq P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3).$$

This holds since

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3) &= P((A_1 \cup A_2) \cup A_3) \\ &\stackrel{Th.1.2.1(iv)}{=} P(A_1 \cup A_2) + P(A_3) - P((A_1 \cup A_2) \cap A_3) \\ &= P(A_1 \cup A_2) + P(A_3) - P((A_1 \cap A_3) \cup (A_2 \cap A_3)) \\ &\stackrel{Th.1.2.1(iv)}{=} P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) + P(A_3) - P(A_1 \cap A_3) - P(A_2 \cap A_3) \\ &\quad + P((A_1 \cap A_3) \cap (A_2 \cap A_3)) \\ &= P(A_1) + P(A_2) + P(A_3) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_3) - P(A_2 \cap A_3) + P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3) \\ &\stackrel{Def1.1.7(i)}{\geq} P(A_1) + P(A_2) + P(A_3) - P(A_1 \cap A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_3) - P(A_2 \cap A_3) \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the induction base for the left side.

Induction Step:

We assume the left side is true for n and show that it is true for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i\right) &= P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \cup A_{n+1}\right) \\ &= P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) + P(A_{n+1}) - P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \cap A_{n+1}\right) \\ &\stackrel{left\ I.B.}{\geq} \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - \sum_{i < j}^n P(A_i \cap A_j) + P(A_{n+1}) - P\left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \cap A_{n+1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i) - \sum_{i < j}^n P(A_i \cap A_j) - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \cap A_{n+1})\right) \\ &\stackrel{Th.1.2.3\ right\ side}{\geq} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i) - \sum_{i < j}^n P(A_i \cap A_j) - \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i \cap A_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i) - \sum_{i < j}^{n+1} P(A_i \cap A_j) \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 1.2.4: Boole's Inequality

Let $A, B \in L$. Then

- (i) $P(A \cap B) \geq P(A) + P(B) - 1$
- (ii) $P(A \cap B) \geq 1 - P(A^C) - P(B^C)$

Proof:

Homework ■

Definition 1.2.5: Continuity of sets

For a sequence of sets $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $A_n \in L$ and $A \in L$, we say

- (i) $A_n \uparrow A$ if $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq A_3 \subseteq \dots$ and $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$.
 - (ii) $A_n \downarrow A$ if $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \dots$ and $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$.
-

Theorem 1.2.6:

If $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $A_n \in L$ and $A \in L$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) = P(A)$ if 1.2.5 (i) or 1.2.5 (ii) holds.

Proof:

Part (i): Assume that 1.2.5 (i) holds.

Let $B_1 = A_1$ and $B_k = A_k - A_{k-1} = A_k \cap A_{k-1}^C \quad \forall k \geq 2$

By construction, $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$

It is $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$

and also $A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$

$$\underline{P(A)} = P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right) \stackrel{\text{Def. 1.1.7 (iii)}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(B_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n P(B_k) \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Def. 1.1.7 (iii)}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k\right) \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k\right) \right] = \underline{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n)}$$

The last step is possible since $A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$

Part (ii): Assume that 1.2.5 (ii) holds.

Then, $A_1^C \subseteq A_2^C \subseteq A_3^C \subseteq \dots$ and $A^C = (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)^C \stackrel{De Morgan}{=} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^C$

$$P(A^C) \stackrel{\text{By Part (i)}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n^C)$$

$$\text{So } 1 - P(A^C) = 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n^C)$$

$$\implies P(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - P(A_n^C)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n)$$

■

Theorem 1.2.7:

- (i) Countable unions of probability 0 sets have probability 0.
- (ii) Countable intersections of probability 1 sets have probability 1.

Proof:

Part (i):

Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}$, $P(A_n) = 0 \quad \forall n$

$$0 \stackrel{\text{Def. 1.7.7 (i)}}{\leq} P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \stackrel{\text{Th. 1.2.3}}{\leq} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0$$

Therefore $P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = 0$.

Part (ii):

Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{L}$, $P(A_n) = 1 \quad \forall n$

$$\stackrel{\text{Th. 1.2.1 (ii)}}{\implies} P(A_n^C) = 0 \quad \forall n \stackrel{\text{Th. 1.2.7 (i)}}{\implies} P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^C\right) = 0 \stackrel{\text{De Morgan}}{\implies} P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = 1$$

■

Lecture 05:
Fr 09/08/00

1.3 Combinatorics and Counting

For now, we restrict ourselves to sample spaces containing a finite number of points.

Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ and $L = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. For any $A \in L$, $P(A) = \sum_{\omega_j \in A} P(\omega_j)$.

Definition 1.3.1:

We say the elements of Ω are **equally likely** (or occur with uniform probability) if $P(\omega_j) = \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n$. ■

Note:

If this is true, $P(A) = \frac{\text{number } \omega_j \text{ in } A}{\text{number } \omega_j \text{ in } \Omega}$. Therefore, to calculate such probabilities, we just need to be able to count elements accurately.

Theorem 1.3.2: Fundamental Theorem of Counting

If we wish to select one element (a_1) out of n_1 choices, a second element (a_2) out of n_2 choices, and so on for a total of k elements, there are

$$n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3 \times \dots \times n_k$$

ways to do it.

Proof: (By Induction)

Induction Base:

$k = 1$: trivial

$k = 2$: n_1 ways to choose a_1 . For each, n_2 ways to choose a_2 .

Total # of ways = $\underbrace{n_2 + n_2 + \dots + n_2}_{n_1 \text{ times}} = n_1 \times n_2$.

Induction Step:

Suppose it is true for $(k - 1)$. We show that it is true for $k = (k - 1) + 1$.

There are $n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3 \times \dots \times n_{k-1}$ ways to select one element (a_1) out of n_1 choices, a second element (a_2) out of n_2 choices, and so on, up to the $(k - 1)^{th}$ element (a_{k-1}) out of n_{k-1} choices. For each of these $n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3 \times \dots \times n_{k-1}$ possible ways, we can select the k^{th} element (a_k) out of n_k choices. Thus, the total # of ways = $(n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3 \times \dots \times n_{k-1}) \times n_k$. ■

Definition 1.3.3:

For positive integer n , we define **n factorial** as $n! = n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times \dots \times 2 \times 1 = n \times (n-1)!$ and $0! = 1$. ■

Definition 1.3.4:

For nonnegative integers $n \geq r$, we define the **binomial coefficient** (read as n choose r) as

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot r}.$$
 ■

Note:

A useful extension for the binomial coefficient for $n < r$ is

$$\binom{n}{r} = \frac{n \cdot (n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 0 \cdot \dots \cdot (n-r+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot r} = 0.$$
 ■

Note:

Most counting problems consist of drawing a fixed number of times from a set of elements (e.g., $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$). To solve such problems, we need to know

- (i) the size of the set, n ;
 - (ii) the size of the sample, r ;
 - (iii) whether the result will be **ordered** (i.e., is $\{1, 2\}$ different from $\{2, 1\}$); and
 - (iv) whether the draws are **with replacement** (i.e., can results like $\{1, 1\}$ occur?).
-

Theorem 1.3.5:

The number of ways to draw r elements from a set of n , if

- (i) ordered, without replacement, is $\frac{n!}{(n-r)!}$;
- (ii) ordered, with replacement, is n^r ;
- (iii) unordered, without replacement, is $\frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} = \binom{n}{r}$;
- (iv) unordered, with replacement, is $\frac{(n+r-1)!}{r!(n-1)!} = \binom{n+r-1}{r}$.

Proof:

- (i) n choices to select 1^{st}
- $n - 1$ choices to select 2^{nd}
- \vdots
- $n - r + 1$ choices to select r^{th}

By Theorem 1.3.2, there are $n \times (n - 1) \times \dots \times (n - r + 1) = \frac{n \times (n - 1) \times \dots \times (n - r + 1) \times (n - r)!}{(n - r)!} = \frac{n!}{(n - r)!}$ ways to do so.

Corollary:

The number of permutations of n objects is $n!$.

- (ii) n choices to select 1^{st}
- n choices to select 2^{nd}
- \vdots
- n choices to select r^{th}

By Theorem 1.3.2, there are $\underbrace{n \times n \times \dots \times n}_{r \text{ times}} = n^r$ ways to do so.

- (iii) We know from (i) above that there are $\frac{n!}{(n - r)!}$ ways to draw r elements out of n elements without replacement in the ordered case. However, for each unordered set of size r , there are $r!$ related ordered sets that consist of the same elements. Thus, there are $\frac{n!}{(n - r)!} \cdot \frac{1}{r!} = \binom{n}{r}$ ways to draw r elements out of n elements without replacement in the unordered case.

- (iv) There is no immediate direct way to show this part. We have to come up with some extra motivation. We assume that there are $(n - 1)$ walls that separate the n bins of possible outcomes and there are r markers. If we shake everything, there are $(n - 1 + r)!$ permutations to arrange these $(n - 1)$ walls and r markers according to the Corollary. Since the r markers are indistinguishable and the $(n - 1)$ walls are also indistinguishable, we have to divide the number of permutations by $r!$ to get rid of identical permutations where only the markers are changed and by $(n - 1)!$ to get rid of identical permutations where only the walls are changed. Thus, there are $\frac{(n - 1 + r)!}{r!(n - 1)!} = \binom{n + r - 1}{r}$ ways to draw r elements out of n elements with replacement in the unordered case.

■

Theorem 1.3.6: The Binomial Theorem

If n is a non-negative integer, then

$$(1+x)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r$$

Proof: (By Induction)

Induction Base:

$$n = 0: 1 = (1+x)^0 = \sum_{r=0}^0 \binom{0}{r} x^r = \binom{0}{0} x^0 = 1$$

$$n = 1: (1+x)^1 = \sum_{r=0}^1 \binom{1}{r} x^r = \binom{1}{0} x^0 + \binom{1}{1} x^1 = 1 + x$$

Induction Step:

Suppose it is true for k . We show that it is true for $k+1$.

$$\begin{aligned} (1+x)^{k+1} &= (1+x)^k (1+x) \\ &\stackrel{IB}{=} \left(\sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} x^r \right) (1+x) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} x^r + \sum_{r=0}^k \binom{k}{r} x^{r+1} \\ &= \binom{k}{0} x^0 + \sum_{r=1}^k \left[\binom{k}{r} + \binom{k}{r-1} \right] x^r + \binom{k}{k} x^{k+1} \\ &= \binom{k+1}{0} x^0 + \sum_{r=1}^k \left[\binom{k}{r} + \binom{k}{r-1} \right] x^r + \binom{k+1}{k+1} x^{k+1} \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \binom{k+1}{0} x^0 + \sum_{r=1}^k \binom{k+1}{r} x^r + \binom{k+1}{k+1} x^{k+1} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{r} x^r \end{aligned}$$

(*) Here we use Theorem 1.3.8 (i). Since the proof of Theorem 1.3.8 (i) only needs algebraic transformations without using the Binomial Theorem, part (i) of Theorem 1.3.8 can be applied here. ■

Corollary 1.3.7:

For a non-negative integer n , it holds:

- (i) $\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} = 2^n$
- (ii) $\binom{n}{0} - \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{2} - \binom{n}{3} + \dots + (-1)^n \binom{n}{n} = 0$
- (iii) $1 \cdot \binom{n}{1} + 2 \cdot \binom{n}{2} + 3 \cdot \binom{n}{3} + \dots + n \cdot \binom{n}{n} = n2^{n-1}$
- (iv) $1 \cdot \binom{n}{1} - 2 \cdot \binom{n}{2} + 3 \cdot \binom{n}{3} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} n \cdot \binom{n}{n} = 0$

Proof:

Use the Binomial Theorem:

- (i) Let $x = 1$. Then

$$2^n = (1 + 1)^n \stackrel{Th.1.3.6}{=} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} 1^r = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r}$$

- (ii) Let $x = -1$. Then

$$0 = (1 + (-1))^n \stackrel{Th.1.3.6}{=} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (-1)^r$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{d}{dx}(1+x)^n = \frac{d}{dx} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r$$

$$\implies n(1+x)^{n-1} = \sum_{r=1}^n r \cdot \binom{n}{r} x^{r-1}$$

Substitute $x = 1$, then

$$n2^{n-1} = n(1+1)^{n-1} = \sum_{r=1}^n r \cdot \binom{n}{r}$$

- (iv) Substitute $x = -1$ in (iii) above, then

$$0 = n(1 + (-1))^{n-1} = \sum_{r=1}^n r \cdot \binom{n}{r} (-1)^r = \sum_{r=1}^n r \cdot \binom{n}{r} (-1)^{r-1}$$

since for $\sum a_i = 0$ also $\sum (-a_i) = 0$.

■

Theorem 1.3.8:

For non-negative integers, n, m, r , it holds:

$$(i) \quad \binom{n-1}{r} + \binom{n-1}{r-1} = \binom{n}{r}$$

$$(ii) \quad \binom{n}{0} \binom{m}{r} + \binom{n}{1} \binom{m}{r-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{r} \binom{m}{0} = \binom{m+n}{r}$$

$$(iii) \quad \binom{0}{r} + \binom{1}{r} + \binom{2}{r} + \dots + \binom{n}{r} = \binom{n+1}{r+1}$$

Proof:

Homework ■

1.4 Conditional Probability and Independence

So far, we have computed probability based only on the information that Ω is used for a probability space (Ω, L, P) . Suppose, instead, we know that event $H \in L$ has happened. What statement should we then make about the chance of an event $A \in L$?

Definition 1.4.1:

Given (Ω, L, P) and $H \in L, P(H) > 0$, and $A \in L$, we define

$$P(A|H) = \frac{P(A \cap H)}{P(H)} = P_H(A)$$

and call this the **conditional probability of A given H** . ■

Note:

$P(A|H)$ is undefined if $P(H) = 0$. ■

Theorem 1.4.2:

In the situation of Definition 1.4.1, (Ω, L, P_H) is a probability space.

Proof:

If P_H is a probability measure, it must satisfy Def. 1.1.7.

(i) $P(H) > 0$ and by Def. 1.1.7 (i) $P(A \cap H) \geq 0 \implies P_H(A) = \frac{P(A \cap H)}{P(H)} \geq 0 \quad \forall A \in L$

(ii) $P_H(\Omega) = \frac{P(\Omega \cap H)}{P(H)} = \frac{P(H)}{P(H)} = 1$

(iii) Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of disjoint sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} P_H\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) &\stackrel{Def. 1.4.1}{=} \frac{P\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \cap H\right)}{P(H)} \\ &= \frac{P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap H)\right)}{P(H)} \\ &\stackrel{Def. 1.1.7(iii)}{=} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n \cap H)}{P(H)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P(A_n \cap H)}{P(H)}\right) \\ &\stackrel{Def. 1.4.1}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_H(A_n) \end{aligned}$$
■

Note:

What we have done is to move to a new sample space \mathcal{H} and a new σ -field $L_H = L \cap H$ of subsets $A \cap H$ for $A \in L$. We thus have a new measurable space (\mathcal{H}, L_H) and a new probability space (\mathcal{H}, L_H, P_H) . ■

Note:

From Definition 1.4.1, if $A, B \in L$, $P(A) > 0$, and $P(B) > 0$, then

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B|A) = P(B)P(A|B),$$

which generalizes to the following Theorem. ■

Theorem 1.4.3: Multiplication Rule

If $A_1, \dots, A_n \in L$ and $P(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} A_j) > 0$, then

$$P(\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j) = P(A_1) \cdot P(A_2|A_1) \cdot P(A_3|A_1 \cap A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot P(A_n | \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} A_j).$$

Proof:

Homework ■

Definition 1.4.4:

A collection of subsets $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Ω form a **partition** of Ω if

(i) $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \Omega$, and

(ii) $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j$, i.e., elements are pairwise disjoint.

■

Theorem 1.4.5: Law of Total Probability

If $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a partition of Ω , and $P(H_j) > 0 \quad \forall j$, then, for $A \in L$,

$$P(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(A \cap H_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(H_j)P(A|H_j).$$

Proof:

By the Note preceding Theorem 1.4.3, the summands on both sides are equal
 \implies the right side of Th. 1.4.5 is true.

The left side proof:

H_j are disjoint $\Rightarrow A \cap H_j$ are disjoint

$$A = A \cap \Omega \stackrel{Def 1.4.4}{=} A \cap \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} H_j \right) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (A \cap H_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A) = P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (A \cap H_j)\right) \stackrel{Def 1.1.7(iii)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(A \cap H_j) \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 1.4.6: Bayes' Rule

Let $\{H_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a partition of Ω , and $P(H_j) > 0 \quad \forall j$. Let $A \in L$ and $P(A) > 0$. Then

$$P(H_j|A) = \frac{P(H_j)P(A|H_j)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(H_n)P(A|H_n)} \quad \forall j.$$

Proof:

$$P(H_j \cap A) \stackrel{Def 1.4.1}{=} P(A) \cdot P(H_j|A) = P(H_j) \cdot P(A|H_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(H_j|A) = \frac{P(H_j) \cdot P(A|H_j)}{P(A)} \stackrel{Th. 1.4.5}{=} \frac{P(H_j) \cdot P(A|H_j)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(H_n)P(A|H_n)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Definition 1.4.7:

For $A, B \in L$, A and B are **independent** iff $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$. ■

Note:

- There are no restrictions on $P(A)$ or $P(B)$.
- If A and B are independent, then $P(A|B) = P(A)$ (given that $P(B) > 0$) and $P(B|A) = P(B)$ (given that $P(A) > 0$).
- If A and B are independent, then the following events are independent as well: A and B^C ; A^C and B ; A^C and B^C .

■

Definition 1.4.8:

Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of L -sets. The events of \mathcal{A} are **pairwise independent** iff for every distinct $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ it holds $P(A_1 \cap A_2) = P(A_1)P(A_2)$. ■

Definition 1.4.9:

Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of L -sets. The events of \mathcal{A} are **mutually independent** (or completely independent) iff for every finite subcollection $\{A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_k}\}, A_{i_j} \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^k P(A_{i_j}).$$

■

Note:

To check for mutually independence of n events $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \in L$, there are $2^n - n - 1$ relations (i.e., all subcollections of size 2 or more) to check. ■

Example 1.4.10:

Flip a fair coin twice. $\Omega = \{HH, HT, TH, TT\}$.

$A_1 = \text{"H on 1st toss"}$

$A_2 = \text{"H on 2nd toss"}$

$A_3 = \text{"Exactly one H"}$

Obviously, $P(A_1) = P(A_2) = P(A_3) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Question: Are A_1, A_2 and A_3 pairwise independent and also mutually independent?

$P(A_1 \cap A_2) = .25 = .5 \cdot .5 = P(A_1) \cdot P(A_2) \Rightarrow A_1, A_2$ are independent.

$P(A_1 \cap A_3) = .25 = .5 \cdot .5 = P(A_1) \cdot P(A_3) \Rightarrow A_1, A_3$ are independent.

$P(A_2 \cap A_3) = .25 = .5 \cdot .5 = P(A_2) \cdot P(A_3) \Rightarrow A_2, A_3$ are independent.

Thus, A_1, A_2, A_3 are pairwise independent.

$P(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3) = 0 \neq .5 \cdot .5 \cdot .5 = P(A_1) \cdot P(A_2) \cdot P(A_3) \Rightarrow A_1, A_2, A_3$ are not mutually independent. ■

Example 1.4.11: (from Rohatgi, page 37, Example 5)

- r students. 365 possible birthdays for each student that are equally likely.
- One student at a time is asked for his/her birthday.
- If one of the other students hears this birthday and it matches his/her birthday, this other student has to raise his/her hand — if at least one other student raises his/her hand, the procedure is over.

- We are interested in

$$\begin{aligned} p_k &= P(\text{procedure terminates at the } k\text{th student}) \\ &= P(\text{a hand is first risen when the } k\text{th student is asked for his/her birthday}) \end{aligned}$$

It is

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= P(\text{at least 1 other from the } (r-1) \text{ students has a birthday on this particular day.}) \\ &= 1 - P(\text{all } (r-1) \text{ students have a birthday on the remaining 364 out of 365 days}) \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{364}{365}\right)^{r-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 &= P(\text{no student has a birthday matching the first student and at least one} \\ &\quad \text{of the other } (r-2) \text{ students has a b-day matching the second student}) \end{aligned}$$

Let $A \equiv$ No student has a b-day matching the 1st student

Let $B \equiv$ At least one of the other $(r-2)$ has b-day matching 2nd

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } p_2 &= P(A \cap B) \\ &= P(A) \cdot P(B|A) \\ &= P(\text{no student has a matching b-day with the 1st student}) \times \\ &\quad P(\text{at least one of the remaining students has a matching b-day with the second,} \\ &\quad \text{given that no one matched the first.}) \\ &= (1 - p_1)[1 - P(\text{all } (r-2) \text{ students have a b-day on the remaining 363 out of 364 days})] \\ &= \left(\frac{364}{365}\right)^{r-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{363}{364}\right)^{r-2}\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{365-1}{365}\right)^{r-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{363}{364}\right)^{r-2}\right] \quad (*) \\ &= \frac{365}{365} \left(1 - \frac{1}{365}\right)^{r-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{363}{364}\right)^{r-2}\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{{}_{365}P_{2-1}}{(365)^{2-1}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2-1}{365}\right)^{r-2+1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{365-2}{365-2+1}\right)^{r-2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Formally, we have to write this sequence of equalities in this order. However, it often might be easier to first work from both sides towards a particular result and combine partial results afterwards. Here, one might decide to stop at $(*)$ with the “forward” direction of the equalities

and first work “backwards” from the book, which makes things a lot simpler:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2 &= \left(\frac{{}_{365}P_{2-1}}{(365)^{2-1}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2-1}{365} \right)^{r-2+1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{365-2}{365-2+1} \right)^{r-2} \right] \\
&= \frac{365}{365} \left(1 - \frac{1}{365} \right)^{r-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{363}{364} \right)^{r-2} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{365-1}{365} \right)^{r-1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{363}{364} \right)^{r-2} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

We see that this is the same result as (*).

Now let us consider p_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
p_3 &= P(\text{No one has same b-day as first and no one same as second, and at least one of the} \\
&\quad \text{remaining } (r-3) \text{ has a matching b-day with the 3rd student})
\end{aligned}$$

Let A \equiv No one has the same b-day as the first student

Let B \equiv No one has the same b-day as the second student

Let C \equiv At least one of the other $(r-3)$ has the same b-day as the third students

Now:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_3 &= P(A \cap B \cap C) \\
&= P(A) \cdot P(B|A) \cdot P(C|A \cap B) \\
&= \left(\frac{364}{365} \right)^{r-1} \left(\frac{363}{364} \right)^{r-2} \cdot [1 - P(\text{all } (r-3) \text{ students have a b-day on the remaining 362 out of 363 days})] \\
&= \left(\frac{364}{365} \right)^{r-1} \left(\frac{363}{364} \right)^{r-2} \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{362}{363} \right)^{r-3} \right] \\
&= \frac{(364)^{r-1}}{(365)^{r-1}} \cdot \frac{(363)^{r-2}}{(364)^{r-2}} \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{362}{363} \right)^{r-3} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{364^{r-1}}{364^{r-2}} \right) \left(\frac{363^{r-2}}{365^{r-1}} \right) \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{362}{363} \right)^{r-3} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{364}{365} \right) \left(\frac{363^{r-2}}{365^{r-2}} \right) \cdot \left[1 - \left(\frac{362}{363} \right)^{r-3} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{(365)(364)}{(365)^2} \right) \left(\frac{363}{365} \right)^{r-2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{362}{363} \right)^{r-3} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{{}^{365}P_2}{(365)^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{365} \right)^{r-2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{362}{363} \right)^{r-3} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{{}^{365}P_{3-1}}{(365)^{3-1}} \right) \left(1 - \frac{3-1}{365} \right)^{r-3+1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{365-3}{365-3+1} \right)^{r-3} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, working “backwards” from the book should help to better understand these transformations.

For general p_k and restrictions on r and k see Homework. ■

2 Random Variables

2.1 Measurable Functions

Definition 2.1.1:

- A **random variable** (**rv**) is a set function from Ω to \mathbb{R} .
- More formally: Let (Ω, L, P) be any probability space. Suppose $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and that X is a *measurable* function, then we call X a **random variable**.
- More generally: If $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, we call X a **random vector**, $\underline{X} = (X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega), \dots, X_k(\omega))$.

■

What does it mean to say that a function is *measurable*?

Definition 2.1.2:

Suppose (Ω, L) and (S, \mathcal{B}) are two measurable spaces and $X : \Omega \rightarrow S$ is a mapping from Ω to S . We say that X is **measurable** $L - \mathcal{B}$ if $X^{-1}(B) \in L$ for every set $B \in \mathcal{B}$, where $X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) \in B\}$.

■

Example 2.1.3:

Record the opinion of 50 people: “yes” (y) or “no” (n).

$\Omega = \{\text{All } 2^{50} \text{ possible sequences of y/n}\}$ — HUGE !

$L = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$

$X : \Omega \rightarrow S = \{\text{All } 2^{50} \text{ possible sequences of 1 (=y) and 0 (=n)}\}$

$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}(S)$

X is a random vector since each element in S has a corresponding element in Ω , for $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $X^{-1}(B) \in L = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$.

Consider $X : \Omega \rightarrow S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 50\}$, where $X(\omega) = \text{“\# of y’s in } \omega\text{”}$ is a more manageable random variable.

A *simple* function, which takes only finite many values x_1, \dots, x_k is measurable iff $X^{-1}(x_i) \in L \quad \forall x_i$.

Here, $X^{-1}(k) = \{\omega \in \Omega : \# \text{ 1’s in sequence } \omega = k\}$ is a subset of Ω , so it is in $L = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ ■

Example 2.1.4:

Let $\Omega =$ “infinite fair coin tossing space”, i.e., infinite sequence of H’s and T’s.

Let L_n be a σ -field for the 1st n tosses.

Define $L = \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n)$.

Let $X_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $X_n(\omega) =$ “proportion of H’s in 1st n tosses”.

For each n , $X_n(\cdot)$ is simple (values $\{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, n\}$) and $X_n^{-1}(\frac{k}{n}) \in L_n \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Therefore, $X_n^{-1}(\frac{k}{n}) \in L$.

So every random variable $X_n(\cdot)$ is measurable $L - \mathcal{B}$. Now we have a sequence of rv’s $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

We will show later that $P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\}) = 1$, i.e., the *Strong Law of Large Numbers* (SLLN). ■

Some Technical Points about Measurable Functions

2.1.5:

Suppose (Ω, L) and $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})$ are measure spaces and that a collection of sets \mathcal{A} generates \mathcal{B} , i.e., $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}$. Let $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. If $X^{-1}(A) \in L \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}$, then X is measurable $L - \mathcal{B}$.

This means we only have to check measurability on a basis collection \mathcal{A} . The usage is: \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{R} is generated by $\{(-\infty, x] : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

2.1.6:

If (Ω, L) , (Ω', L') , and (Ω'', L'') are measure spaces and $X : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ and $Y : \Omega' \rightarrow \Omega''$ are measurable, then the composition $(YX) : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega''$ is measurable $L - L''$.

2.1.7:

If $f : \mathbb{R}^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is a continuous function, then f is measurable $\mathcal{B}^i - \mathcal{B}^k$.

2.1.8:

If $f_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j = 1, \dots, k$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable, then $g(f_1(\cdot), \dots, f_k(\cdot))$ is measurable.

The usage is: g could be sum, average, difference, product, (finite) maximums and minimums of x_1, \dots, x_k , etc.

2.1.9:

Limits: Extend the real line to $[-\infty, \infty] = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$.

We say $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable $L - \mathcal{B}$ if

- (i) $f^{-1}(B) \in L \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$, and
- (ii) $f^{-1}(-\infty), f^{-1}(\infty) \in L$ also.

■

2.1.10:

Suppose f_1, f_2, \dots is a sequence of real-valued measurable functions $(\Omega, L) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. Then it holds:

- (i) $\sup_n f_n, \inf_n f_n, \limsup_n f_n, \liminf_n f_n$, are measurable.
- (ii) If $f = \lim_n f_n$ exists, then f is measurable.
- (iii) The set $\{\omega : f_n(\omega) \text{ converges}\} \in L$.
- (iv) If f is any measurable function, the set $\{\omega : f_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)\} \in L$.

■

2.2 Probability Distribution of a Random Variable

The definition of a random variable $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})$ makes no mention of P . We now introduce a probability measure on $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})$.

Theorem 2.2.1:

A random variable X on (Ω, \mathcal{L}, P) **induces** a probability measure on a space $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B}, Q)$ with the probability distribution Q of X defined by

$$Q(B) = P(X^{-1}(B)) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\}) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Note:

By the definition of a random variable, $X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{L} \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$. Q is called **induced probability**.

Proof:

If X induces a probability measure Q on $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B})$, then Q must satisfy the Kolmogorov Axioms of probability.

$X : (\Omega, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{B})$. X is a rv $\Rightarrow X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\} = A \in \mathcal{L} \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$.

$$(i) \quad Q(B) = P(X^{-1}(B)) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\}) = P(A) \stackrel{Def.1.1.7(i)}{\geq} 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}$$

$$(ii) \quad Q(\mathcal{R}) = P(X^{-1}(\mathcal{R})) \stackrel{X \text{ rv}}{=} P(\Omega) \stackrel{Def.1.1.7(ii)}{=} 1$$

(iii) Let $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{B}, B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j$. Then,

$$Q\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = P\left(X^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right)\right) \stackrel{(*)}{=} P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (X^{-1}(B_n))\right) \stackrel{Def.1.1.7(iii)}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X^{-1}(B_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q(B_n)$$

(*) holds since $X^{-1}(\cdot)$ commutes with unions/intersections and preserves disjointness.

Definition 2.2.2:

A real-valued function F on $(-\infty, \infty)$ that is non-decreasing, right-continuous, and satisfies

$$F(-\infty) = 0, F(\infty) = 1$$

is called a **cumulative distribution function (cdf)** on \mathcal{R} .

Note:

No mention of probability space or measure P in Definition 2.2.2 above.

Lecture 09:
Mo 09/18/00

Definition 2.2.3:

Let P be a probability measure on $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B})$. The cdf associated with P is

$$F(x) = F_P(x) = P((-\infty, x]) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x\}) = P(X \leq x)$$

for a random variable X defined on $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{B}, P)$. ■

Note:

$F(\cdot)$ defined as in Definition 2.2.3 above indeed is a cdf.

Proof (of Note):

(i) Let $x_1 < x_2$

$$\implies (-\infty, x_1] \subset (-\infty, x_2]$$

$$\implies F(x_1) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x_1\}) \stackrel{Th.1.2.1(v)}{\leq} P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x_2\}) = F(x_2)$$

Thus, since $x_1 < x_2$ and $F(x_1) \leq F(x_2)$, $F(\cdot)$ is non-decreasing.

(ii) Since F is non-decreasing, it is sufficient to show that $F(\cdot)$ is right-continuous if for any sequence of numbers $x_n \rightarrow x+$ (which means that x_n is approaching x from the right) with $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n > \dots > x : F(x_n) \rightarrow F(x)$.

Let $A_n = \{\omega : X(\omega) \in (x, x_n]\} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$. None of the intervals $(x, x_n]$ contains x . As $x_n \rightarrow x+$, the number of points ω in A_n diminishes until the set is empty. Formally,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset.$$

By Theorem 1.2.6 it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) = P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n) = P(\emptyset) = 0.$$

It is

$$P(A_n) = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x_n\}) - P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x\}) = F(x_n) - F(x).$$

$$\implies (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n)) - F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F(x_n) - F(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(A_n) = 0$$

$$\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = F(x)$$

$$\implies F(x) \text{ is right-continuous.}$$

$$(iii) F(-n) \stackrel{Def. 2.2.3}{=} P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq -n\})$$

\implies

$$\begin{aligned} F(-\infty) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(-n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq -n\}) \\ &= P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\omega : X(\omega) \leq -n\}) \\ &= P(\emptyset) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$(iv) F(n) \stackrel{Def. 2.2.3}{=} P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq n\})$$

\implies

$$\begin{aligned} F(\infty) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq n\}) \\ &= P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\omega : X(\omega) \leq n\}) \\ &= P(\Omega) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Note that (iii) and (iv) implicitly use Theorem 1.2.6. In (iii), we use $A_n = (-\infty, -n)$ where $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$ and $A_n \downarrow \emptyset$. In (iv), we use $A_n = (-\infty, n)$ where $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ and $A_n \uparrow \mathbb{R}$. ■

Definition 2.2.4:

If a random variable $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has induced a probability measure P_X on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ with cdf $F(x)$, we say

(i) rv X is **continuous** if $F(x)$ is continuous in x .

(ii) rv X is **discrete** if $F(x)$ is a step function in x .

■

Note:

There are rvs that are mixtures of continuous and discrete rvs. One such example is a truncated failure time distribution. We assume a continuous distribution (e.g., exponential) up to a given truncation point x and assign the “remaining” probability to the truncation point. Thus, a single point has a probability > 0 and $F(x)$ jumps at the truncation point x . ■

Definition 2.2.5:

Two random variables X and Y are **identically distributed** iff

$$P_X(X \in A) = P_Y(Y \in A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{L}.$$

■

Note:

Def. 2.2.5 does not mean that $X(\omega) = Y(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$. For example,

$X = \# \text{ H in 3 coin tosses}$

$Y = \# \text{ T in 3 coin tosses}$

X, Y are both $Bin(3, 0.5)$, i.e., identically distributed, but for $\omega = (H, H, T)$, $X(\omega) = 2 \neq 1 = Y(\omega)$, i.e., $X \neq Y$. ■

Theorem 2.2.6:

The following two statements are equivalent:

(i) X, Y are identically distributed.

(ii) $F_X(x) = F_Y(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof:

(i) \Rightarrow (ii):

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(x) &= P_X((-\infty, x]) \\ &= P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in (-\infty, x]\}) \\ &\stackrel{by Def. 2.2.5}{=} P(\{\omega : Y(\omega) \in (-\infty, x]\}) \\ &= P_Y((-\infty, x]) \\ &= F_Y(x) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i):

Requires extra knowledge from measure theory. ■

2.3 Discrete and Continuous Random Variables

We now extend Definition 2.2.4 to make our definitions a little bit more formal.

Definition 2.3.1:

Let X be a real-valued random variable with cdf F on (Ω, L, P) . X is **discrete** if there exists a countable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $P(X \in E) = 1$, i.e., $P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in E\}) = 1$. The points of E which have positive probability are the **jump points** of the step function F , i.e., the cdf of X .

Define $p_i = P(\{\omega : X(\omega) = x_i, x_i \in E\}) = P_X(X = x_i) \quad \forall i \geq 1$. Then, $p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i = 1$.

We call $\{p_i : p_i \geq 0\}$ the **probability mass function (pmf)** (also: probability frequency function) of X . ■

Note:

Given any set of numbers $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, p_n \geq 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n = 1, \{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the pmf of some rv X . ■

Note:

The issue of continuous rv's and probability density functions (pdfs) is more complicated. A rv $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ always has a cdf F . Whether there exists a function f such that f integrates to F and F' exists and equals f (almost everywhere) depends on something stronger than just continuity. ■

Definition 2.3.2:

A real-valued function F is **continuous** in $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ iff

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall x : \quad |x - x_0| < \delta \Rightarrow |F(x) - F(x_0)| < \epsilon.$$

F is continuous iff F is continuous in all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. ■

Definition 2.3.3:

A real-valued function F defined on $[a, b]$ is **absolutely continuous** on $[a, b]$ iff

$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall$ finite subcollection of disjoint subintervals $[a_i, b_i], i = 1, \dots, n :$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n |F(b_i) - F(a_i)| < \epsilon.$$

■

Note:

Absolute continuity implies continuity.

■

Theorem 2.3.4:

- (i) If F is absolutely continuous, then F' exists almost everywhere.
- (ii) A function F is an indefinite integral iff it is absolutely continuous. Thus, every absolutely continuous function F is the indefinite integral of its derivative F' .

■

Definition 2.3.5:

Let X be a random variable on (Ω, L, P) with cdf F . We say X is a **continuous** rv iff F is absolutely continuous. In this case, there exists a non-negative integrable function f , the **probability density function (pdf)** of X , such that

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t)dt = P(X \leq x).$$

From this it follows that, if $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a < b$, then

$$P_X(a < X \leq b) = F(b) - F(a) = \int_a^b f(t)dt$$

exists and is well defined.

■

Theorem 2.3.6:

Let X be a continuous random variable with pdf f . Then it holds:

- (i) For every Borel set $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $P(B) = \int_B f(t)dt$.
- (ii) If F is absolutely continuous and f is continuous at x , then $F'(x) = \frac{dF(x)}{dx} = f(x)$.

Proof:

Part (i): From Definition 2.3.5 above.

Part (ii): By Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. ■

Note:

As already stated in the Note following Definition 2.2.4, not every rv will fall into one of these two (or if you prefer – three –, i.e., discrete, continuous/absolutely continuous) classes. However, most rv which arise in practice will. We look at one example that is unlikely to occur in practice in the next Homework assignment.

However, note that every cdf F can be written as

$$F(x) = aF_d(x) + (1 - a)F_c(x), \quad 0 \leq a \leq 1,$$

where F_d is the cdf of a discrete rv and F_c is a continuous (but not necessarily absolute continuous) cdf.

Some authors, such as Marek Fisz *Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung und mathematische Statistik*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1989, are even more specific. There it is stated that every cdf F can be written as

$$F(x) = a_1F_d(x) + a_2F_c(x) + a_3F_s(x), \quad a_1, a_2, a_3 \geq 0, a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 1.$$

Here, $F_d(x)$ and $F_c(x)$ are discrete and absolute continuous cdfs. $F_s(x)$ is called a **singular** cdf. Singular means that $F_s(x)$ is continuous and its derivative $F'_s(x)$ equals 0 almost everywhere (i.e., everywhere but in those points that belong to a Borel-measurable set of probability 0).

Question: Does “continuous” but “not absolutely continuous” mean “singular”? — We will (hopefully) see later... ■

Example 2.3.7:

Consider

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ 1/2, & x = 0 \\ 1/2 + x/2, & 0 < x < 1 \\ 1, & x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

We can write $F(x)$ as $aF_d(x) + (1 - a)F_c(x)$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$. How?

Since $F(x)$ has only one jump at $x = 0$, it is reasonable to get started with a pmf $p_0 = 1$ and corresponding cdf

$$F_d(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ 1, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $F(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ and $F(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$, it must clearly hold that $F_c(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ and $F_c(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$. In addition $F(x)$ increases linearly in $0 < x < 1$. A good guess would be a pdf $f_c(x) = 1 \cdot I_{(0,1)}(x)$ and corresponding cdf

$$F_c(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ x, & 0 < x < 1 \\ 1, & x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Knowing that $F(0) = 1/2$, we have at least to multiply $F_d(x)$ by $1/2$. And, indeed, $F(x)$ can be written as

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2}F_d(x) + \frac{1}{2}F_c(x).$$

■

Definition 2.3.8:

The two-valued function $I_A(x)$ is called **indicator function** and it is defined as follows:

$I_A(x) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and $I_A(x) = 0$ if $x \notin A$ for any set A .

■

An Excursion into Logic

When proving theorems we only used direct methods so far. We used induction proofs to show that something holds for arbitrary n . To show that a statement A implies a statement B , i.e., $A \Rightarrow B$, we used proofs of the type $A \Rightarrow A_1 \Rightarrow A_2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow A_{n-1} \Rightarrow A_n \Rightarrow B$ where one step directly follows from the previous step. However, there are different approaches to obtain the same result.

Implication: (A implies B)

$A \Rightarrow B$ is equivalent to $\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$ is equivalent to $\neg A \vee B$:

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$	$\neg A$	$\neg B$	$\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$	$\neg A \vee B$
1	1	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1

Equivalence: (A is equivalent to B)

Lecture 11:
Fr 09/22/00

$A \Leftrightarrow B$ is equivalent to $(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow A)$ is equivalent to $(\neg A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee \neg B)$:

A	B	$A \Leftrightarrow B$	$A \Rightarrow B$	$B \Rightarrow A$	$(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow A)$	$\neg A \vee B$	$A \vee \neg B$	$(\neg A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee \neg B)$
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Negations of Quantifiers:

$\neg \forall x \in X : B(x)$ is equivalent to $\exists x \in X : \neg B(x)$

$\neg \exists x \in X : B(x)$ is equivalent to $\forall x \in X : \neg B(x)$

$\exists x \in X \forall y \in Y : B(x, y)$ implies $\forall y \in Y \exists x \in X : B(x, y)$

2.4 Transformations of Random Variables

Let X be a real-valued random variable on (Ω, \mathcal{L}, P) , i.e., $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. Let g be any Borel-measurable real-valued function on \mathbb{R} . Then, by statement 2.1.6, $Y = g(X)$ is a random variable.

Theorem 2.4.1:

Given a random variable X with known induced distribution and a Borel-measurable function g , then the distribution of the random variable $Y = g(X)$ is determined.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= P_Y(Y \leq y) \\ &= P(\{\omega : g(X(\omega)) \leq y\}) \\ &= P(\{\omega : X(\omega) \in B_y\}) \quad \text{where } B_y = g^{-1}((-\infty, y]) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ since } g \text{ is Borel-measurable.} \\ &= P(X^{-1}(B_y)) \end{aligned}$$

■

Note:

From now on, we restrict ourselves to real-valued (vector-valued) functions that are Borel-measurable, i.e., measurable with respect to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ or $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}^k)$.

More generally, $P_Y(Y \in C) = P_X(X \in g^{-1}(C)) \quad \forall C \in \mathcal{B}$.

■

Example 2.4.2:

Suppose X is a discrete random variable. Let A be a countable set such that $P(X \in A) = 1$ and $P(X = x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in A$.

Let $Y = g(X)$. Obviously, the sample space of Y is also countable. Then,

$$P_Y(Y = y) = \sum_{x \in g^{-1}(\{y\})} P_X(X = x) = \sum_{\{x: g(x)=y\}} P_X(X = x) \quad \forall y \in g(A).$$

■

Example 2.4.3:

$X \sim U(-1, 1)$ so the pdf of X is $f_X(x) = 1/2 I_{[-1, 1]}(x)$, which, according to Definition 2.3.8, reads as $f_X(x) = 1/2$ for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$ and 0 otherwise.

$$\text{Let } Y = X^+ = \begin{cases} x, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$F_Y(y) = P_Y(Y \leq y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y < 0 \\ 1/2, & y = 0 \\ 1/2 + y/2, & 0 < y < 1 \\ 1, & y \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

This is the mixed discrete/continuous distribution from Example 2.3.7. ■

Note:

We need to put some conditions on g to ensure $g(X)$ is continuous if X is continuous and avoid cases as in Example 2.4.3 above. ■

Definition 2.4.4:

For a random variable X from (Ω, L, P) to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$, the **support** of X (or P) is any set $A \in L$ for which $P(A) = 1$. For a continuous random variable X with pdf f , we can think of the support of X as $\mathcal{X} = X^{-1}(\{x : f_X(x) > 0\})$. ■

Definition 2.4.5:

Let f be a real-valued function defined on $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}, D \in \mathcal{B}$. We say:

f is **(strictly) non-decreasing** if $x < y \implies f(x) (<) \leq f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in D$

f is **(strictly) non-increasing** if $x < y \implies f(x) (>) \geq f(y) \quad \forall x, y \in D$

f is **monotonic** on D if f is either increasing or decreasing and write $f \uparrow$ or $f \downarrow$. ■

Theorem 2.4.6:

Let X be a continuous rv with pdf f_X and support \mathcal{X} . Let $y = g(x)$ be differentiable for all x and either (i) $g'(x) > 0$ or (ii) $g'(x) < 0$ for all x .

Then, $Y = g(X)$ is also a continuous rv with pdf

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \cdot \left| \frac{d}{dy} g^{-1}(y) \right| \cdot I_{g(\mathcal{X})}(y).$$

Proof:

Part (i): $g'(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$

So g is strictly increasing and continuous.

Therefore, $x = g^{-1}(y)$ exists and it is also strictly increasing and also differentiable.

Lecture 12:
Mo 09/25/00

Then, from Rohatgi, page 9, Theorem 15:

$$\frac{d}{dy}g^{-1}(y) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}g(x) \Big|_{x=g^{-1}(y)} \right)^{-1} > 0$$

We get $F_Y(y) = P_Y(Y \leq y) = P_Y(g(X) \leq y) = P_X(X \leq g^{-1}(y)) = F_X(g^{-1}(y))$ for $y \in g(\mathcal{X})$ and, by differentiation,

$$f_Y(y) = F'_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(F_X(g^{-1}(y))) \stackrel{\text{By Chain Rule}}{=} f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \cdot \frac{d}{dy}g^{-1}(y)$$

Part (ii): $g'(x) < 0 \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$

So g is strictly decreasing and continuous.

Therefore, $x = g^{-1}(y)$ exists and it is also strictly decreasing and also differentiable.

Then, from Rohatgi, page 9, Theorem 15:

$$\frac{d}{dy}g^{-1}(y) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}g(x) \Big|_{x=g^{-1}(y)} \right)^{-1} < 0$$

We get $F_Y(y) = P_Y(Y \leq y) = P_Y(g(X) \leq y) = P_X(X \geq g^{-1}(y)) = 1 - P_X(X \leq g^{-1}(y)) = 1 - F_X(g^{-1}(y))$ for $y \in g(\mathcal{X})$ and, by differentiation,

$$f_Y(y) = F'_Y(y) = \frac{d}{dy}(1 - F_X(g^{-1}(y))) \stackrel{\text{By Chain Rule}}{=} -f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \cdot \frac{d}{dy}g^{-1}(y) = f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \cdot \left(-\frac{d}{dy}g^{-1}(y) \right)$$

Since $\frac{d}{dy}g^{-1}(y) < 0$, the negative sign will cancel out, always giving us a positive value. Hence the need for the absolute value signs.

Combining parts (i) and (ii), we can therefore write

$$f_Y(y) = f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \cdot \left| \frac{d}{dy}g^{-1}(y) \right| \cdot I_{g(\mathcal{X})}(y).$$

■

Note:

In Theorem 2.4.6, we can also write

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{f(x)}{\left| \frac{dg(x)}{dx} \right|} \Bigg|_{x=g^{-1}(y)}, y \in g(\mathcal{X})$$

If g is monotonic over disjoint intervals, we can also get an expression for the pdf/cdf of $Y = g(X)$ as stated in the following Theorem. ■

Theorem 2.4.7:

Let $Y = g(X)$ where X is a rv with pdf $f_X(x)$ on support \mathcal{X} . Suppose there exists a partition A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k of \mathcal{X} such that $P(X \in A_0) = 0$ and $f_X(x)$ is continuous on each A_i . Suppose there exist functions $g_1(x), \dots, g_k(x)$ defined on A_1 through A_k , respectively, satisfying

- (i) $g(x) = g_i(x) \quad \forall x \in A_i$,
- (ii) $g_i(x)$ is monotonic on A_i ,
- (iii) the set $\mathcal{Y} = g_i(A_i) = \{y : y = g_i(x) \text{ for some } x \in A_i\}$ is the same for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, and
- (iv) $g_i^{-1}(y)$ has a continuous derivative on \mathcal{Y} for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Then,

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{i=1}^k f_X(g_i^{-1}(y)) \cdot \left| \frac{d}{dy} g_i^{-1}(y) \right| \cdot I_{\mathcal{Y}}(y)$$

■

Note:

Rohatgi, page 73, Theorem 4, removes condition (iii) by defining $n = n(y)$ and $x_1(y), \dots, x_n(y)$.

■

Example 2.4.8:

Let X be a rv with pdf $f_X(x) = \frac{2x}{\pi^2} \cdot I_{(0, \pi)}(x)$.

Let $Y = \sin(X)$. What is $f_Y(y)$?

Since \sin is not monotonic on $(0, \pi)$, Theorem 2.4.6 cannot be used to determine the pdf of Y .

Two possible approaches:

Method 1: cdfs

For $0 < y < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= P_Y(Y \leq y) \\ &= P_X(\sin X \leq y) \\ &= P_X([0 \leq X \leq \sin^{-1}(y)] \text{ or } [\pi - \sin^{-1}(y) \leq X \leq \pi]) \\ &= F_X(\sin^{-1}(y)) + (1 - F_X(\pi - \sin^{-1}(y))) \end{aligned}$$

since $[0 \leq X \leq \sin^{-1}(y)]$ and $[\pi - \sin^{-1}(y) \leq X \leq \pi]$ are disjoint sets. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_Y(y) &= F'_Y(y) \\
&= f_X(\sin^{-1}(y)) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} + (-1)f_X(\pi - \sin^{-1}(y)) \frac{-1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \left(f_X(\sin^{-1}(y)) + f_X(\pi - \sin^{-1}(y)) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \left(\frac{2(\sin^{-1}(y))}{\pi^2} + \frac{2(\pi - \sin^{-1}(y))}{\pi^2} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi^2 \sqrt{1-y^2}} 2\pi \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi \sqrt{1-y^2}} \cdot I_{(0,1)}(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Method 2: Use of Theorem 2.4.7

Let $A_1 = (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, $A_2 = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)$, and $A_0 = \{\frac{\pi}{2}\}$.

Let $g_1^{-1}(y) = \sin^{-1}(y)$ and $g_2^{-1}(y) = \pi - \sin^{-1}(y)$.

It is $\frac{d}{dy}g_1^{-1}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} = -\frac{d}{dy}g_2^{-1}(y)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = (0, 1)$.

Thus, by use of Theorem 2.4.7, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_Y(y) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 f_X(g_i^{-1}(y)) \cdot \left| \frac{d}{dy}g_i^{-1}(y) \right| \cdot I_{\mathcal{Y}}(y) \\
&= \frac{2 \sin^{-1}(y)}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \cdot I_{(0,1)}(y) + \frac{2(\pi - \sin^{-1}(y))}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \cdot I_{(0,1)}(y) \\
&= \frac{2\pi}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^2}} \cdot I_{(0,1)}(y) \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi \sqrt{1-y^2}} \cdot I_{(0,1)}(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, both results are identical. ■

Theorem 2.4.9:

Let X be a rv with a continuous cdf $F_X(x)$ and let $Y = F_X(X)$. Then, $Y \sim U(0, 1)$.

Proof:

We have to consider two possible cases:

- (a) F_X is strictly increasing, i.e., $F_X(x_1) < F_X(x_2)$ for $x_1 < x_2$, and
- (b) F_X is non-decreasing, i.e., there exists $x_1 < x_2$ and $F_X(x_1) = F_X(x_2)$. Assume that x_1 is the infimum and x_2 the supremum of those values for which $F_X(x_1) = F_X(x_2)$ holds.

In (a), $F_X^{-1}(y)$ is uniquely defined. In (b), we define $F_X^{-1}(y) = \inf\{x : F_X(x) \geq y\}$

Without loss of generality:

$$\begin{aligned} F_X^{-1}(1) &= +\infty \text{ if } F_X(x) < 1 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and} \\ F_X^{-1}(0) &= -\infty \text{ if } F_X(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For $Y = F_X(X)$ and $0 < y < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq y) &= P(F_X(X) \leq y) \\ &\stackrel{F_X^{-1} \uparrow}{=} P(F_X^{-1}(F_X(X)) \leq F_X^{-1}(y)) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} P(X \leq F_X^{-1}(y)) \\ &= F_X(F_X^{-1}(y)) \\ &= y \end{aligned}$$

At the endpoints, we have $P(Y \leq y) = 1$ if $y \geq 1$ and $P(Y \leq y) = 0$ if $y \leq 0$.

But why is $(*)$ true? — In (a), if F_X is strictly increasing and continuous, it is certainly $x = F_X^{-1}(F_X(x))$.

In (b), if $F_X(x_1) = F_X(x_2)$ for $x_1 < x < x_2$, it may be that $F_X^{-1}(F_X(x)) \neq x$. But by definition, $F_X^{-1}(F_X(x)) = x_1 \quad \forall x \in [x_1, x_2]$. $(*)$ holds since on $[x_1, x_2]$, it is $P(X \leq x) = P(X \leq x_1) \quad \forall x \in [x_1, x_2]$. The flat cdf denotes $F_X(x_2) - F_X(x_1) = P(x_1 < X \leq x_2) = 0$ by definition. ■

Note:

This proof also holds if there exist multiple intervals with $x_i < x_j$ and $F_X(x_i) = F_X(x_j)$, i.e., if the support of X is split in more than just 2 disjoint intervals. ■

3 Moments and Generating Functions

3.1 Expectation

Definition 3.1.1:

Let X be a real-valued rv with cdf F_X and pdf f_X if X is continuous (or pmf f_X and support \mathcal{X} if X is discrete). The **expected value (mean)** of a measurable function $g(\cdot)$ of X is

$$E(g(X)) = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f_X(x)dx, & \text{if } X \text{ is continuous} \\ \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} g(x)f_X(x), & \text{if } X \text{ is discrete} \end{cases}$$

if $E(|g(X)|) < \infty$; otherwise $E(g(X))$ is undefined, i.e., it does not exist. ■

Example:

$X \sim \text{Cauchy}$, $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}$, $-\infty < x < \infty$:

$$E(|X|) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{1}{\pi} [\log(1+x^2)]_0^{\infty} = \infty$$

So, $E(X)$ does not exist for the Cauchy distribution. ■

Theorem 3.1.2:

If $E(X)$ exists and a and b are finite constants, then $E(aX + b)$ exists and equals $aE(X) + b$.

Proof:

Continuous case only:

Existence:

$$\begin{aligned} E(|aX + b|) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |ax + b| f_X(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|a| \cdot |x| + |b|) f_X(x) dx \\ &= |a| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| f_X(x) dx + |b| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx \\ &= |a| E(|X|) + |b| \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Numerical Result:

$$\begin{aligned} E(aX + b) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (ax + b) f_X(x) dx \\ &= a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx + b \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx \\ &= aE(X) + b \end{aligned}$$
 ■

Theorem 3.1.3:

If X is bounded (i.e., there exists a M , $0 < M < \infty$, such that $P(|X| < M) = 1$), then $E(X)$ exists. ■

Definition 3.1.4:

The k^{th} **moment** of X , if it exists, is $m_k = E(X^k)$.

The k^{th} **central moment** of X , if it exists, is $\mu_k = E((X - E(X))^k)$. ■

Definition 3.1.5:

The **variance** of X , if it exists, is the second central moment of X , i.e.,

$$Var(X) = E((X - E(X))^2).$$

Theorem 3.1.6:

$$Var(X) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} Var(X) &= E((X - E(X))^2) \\ &= E(X^2 - 2XE(X) + (E(X))^2) \\ &= E(X^2) - 2E(X)E(X) + (E(X))^2 \\ &= E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1.7:

If $Var(X)$ exists and a and b are finite constants, then $Var(aX + b)$ exists and equals $a^2 Var(X)$.

Proof:

Existence & Numerical Result:

$Var(aX + b) = E(((aX + b) - E(aX + b))^2)$ exists if $E(((aX + b) - E(aX + b))^2)$ exists.

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& E(|((aX + b) - E(aX + b))^2|) \\
&= E(((aX + b) - E(aX + b))^2) \\
&= \text{Var}(aX + b) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Th.3.1.6}}{=} E((aX + b)^2) - (E(aX + b))^2 \\
&\stackrel{\text{Th.3.1.2}}{=} E(a^2X^2 + 2abX + b^2) - (aE(X) + b)^2 \\
&\stackrel{\text{Th.3.1.2}}{=} a^2E(X^2) + 2abE(X) + b^2 - a^2(E(X))^2 - 2abE(X) - b^2 \\
&= a^2(E(X^2) - (E(X))^2) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Th.3.1.6}}{=} a^2\text{Var}(X) \\
&< \infty \quad \text{since } \text{Var}(X) \text{ exists}
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 3.1.8:

If the t^{th} moment of a rv X exists, then all moments of order $0 < s < t$ exist.

Proof:

Continuous case only:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(|X|^s) &= \int_{|x| \leq 1} |x|^s f_X(x) dx + \int_{|x| > 1} |x|^s f_X(x) dx \\
&\leq \int_{|x| \leq 1} 1 \cdot f_X(x) dx + \int_{|x| > 1} |x|^t f_X(x) dx \\
&\leq P(|X| \leq 1) + E(|X|^t) \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 3.1.9:

If the t^{th} moment of a rv X exists, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^t P(|X| > n) = 0.$$

Proof:

Continuous case only:

$$\begin{aligned}
\infty &> \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^t f_X(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq n} |x|^t f_X(x) dx \\
&\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > n} |x|^t f_X(x) dx = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| > n} |x|^t f_X(x) dx \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^t \int_{|x| > n} f_X(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^t P(|X| > n) = 0$$

■

Lecture 16: We 10/04/00

Note:

The inverse is not necessarily true, i.e., if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^t P(|X| > n) = 0$, then the t^{th} moment of a rv X does not necessarily exist. We can only approach t up to some $\delta > 0$ as the following Theorem 3.1.10 indicates. ■

Theorem 3.1.10:

Let X be a rv with a distribution such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^t P(|X| > n) = 0$ for some $t > 0$. Then,

$$E(|X|^s) < \infty \quad \forall 0 < s < t.$$

■

Note:

To prove this Theorem, we need Lemma 3.1.11 and Corollary 3.1.12. ■

Lemma 3.1.11:

Let X be a non-negative rv with cdf F . Then,

$$E(X) = \int_0^\infty (1 - F_X(x)) dx$$

(if either side exists).

Proof:

Continuous case only:

To prove that the left side implies that the right side is finite and both sides are identical, we assume that $E(X)$ exists. It is

$$E(X) = \int_0^\infty x f_X(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n x f_X(x) dx$$

Replace the expression for the right side integral using integration by parts.

Let $u = x$ and $dv = f_X(x) dx$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^n x f_X(x) dx &= (x F_X(x)) \Big|_0^n - \int_0^n F_X(x) dx \\ &= n F_X(n) - 0 F_X(0) - \int_0^n F_X(x) dx \\ &= n F_X(n) - n + n - \int_0^n F_X(x) dx \\ &= n F_X(n) - n + \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)] dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= n[F_X(n) - 1] + \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx \\
&= -n[1 - F_X(n)] + \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx \\
&= -nP(X > n) + \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx \\
&\stackrel{X \geq 0}{=} -n^1P(|X| > n) + \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx \\
\implies E(X^1) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [-n^1P(|X| > n) + \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx] \\
&\stackrel{Th. 3.1.9}{=} 0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty [1 - F_X(x)]dx
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the existence of $E(X)$ implies that $\int_0^\infty [1 - F_X(x)]dx$ is finite and that both sides are identical.

We still have to show the converse implication:

If $\int_0^\infty [1 - F_X(x)]dx$ is finite, then $E(X)$ exists, i.e., $E(|X|) = E(X) < \infty$, and both sides are identical. It is

$$\int_0^n xf_X(x)dx \stackrel{X \geq 0}{=} \int_0^n |x| f_X(x)dx = -n[1 - F_X(n)] + \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx$$

as seen above.

Since $-n[1 - F_X(n)] \leq 0$, we get

$$\int_0^n |x| f_X(x)dx \leq \int_0^n [1 - F_X(x)]dx \leq \int_0^\infty [1 - F_X(x)]dx < \infty \quad \forall n$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^n |x| f_X(x)dx = \int_0^\infty |x| f_X(x)dx \leq \int_0^\infty [1 - F_X(x)]dx < \infty$$

$\implies E(X)$ exists and is identical to $\int_0^\infty [1 - F_X(x)]dx$ as seen above. ■

Corollary 3.1.12:

$$E(|X|^s) = s \int_0^\infty y^{s-1} P(|X| > y) dy$$

Proof:

$$E(|X|^s) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 3.1.11}}{=} \int_0^\infty [1 - F_{|X|^s}(z)] dz = \int_0^\infty P(|X|^s > z) dz$$

Let $z = y^s$. Then $\frac{dz}{dy} = sy^{s-1}$ and $dz = sy^{s-1} dy$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty P(|X|^s > z) dz &= \int_0^\infty P(|X|^s > y^s) sy^{s-1} dy \\ &= s \int_0^\infty y^{s-1} P(|X|^s > y^s) dy \\ &\stackrel{\text{monotonic } \uparrow}{=} s \int_0^\infty y^{s-1} P(|X| > y) dy \end{aligned}$$

■

Proof (of Theorem 3.1.10):

For any given $\epsilon > 0$, choose N such that the tail probability $P(|X| > n) < \frac{\epsilon}{n^t} \quad \forall n \geq N$.

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X|^s) &\stackrel{\text{Cor. 3.1.12}}{=} s \int_0^\infty y^{s-1} P(|X| > y) dy \\ &= s \int_0^N y^{s-1} P(|X| > y) dy + s \int_N^\infty y^{s-1} P(|X| > y) dy \\ &\leq \int_0^N sy^{s-1} \cdot 1 dy + s \int_N^\infty y^{s-1} \frac{\epsilon}{y^t} dy \\ &= y^s \Big|_0^N + s\epsilon \int_N^\infty y^{s-1-t} dy \\ &= N^s + s\epsilon \int_N^\infty y^{s-1-t} dy \end{aligned}$$

It is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N^\infty y^c dy &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c+1} y^{c+1} \Big|_N^\infty, & c \neq -1 \\ \ln y \Big|_N^\infty, & c = -1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \infty, & c \geq -1 \\ -\frac{1}{c+1} N^{c+1} < \infty, & c < -1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $E(|X|^s) < \infty$, it must hold that $s - 1 - t < -1$, or equivalently, $s < t$. So $E(|X|^s) < \infty$, i.e., it exists, for every s with $0 < s < t$ for a rv X with a distribution such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^t P(|X| > n) = 0$ for some $t > 0$. ■

Theorem 3.1.13:

Let X be a rv such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(|X| > \alpha k)}{P(|X| > k)} = 0 \quad \forall \alpha > 1.$$

Then, all moments of X exist.

Proof:

- For $\epsilon > 0$, we select some k_0 such that

$$\frac{P(|X| > \alpha k)}{P(|X| > k)} < \epsilon \quad \forall k \geq k_0.$$

- Select k_1 such that $P(|X| > k) < \epsilon \quad \forall k \geq k_1$.
- Select $N = \max(k_0, k_1)$.
- If we have some fixed positive integer r :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P(|X| > \alpha^r k)}{P(|X| > k)} &= \frac{P(|X| > \alpha k)}{P(|X| > k)} \cdot \frac{P(|X| > \alpha^2 k)}{P(|X| > \alpha k)} \cdot \frac{P(|X| > \alpha^3 k)}{P(|X| > \alpha^2 k)} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{P(|X| > \alpha^r k)}{P(|X| > \alpha^{r-1} k)} \\ &= \frac{P(|X| > \alpha k)}{P(|X| > k)} \cdot \frac{P(|X| > \alpha \cdot (\alpha k))}{P(|X| > 1 \cdot (\alpha k))} \cdot \frac{P(|X| > \alpha \cdot (\alpha^2 k))}{P(|X| > 1 \cdot (\alpha^2 k))} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{P(|X| > \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{r-1} k))}{P(|X| > 1 \cdot (\alpha^{r-1} k))} \end{aligned}$$

- Note: Each of these r terms on the right side is $< \epsilon$ by our original statement of selecting some k_0 such that $\frac{P(|X| > \alpha k)}{P(|X| > k)} < \epsilon \quad \forall k \geq k_0$ and since $\alpha > 1$ and therefore $\alpha^n k \geq k_0$.

- Now we get for our entire expression that $\frac{P(|X| > \alpha^r k)}{P(|X| > k)} \leq \epsilon^r$ for $k \geq N$ (since in this case also $k \geq k_0$) and $\alpha > 1$.

- Overall, we have $P(|X| > \alpha^r k) \leq \epsilon^r P(|X| > k) \leq \epsilon^{r+1}$ for $k \geq N$ (since in this case also $k \geq k_1$).

- For a fixed positive integer n :

$$E(|X|^n) \stackrel{Cor. 3.1.12}{=} n \cdot \int_0^\infty x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx = n \int_0^N x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx + n \int_N^\infty x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx$$

- We know that:

$$n \int_0^N x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx \leq \int_0^N n x^{n-1} dx = x^n \Big|_0^N = N^n < \infty$$

but is

$$n \int_N^\infty x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx < \infty \quad ?$$

- To check the second part, we use:

$$\int_N^\infty x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx = \sum_{r=1}^\infty \int_{\alpha^{r-1}N}^{\alpha^r N} x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx$$

- We know that:

$$\int_{\alpha^{r-1}N}^{\alpha^r N} x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx \leq \epsilon^r \int_{\alpha^{r-1}N}^{\alpha^r N} x^{n-1} dx$$

This step is possible since $\epsilon^r \geq P(|X| \geq \alpha^{r-1}N) \geq P(|X| > x) \geq P(|X| \geq \alpha^r N)$ $\forall x \in (\alpha^{r-1}N, \alpha^r N)$ and $N = \max(k_0, k_1)$.

- Since $(\alpha^{r-1}N)^{n-1} \leq x^{n-1} \leq (\alpha^r N)^{n-1} \quad \forall x \in (\alpha^{r-1}N, \alpha^r N)$, we get:

$$\epsilon^r \int_{\alpha^{r-1}N}^{\alpha^r N} x^{n-1} dx \leq \epsilon^r (\alpha^r N)^{n-1} \int_{\alpha^{r-1}N}^{\alpha^r N} 1 dx \leq \epsilon^r (\alpha^r N)^{n-1} (\alpha^r N) = \epsilon^r (\alpha^r N)^n$$

- Now we go back to our original inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N^\infty x^{n-1} P(|X| > x) dx &\leq \sum_{r=1}^\infty \epsilon^r \int_{\alpha^{r-1}N}^{\alpha^r N} x^{n-1} dx \leq \sum_{r=1}^\infty \epsilon^r (\alpha^r N)^n = N^n \sum_{r=1}^\infty (\epsilon \cdot \alpha^n)^r \\ &= \frac{N^n \epsilon \alpha^n}{1 - \epsilon \alpha^n} \text{ if } \epsilon \alpha^n < 1 \text{ or, equivalently, if } \epsilon < \frac{1}{\alpha^n} \end{aligned}$$

- Since $\frac{N^n \epsilon \alpha^n}{1 - \epsilon \alpha^n}$ is finite, all moments $E(|X|^n)$ exist. ■

3.2 Generating Functions

Definition 3.2.1:

Let X be a rv with cdf F_X . The **moment generating function (mgf)** of X is defined as

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX})$$

provided that this expectation exists in an (open) interval around 0, i.e., for $-h < t < h$ for some $h > 0$. ■

Theorem 3.2.2:

If a rv X has a mgf $M_X(t)$ that exists for $-h < t < h$ for some $h > 0$, then

$$E(X^n) = M_X^{(n)}(0) = \frac{d^n}{dt^n} M_X(t) \big|_{t=0} .$$

Proof:

We assume that we can differentiate under the integral sign. If, and when, this really is true will be discussed later in this section.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M_X(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} f_X(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{tx} f_X(x) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x e^{tx} f_X(x) dx \\ &= E(X e^{tX}) \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating this at $t = 0$, we get: $\frac{d}{dt} M_X(t) \big|_{t=0} = E(X)$

By induction, we get for $n \geq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} M_X(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d^{n-1}}{dt^{n-1}} M_X(t) \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n-1} e^{tx} f_X(x) dx \right) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} x^{n-1} e^{tx} f_X(x) \right) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{tx} f_X(x) dx \\ &= E(X^n e^{tX}) \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating this at $t = 0$, we get: $\frac{d^n}{dt^n} M_X(t) \big|_{t=0} = E(X^n)$ ■

Example 3.2.3:

$X \sim U(a, b)$, where $a < b$; $f_X(x) = \frac{1}{b-a} \cdot I_{[a,b]}(x)$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} M_X(t) &= \int_a^b \frac{e^{tx}}{b-a} dx = \frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)} \\ M_X(0) &= \frac{0}{0} \\ &\stackrel{\text{L'Hospital}}{=} \left. \frac{be^{tb} - ae^{ta}}{b-a} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

So $M_X(0) = 1$ and since $\frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)}$ is continuous, it also exists in an open interval around 0 (in fact, it exists for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$).

$$\begin{aligned} M'_X(t) &= \frac{(be^{tb} - ae^{ta})t(b-a) - (e^{tb} - e^{ta})(b-a)}{t^2(b-a)^2} \\ &= \frac{t(be^{tb} - ae^{ta}) - (e^{tb} - e^{ta})}{t^2(b-a)} \\ \implies E(X) &= M'_X(0) = \frac{0}{0} \\ &\stackrel{\text{L'Hospital}}{=} \left. \frac{be^{tb} - ae^{ta} + tb^2e^{tb} - ta^2e^{ta} - be^{tb} + ae^{ta}}{2t(b-a)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{tb^2e^{tb} - ta^2e^{ta}}{2t(b-a)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \left. \frac{b^2e^{tb} - a^2e^{ta}}{2(b-a)} \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2(b-a)} \\ &= \frac{b+a}{2} \end{aligned}$$

■

Note:

In the previous example, we made use of **L'Hospital's rule**. This rule gives conditions under which we can resolve indefinite expressions of the type " $\frac{\pm 0}{\pm 0}$ " and " $\frac{\pm \infty}{\pm \infty}$ ".

- (i) Let f and g be functions that are differentiable in an open interval around x_0 , say in $(x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$, but not necessarily differentiable in x_0 . Let $f(x_0) = g(x_0) = 0$ and $g'(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) - \{x_0\}$. Then, $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = A$ implies that also $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = A$. The same holds for the cases $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} g(x) = \infty$ and $x \rightarrow x_0^+$ or $x \rightarrow x_0^-$.
- (ii) Let f and g be functions that are differentiable for $x > a$ ($a > 0$). Let $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g'(x) \neq 0$. Then, $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = A$ implies that also $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = A$.
- (iii) We can iterate this process as long as the required conditions are met and derivatives exist, e.g., if the first derivatives still result in an indefinite expression, we can look at the second derivatives, then at the third derivatives, and so on.
- (iv) It is recommended to keep expressions as simple as possible. If we have identical factors in the numerator and denominator, we can exclude them from both and continue with the simpler functions.
- (v) Indefinite expressions of the form " $0 \cdot \infty$ " can be handled by rearranging them to " $\frac{0}{1/\infty}$ " and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ can be handled by use of the rules for $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(-x)}{g(-x)}$.

Note:

The following Theorems provide us with rules that tell us when we can differentiate under the integral sign. Theorem 3.2.4 relates to finite integral bounds $a(\theta)$ and $b(\theta)$ and Theorems 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 to infinite bounds.

Theorem 3.2.4: Leibnitz's Rule

If $f(x, \theta)$, $a(\theta)$, and $b(\theta)$ are differentiable with respect to θ (for all x) and $-\infty < a(\theta) < b(\theta) < \infty$, then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{a(\theta)}^{b(\theta)} f(x, \theta) dx = f(b(\theta), \theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} b(\theta) - f(a(\theta), \theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} a(\theta) + \int_{a(\theta)}^{b(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) dx.$$

The first 2 terms are vanishing if $a(\theta)$ and $b(\theta)$ are constant in θ .

Lecture 19:
We 10/11/00

Proof:

Uses the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus and the chain rule. ■

Theorem 3.2.5: Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

Let g be an integrable function such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx < \infty$. If $|f_n| \leq g$ almost everywhere (i.e., except for a set of Borel-measure 0) and if $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere, then f_n and f are integrable and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_n(x)dx \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)dx.$$

■

Note:

If f is differentiable with respect to θ , then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, \theta + \delta) - f(x, \theta)}{\delta}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, \theta + \delta) - f(x, \theta)}{\delta} dx$$

while

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, \theta) dx = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x, \theta + \delta) - f(x, \theta)}{\delta} dx$$

■

Theorem 3.2.6:

Let $f_n(x, \theta_0) = \frac{f(x, \theta_0 + \delta_n) - f(x, \theta_0)}{\delta_n}$ for some θ_0 . Suppose there exists an integrable function $g(x)$ such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)dx < \infty$ and $|f_n(x, \theta)| \leq g(x) \quad \forall x$, then

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, \theta) dx \right] \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right] dx.$$

Usually, if f is differentiable for all θ , we write

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, \theta) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) dx.$$

■

Corollary 3.2.7:

Let $f(x, \theta)$ be differentiable for all θ . Suppose there exists an integrable function $g(x, \theta)$ such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, \theta) dx < \infty$ and $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right| \leq g(x, \theta) \quad \forall x \quad \forall \theta_0$ in some ϵ -neighborhood of θ , then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, \theta) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x, \theta) dx.$$

■

More on Moment Generating Functions

Consider

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{tx} f_X(x) \Big|_{t=t'} \right| = |x| e^{t'x} f_X(x) \text{ for } |t' - t| \leq \delta_0.$$

Choose t, δ_0 small enough such that $t + \delta_0 \in (-h, h)$ and $t - \delta_0 \in (-h, h)$, or, equivalently, $|t + \delta_0| < h$ and $|t - \delta_0| < h$. Then,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{tx} f_X(x) \Big|_{t=t'} \right| \leq g(x, t)$$

where

$$g(x, t) = \begin{cases} |x| e^{(t+\delta_0)x} f_X(x), & x \geq 0 \\ |x| e^{(t-\delta_0)x} f_X(x), & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

To verify $\int g(x, t) dx < \infty$, we need to know $f_X(x)$.

Suppose mgf $M_X(t)$ exists for $|t| \leq h^*$ for some $h^* > 1$, where $h^* - 1 \geq h$. Then $|t + \delta_0 + 1| < h^*$ and $|t - \delta_0 - 1| < h^*$. Since $|x| \leq e^{|x|} \quad \forall x$, we get

$$g(x, t) \leq \begin{cases} e^{(t+\delta_0+1)x} f_X(x), & x \geq 0 \\ e^{(t-\delta_0-1)x} f_X(x), & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then, $\int_0^\infty g(x, t) dx \leq M_X(t + \delta_0 + 1) < \infty$ and $\int_{-\infty}^0 g(x, t) dx \leq M_X(t - \delta_0 - 1) < \infty$ and, therefore, $\int_{-\infty}^\infty g(x) dx < \infty$.

Together with Corollary 3.2.7, this establishes that we can differentiate under the integral in the Proof of Theorem 3.2.2.

If $h^* \leq 1$, we may need to check more carefully to see if the condition holds.

Note:

If $M_X(t)$ exists for $t \in (-h, h)$, then we have an infinite collection of moments.

Does a collection of integer moments $\{m_k : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ completely characterize the distribution, i.e., cdf, of X ? — Unfortunately not, as Example 3.2.8 shows. ■

Example 3.2.8:

Let X_1 and X_2 be rv's with pdfs

$$f_{X_1}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^2\right) \cdot I_{(0,\infty)}(x)$$

and

$$f_{X_2}(x) = f_{X_1}(x) \cdot (1 + \sin(2\pi \log x)) \cdot I_{(0,\infty)}(x)$$

It is $E(X_1^r) = E(X_2^r) = e^{r^2/2}$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ as you have to show in the Homeworks.

Two different pdfs/cdfs have the same moment sequence! What went wrong? In this example, $M_{X_1}(t)$ does not exist as shown in the Homeworks! ■

Theorem 3.2.9:

Let X and Y be 2 rv's with cdf's F_X and F_Y for which all moments exist.

Lecture 20:

Fr 10/13/00

- (i) If F_X and F_Y have bounded support, then $F_X(u) = F_Y(u) \quad \forall u$ iff $E(X^r) = E(Y^r)$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- (ii) If both mgf's exist, i.e., $M_X(t) = M_Y(t)$ for t in some neighborhood of 0, then $F_X(u) = F_Y(u) \quad \forall u$.

Note:

The existence of moments is not equivalent to the existence of a mgf as seen in Example 3.2.8 above and some of the Homework assignments. ■

Theorem 3.2.10:

Suppose rv's $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ have mgf's $M_{X_i}(t)$ and that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M_{X_i}(t) = M_X(t) \quad \forall t \in (-h, h)$ for some $h > 0$ and that $M_X(t)$ itself is a mgf. Then, there exists a cdf F_X whose moments are determined by $M_X(t)$ and for all continuity points x of $F_X(x)$ it holds that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_i}(x) = F_X(x)$, i.e., the convergence of mgf's implies the convergence of cdf's.

Proof:

Uniqueness of Laplace transformations, etc. ■

Theorem 3.2.11:

For constants a and b , the mgf of $Y = aX + b$ is

$$M_Y(t) = e^{bt} M_X(at),$$

given that $M_X(t)$ exists.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= E(e^{(aX+b)t}) \\ &= E(e^{aXt} e^{bt}) \\ &= e^{bt} E(e^{aXt}) \\ &= e^{bt} M_X(at) \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Complex-Valued Random Variables and Characteristic Functions

Recall the following facts regarding **complex numbers**:

$$i^0 = +1; i = \sqrt{-1}; i^2 = -1; i^3 = -i; i^4 = +1; \text{ etc.}$$

in the planar Gauss'ian number plane it holds that $i = (0, 1)$

$$z = a + ib = r(\cos \phi + i \sin \phi)$$

$$r = |z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$

$$\tan \phi = \frac{b}{a}$$

$$\text{Euler's Relation: } z = r(\cos \phi + i \sin \phi) = re^{i\phi}$$

Mathematical Operations on Complex Numbers:

$$z_1 \pm z_2 = (a_1 \pm a_2) + i(b_1 \pm b_2)$$

$$z_1 \cdot z_2 = r_1 r_2 e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)} = r_1 r_2 (\cos(\phi_1 + \phi_2) + i \sin(\phi_1 + \phi_2))$$

$$\frac{z_1}{z_2} = \frac{r_1}{r_2} e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} = \frac{r_1}{r_2} (\cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + i \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2))$$

$$\text{Moivre's Theorem: } z^n = (r(\cos \phi + i \sin \phi))^n = r^n (\cos(n\phi) + i \sin(n\phi))$$

$$\sqrt[n]{z} = \sqrt[n]{a + ib} = \sqrt[n]{r} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\phi + k \cdot 360^\circ}{n}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\phi + k \cdot 360^\circ}{n}\right) \right) \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, (n-1) \text{ and the main value for } k = 0$$

$$\ln z = \ln(a + ib) = \ln(|z|) + i\phi \pm i2n\pi \text{ where } \phi = \arctan \frac{b}{a} \text{ and the main value for } n = 0$$

Conjugate Complex Numbers:

For $z = a + ib$, we define the **conjugate complex number** $\bar{z} = a - ib$. It holds:

$$\overline{\bar{z}} = z$$

$$z = \bar{z} \text{ iff } z \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\overline{z_1 \pm z_2} = \bar{z}_1 \pm \bar{z}_2$$

$$\overline{z_1 \cdot z_2} = \bar{z}_1 \cdot \bar{z}_2$$

$$\overline{\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)} = \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_2}$$

$$z \cdot \bar{z} = a^2 + b^2$$

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = a = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(z) = b = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \bar{z})$$

$$|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{z \cdot \bar{z}}$$

Definition 3.3.1:

Let (Ω, L, P) be a probability space and X and Y real-valued rv's, i.e., $X, Y : (\Omega, L) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$

(i) $Z = X + iY : (\Omega, L) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is called a **complex-valued random variable** (\mathcal{C} -rv).

(ii) If $E(X)$ and $E(Y)$ exist, then $E(Z)$ is defined as $E(Z) = E(X) + iE(Y) \in \mathcal{C}$.

■

Note:

$E(Z)$ exists iff $E(|X|)$ and $E(|Y|)$ exist. It also holds that if $E(Z)$ exists, then $|E(Z)| \leq E(|Z|)$ (see Homework).

■

Definition 3.3.2:

Let X be a real-valued rv on (Ω, L, P) . Then, $\Phi_X(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with $\Phi_X(t) = E(e^{itX})$ is called the **characteristic function** of X .

■

Note:

(i) $\Phi_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itx} f_X(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(tx) f_X(x) dx + i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(tx) f_X(x) dx$

if X is continuous.

(ii) $\Phi_X(t) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} e^{itx} P(X = x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \cos(tx) P(X = x) + i \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sin(tx) P(X = x)$

if X is discrete and \mathcal{X} is the support of X .

(iii) $\Phi_X(t)$ exists for all real-valued rv's X since $|e^{itx}| = 1$.

■

Theorem 3.3.3:

Let Φ_X be the characteristic function of a real-valued rv X . Then it holds:

(i) $\Phi_X(0) = 1$.

(ii) $|\Phi_X(t)| \leq 1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) Φ_X is uniformly continuous, i.e., $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R} : |t_1 - t_2| < \delta \Rightarrow |\Phi(t_1) - \Phi(t_2)| < \epsilon$.

(iv) Φ_X is a positive definite function, i.e., $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{C} \quad \forall t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R} :$

$$\sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_l \overline{\alpha_j} \Phi_X(t_l - t_j) \geq 0.$$

(v) $\Phi_X(t) = \overline{\Phi_X(-t)}$.

(vi) If X is symmetric around 0, i.e., if X has a pdf that is symmetric around 0, then $\Phi_X(t) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(vii) $\Phi_{aX+b}(t) = e^{itb}\Phi_X(at)$.

Proof:

See Homework for parts (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (vi), and (vii).

Part (iii):

Known conditions:

(i) Let $\epsilon > 0$.

(ii) $\exists a > 0 : P(-a < X < +a) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ and $P(|X| > a) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$

(iii) $\exists \delta > 0 : |e^{i(t'-t)x} - 1| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \forall x \text{ s.t. } |x| < a \text{ and } \forall (t' - t) \text{ s.t. } 0 < (t' - t) < \delta$.

This third condition holds since $|e^{i0} - 1| = 0$ and the exponential function is continuous. Therefore, if we select $(t' - t)$ and x small enough, $|e^{i(t'-t)x} - 1|$ will be $< \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for a given ϵ .

Let $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$, $t < t'$, and $t' - t < \delta$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Phi_X(t') - \Phi_X(t)| &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{it'x} f_X(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{itx} f_X(x) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx \right| \\
&= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{-a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx + \int_{-a}^{+a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx + \int_{+a}^{+\infty} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{-a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx \right| + \left| \int_{-a}^{+a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{+a}^{+\infty} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx \right|
\end{aligned}$$

We now take a closer look at the first and third of these absolute integrals. It is:

$$\begin{aligned}
| \int_{-\infty}^{-a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx | &= | \int_{-\infty}^{-a} e^{it'x} f_X(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{-a} e^{itx} f_X(x) dx | \\
&\leq | \int_{-\infty}^{-a} e^{it'x} f_X(x) dx | + | \int_{-\infty}^{-a} e^{itx} f_X(x) dx | \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^{-a} | e^{it'x} | f_X(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-a} | e^{itx} | f_X(x) dx \\
&\stackrel{(A)}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{-a} 1 f_X(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{-a} 1 f_X(x) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{-a} 2 f_X(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

(A) holds due to Note (iii) that follows Definition 3.3.2.

Similarly,

$$| \int_{+a}^{+\infty} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx | \leq \int_{+a}^{+\infty} 2 f_X(x) dx$$

Returning to the main part of the proof, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
| \Phi_X(t') - \Phi_X(t) | &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{-a} 2 f_X(x) dx + | \int_{-a}^{+a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx | + \int_{+a}^{+\infty} 2 f_X(x) dx \\
&= 2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-a} f_X(x) dx + \int_{+a}^{+\infty} f_X(x) dx \right) + | \int_{-a}^{+a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx | \\
&= 2P(|X| > a) + | \int_{-a}^{+a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx | \\
&\stackrel{\text{Condition (ii)}}{\leq} 2 \frac{\epsilon}{4} + | \int_{-a}^{+a} (e^{it'x} - e^{itx}) f_X(x) dx | \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + | \int_{-a}^{+a} e^{itx} (e^{i(t'-t)x} - 1) f_X(x) dx | \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \int_{-a}^{+a} | e^{itx} (e^{i(t'-t)x} - 1) | f_X(x) dx \\
&\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \int_{-a}^{+a} | e^{itx} | \cdot | (e^{i(t'-t)x} - 1) | f_X(x) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(B)}{\leq} \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \int_{-a}^{+a} 1 \frac{\epsilon}{2} f_X(x) dx \\
& \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2} f_X(x) dx \\
& = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\
& = \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

(B) holds due to Note (iii) that follows Definition 3.3.2 and due to condition (iii). ■

Theorem 3.3.4: Bochner's Theorem

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be any function with properties (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) from Theorem 3.3.3. Then there exists a real-valued rv X with $\Phi_X = \Phi$. ■

Theorem 3.3.5:

Let X be a real-valued rv and $E(X^k)$ exists for an integer k . Then, Φ_X is k times differentiable and $\Phi_X^{(k)}(t) = i^k E(X^k e^{itX})$. In particular for $t = 0$, it is $\Phi_X^{(k)}(0) = i^k m_k$. ■

Theorem 3.3.6:

Let X be a real-valued rv with characteristic function Φ_X and let Φ_X be k times differentiable, where k is an even integer. Then the k^{th} moment of X , m_k , exists and it is $\Phi_X^{(k)}(0) = i^k m_k$. ■

Theorem 3.3.7: Levy's Theorem

Let X be a real-valued rv with cdf F_X and characteristic function Φ_X . Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $a < b$. If $P(X = a) = P(X = b) = 0$, i.e., F_X is continuous in a and b , then

$$F(b) - F(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-ita} - e^{-itb}}{it} \Phi_X(t) dt.$$

Theorem 3.3.8:

Let X and Y be a real-valued rv with characteristic functions Φ_X and Φ_Y . If $\Phi_X = \Phi_Y$, then X and Y are identically distributed. ■

Theorem 3.3.9:

Let X be a real-valued rv with characteristic function Φ_X such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi_X(t)| dt < \infty$. Then X has pdf

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \Phi_X(t) dt.$$

■

Theorem 3.3.10:

Let X be a real-valued rv with mgf $M_X(t)$, i.e., the mgf exists. Then $\Phi_X(t) = M_X(it)$.

■

Theorem 3.3.11:

Suppose real-valued rv's $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ have cdf's $\{F_{X_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and characteristic functions $\{\Phi_{X_i}(t)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{X_i}(t) = \Phi_X(t) \quad \forall t \in (-h, h)$ for some $h > 0$ and $\Phi_X(t)$ is itself a characteristic function (of a rv X with cdf F_X), then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_i}(x) = F_X(x)$ for all continuity points x of $F_X(x)$, i.e., the convergence of characteristic functions implies the convergence of cdf's.

■

Theorem 3.3.12:

Characteristic functions for some well-known distributions:

	Distribution	$\Phi_X(t)$
(i)	$X \sim \text{Dirac}(c)$	e^{itc}
(ii)	$X \sim \text{Bin}(1, p)$	$1 + p(e^{it} - 1)$
(iii)	$X \sim \text{Poisson}(c)$	$\exp(c(e^{it} - 1))$
(iv)	$X \sim U(a, b)$	$\frac{e^{itb} - e^{ita}}{(b-a)it}$
(v)	$X \sim N(0, 1)$	$\exp(-t^2/2)$
(vi)	$X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$	$e^{it\mu} \exp(-\sigma^2 t^2/2)$
(vii)	$X \sim \Gamma(p, q)$	$(1 - \frac{it}{q})^{-p}$
(viii)	$X \sim \text{Exp}(c)$	$(1 - \frac{it}{c})^{-1}$
(ix)	$X \sim \chi_n^2$	$(1 - 2it)^{-n/2}$

Proof:

$$(i) \quad \Phi_X(t) = E(e^{itX}) = e^{itc} P(X = c) = e^{itc}$$

$$(ii) \quad \Phi_X(t) = \sum_{k=0}^1 e^{itk} P(X = k) = e^{it0}(1-p) + e^{it1}p = 1 + p(e^{it} - 1)$$

$$(iii) \quad \Phi_X(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} e^{itn} \cdot \frac{c^n}{n!} e^{-c} = e^{-c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (c \cdot e^{it})^n = e^{-c} \cdot e^{c \cdot e^{it}} = e^{c(e^{it}-1)}$$

$$\text{since } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^x$$

$$(iv) \quad \Phi_X(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b e^{itx} dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \left[\frac{e^{itx}}{it} \right]_a^b = \frac{e^{itb} - e^{ita}}{(b-a)it}$$

$$(v) \quad X \sim N(0, 1) \text{ is symmetric around } 0$$

Lecture 22:
We 10/18/00

$\implies \Phi_X(t)$ is real since there is no imaginary part according to Theorem 3.3.3 (vi)

$$\implies \Phi_X(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(tx) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$

Since $E(X)$ exists, $\Phi_X(t)$ is differentiable according to Theorem 3.3.5 and the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'_X(t) &= \operatorname{Re}(\Phi'_X(t)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ix \underbrace{e^{itx}}_{\cos(tx) + i \sin(tx)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ix \cos(tx) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -x \sin(tx) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{(-\sin(tx))}_u \underbrace{x e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}_{v'} dx \quad | \quad u' = -t \cos(tx) \text{ and } v = -e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sin(tx) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}}_{=0 \text{ since sin is odd}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-t \cos(tx)) (-e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}) dx \\ &= -t \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(tx) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &= -t \Phi_X(t) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\Phi'_X(t) = -t \Phi_X(t)$. It follows that $\frac{\Phi'_X(t)}{\Phi_X(t)} = -t$ and by integrating both sides, we get $\ln |\Phi_X(t)| = -\frac{1}{2}t^2 + c$ with $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $t = 0$, we know that $\Phi_X(0) = 1$ by Theorem 3.3.3 (i) and $\ln |\Phi_X(0)| = 0$. It follows that $0 = 0 + c$. Therefore, $c = 0$ and $|\Phi_X(t)| = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2}$.

If we take $t = 0$, then $\Phi_X(0) = 1$ by Theorem 3.3.3 (i). Since Φ_X is uniformly continuous, Φ_X must take the value 0 before it can eventually take a negative value. However, since $e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} > 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, Φ_X cannot take 0 as a possible value and therefore cannot pass into the negative numbers. So, it must hold that $\Phi_X(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (vi) For $\sigma > 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we know that if $X \sim N(0, 1)$, then $\sigma X + \mu \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. By Theorem 3.3.3 (vii) we have

$$\Phi_{\sigma X + \mu}(t) = e^{it\mu} \Phi_X(\sigma t) = e^{it\mu} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}.$$

(vii)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_X(t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{itx} \gamma(p, q, x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{q^p}{\Gamma(p)} x^{p-1} e^{-(q-it)x} dx \\ &= \frac{q^p}{\Gamma(p)} (q-it)^{-p} \int_0^\infty ((q-it)x)^{p-1} e^{-(q-it)x} (q-it) dx \quad | \quad u = (q-it)x, \quad du = (q-it)dx \\ &= \frac{q^p}{\Gamma(p)} (q-it)^{-p} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty (u)^{p-1} e^{-u} du}_{=\Gamma(p)} \\ &= q^p (q-it)^{-p} \\ &= \frac{(q-it)^{-p}}{q^{-p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{q-it}{q} \right)^{-p} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{it}{q} \right)^{-p} \end{aligned}$$

- (viii) Since an $Exp(c)$ distribution is a $\Gamma(1, c)$ distribution, we get for $X \sim Exp(c) = \Gamma(1, c)$:

Lecture 23:
Fr 10/20/00

$$\Phi_X(t) = \left(1 - \frac{it}{c} \right)^{-1}$$

- (ix) Since a χ_n^2 distribution (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) is a $\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ distribution, we get for $X \sim \chi_n^2 = \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$:

$$\Phi_X(t) = \left(1 - \frac{it}{1/2} \right)^{-n/2} = (1 - 2it)^{-n/2}$$

■

Example 3.3.13:

Since we know that $m_1 = E(X)$ and $m_2 = E(X^2)$ exist for $X \sim \text{Bin}(1, p)$, we can determine these moments according to Theorem 3.3.5 using the characteristic function.

It is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_X(t) &= 1 + p(e^{it} - 1) \\ \Phi'_X(t) &= pie^{it} \\ \Phi'_X(0) &= pi \\ \implies m_1 &= \frac{\Phi'_X(0)}{i} = \frac{pi}{i} = p = E(X) \\ \Phi''_X(t) &= pi^2 e^{it} \\ \Phi''_X(0) &= pi^2 \\ \implies m_2 &= \frac{\Phi''_X(0)}{i^2} = \frac{pi^2}{i^2} = p = E(X^2) \\ \implies \text{Var}(X) &= E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 = p - p^2 = p(1 - p)\end{aligned}$$

■

Note:

The restriction $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi_X(t)| dt < \infty$ in Theorem 3.3.9 works in such a way that we don't end up with a (non-existing) pdf if X is a discrete rv. For example,

- $X \sim \text{Dirac}(c)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi_X(t)| dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{itc}| dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 dt \\ &= x \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}\end{aligned}$$

which is undefined.

- Also for $X \sim \text{Bin}(1, p)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi_X(t)| dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |1 + p(e^{it} - 1)| dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |pe^{it} - (p - 1)| dt \\ &\geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ||pe^{it}| - |(p - 1)|| dt \\ &\geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |pe^{it}| dt - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(p - 1)| dt\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 dt - (1-p) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 dt \\
&= (2p-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 1 dt \\
&= (2p-1)x \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

which is undefined for $p \neq 1/2$.

If $p = 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |pe^{it} - (p-1)| dt &= 1/2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{it} + 1| dt \\
&= 1/2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\cos t + i \sin t + 1| dt \\
&= 1/2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{(\cos t + 1)^2 + (\sin t)^2} dt \\
&= 1/2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{\cos^2 t + 2 \cos t + 1 + \sin^2 t} dt \\
&= 1/2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{2 + 2 \cos t} dt
\end{aligned}$$

which also does not exist.

- Otherwise, $X \sim N(0, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Phi_X(t)| dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-t^2/2) dt \\
&= \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-t^2/2) dt \\
&= \sqrt{2\pi} \\
&< \infty
\end{aligned}$$

■

3.4 Probability Generating Functions

Definition 3.4.1:

Let X be a discrete rv which only takes non-negative integer values, i.e., $p_k = P(X = k)$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k = 1$. Then, the **probability generating function (pgf)** of X is defined as

$$G(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k s^k.$$

■

Theorem 3.4.2:

$G(s)$ converges for $|s| < 1$.

Proof:

$$|G(s)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |p_k s^k| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |p_k| = 1$$

■

Theorem 3.4.3:

Let X be a discrete rv which only takes non-negative integer values and has pgf $G(s)$. Then it holds:

$$P(X = k) = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k}{ds^k} G(s) \big|_{s=0}$$

■

Theorem 3.4.4:

Let X be a discrete rv which only takes non-negative integer values and has pgf $G(s)$. If $E(X)$ exists, then it holds:

$$E(X) = \frac{d}{ds} G(s) \big|_{s=1}$$

■

Definition 3.4.5:

The k^{th} **factorial moment** of X is defined as

$$E[X(X-1)(X-2) \cdots (X-k+1)]$$

if this expectation exists.

■

Theorem 3.4.6:

Let X be a discrete rv which only takes non-negative integer values and has pgf $G(s)$. If $E[X(X-1)(X-2) \cdots (X-k+1)]$ exists, then it holds:

$$E[X(X-1)(X-2) \cdots (X-k+1)] = \frac{d^k}{ds^k} G(s) \big|_{s=1}$$

■

Note:

Similar to the Cauchy distribution for the continuous case, there exist discrete distributions where the mean (or higher moments) do not exist. See Homework.

■

3.5 Moment Inequalities

Theorem 3.5.1:

Let $h(X)$ be a non-negative Borel-measurable function of a rv X . If $E(h(X))$ exists, then it holds:

$$P(h(X) \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{E(h(X))}{\epsilon} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

Proof:

Continuous case only:

$$\begin{aligned}
E(h(X)) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)f_X(x)dx \\
&= \int_A h(x)f_X(x)dx + \int_{A^c} h(x)f_X(x)dx \quad | \quad \text{where } A = \{x : h(x) \geq \epsilon\} \\
&\geq \int_A h(x)f_X(x)dx \\
&\geq \int_A \epsilon f_X(x)dx \\
&= \epsilon P(h(X) \geq \epsilon) \quad \forall \epsilon > 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(h(X) \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{E(h(X))}{\epsilon} \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$. ■

Corollary 3.5.2: Markov's Inequality

Let $h(X) = |X|^r$ and $\epsilon = k^r$ where $r > 0$ and $k > 0$. If $E(|X|^r)$ exists, then it holds:

$$P(|X| \geq k) \leq \frac{E(|X|^r)}{k^r}$$

Proof:

Since $P(|X| \geq k) = P(|X|^r \geq k^r)$ for $k > 0$, it follows using Theorem 3.5.1:

$$P(|X| \geq k) = P(|X|^r \geq k^r) \stackrel{Th.3.5.1}{\leq} \frac{E(|X|^r)}{k^r}$$
■

Corollary 3.5.3: Chebychev's Inequality

Let $h(X) = (X - \mu)^2$ and $\epsilon = k^2 \sigma^2$ where $E(X) = \mu$, $Var(X) = \sigma^2 < \infty$, and $k > 0$. Then it holds:

$$P(|X - \mu| > k\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{k^2}$$

Proof:

Since $P(|X - \mu| > k\sigma) = P(|X - \mu|^2 > k^2\sigma^2)$ for $k > 0$, it follows using Theorem 3.5.1:

$$P(|X - \mu| > k\sigma) = P(|X - \mu|^2 > k^2\sigma^2) \stackrel{Th. 3.5.1}{\leq} \frac{E(|X - \mu|^2)}{k^2\sigma^2} = \frac{Var(X)}{k^2\sigma^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{k^2}$$

■

Theorem 3.5.4: Lyapunov's Inequality

Let $0 < \beta_n = E(|X|^n) < \infty$. For arbitrary k such that $2 \leq k \leq n$, it holds that

$$(\beta_{k-1})^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \leq (\beta_k)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

$$\text{i.e., } (E(|X|^{k-1}))^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \leq (E(|X|^k))^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Proof:

Continuous case only:

Let $Q(u, v) = E\left((u|X|^{\frac{k-1}{2}} + v|X|^{\frac{k+1}{2}})^2\right)$. Obviously, $Q(u, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(u, v) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u|x|^{\frac{k-1}{2}} + v|x|^{\frac{k+1}{2}})^2 f_X(x) dx \\ &= u^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{k-1} f_X(x) dx + 2uv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^k f_X(x) dx + v^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{k+1} f_X(x) dx \\ &= u^2 \beta_{k-1} + 2uv \beta_k + v^2 \beta_{k+1} \\ &\geq 0 \quad \forall u, v \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $Ax^2 + 2Bxy + Cy^2 \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ iff $A > 0$ and $AC - B^2 > 0$ (see Rohatgi, page 6, Section P2.4), we get with $A = \beta_{k-1}$, $B = \beta_k$, and $C = \beta_{k+1}$:

$$\beta_{k-1}\beta_{k+1} - \beta_k^2 \geq 0$$

$$\implies \beta_k^2 \leq \beta_{k-1}\beta_{k+1}$$

$$\implies \beta_k^{2k} \leq \beta_{k-1}^k \beta_{k+1}^k$$

This means that $\beta_1^2 \leq \beta_0\beta_2$, $\beta_2^4 \leq \beta_1^2\beta_3^2$, $\beta_3^6 \leq \beta_2^3\beta_4^3$, and so on. Multiplying these, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \beta_j^{2j} &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \beta_{j-1}^j \beta_{j+1}^j \\ &= (\beta_0\beta_2)(\beta_1^2\beta_3^2)(\beta_2^3\beta_4^3)(\beta_3^4\beta_5^4) \dots (\beta_{k-3}^{k-2}\beta_{k-1}^{k-2})(\beta_{k-2}^{k-1}\beta_k^{k-1}) \\ &= \beta_0\beta_{k-1}^{k-2}\beta_k^{k-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \beta_j^{2j} \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \beta_j^{2^j}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{k-1}^{2^{k-2}} &\leq \beta_0 \beta_k^{k-1} \beta_{k-1}^{k-2} \\
\stackrel{\beta_0=1}{\implies} \beta_{k-1}^k &\leq \beta_k^{k-1} \\
\implies \beta_{k-1}^1 &\leq \beta_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \\
\implies \beta_{k-1}^{\frac{1}{k-1}} &\leq \beta_k^{\frac{1}{k}}
\end{aligned}$$

■

4 Random Vectors

4.1 Joint, Marginal, and Conditional Distributions

Definition 4.1.1:

The vector $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)'$ on $(\Omega, L, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\underline{X}(\omega) = (X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega))'$, $\omega \in \Omega$, is an **n -dimensional random vector (n-rv)** if $\underline{X}^{-1}(I) = \{\omega : X_1(\omega) \leq a_1, \dots, X_n(\omega) \leq a_n\} \in L$ for all n -dimensional intervals $I = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : -\infty < x_i \leq a_i, a_i \in \mathbb{R} \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$. ■

Note:

It follows that if X_1, \dots, X_n are any n rv's on (Ω, L, P) , then $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)'$ is an n-rv on (Ω, L, P) since for any I , it holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underline{X}^{-1}(I) &= \{\omega : (X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)) \in I\} \\
 &= \{\omega : X_1(\omega) \leq a_1, \dots, X_n(\omega) \leq a_n\} \\
 &= \underbrace{\bigcap_{k=1}^n \underbrace{\{\omega : X_k(\omega) \leq a_k\}}_{\in L}}_{\in L}
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.1.2:

For an n-rv \underline{X} , a function F defined by

$$F(\underline{x}) = P(\underline{X} \leq \underline{x}) = P(X_1 \leq x_1, \dots, X_n \leq x_n) \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is the **joint cumulative distribution function (joint cdf)** of \underline{X} . ■

Note:

- (i) F is non-decreasing and right-continuous in each of its arguments x_i .
- (ii) $\lim_{\substack{\underline{x} \rightarrow \underline{\infty} \\ \mathbb{R}}} F(\underline{x}) = \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow \infty, \dots, x_n \rightarrow \infty} F(\underline{x}) = 1$ and $\lim_{x_k \rightarrow -\infty} F(\underline{x}) = 0 \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

However, conditions (i) and (ii) together are not sufficient for F to be a joint cdf. Instead we need the conditions from the next Theorem. ■

Theorem 4.1.3:

A function $F(\underline{x}) = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the joint cdf of some n-rv \underline{X} iff

- (i) F is non-decreasing and right-continuous with respect to each x_i ,
- (ii) $F(-\infty, x_2, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, -\infty, x_3, \dots, x_n) = \dots = F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, -\infty) = 0$ and $F(\infty, \dots, \infty) = 1$, and
- (iii) $\forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \forall \epsilon_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n$, the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\underline{x} + \underline{\epsilon}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n F(x_1 + \epsilon_1, \dots, x_{i-1} + \epsilon_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1} + \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots, x_n + \epsilon_n) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F(x_1 + \epsilon_1, \dots, x_{i-1} + \epsilon_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1} + \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots, \\ &\quad x_{j-1} + \epsilon_{j-1}, x_j, x_{j+1} + \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, x_n + \epsilon_n) \\ &\mp \dots \\ &+ (-1)^n F(\underline{x}) \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

Note:

We won't prove this Theorem but just see why we need condition (iii) for $n = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x_1 < X \leq x_2, y_1 < Y \leq y_2) = \\ P(X \leq x_2, Y \leq y_2) - P(X \leq x_1, Y \leq y_2) - P(X \leq x_2, Y \leq y_1) + P(X \leq x_1, Y \leq y_1) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

Note:

We will restrict ourselves to $n = 2$ for most of the next Definitions and Theorems but those can be easily generalized to $n > 2$. The term **bivariate rv** is often used to refer to a 2-rv and **multivariate rv** is used to refer to an n -rv, $n \geq 2$. ■

Definition 4.1.4:

A 2-rv (X, Y) is **discrete** if there exists a countable collection \mathcal{X} of pairs (x_i, y_i) that has probability 1. Let $p_{ij} = P(X = x_i, Y = y_j) > 0 \quad \forall (x_i, y_j) \in \mathcal{X}$. Then, $\sum_{i,j} p_{ij} = 1$ and $\{p_{ij}\}$ is the **joint probability mass function (joint pmf)** of (X, Y) . ■

Definition 4.1.5:

Let (X, Y) be a discrete 2-rv with joint pmf $\{p_{ij}\}$. Define

$$p_{i\cdot} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(X = x_i, Y = y_j) = P(X = x_i)$$

and

$$p_{\cdot j} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X = x_i, Y = y_j) = P(Y = y_j).$$

Then $\{p_{i\cdot}\}$ is called the **marginal probability mass function (marginal pmf)** of X and $\{p_{\cdot j}\}$ is called the **marginal probability mass function** of Y . ■

Definition 4.1.6:

A 2-rv (X, Y) is **continuous** if there exists a non-negative function f such that

$$F(x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{-\infty}^y f(u, v) \, dv \, du \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

where F is the joint cdf of (X, Y) . We call f the **joint probability density function (joint pdf)** of (X, Y) . ■

Note:

If F is continuous at (x, y) , then

$$\frac{d^2 F(x, y)}{dx \, dy} = f(x, y).$$

■

Definition 4.1.7:

Let (X, Y) be a continuous 2-rv with joint pdf f . Then $f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy$ is called the **marginal probability density function (marginal pdf)** of X and $f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx$ is called the **marginal probability density function** of Y . ■

Note:

(i)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dy \right) dx = F(\infty, \infty) = 1 =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x, y) dx \right) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_Y(y) dy$$

and $f_X(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_Y(y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (ii) Given a 2-rv (X, Y) with joint cdf $F(x, y)$, how do we generate a marginal cdf $F_X(x) = P(X \leq x)$? — The answer is $P(X \leq x) = P(X \leq x, -\infty < Y < \infty) = F(x, \infty)$.

■

Definition 4.1.8:

If $F_{\underline{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})$ is the joint cdf of an n-rv $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, then the **marginal cumulative distribution function (marginal cdf)** of $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}), 1 \leq k \leq n-1, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, is given by

$$\lim_{x_i \rightarrow \infty, i \neq i_1, \dots, i_k} F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = F_{\underline{X}}(\infty, \dots, \infty, x_{i_1}, \infty, \dots, \infty, x_{i_2}, \infty, \dots, \infty, x_{i_k}, \infty, \dots, \infty).$$

■

Note:

In Definition 1.4.1, we defined conditional probability distributions in some probability space (Ω, L, P) . This definition extends to conditional distributions of 2-rv's (X, Y) .

■

Definition 4.1.9:

Let (X, Y) be a discrete 2-rv. If $P(Y = y_j) = p_{\cdot j} > 0$, then the **conditional probability mass function (conditional pmf)** of X given $Y = y_j$ (for fixed j) is defined as

$$p_{i|j} = P(X = x_i | Y = y_j) = \frac{P(X = x_i, Y = y_j)}{P(Y = y_j)} = \frac{p_{ij}}{p_{\cdot j}}.$$

■

Note:

For a continuous 2-rv (X, Y) with pdf f , $P(X \leq x | Y = y)$ is not defined. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and suppose that $P(y - \epsilon < Y \leq y + \epsilon) > 0$. For every x and every interval $(y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]$, consider the conditional probability of $X \leq x$ given $Y \in (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon]$. We have

$$P(X \leq x | y - \epsilon < Y \leq y + \epsilon) = \frac{P(X \leq x, y - \epsilon < Y \leq y + \epsilon)}{P(y - \epsilon < Y \leq y + \epsilon)}$$

which is well-defined if $P(y - \epsilon < Y \leq y + \epsilon) > 0$ holds.

So, when does

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} P(X \leq x | Y \in (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon])$$

exist? See the next definition.

■

Definition 4.1.10:

The **conditional cumulative distribution function (conditional cdf)** of a rv X given that $Y = y$ is defined to be

$$F_{X|Y}(x | y) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} P(X \leq x | Y \in (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon])$$

provided that this limit exists. If it does exist, the **conditional probability density function (conditional pdf)** of X given that $Y = y$ is any non-negative function $f_{X|Y}(x | y)$ satisfying

$$F_{X|Y}(x | y) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_{X|Y}(t | y) dt \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

■

Note:

For fixed y , $f_{X|Y}(x | y) \geq 0$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x | y) dx = 1$. So it is really a pdf.

■

Theorem 4.1.11:

Let (X, Y) be a continuous 2-rv with joint pdf $f_{X,Y}$. It holds that at every point (x, y) where f is continuous and the marginal pdf $f_Y(y) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X|Y}(x | y) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{P(X \leq x, Y \in (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon])}{P(Y \in (y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon])} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^x \int_{y-\epsilon}^{y+\epsilon} f_{X,Y}(u, v) dv du}{\frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{y-\epsilon}^{y+\epsilon} f_Y(v) dv} \right) \\ &= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^x f_{X,Y}(u, y) du}{f_Y(y)} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{f_{X,Y}(u, y)}{f_Y(y)} du. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f_{X|Y}(x | y)$ exists and equals $\frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)}$, provided that $f_Y(y) > 0$. Furthermore, since

$$\int_{-\infty}^x f_{X,Y}(u, y) du = f_Y(y) F_{X|Y}(x | y),$$

we get the following marginal cdf of X :

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^x f_{X,Y}(u, y) du \right) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_Y(y) F_{X|Y}(x | y) dy$$

■

Example 4.1.12:

Consider

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2, & 0 < x < y < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We calculate the marginal pdf's $f_X(x)$ and $f_Y(y)$ first:

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y)dy = \int_x^1 2dy = 2(1-x) \text{ for } 0 < x < 1$$

and

$$f_Y(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y)dx = \int_0^y 2dx = 2y \text{ for } 0 < y < 1$$

The conditional pdf's $f_{Y|X}(y | x)$ and $f_{X|Y}(x | y)$ are calculated as follows:

$$f_{Y|X}(y | x) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{2}{2(1-x)} = \frac{1}{1-x} \text{ for } x < y < 1 \text{ (where } 0 < x < 1)$$

and

$$f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)} = \frac{2}{2y} = \frac{1}{y} \text{ for } 0 < x < y \text{ (where } 0 < y < 1)$$

Thus, it holds that $Y | X = x \sim U(x, 1)$ and $X | Y = y \sim U(0, y)$, i.e., both conditional pdf's are related to uniform distributions. ■

4.2 Independent Random Variables

Example 4.2.1: (from Rohatgi, page 119, Example 1)

Let f_1, f_2, f_3 be 3 pdf's with cdf's F_1, F_2, F_3 and let $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Define

$$f_\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2)f_3(x_3) \cdot (1 + \alpha(2F_1(x_1) - 1)(2F_2(x_2) - 1)(2F_3(x_3) - 1)).$$

We can show

- (i) f_α is a pdf for all $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$.
- (ii) $\{f_\alpha : -1 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ all have marginal pdf's f_1, f_2, f_3 .

See book for proof and further discussion — but when do the marginal distributions *uniquely* determine the joint distribution? ■

Definition 4.2.2:

Let $F_{X,Y}(x, y)$ be the joint cdf and $F_X(x)$ and $F_Y(y)$ be the marginal cdf's of a 2-rv (X, Y) . X and Y are **independent** iff

$$F_{X,Y}(x, y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y) \quad \forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

■

Lemma 4.2.3:

If X and Y are independent, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$, and $a < b$ and $c < d$, then

$$P(a < X \leq b, c < Y \leq d) = P(a < X \leq b)P(c < Y \leq d).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} P(a < X \leq b, c < Y \leq d) &= F_{X,Y}(b, d) - F_{X,Y}(a, d) - F_{X,Y}(b, c) + F_{X,Y}(a, c) \\ &= F_X(b)F_Y(d) - F_X(a)F_Y(d) - F_X(b)F_Y(c) + F_X(a)F_Y(c) \\ &= (F_X(b) - F_X(a))(F_Y(d) - F_Y(c)) \\ &= P(a < X \leq b)P(c < Y \leq d) \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 4.2.4:

A collection of rv's X_1, \dots, X_n with joint cdf $F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})$ and marginal cdf's $F_{X_i}(x_i)$ are **mutually (or completely) independent** iff

$$F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_i}(x_i) \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

■

Note:

We often simply say that the rv's X_1, \dots, X_n are **independent** when we really mean that they are mutually independent. ■

Theorem 4.2.5: Factorization Theorem

- (i) A necessary and sufficient condition for discrete rv's X_1, \dots, X_n to be independent is that

$$P(\underline{X} = \underline{x}) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i = x_i) \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}$$

where $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is the countable support of \underline{X} .

- (ii) For an absolutely continuous n-rv $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, X_1, \dots, X_n are independent iff

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i),$$

where $f_{\underline{X}}$ is the joint pdf and f_{X_1}, \dots, f_{X_n} are the marginal pdfs of \underline{X} .

Proof:

- (i) Discrete case:

Let \underline{X} be a random vector whose components are independent random variables of the discrete type with $P(\underline{X} = \underline{b}) > 0$. Lemma 4.2.3 extends to:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\underline{a} \uparrow \underline{b}} P(\underline{a} < \underline{X} \leq \underline{b}) &= \lim_{\substack{a_i \uparrow b_i \\ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}} P(a_1 < X_1 \leq b_1, a_2 < X_2 \leq b_2, \dots, a_n < X_n \leq b_n) \\ &= P(X_1 = b_1, X_2 = b_2, \dots, X_n = b_n) \\ &= P(\underline{X} = \underline{b}) \\ &\stackrel{indep.}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i = b_i) \end{aligned}$$

Before considering the converse factorization of the joint cdf of an n -rv, recall that independence allows each value in the support of a component to combine with each of all possible combinations of the other component values: a 3-dimensional vector where the first component has support $\{x_{1a}, x_{1b}, x_{1c}\}$, the second component has support $\{x_{2a}, x_{2b}, x_{2c}\}$, and the third component has support $\{x_{3a}, x_{3b}, x_{3c}\}$, has $3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27$ points in its support. Due to independence, all these vectors can be arranged into three sets: the first set having x_{1a} , the second set having x_{1b} , and the third set having x_{1c} , with each set having 9 combinations of x_2 and x_3 .

$$\begin{aligned}
&\implies F(x_{1.}, x_{2.}, x_{3.}) \\
&= P(X_1 = x_{1a})F(x_{2.}, x_{3.}) + P(X_1 = x_{1b})F(x_{2.}, x_{3.}) + P(X_1 = x_{1c})F(x_{2.}, x_{3.}) \\
&= F(x_{1.})F(x_{2.}, x_{3.}) \\
&= F(x_{1.})[P(X_2 = x_{2a})F(x_{3.}) + P(X_2 = x_{2b})F(x_{3.}) + P(X_2 = x_{2c})F(x_{3.})] \\
&= F(x_{1.})[P(X_2 = x_{2a}) + P(X_2 = x_{2b}) + P(X_2 = x_{2c})]F(x_{3.}) \\
&= F(x_{1.})F(x_{2.})F(x_{3.})
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, for n dimensions, let $\underline{x}_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in})$, $B = \{\underline{x}_i : x_{i1} \leq x_1, x_{i2} \leq x_2, \dots, x_{in} \leq x_n\}$, $B \in \mathcal{X}$. Then it holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) &= \sum_{\underline{x}_i \in B} P(\underline{X} = \underline{x}_i) \\
&= \sum_{\underline{x}_i \in B} P(X_1 = x_{i1}, X_2 = x_{i2}, \dots, X_n = x_{in}) \\
&\stackrel{indep.}{=} \sum_{\underline{x}_i \in B} P(X_1 = x_{i1})P(X_2 = x_{i2}, \dots, X_n = x_{in}) \\
&= \sum_{x_{i1} \leq x_1, \dots, x_{in} \leq x_n} P(X_1 = x_{i1})P(X_2 = x_{i2}, \dots, X_n = x_{in}) \\
&= \sum_{x_{i1} \leq x_1} P(X_1 = x_{i1}) \sum_{x_{i2} \leq x_2, \dots, x_{in} \leq x_n} P(X_2 = x_{i2}, \dots, X_n = x_{in}) \\
&= F_{X_1}(x_1) \sum_{x_{i2} \leq x_2, \dots, x_{in} \leq x_n} P(X_2 = x_{i2}, \dots, X_n = x_{in}) \\
&\stackrel{indep.}{=} F_{X_1}(x_1) \sum_{x_{i2} \leq x_2} P(X_2 = x_{i2}) \sum_{x_{i3} \leq x_3, \dots, x_{in} \leq x_n} P(X_3 = x_{i3}, \dots, X_n = x_{in}) \\
&= F_{X_1}(x_1)F_{X_2}(x_2) \sum_{x_{i3} \leq x_3, \dots, x_{in} \leq x_n} P(X_3 = x_{i3}, \dots, X_n = x_{in}) \\
&= \dots \\
&= F_{X_1}(x_1)F_{X_2}(x_2) \dots F_{X_n}(x_n) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_i}(x_i)
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Continuous case: Homework

■

Theorem 4.2.6:

X_1, \dots, X_n are independent iff $P(X_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \in A_i) \quad \forall$ Borel sets $A_i \in \mathcal{B}$
(i.e., rv's are independent iff all events involving these rv's are independent).

Proof:

Lemma 4.2.3 and definition of Borel sets. ■

Theorem 4.2.7:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent rv's and g_1, \dots, g_n be Borel-measurable functions. Then $g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2), \dots, g_n(X_n)$ are independent.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{g_1(X_1), g_2(X_2), \dots, g_n(X_n)}(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n) &= P(g_1(X_1) \leq h_1, g_2(X_2) \leq h_2, \dots, g_n(X_n) \leq h_n) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} P(X_1 \in g_1^{-1}((-\infty, h_1]), \dots, X_n \in g_n^{-1}((-\infty, h_n])) \\
&\stackrel{Th. 4.2.6}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \in g_i^{-1}((-\infty, h_i])) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n P(g_i(X_i) \leq h_i) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n F_{g_i(X_i)}(h_i)
\end{aligned}$$

(*) holds since $g_1^{-1}((-\infty, h_1]) \in \mathcal{B}, \dots, g_n^{-1}((-\infty, h_n]) \in \mathcal{B}$ ■

Theorem 4.2.8:

If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, then also every subcollection $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}, \quad k = 2, \dots, n-1, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n,$ is independent. ■

Definition 4.2.9:

A set (or a sequence) of rv's $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is independent iff every finite subcollection is independent. ■

Note:

Recall that X and Y are *identically distributed* iff $F_X(x) = F_Y(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ according to Definition 2.2.5 and Theorem 2.2.6. ■

Definition 4.2.10:

We say that $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a set (or a sequence) of **independent identically distributed (iid)** rv's if $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is independent and all X_n are identically distributed. ■

Note:

Recall that X and Y being identically distributed does not say that $X = Y$ with probability 1. If this happens, we say that X and Y are **equivalent** rv's. ■

Note:

We can also extend the definition of independence to 2 random vectors $\underline{X}^{n \times 1}$ and $\underline{Y}^{n \times 1}$: \underline{X} and \underline{Y} are independent iff $F_{\underline{X}, \underline{Y}}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = F_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})F_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{y}) \quad \forall \underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

This does not mean that the components X_i of \underline{X} or the components Y_i of \underline{Y} are independent. However, it does mean that each pair of components (X_i, Y_i) are independent, any subcollections $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k})$ and $(Y_{j_1}, \dots, Y_{j_l})$ are independent, and any Borel-measurable functions $f(\underline{X})$ and $g(\underline{Y})$ are independent. ■

Corollary 4.2.11: (to Factorization Theorem 4.2.5)

If X and Y are independent rv's, then

$$F_{X|Y}(x | y) = F_X(x) \quad \forall x,$$

and

$$F_{Y|X}(y | x) = F_Y(y) \quad \forall y.$$

■

4.3 Functions of Random Vectors

Theorem 4.3.1:

If X and Y are rv's on $(\Omega, L, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

- (i) $X \pm Y$ is a rv.
- (ii) XY is a rv.
- (iii) If $\{\omega : Y(\omega) = 0\} = \emptyset$, then $\frac{X}{Y}$ is a rv.

■

Theorem 4.3.2:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be rv's on $(\Omega, L, P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$MAX_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} = X_{(n)}$$

by

$$MAX_n(\omega) = \max\{X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)\} \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

and

$$MIN_n = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} = X_{(1)} = -\max\{-X_1, \dots, -X_n\}$$

by

$$MIN_n(\omega) = \min\{X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)\} \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$

Then,

- (i) MIN_n and MAX_n are rv's.
- (ii) If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, then

$$F_{MAX_n}(z) = P(MAX_n \leq z) = P(X_i \leq z \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_{X_i}(z)$$

and

$$F_{MIN_n}(z) = P(MIN_n \leq z) = 1 - P(X_i > z \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - F_{X_i}(z)).$$

- (iii) If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are iid rv's with common cdf F_X , then

$$F_{MAX_n}(z) = F_X^n(z)$$

and

$$F_{MIN_n}(z) = 1 - (1 - F_X(z))^n.$$

If F_X is absolutely continuous with pdf f_X , then the pdfs of MAX_n and MIN_n are

$$f_{MAX_n}(z) = n \cdot F_X^{n-1}(z) \cdot f_X(z)$$

and

$$f_{MIN_n}(z) = n \cdot (1 - F_X(z))^{n-1} \cdot f_X(z)$$

for all continuity points of F_X . ■

Note:

Using Theorem 4.3.2, it is easy to derive the joint cdf and pdf of MAX_n and MIN_n for iid rv's $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. For example, if the X_i 's are iid with cdf F_X and pdf f_X , then the joint pdf of MAX_n and MIN_n is

$$f_{MAX_n, MIN_n}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq y \\ n(n-1) \cdot (F_X(x) - F_X(y))^{n-2} \cdot f_X(x)f_X(y), & x > y \end{cases}$$

However, note that MAX_n and MIN_n are not independent. See Rohatgi, page 129, Corollary, for more details. ■

Note:

The previous transformations are special cases of the following Theorem 4.3.3. ■

Theorem 4.3.3:

If $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a Borel-measurable function (i.e., $\forall B \in \mathcal{B}^m : g^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}^n$) and if $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an n-rv, then $g(\underline{X})$ is an m-rv.

Proof:

If $B \in \mathcal{B}^m$, then $\{\omega : g(\underline{X}(\omega)) \in B\} = \{\omega : \underline{X}(\omega) \in g^{-1}(B)\} \in \mathcal{B}^n$. ■

Question: How do we handle more general transformations of \underline{X} ?

Discrete Case:

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a discrete n-rv and $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the countable support of \underline{X} , i.e., $P(\underline{X} \in \mathcal{X}) = 1$ and $P(\underline{X} = \underline{x}) > 0 \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}$.

Define $u_i = g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), i = 1, \dots, n$ to be 1-to-1-mappings of \mathcal{X} onto B . Let $\underline{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)'$. Then

$$P(\underline{U} = \underline{u}) = P(g_1(\underline{X}) = u_1, \dots, g_n(\underline{X}) = u_n) = P(X_1 = h_1(\underline{u}), \dots, X_n = h_n(\underline{u})) \quad \forall \underline{u} \in B$$

where $x_i = h_i(\underline{u})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is the inverse transformation (and $P(\underline{U} = \underline{u}) = 0 \quad \forall \underline{u} \notin B$).

The joint marginal pmf of any subcollection of u_i 's is now obtained by summing over the other remaining u_j 's.

Example 4.3.4:

Let X, Y be iid $\sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, $0 < p < 1$. Let $U = \frac{X}{Y+1}$ and $V = Y + 1$.

Then $X = UV$ and $Y = V - 1$. So the joint pmf of U, V is

$$\begin{aligned} P(U = u, V = v) &= \binom{n}{uv} p^{uv} (1-p)^{n-uv} \binom{n}{v-1} p^{v-1} (1-p)^{n+1-v} \\ &= \binom{n}{uv} \binom{n}{v-1} p^{uv+v-1} (1-p)^{2n+1-uv-v} \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ and $uv \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. ■

Continuous Case:

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a continuous n-rv with joint cdf $F_{\underline{X}}$ and joint pdf $f_{\underline{X}}$.

Lecture 28:
We 11/01/00

Let

$$\underline{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ \vdots \\ U_n \end{pmatrix} = g(\underline{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\underline{X}) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(\underline{X}) \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., $U_i = g_i(\underline{X})$, be a mapping from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^n .

If $B \in \mathcal{B}^n$, then

$$P(\underline{U} \in B) = P(\underline{X} \in g^{-1}(B)) = \int \cdots \int_{g^{-1}(B)} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d(\underline{x}) = \int \cdots \int_{g^{-1}(B)} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i$$

where $g^{-1}(B) = \{\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(\underline{x}) \in B\}$.

Suppose we define B as the half-infinite n-dimensional interval

$$B_{\underline{u}} = \{(u'_1, \dots, u'_n) : -\infty < u'_i < u_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

for any $\underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the joint cdf of \underline{U} is

$$G(\underline{u}) = P(\underline{U} \in B_{\underline{u}}) = P(g_1(\underline{X}) \leq u_1, \dots, g_n(\underline{X}) \leq u_n) = \int \cdots \int_{g^{-1}(B_{\underline{u}})} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d(\underline{x}).$$

If G happens to be absolutely continuous, the joint pdf of \underline{U} will be given by $f_{\underline{U}}(\underline{u}) = \frac{\partial^n G(\underline{u})}{\partial u_1 \partial u_2 \dots \partial u_n}$ at every continuity point of $f_{\underline{U}}$.

Under certain conditions, we can write $f_{\underline{U}}$ in terms of the original pdf $f_{\underline{X}}$ of \underline{X} as stated in the next Theorem:

Theorem 4.3.5: Multivariate Transformation

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a continuous n-rv with joint pdf $f_{\underline{X}}$.

(i) Let

$$\underline{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ \vdots \\ U_n \end{pmatrix} = g(\underline{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\underline{X}) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(\underline{X}) \end{pmatrix},$$

(i.e., $U_i = g_i(\underline{X})$) be a 1-to-1-mapping from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., there exist inverses h_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $x_i = h_i(\underline{u}) = h_i(u_1, \dots, u_n)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, over the range of the transformation g .

(ii) Assume both g and h are continuous.

(iii) Assume partial derivatives $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial h_i(\underline{u})}{\partial u_j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, exist and are continuous.

(iv) Assume that the Jacobian of the inverse transformation

$$J = \det \left(\frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial(u_1, \dots, u_n)} \right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial u_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial u_n} \end{vmatrix}$$

is different from 0 for all \underline{u} in the range of g .

Then the n-rv $\underline{U} = g(\underline{X})$ has a joint absolutely continuous cdf with corresponding joint pdf

$$f_{\underline{U}}(\underline{u}) = |J| f_{\underline{X}}(h_1(\underline{u}), \dots, h_n(\underline{u})).$$

Proof:

Let $\underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$B_{\underline{u}} = \{(u'_1, \dots, u'_n) : -\infty < u'_i < u_i \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\underline{U}}(\underline{u}) &= \int \cdots \int_{g^{-1}(B_{\underline{u}})} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d(\underline{x}) \\ &= \int \cdots \int_{B_{\underline{u}}} f_{\underline{X}}(h_1(\underline{u}), \dots, h_n(\underline{u})) |J| d(\underline{u}) \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from differentiation of $G_{\underline{U}}$.

For additional steps of the proof see Rohatgi (page 135 and Theorem 17 on page 10) or a book on multivariate calculus. ■

Theorem 4.3.6:

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a continuous n-rv with joint pdf $f_{\underline{X}}$.

(i) Let

$$\underline{U} = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ \vdots \\ U_n \end{pmatrix} = g(\underline{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\underline{X}) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(\underline{X}) \end{pmatrix},$$

(i.e., $U_i = g_i(\underline{X})$) be a mapping from \mathbb{R}^n into \mathbb{R}^n .

(ii) Let $\mathcal{X} = \{\underline{x} : f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) > 0\}$ be the support of \underline{X} .

(iii) Suppose that for each $\underline{u} \in B = \{\underline{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \underline{u} = g(\underline{x}) \text{ for some } \underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}\}$ there is a finite number $k = k(\underline{u})$ of inverses.

(iv) Suppose we can partition \mathcal{X} into $\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_k$ s.t.

(a) $P(X \in \mathcal{X}_0) = 0$.

(b) $\underline{U} = g(\underline{X})$ is a 1-to-1-mapping from \mathcal{X}_l onto B for all $l = 1, \dots, k$, with inverse

transformation $h_l(\underline{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{l1}(\underline{u}) \\ \vdots \\ h_{ln}(\underline{u}) \end{pmatrix}, \underline{u} \in B$, i.e., for each $\underline{u} \in B$, $h_l(\underline{u})$ is the unique $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{X}_l$ such that $\underline{u} = g(\underline{x})$.

(v) Assume partial derivatives $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial h_{li}(\underline{u})}{\partial u_j}$, $l = 1, \dots, k$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, exist and are continuous.

(vi) Assume the Jacobian of each of the inverse transformations

$$J_l = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial u_n} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h_{l1}}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{l1}}{\partial u_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_{ln}}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{ln}}{\partial u_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial h_{l1}}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{l1}}{\partial u_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_{ln}}{\partial u_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{ln}}{\partial u_n} \end{vmatrix}, \quad l = 1, \dots, k,$$

is different from 0 for all \underline{u} in the range of g .

Then the joint pdf of \underline{U} is given by

$$f_{\underline{U}}(\underline{u}) = \sum_{l=1}^k |J_l| f_{\underline{X}}(h_{l1}(\underline{u}), \dots, h_{ln}(\underline{u})).$$

■

Example 4.3.7:

Let X, Y be iid $\sim N(0, 1)$. Define

$$U = g_1(X, Y) = \begin{cases} \frac{X}{Y}, & Y \neq 0 \\ 0, & Y = 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$V = g_2(X, Y) = |Y|.$$

$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$, but U, V are not 1-to-1 mappings since $(U, V)(x, y) = (U, V)(-x, -y)$, i.e., conditions do not apply for the use of Theorem 4.3.5. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_0 &= \{(x, y) : y = 0\} \\ \mathcal{X}_1 &= \{(x, y) : y > 0\} \\ \mathcal{X}_2 &= \{(x, y) : y < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Then $P((X, Y) \in \mathcal{X}_0) = 0$.

Let $B = \{(u, v) : v > 0\} = g(\mathcal{X}_1) = g(\mathcal{X}_2)$.

Inverses:

$$\begin{aligned} B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1 : x &= h_{11}(u, v) = uv \\ y &= h_{12}(u, v) = v \\ B \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2 : x &= h_{21}(u, v) = -uv \\ y &= h_{22}(u, v) = -v \\ J_1 &= \begin{vmatrix} v & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow |J_1| = |v| \\ J_2 &= \begin{vmatrix} -v & -u \\ 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow |J_2| = |v| \\ f_{X,Y}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-x^2/2} e^{-y^2/2} \\ f_{U,V}(u, v) &= |v| \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-(uv)^2/2} e^{-v^2/2} + |v| \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-(-uv)^2/2} e^{-(-v)^2/2} \\ &= \frac{v}{\pi} e^{\frac{-(u^2+1)v^2}{2}}, \quad -\infty < u < \infty, \quad 0 < v < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Marginal:

$$\begin{aligned} f_U(u) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{v}{\pi} e^{\frac{-(u^2+1)v^2}{2}} dv \quad | \quad z = \frac{(u^2+1)v^2}{2}, \quad \frac{dz}{dv} = (u^2+1)v \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\pi(u^2+1)} e^{-z} dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\pi(u^2 + 1)} (-e^{-z}) \Big|_0^\infty \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi(1 + u^2)} , \quad -\infty < u < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the ratio of two iid $N(0, 1)$ rv's is a rv that has a Cauchy distribution. ■

4.4 Order Statistics

Definition 4.4.1:

Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be an n-rv. The k^{th} **order statistic** $X_{(k)}$ is the k^{th} smallest of the X_i 's, i.e., $X_{(1)} = \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, $X_{(2)} = \min\{\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} - X_{(1)}\}$, ..., $X_{(n)} = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$. It is $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$ and $\{X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}\}$ is the set of order statistics for (X_1, \dots, X_n) . ■

Note:

As shown in Theorem 4.3.2, $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$ are rv's. This result will be extended in the following Theorem:

Theorem 4.4.2:

Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be an n-rv. Then the k^{th} order statistic $X_{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, is also an rv. ■

Theorem 4.4.3:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be continuous iid rv's with pdf f_X . The joint pdf of $X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$ is

$$f_{X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i), & x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof:

For the case $n = 3$, look at the following scenario how X_1, X_2 , and X_3 can be possibly ordered to yield $X_{(1)} < X_{(2)} < X_{(3)}$. Columns represent $X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}$, and $X_{(3)}$. Rows represent X_1, X_2 , and X_3 :

$$\begin{array}{lcl} X_1 < X_2 < X_3 & : & \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ \\ X_1 < X_3 < X_2 & : & \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ \\ X_2 < X_1 < X_3 & : & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
X_2 < X_3 < X_1 & : \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\
X_3 < X_1 < X_2 & : \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\
X_3 < X_2 < X_1 & : \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

For $n = 3$, there are $3! = 6$ possible arrangements.

In general, there are $n!$ arrangements of X_1, \dots, X_n for each $(X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)})$. This mapping is not 1-to-1. For each mapping, we have a $n \times n$ matrix J that results from an $n \times n$ identity matrix through the rearrangement of rows. Therefore, $|J| = 1$. By Theorem 4.3.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}}(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n)}) &= n! f_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(x_{(k_1)}, x_{(k_2)}, \dots, x_{(k_n)}) \\
&= n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_{(k_i)}) \\
&= n! \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i)
\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 4.4.4: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be continuous iid rv's with pdf f_X and cdf F_X . Then the following holds:

(i) The marginal pdf of $X_{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, is

$$f_{X_{(k)}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} (F_X(x))^{k-1} (1 - F_X(x))^{n-k} f_X(x).$$

(ii) The joint pdf of $X_{(j)}$ and $X_{(k)}$, $1 \leq j < k \leq n$, is

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{X_{(j)}, X_{(k)}}(x_j, x_k) &= \frac{n!}{(j-1)!(k-j-1)!(n-k)!} \times \\
&\quad (F_X(x_j))^{j-1} (F_X(x_k) - F_X(x_j))^{k-j-1} (1 - F_X(x_k))^{n-k} f_X(x_j) f_X(x_k)
\end{aligned}$$

if $x_j < x_k$ and 0 otherwise.

■

4.5 Multivariate Expectation

In this section, we assume that $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an n-rv and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Borel-measurable function.

Definition 4.5.1:

If $n = 1$, i.e., g is univariate, we define the following:

(i) Let \underline{X} be discrete with joint pmf $p_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = P(X_1 = x_{i_1}, \dots, X_n = x_{i_n})$. If $\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} p_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \cdot |g(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})| < \infty$, we define $E(g(\underline{X})) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} p_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \cdot g(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})$ and this value exists.

(ii) Let \underline{X} be continuous with joint pdf $f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x})$. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(\underline{x})| f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} < \infty$, we define $E(g(\underline{X})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\underline{x}) f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x}$ and this value exists.

■

Note:

The above can be extended to vector-valued functions g ($n > 1$) in the obvious way. For example, if g is the identity mapping from $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$E(\underline{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} E(X_1) \\ \vdots \\ E(X_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_n \end{pmatrix}$$

provided that $E(|X_i|) < \infty \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$.

Similarly, provided that all expectations exist, we get for the variance-covariance matrix:

$$Var(\underline{X}) = \Sigma_{\underline{X}} = E((\underline{X} - E(\underline{X})) (\underline{X} - E(\underline{X}))')$$

with $(i, j)^{th}$ component

$$E((X_i - E(X_i)) (X_j - E(X_j))) = Cov(X_i, X_j)$$

and with $(i, i)^{th}$ component

$$E((X_i - E(X_i)) (X_i - E(X_i))) = Var(X_i) = \sigma_i^2.$$

Joint higher-order moments can be defined similarly when needed.

■

Note:

We are often interested in (weighted) sums of rv's or products of rv's and their expectations. This will be addressed in the next two Theorems. ■

Theorem 4.5.2:

Let $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, be rv's such that $E(|X_i|) < \infty$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and define $S = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$. Then it holds that $E(|S|) < \infty$ and

$$E(S) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i).$$

Proof:

Continuous case only:

$$\begin{aligned} E(|S|) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right| f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \cdot |x_i| f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x_i| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) dx_1 \dots dx_{i-1} dx_{i+1} \dots dx_n \right) dx_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x_i| f_{X_i}(x_i) dx_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| E(|X_i|) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $E(S) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i E(X_i)$ by the same argument without using the absolute values $| \quad |$. ■

Note:

If $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are iid with $E(X_i) = \mu$, then

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} E(X_i) = \mu.$$

■

Theorem 4.5.3:

Let $X_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, be independent rv's such that $E(|X_i|) < \infty$. Let $g_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, be Borel-measurable functions. Then

$$E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n g_i(X_i)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n E(g_i(X_i))$$

if all expectations exist.

Proof:

By Theorem 4.2.5, $f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i)$, and by Theorem 4.2.7, $g_i(X_i), i = 1, \dots, n$, are also independent. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n g_i(X_i)\right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i) f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) d\underline{x} \\ &\stackrel{Th. 4.2.5}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (g_i(x_i) f_{X_i}(x_i)) dx_i \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n f_{X_i}(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i \\ &\stackrel{Th. 4.2.7}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_1(x_1) f_{X_1}(x_1) dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_2(x_2) f_{X_2}(x_2) dx_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_n(x_n) f_{X_n}(x_n) dx_n \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_i(x_i) f_{X_i}(x_i) dx_i \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n E(g_i(X_i)) \end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 4.5.4:

If X, Y are independent, then $Cov(X, Y) = 0$.

■

Theorem 4.5.5:

Two rv's X, Y are independent iff for all pairs of Borel-measurable functions g_1 and g_2 it holds that $E(g_1(X) \cdot g_2(Y)) = E(g_1(X)) \cdot E(g_2(Y))$ if all expectations exist.

Proof:

“ \implies ”: It follows from Theorem 4.5.3 and the independence of X and Y that

$$E(g_1(X)g_2(Y)) = E(g_1(X)) \cdot E(g_2(Y)).$$

“ \impliedby ”: From Theorem 4.2.6, we know that X and Y are independent iff

$$P(X \in A_1, Y \in A_2) = P(X \in A_1) P(Y \in A_2) \quad \forall \text{ Borel sets } A_1 \text{ and } A_2.$$

How do we relate Theorem 4.2.6 to g_1 and g_2 ? Let us define two Borel-measurable functions g_1 and g_2 as:

$$g_1(x) = I_{A_1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A_1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(y) = I_{A_2}(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y \in A_2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$E(g_1(X)) = 0 \cdot P(X \in A_1^c) + 1 \cdot P(X \in A_1) = P(X \in A_1),$$

$$E(g_2(Y)) = 0 \cdot P(Y \in A_2^c) + 1 \cdot P(Y \in A_2) = P(Y \in A_2)$$

and

$$E(g_1(X) \cdot g_2(Y)) = P(X \in A_1, Y \in A_2).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies P(X \in A_1, Y \in A_2) &= E(g_1(X) \cdot g_2(Y)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{given}}{=} E(g_1(X)) \cdot E(g_2(Y)) \\ &= P(X \in A_1)P(Y \in A_2) \end{aligned}$$

$\implies X, Y$ independent by Theorem 4.2.6. ■

Definition 4.5.6:

The $(i_1^{th}, i_2^{th}, \dots, i_n^{th})$ **multi-way moment** of $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is defined as

$$m_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = E(X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n})$$

if it exists.

The $(i_1^{th}, i_2^{th}, \dots, i_n^{th})$ **multi-way central moment** of $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is defined as

$$\mu_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = E\left(\prod_{j=1}^n (X_j - E(X_j))^{i_j}\right)$$

if it exists. ■

Note:

If we set $i_r = i_s = 1$ and $i_j = 0 \ \forall j \neq r, s$ in Definition 4.5.6 for the multi-way central moment, we get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mu & 0 \dots 0 & 1 & 0 \dots 0 & 1 & 0 \dots 0 & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & r & & s & & \end{array} = \mu_{rs} = Cov(X_r, X_s).$$

■

Theorem 4.5.7: Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality

Let X, Y be 2 rv's with finite variance. Then it holds:

- (i) $Cov(X, Y)$ exists.
- (ii) $(E(XY))^2 \leq E(X^2)E(Y^2)$.
- (iii) $(E(XY))^2 = E(X^2)E(Y^2)$ iff there exists an $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ such that $P(\alpha X + \beta Y = 0) = 1$.

Proof:

Assumptions: $Var(X), Var(Y) < \infty$. Then also $E(X^2), E(X), E(Y^2), E(Y) < \infty$.

Result used in proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq (a - b)^2 &= a^2 - 2ab + b^2 \implies ab \leq \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \\ 0 \leq (a + b)^2 &= a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \implies -ab \leq \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \\ \implies |ab| &\leq \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} E(|XY|) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |xy| f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{x^2}{2} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{y^2}{2} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{x^2}{2} f_X(x) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y^2}{2} f_Y(y) dy \\ &= \frac{E(X^2) + E(Y^2)}{2} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies E(XY) \text{ exists}$$

$$\implies Cov(X, Y) = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y) \text{ exists}$$

$$(ii) \quad 0 \leq E((\alpha X + \beta Y)^2) = \alpha^2 E(X^2) + 2\alpha\beta E(XY) + \beta^2 E(Y^2) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \quad (A)$$

If $E(X^2) = 0$, then X has a degenerate 1-point Dirac distribution and the inequality trivially is true. Therefore, we can assume that $E(X^2) > 0$. As (A) is true for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we can choose $\alpha = \frac{-E(XY)}{E(X^2)}, \beta = 1$.

$$\implies \frac{(E(XY))^2}{E(X^2)} - 2 \frac{(E(XY))^2}{E(X^2)} + E(Y^2) \geq 0$$

$$\implies -(E(XY))^2 + E(Y^2)E(X^2) \geq 0$$

$$\implies (E(XY))^2 \leq E(X^2) E(Y^2)$$

(iii) When are the left and right sides of the inequality in (ii) equal?

Assume that $E(X^2) > 0$. $(E(XY))^2 = E(X^2)E(Y^2)$ holds iff $E((\alpha X + \beta Y)^2) = 0$ based on (ii). It is therefore sufficient to show that $E((\alpha X + \beta Y)^2) = 0$ iff $P(\alpha X + \beta Y = 0) = 1$:

“ \implies ”:

Let $Z = \alpha X + \beta Y$. Since $E((\alpha X + \beta Y)^2) = E(Z^2) = \text{Var}(Z) + (E(Z))^2 = 0$ and $\text{Var}(Z) \geq 0$ and $(E(Z))^2 \geq 0$, it follows that $E(Z) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(Z) = 0$.

This means that Z has a degenerate 1-point *Dirac*(0) distribution with $P(Z = 0) = P(\alpha X + \beta Y = 0) = 1$.

“ \impliedby ”:

If $P(\alpha X + \beta Y = 0) = P(Y = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta}X) = 1$ for some $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$, i.e., Y is linearly dependent on X with probability 1, this implies:

$$(E(XY))^2 = (E(X \cdot \frac{-\alpha X}{\beta}))^2 = (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^2 (E(X^2))^2 = E(X^2) (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^2 E(X^2) = E(X^2) E(Y^2)$$

■

4.6 Multivariate Generating Functions

Definition 4.6.1:

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an n-rv. We define the **multivariate moment generating function (mmgf)** of \underline{X} as

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = E(e^{\underline{t}'\underline{X}}) = E\left(\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i\right)\right)$$

if this expectation exists for $|\underline{t}| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2} < h$ for some $h > 0$. ■

Definition 4.6.2:

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an n-rv. We define the **n -dimensional characteristic function** $\Phi_{\underline{X}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of \underline{X} as

$$\Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = E(e^{i\underline{t}'\underline{X}}) = E\left(\exp\left(i\sum_{j=1}^n t_j X_j\right)\right).$$

■

Note:

- (i) $\Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ exists for any real-valued n-rv.
- (ii) If $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ exists, then $\Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = M_{\underline{X}}(i\underline{t})$.

■

Theorem 4.6.3:

- (i) If $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ exists, it is unique and uniquely determines the joint distribution of \underline{X} . $\Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ is also unique and uniquely determines the joint distribution of \underline{X} .
- (ii) $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ (if it exists) and $\Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ uniquely determine all marginal distributions of \underline{X} , i.e., $M_{X_i}(t_i) = M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{0}, t_i, \underline{0})$ and $\Phi_{X_i}(t_i) = \Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{0}, t_i, \underline{0})$.
- (iii) Joint moments of all orders (if they exist) can be obtained as

$$m_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \frac{\partial^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n}}{\partial t_1^{i_1} \partial t_2^{i_2} \dots \partial t_n^{i_n}} M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) \Big|_{\underline{t}=\underline{0}} = E(X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n})$$

if the mmgf exists and

$$m_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \frac{1}{i_1^{i_1} i_2^{i_2} \dots i_n^{i_n}} \frac{\partial^{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_n}}{\partial t_1^{i_1} \partial t_2^{i_2} \dots \partial t_n^{i_n}} \Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{0}) = E(X_1^{i_1} X_2^{i_2} \dots X_n^{i_n}).$$

(iv) X_1, \dots, X_n are independent rv's iff

$$M_{\underline{X}}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = M_{\underline{X}}(t_1, \underline{0}) \cdot M_{\underline{X}}(0, t_2, \underline{0}) \cdot \dots \cdot M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{0}, t_n) \quad \forall t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R},$$

given that $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ exists.

Similarly, X_1, \dots, X_n are independent rv's iff

$$\Phi_{\underline{X}}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \Phi_{\underline{X}}(t_1, \underline{0}) \cdot \Phi_{\underline{X}}(0, t_2, \underline{0}) \cdot \dots \cdot \Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{0}, t_n) \quad \forall t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof:

Rohatgi, page 162: Theorem 7, Corollary, Theorem 8, and Theorem 9 (for mmgf and the case $n = 2$). ■

Theorem 4.6.4:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent rv's.

(i) If mgf's $M_{X_1}(t), \dots, M_{X_n}(t)$ exist, then the mgf of $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$ is

$$M_Y(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(a_i t)$$

on the common interval where all individual mgf's exist.

(ii) The characteristic function of $Y = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X_j$ is

$$\Phi_Y(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n \Phi_{X_j}(a_j t)$$

(iii) If mgf's $M_{X_1}(t), \dots, M_{X_n}(t)$ exist, then the mmgf of \underline{X} is

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t_i)$$

on the common interval where all individual mgf's exist.

(iv) The n-dimensional characteristic function of \underline{X} is

$$\Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = \prod_{j=1}^n \Phi_{X_j}(t_j).$$

Proof:

Homework (parts (ii) and (iv) only) ■

Theorem 4.6.5:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent discrete rv's on the non-negative integers with pgf's $G_{X_1}(s), \dots, G_{X_n}(s)$.

The pgf of $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is

$$G_Y(s) = \prod_{i=1}^n G_{X_i}(s).$$

Proof:

Version 1:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{X_i}(s) &= E(s^{X_i}) \\ G_Y(s) &= E(s^Y) \\ &= E(s^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}) \\ &\stackrel{indep.}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n E(s^{X_i}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n G_{X_i}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Version 2: (case $n = 2$ only)

$$\begin{aligned} G_Y(s) &= P(Y = 0) + P(Y = 1)s + P(Y = 2)s^2 + \dots \\ &= P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 0) + \\ &\quad (P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 0) + P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1))s + \\ &\quad (P(X_1 = 2, X_2 = 0) + P(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1) + P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 2))s^2 + \dots \\ &\stackrel{indep.}{=} P(X_1 = 0)P(X_2 = 0) + \\ &\quad (P(X_1 = 1)P(X_2 = 0) + P(X_1 = 0)P(X_2 = 1))s + \\ &\quad (P(X_1 = 2)P(X_2 = 0) + P(X_1 = 1)P(X_2 = 1) + P(X_1 = 0)P(X_2 = 2))s^2 + \dots \\ &= \left(P(X_1 = 0) + P(X_1 = 1)s + P(X_1 = 2)s^2 + \dots \right) \cdot \\ &\quad \left(P(X_2 = 0) + P(X_2 = 1)s + P(X_2 = 2)s^2 + \dots \right) \\ &= G_{X_1}(s) \cdot G_{X_2}(s) \end{aligned}$$

A generalized proof for $n \geq 3$ needs to be done by induction on n . ■

Theorem 4.6.6:

Let X_1, \dots, X_N be iid discrete rv's on the non-negative integers with common pgf $G_X(s)$. Let N be a discrete rv on the non-negative integers with pgf $G_N(s)$. Let N be independent of the X_i 's. Define $S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$. The pgf of S_N is

$$G_{S_N}(s) = G_N(G_X(s)).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_N = k) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(S_N = k | N = n) \cdot P(N = n) \\ \Rightarrow G_{S_N}(s) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(S_N = k | N = n) \cdot P(N = n) \cdot s^k \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(S_N = k | N = n) \cdot s^k \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(S_n = k) \cdot s^k \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = k\right) \cdot s^k \\ &\stackrel{Th. 4.6.5}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n) \prod_{i=1}^n G_{X_i}(s) \\ &\stackrel{iid}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(N = n) \cdot (G_X(s))^n \\ &= G_N(G_X(s)) \end{aligned}$$

■

Example 4.6.7:

Starting with a single cell at time 0, after one time unit there is probability p that the cell will have split (2 cells), probability q that it will survive without splitting (1 cell), and probability r that it will have died (0 cells). It holds that $p, q, r \geq 0$ and $p + q + r = 1$. Any surviving cells have the same probabilities of splitting or dying. What is the pgf for the # of cells at time 2?

$$\begin{aligned} G_X(s) &= G_N(s) = ps^2 + qs + r \\ G_{S_N}(s) &= p(ps^2 + ps + r)^2 + q(ps^2 + ps + r) + r \end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 4.6.8:

Let X_1, \dots, X_N be iid rv's with common mgf $M_X(t)$. Let N be a discrete rv on the non-negative integers with mgf $M_N(t)$. Let N be independent of the X_i 's. Define $S_N = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$.

The mgf of S_N is

$$M_{S_N}(t) = M_N(\ln M_X(t)).$$

Proof:

Consider the case that the X_i 's are non-negative integers:

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} G_X(s) &= E(s^X) = E(e^{\ln s^X}) = E(e^{(\ln s) \cdot X}) = M_X(\ln s) \\ \implies M_X(s) &= G_X(e^s) \\ \implies M_{S_N}(t) &= G_{S_N}(e^t) \stackrel{Th. 4.6.6}{=} G_N(G_X(e^t)) = G_N(M_X(t)) = M_N(\ln M_X(t)) \end{aligned}$$

In the general case, i.e., if the X_i 's are not non-negative integers, we need results from Section 4.7 (conditional expectation) to proof this Theorem. ■

4.7 Conditional Expectation

In Section 4.1, we established that the conditional pmf of X given $Y = y_j$ (for $P_Y(y_j) > 0$) is a pmf. For continuous rv's X and Y , when $f_Y(y) > 0$, $f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}$, and $f_{X,Y}$ and f_Y are continuous, then $f_{X|Y}(x | y)$ is a pdf and it is the conditional pdf of X given $Y = y$.

Definition 4.7.1:

Let X, Y be rv's on (Ω, L, P) . Let h be a Borel-measurable function. Assume that $E(h(X))$ exists. Then the **conditional expectation** of $h(X)$ given Y , i.e., $E(h(X) | Y)$, is a rv that takes the value $E(h(X) | y)$. It is defined as

$$E(h(X) | y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} h(x)P(X = x | Y = y), & \text{if } (X, Y) \text{ is discrete and } P(Y = y) > 0 \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x)f_{X|Y}(x | y)dx, & \text{if } (X, Y) \text{ is continuous and } f_Y(y) > 0 \end{cases}$$

■

Note:

- (i) The rv $E(h(X) | Y) = g(Y)$ is a function of Y as a rv.
- (ii) The usual properties of expectations apply to the conditional expectation:
 - (a) $E(c | Y) = c \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - (b) $E(aX + b | Y) = aE(X | Y) + b \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - (c) If g_1, g_2 are Borel-measurable functions and if $E(g_1(X)), E(g_2(X))$ exist, then $E(a_1g_1(X) + a_2g_2(X) | Y) = a_1E(g_1(X) | Y) + a_2E(g_2(X) | Y) \quad \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - (d) If $X \geq 0$ then $E(X | Y) \geq 0$.
 - (e) If $X_1 \geq X_2$ then $E(X_1 | Y) \geq E(X_2 | Y)$.
- (iii) Moments are defined in the usual way. If $E(|X|^r) < \infty$, then $E(X^r | Y)$ exists and is the r^{th} conditional moment of X given Y .

■

Example 4.7.2:

Recall Example 4.1.12:

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 2, & 0 < x < y < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The conditional pdf's $f_{Y|X}(y | x)$ and $f_{X|Y}(x | y)$ have been calculated as:

$$f_{Y|X}(y | x) = \frac{1}{1-x} \text{ for } x < y < 1 \text{ (where } 0 < x < 1)$$

and

$$f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{1}{y} \text{ for } 0 < x < y \text{ (where } 0 < y < 1).$$

So,

$$E(X | y) = \int_0^y \frac{x}{y} dx = \frac{y}{2}$$

and

$$E(Y | x) = \int_x^1 \frac{1}{1-x} y dy = \frac{1}{1-x} \frac{y^2}{2} \Big|_x^1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1-x^2}{1-x} = \frac{1+x}{2}.$$

Therefore, we get the rv's $E(X | Y) = \frac{Y}{2}$ and $E(Y | X) = \frac{1+X}{2}$. ■

Theorem 4.7.3:

If $E(h(X))$ exists, then

$$E_Y(E_{X|Y}(h(X) | Y)) = E(h(X)).$$

Proof:

Continuous case only:

$$\begin{aligned} E_Y(E(h(X) | Y)) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E(h(x) | y) f_Y(y) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) f_{X|Y}(x | y) f_Y(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(x) f_X(x) dx \\ &= E(h(X)) \end{aligned}$$
■

Theorem 4.7.4:

If $E(X^2)$ exists, then

$$Var_Y(E(X | Y)) + E_Y(Var(X | Y)) = Var(X).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} Var_Y(E(X | Y)) + E_Y(Var(X | Y)) &= E_Y((E(X | Y))^2 - (E_Y(E(X | Y)))^2) \\ &\quad + E_Y(E(X^2 | Y) - (E(X | Y))^2) \\ &= E_Y((E(X | Y))^2 - (E(X))^2 + E(X^2) - E_Y((E(X | Y))^2)) \\ &= E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 \\ &= Var(X) \end{aligned}$$

■

Note:

If $E(X^2)$ exists, then $Var(X) \geq Var_Y(E(X | Y))$. $Var(X) = Var_Y(E(X | Y))$ iff $X = g(Y)$.

The inequality directly follows from Theorem 4.7.4.

For equality, it is necessary that $E_Y(Var(X | Y)) = E_Y((X - E(X | Y))^2 | Y) = 0$ which holds if $X = E(X | Y) = g(Y)$.

If X, Y are independent, $F_{X|Y}(x | y) = F_X(x) \quad \forall x$.

Thus, if $E(h(X))$ exists, then $E(h(X) | Y) = E(h(X))$.

■

Proof: (of Theorem 4.6.8)

$$\begin{aligned} M_{S_N}(t) &\stackrel{Def.}{=} E(e^{tS_N}) \\ &= E\left(\underbrace{\exp\left(t \sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right)}_{\text{"}h(X)\text{"}}\right) \\ &\stackrel{Th. 4.7.3}{=} E_N\left(E_N\left(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i \mid N\right) \left(\exp\left(t \sum_{i=1}^N X_i\right) \mid N\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

First consider

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i \mid N} \left(\exp(t \sum_{i=1}^N X_i) \mid n \right) &= E_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \left(\exp(t \sum_{i=1}^n X_i) \right) \\
&\stackrel{Th. 4.6.4(i)}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t) \\
&\stackrel{X_i \text{ iid}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n M_X(t) \\
&= (M_X(t))^n \\
\implies M_{S_N}(t) &= E_N \left(\prod_{i=1}^N M_X(t) \right) \\
&= E_N ((M_X(t))^N) \\
&= E_N (\exp(N \ln M_X(t))) \\
&\stackrel{(*)}{=} M_N(\ln M_X(t))
\end{aligned}$$

(*) holds since $M_N(k) = E_N(\exp(N \cdot k))$. ■

4.8 Inequalities and Identities

Lemma 4.8.1:

Let a, b be positive numbers and $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (i.e., $pq = p + q$ and $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$). Then it holds that

$$\frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q \geq ab$$

with equality iff $a^p = b^q$.

Proof:

Fix b . Let

$$\begin{aligned} g(a) &= \frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q - ab \\ \implies g'(a) &= a^{p-1} - b \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\ \implies b &= a^{p-1} \\ \implies b^q &= a^{(p-1)q} = a^p \\ g''(a) &= (p-1)a^{p-2} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g''(a) > 0$, this is really a minimum. The minimum value is obtained for $b = a^{p-1}$ and it is

$$\frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}(a^{p-1})^q - aa^{p-1} = \frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}a^p - a^p = a^p\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1\right) = 0.$$

Since $g''(a) > 0$, the minimum is unique and $g(a) \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$g(a) + ab = \frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q \geq ab.$$

■

Theorem 4.8.2: Hölder's Inequality

Let X, Y be 2 rv's. Let $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ (i.e., $pq = p + q$ and $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$). Then it holds that

$$E(|XY|) \leq (E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} (E(|Y|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Proof:

In Lemma 4.8.1, let

$$a = \frac{|X|}{(E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{|Y|}{(E(|Y|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}}.$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 4.8.1}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{|X|^p}{E(|X|^p)} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{|Y|^q}{E(|Y|^q)} \geq \frac{|XY|}{(E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} (E(|Y|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

Taking expectations on both sides of this inequality, we get

$$1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \geq \frac{E(|XY|)}{(E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} (E(|Y|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}}$$

The result follows immediately when multiplying both sides with $(E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} (E(|Y|^q))^{\frac{1}{q}}$. ■

Note:

Note that Theorem 4.5.7 (ii) (Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality) is a special case of Theorem 4.8.2 with $p = q = 2$. ■

Theorem 4.8.3: Minkowski's Inequality

Let X, Y be 2 rv's. Then it holds for $1 \leq p < \infty$ that

$$(E(|X + Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq (E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} + (E(|Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X + Y|^p) &= E(|X + Y| \cdot |X + Y|^{p-1}) \\ &\leq E((|X| + |Y|) \cdot |X + Y|^{p-1}) \\ &= E(|X| \cdot |X + Y|^{p-1}) + E(|Y| \cdot |X + Y|^{p-1}) \\ &\stackrel{Th. 4.8.2}{\leq} (E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (E(|X + Y|^{p-1})^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\quad + (E(|Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (E(|X + Y|^{p-1})^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad (A) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \left((E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} + (E(|Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \cdot (E(|X + Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

Divide the left and right side of this inequality by $(E(|X + Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{q}}$.

The result on the left side is $(E(|X + Y|^p))^{1 - \frac{1}{q}} = (E(|X + Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}$, and the result on the right side is $(E(|X|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}} + (E(|Y|^p))^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Therefore, Theorem 4.8.3 holds.

In (A), we define $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Therefore, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $(p-1)q = p$.

(*) holds since $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, a condition to meet Hölder's Inequality. ■

Definition 4.8.4:

A function $g(x)$ is **convex** if

$$g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

■

Note:

- (i) Geometrically, a convex function falls above all of its tangent lines. Also, a connecting line between any pairs of points $(x, g(x))$ and $(y, g(y))$ in the 2-dimensional plane always falls above the curve.
- (ii) A function $g(x)$ is **concave** iff $-g(x)$ is convex.

■

Theorem 4.8.5: Jensen's Inequality

Let X be a rv. If $g(x)$ is a convex function, then

$$E(g(X)) \geq g(E(X))$$

given that both expectations exist.

Proof:

Construct a tangent line $l(x)$ to $g(x)$ at the (constant) point $x_0 = E(X)$:

$$l(x) = ax + b \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

The function $g(x)$ is a convex function and falls above the tangent line $l(x)$

$$\implies g(x) \geq ax + b \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\implies E(g(X)) \geq E(ax + b) = aE(X) + b = l(E(X)) \stackrel{\text{tangent point}}{=} g(E(X))$$

Therefore, Theorem 4.8.5 holds.

■

Note:

Typical convex functions g are:

$$(i) \quad g_1(x) = |x| \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(|X|) \geq |E(X)|.$$

$$(ii) \quad g_2(x) = x^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad E(X^2) \geq (E(X))^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Var(X) \geq 0.$$

$$(iii) \quad g_3(x) = \frac{1}{x^p} \text{ for } x > 0, p > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad E\left(\frac{1}{X^p}\right) \geq \frac{1}{(E(X))^p}; \text{ for } p = 1: E\left(\frac{1}{X}\right) \geq \frac{1}{E(X)}$$

$$(iv) \quad \text{Other convex functions are } x^p \text{ for } x > 0, p \geq 1; \theta^x \text{ for } \theta > 1; -\ln(x) \text{ for } x > 0; \text{ etc.}$$

- (v) Recall that if g is convex and differentiable, then $g''(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x$.
- (vi) If the function g is concave, the direction of the inequality in Jensen's Inequality is reversed, i.e., $E(g(X)) \leq g(E(X))$.

Example 4.8.6:

Given the real numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n > 0$, we define

$$\text{arithmetic mean} : a_A = \frac{1}{n}(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$

$$\text{geometric mean} : a_G = (a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$\text{harmonic mean} : a_H = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} \right)} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_i}}$$

Let X be a rv that takes values $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n > 0$ with probability $\frac{1}{n}$ each.

(i) $a_A \geq a_G$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(a_A) &= \ln \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \\ &= \ln(E(X)) \\ &\stackrel{\ln \text{ concave}}{\geq} E(\ln(X)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \ln(a_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(a_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \\ &= \ln \left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right) \\ &= \ln(a_G) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the anti-log of both sides gives $a_A \geq a_G$.

(ii) $a_A \geq a_H$:

$$\frac{1}{a_A} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{E(X)} \\
&\stackrel{1/X \text{ convex}}{\leq} E\left(\frac{1}{X}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{a_i} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{a_H}
\end{aligned}$$

Inverting both sides gives $a_A \geq a_H$.

(iii) $a_G \geq a_H$:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\ln(a_H) &= \ln\left(\frac{1}{a_H}\right) \\
&= \ln\left(\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} \right)\right) \\
&= \ln\left(E\left(\frac{1}{X}\right)\right) \\
&\stackrel{\ln \text{ concave}}{\geq} E\left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{X}\right)\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \ln\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln\left(\frac{1}{a_i}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -\ln a_i \\
&= -\frac{1}{n} \ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i\right) \\
&= -\ln\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \\
&= -\ln a_G
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides with -1 gives $\ln a_H \leq \ln a_G$. Then taking the anti-log of both sides gives $a_H \leq a_G$.

In summary, $a_H \leq a_G \leq a_A$. Note that it would have been sufficient to prove steps (i) and (iii) only to establish this result. However, step (ii) has been included to provide another example how to apply Theorem 4.8.5. ■

Theorem 4.8.7: Covariance Inequality

Let X be a rv with finite mean μ .

- (i) If $g(x)$ is non-decreasing, then

$$E(g(X)(X - \mu)) \geq 0$$

if this expectation exists.

- (ii) If $g(x)$ is non-decreasing and $h(x)$ is non-increasing, then

$$E(g(X)h(X)) \leq E(g(X))E(h(X))$$

if all expectations exist.

- (iii) If $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are both non-decreasing or if $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are both non-increasing, then

$$E(g(X)h(X)) \geq E(g(X))E(h(X))$$

if all expectations exist.

Proof:

Homework ■

5 Particular Distributions

5.1 Multivariate Normal Distributions

Definition 5.1.1:

A rv X has a **(univariate) Normal distribution**, i.e., $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$, iff it has the pdf

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2}.$$

X has a **standard Normal distribution** iff $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma^2 = 1$, i.e., $X \sim N(0, 1)$. ■

Note:

If $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2$.

If $X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$, $X_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, X_1 and X_2 independent, and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$Y = c_1 X_1 + c_2 X_2 \sim N(c_1 \mu_1 + c_2 \mu_2, c_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + c_2^2 \sigma_2^2).$$

■

Definition 5.1.2:

A 2-rv (X, Y) has a **bivariate Normal distribution** iff there exist constants $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}, \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and iid $N(0, 1)$ rv's Z_1 and Z_2 such that

$$X = \mu_1 + a_{11}Z_1 + a_{12}Z_2, \quad Y = \mu_2 + a_{21}Z_1 + a_{22}Z_2.$$

If we define

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we can write

$$\underline{X} = A\underline{Z} + \underline{\mu}.$$

■

Note:

- (i) Recall that for $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, X can be defined as $X = \sigma Z + \mu$, where $Z \sim N(0, 1)$.
- (ii) $E(X) = \mu_1 + a_{11}E(Z_1) + a_{12}E(Z_2) = \mu_1$ and $E(Y) = \mu_2 + a_{21}E(Z_1) + a_{22}E(Z_2) = \mu_2$.
The marginal distributions are $X \sim N(\mu_1, a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2)$ and $Y \sim N(\mu_2, a_{21}^2 + a_{22}^2)$. Thus, X and Y have (univariate) Normal marginal densities or degenerate marginal densities (which correspond to Dirac distributions) if $a_{i1} = a_{i2} = 0$.

- (iii) There exists another (equivalent) formulation of the previous definition using the joint pdf (see Rohatgi, page 227). ■

Example:

Let $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$, $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, X and Y independent.

What is the distribution of $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$?

Since $X \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$, it follows that $X = \mu_1 + \sigma_1 Z_1$, where $Z_1 \sim N(0, 1)$.

Since $Y \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, it follows that $Y = \mu_2 + \sigma_2 Z_2$, where $Z_2 \sim N(0, 1)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since X, Y are independent, it follows by Theorem 4.2.7 that $Z_1 = \frac{X - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}$ and $Z_2 = \frac{Y - \mu_2}{\sigma_2}$ are independent. Thus, Z_1, Z_2 are iid $N(0, 1)$. It follows from Definition 5.1.2 that $\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$ has a bivariate Normal distribution. ■

Theorem 5.1.3:

Define $\underline{g} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ as $\underline{g}(\underline{x}) = C\underline{x} + \underline{d}$. If \underline{X} is a bivariate Normal rv, then $\underline{g}(\underline{X})$ also is a bivariate Normal rv.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{g}(\underline{X}) &= C\underline{X} + \underline{d} \\ &= C(A\underline{Z} + \underline{\mu}) + \underline{d} \\ &= \underbrace{(CA)}_{\text{another matrix}} \underbrace{\underline{Z}}_{\text{another vector}} + \underbrace{(C\underline{\mu} + \underline{d})}_{\text{another vector}} \\ &= \tilde{A}\underline{Z} + \tilde{\underline{\mu}} \quad \text{which represents another bivariate Normal distribution} \end{aligned}$$

Note:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 = \text{Cov}(X, Y) &= \text{Cov}(a_{11}Z_1 + a_{12}Z_2, a_{21}Z_1 + a_{22}Z_2) \\ &= a_{11}a_{21}\text{Cov}(Z_1, Z_1) + (a_{11}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21})\text{Cov}(Z_1, Z_2) + a_{12}a_{22}\text{Cov}(Z_2, Z_2) \\ &= a_{11}a_{21} + a_{12}a_{22} \end{aligned}$$

since Z_1, Z_2 are iid $N(0, 1)$ rv's. ■

Definition 5.1.4:

The **variance-covariance matrix** of (X, Y) is

$$\Sigma = AA' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2 & a_{11}a_{21} + a_{12}a_{22} \\ a_{11}a_{21} + a_{12}a_{22} & a_{21}^2 + a_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

■

Note:

$$\det(\Sigma) = |\Sigma| = \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - \rho^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 = \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2(1 - \rho^2),$$
$$\sqrt{|\Sigma|} = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$$

and

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{|\Sigma|} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2^2 & -\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ -\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_1^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2(1-\rho^2)} & \frac{-\rho}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} \\ \frac{-\rho}{\sigma_1\sigma_2(1-\rho^2)} & \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

■

Theorem 5.1.5:

Assume that $\sigma_1 > 0, \sigma_2 > 0$ and $|\rho| < 1$. Then the joint pdf of $\underline{X} = (X, Y) = A\underline{Z} + \underline{\mu}$ (as defined in Definition 5.1.2) is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{|\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})'\Sigma^{-1}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\left(\frac{x-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 - 2\rho\left(\frac{x-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)\left(\frac{y-\mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right) + \left(\frac{y-\mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^2\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

Since Σ is positive definite and symmetric, A is invertible.

The mapping $\underline{Z} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ is 1-to-1:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{X} &= A\underline{Z} + \underline{\mu} \\ \Rightarrow \underline{Z} &= A^{-1}(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}) \\ J &= |A^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|A|} \\ |A| &= \sqrt{|A|^2} = \sqrt{|A| \cdot |A^T|} = \sqrt{|AA^T|} = \sqrt{|\Sigma|} = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2 - \rho^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2} = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \end{aligned}$$

We can use this result to get to the second line of the theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\underline{Z}}(\underline{z}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z_1^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{z_2^2}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{z}^T \underline{z})} \end{aligned}$$

As already stated, the mapping from \underline{Z} to \underline{X} is 1-to-1, so we can apply Theorem 4.3.5:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{|\underline{\Sigma}|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \underbrace{(A^{-1})^T A^{-1}}_{\Sigma^{-1}}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})\right) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{|\underline{\Sigma}|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})\right) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the 1st line of the Theorem. Step $(*)$ holds since

$$(A^{-1})^T A^{-1} = (A^T)^{-1} A^{-1} = (AA^T)^{-1} = \Sigma^{-1}.$$

The second line of the Theorem is based on the transformations stated in the Note following Definition 5.1.4:

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\left(\left(\frac{x-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2 - 2\rho\left(\frac{x-\mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)\left(\frac{y-\mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right) + \left(\frac{y-\mu_2}{\sigma_2}\right)^2\right)\right)$$

■

Note:

In the situation of Theorem 5.1.5, we say that $(X, Y) \sim N(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \rho)$.

■

Theorem 5.1.6:

If (X, Y) has a non-degenerate $N(\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \rho)$ distribution, then the conditional distribution of X given $Y = y$ is

$$N\left(\mu_1 + \rho\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}(y - \mu_2), \sigma_1^2(1 - \rho^2)\right).$$

Proof:

Homework

■

Example 5.1.7:

Let rv's (X_1, Y_1) be $N(0, 0, 1, 1, 0)$ with pdf $f_1(x, y)$ and (X_2, Y_2) be $N(0, 0, 1, 1, \rho)$ with pdf $f_2(x, y)$. Let (X, Y) be the rv that corresponds to the pdf

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}f_1(x, y) + \frac{1}{2}f_2(x, y).$$

(X, Y) is a bivariate Normal rv iff $\rho = 0$. However, the marginal distributions of X and Y are always $N(0, 1)$ distributions. See also Rohatgi, page 229, Remark 2.

■

Theorem 5.1.8:

The mgf $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t})$ of a bivariate Normal rv $\underline{X} = (X, Y)$ is

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = M_{X,Y}(t_1, t_2) = \exp(\underline{\mu}'\underline{t} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{t}'\Sigma\underline{t}) = \exp\left(\mu_1 t_1 + \mu_2 t_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1^2 t_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 t_2^2 + 2\rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 t_1 t_2)\right).$$

Proof:

The mgf of a univariate Normal rv $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ will be used to develop the mgf of a bivariate Normal rv $\underline{X} = (X, Y)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_X(t) &= E(\exp(tX)) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(tx) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[-2\sigma^2 tx + (x - \mu)^2]\right) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[x^2 - 2\mu x + \mu^2]\right) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[x^2 - 2(\mu + \sigma^2 t)x + (\mu + \sigma^2 t)^2 - (\mu + \sigma^2 t)^2 + \mu^2]\right) dx \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[(\mu + \sigma^2 t)^2 - \mu^2]\right) \underbrace{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[x - (\mu + \sigma^2 t)]^2\right) dx}_{\text{pdf of } N(\mu + \sigma^2 t, \sigma^2), \text{ that integrates to } 1} \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}[-\mu^2 - 2\mu\sigma^2 t - \sigma^4 + \mu^2]\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu\sigma^2 t - \sigma^4}{-2\sigma^2}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Bivariate Normal mgf:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{X,Y}(t_1, t_2) &= E(\exp(t_1 X + t_2 Y)) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(t_1 x + t_2 y) f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(t_1 x) \exp(t_2 y) f_X(x) f_{Y|X}(y | x) dy dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(t_2 y) f_{Y|X}(y | x) dy \right) \exp(t_1 x) f_X(x) dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\stackrel{(A)}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(t_2 y)}{\sigma_2 \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-(y-\beta_X)^2}{2\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)}\right) dy \right) \exp(t_1 x) f_X(x) dx \\
&\quad \quad \quad | \quad \beta_X = \mu_2 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} (x - \mu_1) \\
&\stackrel{(B)}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\beta_X t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)t_2^2\right) \exp(t_1 x) f_X(x) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left([\mu_2 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} (x - \mu_1)]t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)t_2^2 + t_1 x\right) f_X(x) dx \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\mu_2 t_2 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} t_2 x - \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \mu_1 t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)t_2^2 + t_1 x\right) f_X(x) dx \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)t_2^2 + t_2 \mu_2 - \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \mu_1 t_2\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left((t_1 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} t_2)x\right) f_X(x) dx \\
&\stackrel{(C)}{=} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2)t_2^2 + t_2 \mu_2 - \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \mu_1 t_2\right) \cdot \exp\left(\mu_1(t_1 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} t_2) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2(t_1 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} t_2)^2\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2 t_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \sigma_2^2 t_2^2 + \mu_2 t_2 - \mu_1 \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} t_2 + \mu_1 t_1 + \mu_1 \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2 t_1^2 + \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 t_1 t_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \sigma_2^2 t_2^2\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\mu_1 t_1 + \mu_2 t_2 + \frac{\sigma_1^2 t_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 t_2^2 + 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 t_1 t_2}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

(A) follows from Theorem 5.1.6 since $Y | X \sim N(\beta_X, \sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2))$. (B) follows when we apply our calculations of the mgf of a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution to a $N(\beta_X, \sigma_2^2(1-\rho^2))$ distribution. (C) holds since the integral represents $M_X(t_1 + \rho \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} t_2)$. ■

Corollary 5.1.9:

Let (X, Y) be a bivariate Normal rv. X and Y are independent iff $\rho = 0$. ■

Lecture 36:
Mo 11/20/00

Definition 5.1.10:

Let \underline{Z} be a k -rv of k iid $N(0, 1)$ rv's. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ be a $k \times k$ matrix, and let $\underline{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be a k -dimensional vector. Then $\underline{X} = A\underline{Z} + \underline{\mu}$ has a **multivariate Normal distribution** with mean vector $\underline{\mu}$ and variance-covariance matrix $\Sigma = AA'$. ■

Note:

(i) If Σ is non-singular, \underline{X} has the joint pdf

$$f_{\underline{X}}(\underline{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2} (|\Sigma|)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})\right).$$

If Σ is singular, the joint pdf does exist but it cannot be written explicitly.

- (ii) If Σ is singular, then $\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}$ takes values in a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^k with probability 1.
- (iii) If Σ is non-singular, then \underline{X} has mgf

$$M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = \exp(\underline{\mu}'\underline{t} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{t}'\Sigma\underline{t}).$$

- (iv) \underline{X} has characteristic function

$$\Phi_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = \exp(i\underline{\mu}'\underline{t} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{t}'\Sigma\underline{t})$$

(no matter whether Σ is singular or non-singular).

- (v) See Searle, S. R. (1971): “Linear Models”, Chapter 2.7, for more details on singular Normal distributions.

■

Theorem 5.1.11:

The components X_1, \dots, X_k of a normally distributed k -rv \underline{X} are independent iff $Cov(X_i, X_j) = 0 \ \forall i, j = 1, \dots, k, \ i \neq j$.

■

Theorem 5.1.12:

Let $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_k)'$. \underline{X} has a k -dimensional Normal distribution iff every linear function of \underline{X} , i.e., $\underline{X}'\underline{t} = t_1X_1 + t_2X_2 + \dots + t_kX_k$, has a univariate Normal distribution.

Proof:

The Note following Definition 5.1.1 states that any linear function of two Normal rv's has a univariate Normal distribution. By induction on k , we can show that every linear function of \underline{X} , i.e., $\underline{X}'\underline{t}$, has a univariate Normal distribution.

Conversely, if $\underline{X}'\underline{t}$ has a univariate Normal distribution, we know from Theorem 5.1.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\underline{X}'\underline{t}}(s) &= \exp\left(E(\underline{X}'\underline{t}) \cdot s + \frac{1}{2}Var(\underline{X}'\underline{t}) \cdot s^2\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\underline{\mu}'\underline{t}s + \frac{1}{2}\underline{t}'\Sigma\underline{t}s^2\right) \\ \implies M_{\underline{X}'\underline{t}}(1) &= \exp\left(\underline{\mu}'\underline{t} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{t}'\Sigma\underline{t}\right) \\ &= M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the mgf and Note (iii) that follows Definition 5.1.10, \underline{X} has a multivariate Normal distribution.

■

5.2 Exponential Family of Distributions

Definition 5.2.1:

Let ϑ be an interval on the real line. Let $\{f(\cdot; \theta) : \theta \in \vartheta\}$ be a family of pdf's (or pmf's). We assume that the set $\{\underline{x} : f(\underline{x}; \theta) > 0\}$ is independent of θ , where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

We say that the family $\{f(\cdot; \theta) : \theta \in \vartheta\}$ is a **one-parameter exponential family** if there exist real-valued functions $Q(\theta)$ and $D(\theta)$ on ϑ and Borel-measurable functions $T(\underline{X})$ and $S(\underline{X})$ on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$f(\underline{x}; \theta) = \exp(Q(\theta)T(\underline{x}) + D(\theta) + S(\underline{x})).$$

■

Note:

We can also write $f(\underline{x}; \theta)$ as

$$f(\underline{x}; \eta) = h(\underline{x})c(\eta) \exp(\eta T(\underline{x}))$$

where $h(\underline{x}) = \exp(S(\underline{x}))$, $\eta = Q(\theta)$, and $c(\eta) = \exp(D(Q^{-1}(\eta)))$, and call this the **exponential family in canonical form** for a natural parameter η .

■

Definition 5.2.2:

Let $\vartheta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ be a k -dimensional interval. Let $\{f(\cdot; \underline{\theta}) : \underline{\theta} \in \vartheta\}$ be a family of pdf's (or pmf's). We assume that the set $\{\underline{x} : f(\underline{x}; \underline{\theta}) > 0\}$ is independent of $\underline{\theta}$, where $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

We say that the family $\{f(\cdot; \underline{\theta}) : \underline{\theta} \in \vartheta\}$ is a **k -parameter exponential family** if there exist real-valued functions $Q_1(\underline{\theta}), \dots, Q_k(\underline{\theta})$ and $D(\underline{\theta})$ on ϑ and Borel-measurable functions $T_1(\underline{X}), \dots, T_k(\underline{X})$ and $S(\underline{X})$ on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$f(\underline{x}; \underline{\theta}) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^k Q_i(\underline{\theta}) T_i(\underline{x}) + D(\underline{\theta}) + S(\underline{x}) \right).$$

■

Note:

Similar to the Note following Definition 5.2.1, we can express the k -parameter **exponential family in canonical form** for a natural $k \times 1$ parameter vector $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k)'$.

■

Example 5.2.3:

Let $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with both parameters μ and σ^2 unknown. We have:

$$f(x; \underline{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x - \mu)^2\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}x^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}x - \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln(2\pi\sigma^2)\right)$$

$$\underline{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\underline{\vartheta} = \{(\mu, \sigma^2) : \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0\}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\underline{\theta}) &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \\ T_1(x) &= x^2 \\ Q_2(\underline{\theta}) &= \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \\ T_2(x) &= x \\ D(\underline{\theta}) &= -\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln(2\pi\sigma^2) \\ S(x) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, this is a 2-parameter exponential family. ■

6 Limit Theorems

Motivation:

I found this slide from my Stat 250, Section 003, "Introductory Statistics" class (an undergraduate class I taught at George Mason University in Spring 1999):

Central Limit Theorem

If \bar{X} is the mean of a SRS of size n drawn from a population with mean μ and finite standard deviation σ , then

$$\bar{X} \underset{\text{approx}}{\sim} N\left(\mu, \left(\sigma/\sqrt{n}\right)^2\right) \text{ for large } n,$$

i.e., the sample mean \bar{X} is approximately Normal distributed with mean μ and standard deviation σ/\sqrt{n} if n is large.

Note:

i, The central limit theorem is one of the most important theorems in statistics. It justifies working with a Normal distribution for large samples no matter what the underlying true distribution is, given it has a finite standard deviation. And this holds for most practical situations.

ii, A direct conclusion of the Central Limit Theorem is that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ where X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a SRS of size n drawn from a population with mean μ and finite standard deviation σ , it tells that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \underset{\text{approx}}{\sim} N\left(n\mu, \left(\sqrt{n}\sigma\right)^2\right),$$

i.e., the sum of random variables X_i (that all come from the same population with mean μ and standard deviation σ) is approximately Normal distributed with mean $n\mu$ and standard deviation $\sqrt{n}\sigma$ if n is large.

60,

What does this mean at a more theoretical level???

6.1 Modes of Convergence

Definition 6.1.1:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid rv's with common cdf $F_X(x)$. Let $\underline{T} = \underline{T}(\underline{X})$ be any **statistic**, i.e., a Borel-measurable function of \underline{X} that does not involve the population parameter(s) ϑ , defined on the support \mathcal{X} of \underline{X} . The induced probability distribution of $\underline{T}(\underline{X})$ is called the **sampling distribution** of $\underline{T}(\underline{X})$. ■

Note:

- (i) Commonly used statistics are:

$$\text{Sample Mean: } \bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\text{Sample Variance: } S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2$$

Sample Median, Order Statistics, Min, Max, etc.

- (ii) Recall that if X_1, \dots, X_n are iid and if $E(X)$ and $Var(X)$ exist, then $E(\bar{X}_n) = \mu = E(X)$, $E(S_n^2) = \sigma^2 = Var(X)$, and $Var(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$.
- (iii) Recall that if X_1, \dots, X_n are iid and if X has mgf $M_X(t)$ or characteristic function $\Phi_X(t)$ then $M_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = (M_X(\frac{t}{n}))^n$ or $\Phi_{\bar{X}_n}(t) = (\Phi_X(\frac{t}{n}))^n$. ■

Note: Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of rv's on some probability space (Ω, L, P) . Is there any meaning behind the expression $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X$? Not immediately under the usual definitions of limits. We first need to define modes of convergence for rv's and probabilities. ■

Definition 6.1.2:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of rv's with cdf's $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and let X be a rv with cdf F . If $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ at all continuity points of F , we say that X_n **converges in distribution to** X ($X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$) or X_n **converges in law to** X ($X_n \xrightarrow{L} X$), or F_n **converges weakly to** F ($F_n \xrightarrow{w} F$). ■

Example 6.1.3:

Let $X_n \sim N(0, \frac{1}{n})$. Then

$$F_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}nt^2\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n}}} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\sqrt{n}x} \frac{\exp(-\frac{1}{2}s^2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} ds \\
&= \phi(\sqrt{n}x) \\
\Rightarrow F_n(x) &\rightarrow \begin{cases} \phi(\infty) = 1, & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \phi(0) = \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \phi(-\infty) = 0, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If $F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$ the only point of discontinuity is at $x = 0$. Everywhere else, $\phi(\sqrt{n}x) = F_n(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$.

So, $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, where $P(X = 0) = 1$, or $X_n \xrightarrow{d} 0$ since the limiting rv here is degenerate, i.e., it has a Dirac(0) distribution. ■

Example 6.1.4:

In this example, the sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges pointwise to something that is not a cdf:

Let $X_n \sim \text{Dirac}(n)$, i.e., $P(X_n = n) = 1$. Then,

$$F_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < n \\ 1, & x \geq n \end{cases}$$

It is $F_n(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall x$ which is not a cdf. Thus, there is no rv X such that $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. ■

Example 6.1.5:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rv's such that $P(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and $P(X_n = n) = \frac{1}{n}$ and let $X \sim \text{Dirac}(0)$, i.e., $P(X = 0) = 1$.

It is

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n(x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{n}, & 0 \leq x < n \\ 1, & x \geq n \end{cases} \\
F_X(x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ 1, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

It holds that $F_n \xrightarrow{w} F_X$ but

$$E(X_n^k) = n^{k-1} \not\rightarrow E(X^k) = 0.$$

Thus, convergence in distribution does not imply convergence of moments/means. ■

Note:

Convergence in distribution does not say that the X_i 's are close to each other or to X . It only means that their cdf's are (eventually) close to some cdf F . The X_i 's do not even have to be defined on the same probability space. ■

Example 6.1.6:

Let X and $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be iid $N(0, 1)$. Obviously, $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \neq X$. ■

Theorem 6.1.7:

Let X and $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be discrete rv's with support \mathcal{X} and $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, respectively. Define the countable set $A = \mathcal{X} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}_n = \{a_k : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Let $p_k = P(X = a_k)$ and $p_{nk} = P(X_n = a_k)$. Then it holds that $p_{nk} \rightarrow p_k \quad \forall k$ iff $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. ■

Theorem 6.1.8:

Let X and $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be continuous rv's with pdf's f and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, respectively. If $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for almost all x as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. ■

Theorem 6.1.9:

Let X and $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be rv's such that $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Then it holds:

- (i) $X_n + c \xrightarrow{d} X + c$.
- (ii) $cX_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$.
- (iii) If $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow b$, then $a_n X_n + b_n \xrightarrow{d} aX + b$.

Proof:

Part (iii):

Suppose that $a > 0, a_n > 0$. (If $a < 0, a_n < 0$, the result follows via (ii) and $c = -1$.)

Let $Y_n = a_n X_n + b_n$ and $Y = aX + b$. It is

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y < y) = P(aX + b < y) = P(X < \frac{y-b}{a}) = F_X(\frac{y-b}{a}).$$

Likewise,

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = F_{X_n}(\frac{y-b_n}{a_n}).$$

If y is a continuity point of F_Y , $\frac{y-b}{a}$ is a continuity point of F_X . Since $a_n \rightarrow a, b_n \rightarrow b$ and $F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$, it follows that $F_{Y_n}(y) \rightarrow F_Y(y)$ for every continuity point y of F_Y . Thus, $a_n X_n + b_n \xrightarrow{d} aX + b$. ■

Definition 6.1.10:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rv's defined on a probability space (Ω, L, P) . We say that X_n **converges in probability** to a rv X ($X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$, $P\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X$) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = 0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

■

Note:

The following are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = 0 \\ \iff & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon) = 1 \\ \iff & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\{\omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| > \epsilon\}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

If X is degenerate, i.e., $P(X = c) = 1$, we say that X_n is **consistent** for c . For example, let X_n such that $P(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and $P(X_n = 1) = \frac{1}{n}$. Then

$$P(|X_n| > \epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n}, & 0 < \epsilon < 1 \\ 0, & \epsilon \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n| > \epsilon) = 0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$. So $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$, i.e., X_n is consistent for 0. ■

Theorem 6.1.11:

- (i) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \iff X_n - X \xrightarrow{p} 0$.
- (ii) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X, X_n \xrightarrow{p} Y \implies P(X = Y) = 1$.
- (iii) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X, X_m \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n - X_m \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iv) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y \implies X_n \pm Y_n \xrightarrow{p} X \pm Y$.
- (v) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X, k \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a constant} \implies kX_n \xrightarrow{p} kX$.
- (vi) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} k, k \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a constant} \implies X_n^r \xrightarrow{p} k^r \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (vii) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} a, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} b, a, b \in \mathbb{R} \implies X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} ab$.
- (viii) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 1 \implies X_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} 1$.
- (ix) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} a, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} b, a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \implies \frac{X_n}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{a}{b}$.

(x) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X, Y$ an arbitrary rv $\implies X_n Y \xrightarrow{p} XY$.

(xi) $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y \implies X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} XY$.

Proof:

See Rohatgi, page 244–245 for partial proofs. ■

Theorem 6.1.12:

Let $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ and let g be a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . Then $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$.

Proof:

Preconditions:

1.) X rv $\implies \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists k = k(\epsilon) : P(|X| > k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

2.) g is continuous on \mathbb{R}

$\implies g$ is also uniformly continuous on $[-k, k]$ (see Definition of uniformly continuous in Theorem 3.3.3 (iii))

$\implies \exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon, k) : |X| \leq k, |X_n - X| < \delta \Rightarrow |g(X_n) - g(X)| < \epsilon$

Let

$$A = \{|X| \leq k\} = \{\omega : |X(\omega)| \leq k\}$$

$$B = \{|X_n - X| < \delta\} = \{\omega : |X_n(\omega) - X(\omega)| < \delta\}$$

$$C = \{|g(X_n) - g(X)| < \epsilon\} = \{\omega : |g(X_n(\omega)) - g(X(\omega))| < \epsilon\}$$

If $\omega \in A \cap B$

$$\xRightarrow{2.)} \omega \in C$$

$$\implies A \cap B \subseteq C$$

$$\implies C^C \subseteq (A \cap B)^C = A^C \cup B^C$$

$$\implies P(C^C) \leq P(A^C \cup B^C) \leq P(A^C) + P(B^C)$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned} P(|g(X_n) - g(X)| \geq \epsilon) &\leq \underbrace{P(|X| > k)}_{\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ by 1.)}} + \underbrace{P(|X_n - X| \geq \delta)}_{\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for } n \geq n_0(\epsilon, \delta, k) \text{ since } X_n \xrightarrow{p} X} \\ &\leq \epsilon \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0(\epsilon, \delta, k) \end{aligned}$$

■

Corollary 6.1.13:

Let $X_n \xrightarrow{p} c, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and let g be a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . Then $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(c)$. ■

Theorem 6.1.14:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

Proof:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Leftrightarrow P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

It holds:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \leq x - \epsilon) &= P(X \leq x - \epsilon, |X_n - X| \leq \epsilon) + P(X \leq x - \epsilon, |X_n - X| > \epsilon) \\ &\stackrel{(A)}{\leq} P(X_n \leq x) + P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

(A) holds since $X \leq x - \epsilon$ and X_n within ϵ of X , thus $X_n \leq x$.

Similarly, it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n \leq x) &= P(X_n \leq x, |X_n - X| \leq \epsilon) + P(X_n \leq x, |X_n - X| > \epsilon) \\ &\leq P(X \leq x + \epsilon) + P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Combining the 2 inequalities from above gives:

$$P(X \leq x - \epsilon) - \underbrace{P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty} \leq \underbrace{P(X_n \leq x)}_{=F_n(x)} \leq P(X \leq x + \epsilon) + \underbrace{P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon)}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty}$$

Therefore,

$$P(X \leq x - \epsilon) \leq F_n(x) \leq P(X \leq x + \epsilon) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since the cdf's $F_n(\cdot)$ are not necessarily left continuous, we get the following result for $\epsilon \downarrow 0$:

$$P(X < x) \leq F_n(x) \leq P(X \leq x) = F_X(x)$$

Let x be a continuity point of F . Then it holds:

$$F(x) = P(X < x) \leq F_n(x) \leq F(x)$$

$$\implies F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$$

$$\implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

■

Theorem 6.1.15:

Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Then it holds:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} c \iff X_n \xrightarrow{p} c.$$

■

Example 6.1.16:

In this example, we will see that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$$

for some rv X . Let X_n be identically distributed rv's and let (X_n, X) have the following joint distribution:

X_n	0	1	
X			
0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1

Obviously, $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ since all have exactly the same cdf, but for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, it is

$$P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) = P(|X_n - X| = 1) = 1 \quad \forall n,$$

so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \neq 0$. Therefore, $X_n \not\xrightarrow{p} X$. ■

Theorem 6.1.17:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences of rv's and X be a rv defined on a probability space (Ω, L, P) . Then it holds:

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X, |X_n - Y_n| \xrightarrow{p} 0 \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

Proof:

Similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1.14. See also Rohatgi, page 253, Theorem 14. ■

Theorem 6.1.18: Slutsky's Theorem

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences of rv's and X be a rv defined on a probability space (Ω, L, P) . Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a constant. Then it holds:

- (i) $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c \implies X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c.$
- (ii) $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c \implies X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX.$
If $c = 0$, then also $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} 0.$
- (iii) $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c \implies \frac{X_n}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{X}{c}$ if $c \neq 0.$

Lecture 41: We 12/06/00

Proof:

$$(i) \quad Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c \xrightarrow{Th.6.1.11(i)} Y_n - c \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

$$\implies Y_n - c = Y_n + (X_n - X_n) - c = (X_n + Y_n) - (X_n + c) \xrightarrow{p} 0 \quad (A)$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \xrightarrow{Th.6.1.9(i)} X_n + c \xrightarrow{d} X + c \quad (B)$$

Combining (A) and (B), it follows from Theorem 6.1.17:

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$$

(ii) Case $c = 0$:

$\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \forall k > 0$, it is

$$\begin{aligned} P(|X_n Y_n| > \epsilon) &= P(|X_n Y_n| > \epsilon, Y_n \leq \frac{\epsilon}{k}) + P(|X_n Y_n| > \epsilon, Y_n > \frac{\epsilon}{k}) \\ &\leq P(|X_n \frac{\epsilon}{k}| > \epsilon) + P(Y_n > \frac{\epsilon}{k}) \\ &\leq P(|X_n| > k) + P(Y_n > \frac{\epsilon}{k}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$, it follows

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n Y_n| > \epsilon) \leq P(|X_n| > k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

Case $c \neq 0$:

Since $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$, it follows from (ii), case $c = 0$, that $X_n Y_n - c X_n = X_n(Y_n - c) \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c X_n \\ &\xrightarrow{Th.6.1.14} X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c X_n \end{aligned}$$

Since $c X_n \xrightarrow{d} c X$ by Theorem 6.1.9 (ii), it follows from Theorem 6.1.17:

$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c X$$

(iii) Let $Z_n \xrightarrow{p} 1$ and let $Y_n = c Z_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\xrightarrow{c \neq 0} \frac{1}{Y_n} = \frac{1}{Z_n} \cdot \frac{1}{c} \\ &\xrightarrow{Th.6.1.11(v,viii)} \frac{1}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{c} \end{aligned}$$

With part (ii) above, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \text{ and } \frac{1}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{c} \\ &\implies \frac{X_n}{Y_n} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{X}{c} \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 6.1.19:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rv's such that $E(|X_n|^r) < \infty$ for some $r > 0$. We say that X_n **converges in the r^{th} mean** to a rv X ($X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$) if $E(|X|^r) < \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n - X|^r) = 0.$$

■

Example 6.1.20:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rv's defined by $P(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and $P(X_n = 1) = \frac{1}{n}$.

It is $E(|X_n|^r) = \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall r > 0$. Therefore, $X_n \xrightarrow{r} 0 \quad \forall r > 0$.

■

Note:

The special cases $r = 1$ and $r = 2$ are called **convergence in absolute mean** for $r = 1$ ($X_n \xrightarrow{1} X$) and **convergence in mean square** for $r = 2$ ($X_n \xrightarrow{ms} X$ or $X_n \xrightarrow{2} X$). ■

Theorem 6.1.21:

Assume that $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$ for some $r > 0$. Then $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$.

Proof:

Using Markov's Inequality (Corollary 3.5.2), it holds for any $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\frac{E(|X_n - X|^r)}{\epsilon^r} \geq P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon)$$

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n - X|^r) = 0$$

$$\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E(|X_n - X|^r)}{\epsilon^r} = 0$$

$$\implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$$

■

Example 6.1.22:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rv's defined by $P(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n^r}$ and $P(X_n = n) = \frac{1}{n^r}$ for some $r > 0$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, $P(|X_n| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; so $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

For $0 < s < r$, $E(|X_n|^s) = \frac{1}{n^{r-s}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; so $X_n \xrightarrow{s} 0$. But $E(|X_n|^r) = 1 \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; so $X_n \not\xrightarrow{r} 0$. ■

Theorem 6.1.23:

If $X_n \xrightarrow{r} X$, then it holds:

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|^r) = E(|X|^r)$; and
- (ii) $X_n \xrightarrow{s} X$ for $0 < s < r$.

Proof:

- (i) For $0 < r \leq 1$, it holds:

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X_n|^r) &= E(|X_n - X + X|^r) \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} E(|X_n - X|^r + |X|^r) \\ &\implies E(|X_n|^r) - E(|X|^r) \leq E(|X_n - X|^r) \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|^r) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X|^r) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n - X|^r) = 0 \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|^r) \leq E(|X|^r) \quad (A) \end{aligned}$$

(*) holds due to Bronstein/Semendjajew (1986), page 36 (see Handout)

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X|^r) &= E(|X - X_n + X_n|^r) \leq E(|X_n - X|^r + |X_n|^r) \\ &\implies E(|X|^r) - E(|X_n|^r) \leq E(|X_n - X|^r) \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X|^r) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|^r) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n - X|^r) = 0 \\ &\implies E(|X|^r) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|^r) \quad (B) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (A) and (B) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|^r) = E(|X|^r)$$

For $r > 1$, it follows from Minkowski's Inequality (Theorem 4.8.3):

$$\begin{aligned} [E(|X - X_n + X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} &\leq [E(|X - X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} + [E(|X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\implies [E(|X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} - [E(|X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq [E(|X - X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\implies [E(|X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n - X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} = 0 \text{ since } X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \\ &\implies [E(|X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad (C) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} [E(|X_n - X + X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} &\leq [E(|X_n - X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} + [E(|X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n - X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} = 0 \text{ since } X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq [E(|X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad (D)$$

Combining (C) and (D) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} &= [E(|X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n|^r) &= E(|X|^r) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $1 \leq s < r$, it follows from Lyapunov's Inequality (Theorem 3.5.4):

$$\begin{aligned} [E(|X_n - X|^s)]^{\frac{1}{s}} &\leq [E(|X_n - X|^r)]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ \implies E(|X_n - X|^s) &\leq [E(|X_n - X|^r)]^{\frac{s}{r}} \\ \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(|X_n - X|^s) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(|X_n - X|^r)]^{\frac{s}{r}} = 0 \quad \text{since } X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \\ \implies X_n &\xrightarrow{s} X \end{aligned}$$

An additional proof is required for $0 < s < r < 1$.

Lecture 42/1:
Fr 12/08/00

Definition 6.1.24:

Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rv's on (Ω, L, P) . We say that X_n **converges almost surely** to a rv X ($X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$) or X_n **converges with probability 1** to X ($X_n \xrightarrow{w.p.1} X$) or X_n **converges strongly** to X iff

$$P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}) = 1.$$

Note:

An interesting characterization of convergence with probability 1 and convergence in probability can be found in Parzen (1960) "Modern Probability Theory and Its Applications" on page 416 (see Handout).

Example 6.1.25:

Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and P a uniform distribution on Ω . Let $X_n(\omega) = \omega + \omega^n$ and $X(\omega) = \omega$.

For $\omega \in [0, 1)$, $\omega^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in [0, 1)$.

However, for $\omega = 1$, $X_n(1) = 2 \neq 1 = X(1) \quad \forall n$, i.e., convergence fails at $\omega = 1$.

Anyway, since $P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}) = P(\{\omega \in [0, 1)\}) = 1$, it is $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$.

Theorem 6.1.26:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

Proof:

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Find $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon, \delta)$ such that

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon\}\right) \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Since $\bigcap_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon\} \subseteq \{|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon\} \quad \forall n \geq n_0$, it is

$$P(\{|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon\}) \geq P\left(\bigcap_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \{|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon\}\right) \geq 1 - \delta \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Therefore, $P(\{|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon\}) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$. ■

Example 6.1.27:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X:$$

Let $\Omega = (0, 1]$ and P a uniform distribution on Ω .

Define A_n by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= (0, \tfrac{1}{2}], A_2 = (\tfrac{1}{2}, 1] \\ A_3 &= (0, \tfrac{1}{4}], A_4 = (\tfrac{1}{4}, \tfrac{1}{2}], A_5 = (\tfrac{1}{2}, \tfrac{3}{4}], A_6 = (\tfrac{3}{4}, 1] \\ A_7 &= (0, \tfrac{1}{8}], A_8 = (\tfrac{1}{8}, \tfrac{1}{4}], \dots \end{aligned}$$

Let $X_n(\omega) = I_{A_n}(\omega)$.

It is $P(|X_n - 0| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$ since X_n is 0 except on A_n and $P(A_n) \downarrow 0$. Thus $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

But $P(\{\omega : X_n(\omega) \rightarrow 0\}) = 0$ (and not 1) because any ω keeps being in some A_n beyond any n_0 , i.e., $X_n(\omega)$ looks like $0 \dots 010 \dots 010 \dots 010 \dots$, so $X_n \not\xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$. ■

Example 6.1.28:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{r} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X:$$

Let X_n be independent rv's such that $P(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$ and $P(X_n = 1) = \frac{1}{n}$.

It is $E(|X_n - 0|^r) = E(|X_n|^r) = E(|X_n|) = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so $X_n \xrightarrow{r} 0 \quad \forall r > 0$.

But

$$P(X_n = 0 \ \forall m \leq n \leq n_0) = \prod_{n=m}^{n_0} (1 - \frac{1}{n}) = (\frac{m-1}{m})(\frac{m}{m+1})(\frac{m+1}{m+2}) \dots (\frac{n_0-2}{n_0-1})(\frac{n_0-1}{n_0}) = \frac{m-1}{n_0}$$

As $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$, it is $P(X_n = 0 \ \forall m \leq n \leq n_0) \rightarrow 0 \ \forall m$, so $X_n \not\stackrel{q.s.}{\rightarrow} 0$. ■

Example 6.1.29:

$$X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\rightarrow} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \stackrel{r}{\rightarrow} X:$$

Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and P a uniform distribution on Ω .

Let $A_n = [0, \frac{1}{\ln n}]$.

Let $X_n(\omega) = nI_{A_n}(\omega)$ and $X(\omega) = 0$.

It holds that $\forall \omega > 0 \ \exists n_0 : \frac{1}{\ln n_0} < \omega \implies X_n(\omega) = 0 \ \forall n > n_0$ and $P(\omega = 0) = 0$. Thus, $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\rightarrow} 0$.

But $E(|X_n - 0|^r) = \frac{n^r}{\ln n} \rightarrow \infty \ \forall r > 0$, so $X_n \not\stackrel{r}{\rightarrow} X$. ■

6.2 Weak Laws of Large Numbers

Theorem 6.2.1: WLLN: Version I

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of iid rv's with mean $E(X_i) = \mu$ and variance $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$.

Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then it holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) = 0,$$

i.e., $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$.

Proof:

By Markov's Inequality, it holds for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{E((\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{Var(\bar{X}_n)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

■

Note:

For iid rv's with finite variance, \bar{X}_n is consistent for μ .

A more general way to derive a "WLLN" follows in the next Definition. ■

Definition 6.2.2:

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rv's. Let $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. We say that $\{X_i\}$ obeys the WLLN with respect to a sequence of **norming constants** $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $B_i > 0, B_i \uparrow \infty$, if there exists a sequence of **centering constants** $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$B_n^{-1}(T_n - A_n) \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

■

Theorem 6.2.3:

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pairwise uncorrelated rv's with $E(X_i) = \mu_i$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma_i^2$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can choose $A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ and $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$ and get

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2} \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Proof:

By Markov's Inequality, it holds for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i\right| > \epsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2\right) \leq \frac{E\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)\right)^2\right)}{\epsilon^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \blacksquare$$

Note:

To obtain Theorem 6.2.1, we choose $A_n = n\mu$ and $B_n = n\sigma^2$. ■

Theorem 6.2.4:

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of rv's. Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\{X_i\}$ to obey the WLLN with respect to $B_n = n$ is that

$$E\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n^2}{1 + \bar{X}_n^2}\right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof:

Rohatgi, page 258, Theorem 2. ■

Example 6.2.5:

Let (X_1, \dots, X_n) be jointly Normal with $E(X_i) = 0$, $E(X_i^2) = 1$ for all i , and $Cov(X_i, X_j) = \rho$ if $|i - j| = 1$ and $Cov(X_i, X_j) = 0$ if $|i - j| > 1$. Then, $T_n \sim N(0, n + 2(n-1)\rho) = N(0, \sigma^2)$.

It is

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{\bar{X}_n^2}{1 + \bar{X}_n^2}\right) &= E\left(\frac{T_n^2}{n^2 + T_n^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^2}{n^2 + x^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \quad \left| \quad y = \frac{x}{\sigma}, \quad dy = \frac{dx}{\sigma} \right. \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sigma^2 y^2}{n^2 + \sigma^2 y^2} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{(n + 2(n-1)\rho)y^2}{n^2 + (n + 2(n-1)\rho)y^2} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy \\ &\leq \frac{n + 2(n-1)\rho}{n^2} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} y^2 e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy}_{=1, \text{ since Var of } N(0,1) \text{ distribution}} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \implies \bar{X}_n &\xrightarrow{p} 0 \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Note:

We would like to have a WLLN that just depends on means but does not depend on the existence of finite variances. To approach this, we consider the following:

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of rv's. Let $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. We truncate each X_i at $c > 0$ and get

$$X_i^c = \begin{cases} X_i, & |X_i| \leq c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let $T_n^c = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^c$ and $m_n = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i^c)$. ■

Lemma 6.2.6:

For T_n , T_n^c and m_n as defined in the Note above, it holds:

$$P(|T_n - m_n| > \epsilon) \leq P(|T_n^c - m_n| > \epsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_i| > c) \quad \forall \epsilon > 0$$

Proof:

It holds for all $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(|T_n - m_n| > \epsilon) &= P(|T_n - m_n| > \epsilon \text{ and } |X_i| \leq c \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}) + \\ &\quad P(|T_n - m_n| > \epsilon \text{ and } |X_i| > c \text{ for at least one } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} P(|T_n^c - m_n| > \epsilon) + P(|X_i| > c \text{ for at least one } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}) \\ &\leq P(|T_n^c - m_n| > \epsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_i| > c) \end{aligned}$$

(*) holds since $T_n^c = T_n$ when $|X_i| \leq c \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. ■

Note:

If the X_i 's are identically distributed, then

$$P(|T_n - m_n| > \epsilon) \leq P(|T_n^c - m_n| > \epsilon) + nP(|X_1| > c) \quad \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

If the X_i 's are iid, then

$$P(|T_n - m_n| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{nE((X_1^c)^2)}{\epsilon^2} + nP(|X_1| > c) \quad \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad (*).$$

Note that $P(|X_i| > c) = P(|X_1| > c) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ if the X_i 's are identically distributed and that $E((X_i^c)^2) = E((X_1^c)^2) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ if the X_i 's are iid. ■

Theorem 6.2.7: Khintchine's WLLN

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of iid rv's with finite mean $E(X_i) = \mu$. Then it holds:

$$\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n}T_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$$

Proof:

If we take $c = n$ and replace ϵ by $n\epsilon$ in (*) in the Note above, we get

$$P\left(\left|\frac{T_n - m_n}{n}\right| > \epsilon\right) = P(|T_n - m_n| > n\epsilon) \leq \frac{E((X_1^n)^2)}{n\epsilon^2} + nP(|X_1| > n).$$

Since $E(|X_1|) < \infty$, it is $nP(|X_1| > n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Theorem 3.1.9. From Corollary 3.1.12 we know that $E(|X|^\alpha) = \alpha \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1}P(|X| > x)dx$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} E((X_1^n)^2) &= 2 \int_0^n xP(|X_1^n| > x)dx \\ &= 2 \int_0^A xP(|X_1^n| > x)dx + 2 \int_A^n xP(|X_1^n| > x)dx \\ &\stackrel{(+)}{\leq} K + \delta \int_A^n dx \\ &\leq K + n\delta \end{aligned}$$

In (+), A is chosen sufficiently large such that $xP(|X_1^n| > x) < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \forall x \geq A$ for an arbitrary constant $\delta > 0$ and $K > 0$ a constant.

Therefore,

$$\frac{E((X_1^n)^2)}{n\epsilon^2} \leq \frac{K}{n\epsilon^2} + \frac{\delta}{\epsilon^2}$$

Since δ is arbitrary, we can make the right hand side of this last inequality arbitrarily small for sufficiently large n .

Since $E(X_i) = \mu \quad \forall i$, it is $\frac{m_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

Note:

Theorem 6.2.7 meets the previously stated goal of not having a finite variance requirement. ■

Merry Xmas and a Happy New Year!

Index

- σ -Algebra, 2
- σ -Field, 2
- σ -Field, Borel, 4
- σ -Field, Generated, 2
- k -Parameter Exponential Family, 119
- k^{th} Central Moment, 43
- k^{th} Factorial Moment, 66
- k^{th} Moment, 43
- n -Dimensional Characteristic Function, 97
- n -RV, 71

- Absolutely Continuous, 32
- Additivity, Countable, 4
- Arithmetic Mean, 109

- Bayes' Rule, 19
- Binomial Coefficient, 12
- Binomial Theorem, 14
- Bivariate Normal Distribution, 112
- Bivariate RV, 72
- Bochner's Theorem, 60
- Bonferroni's Inequality, 7
- Boole's Inequality, 9
- Borel σ -Field, 4
- Borel Field, 2
- Borel Sets, 4

- Canonical Form, 119
- Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, 95
- CDF, 27
- CDF, Conditional, 75
- CDF, Joint, 71
- CDF, Marginal, 74
- Centering Constants, 135
- Characteristic Function, 57
- Characteristic Function, n -Dimensional, 97
- Chebyshev's Inequality, 68
- Cofinite, 2
- Completely Independent, 20, 77
- Complex Numbers, 56
- Complex Numbers, Conjugate, 56
- Complex-Valued Random Variable, 57
- Concave, 108
- Conditional CDF, 75
- Conditional Cumulative Distribution Function, 75
- Conditional Expectation, 102
- Conditional PDF, 75
- Conditional PMF, 74
- Conditional Probability, 17
- Conditional Probability Density Function, 75
- Conditional Probability Mass Function, 74
- Conjugate Complex Numbers, 56
- Consistent, 125
- Continuity of Sets, 9
- Continuous, 29, 31, 32
- Continuous RV, 73
- Continuous, Absolutely, 32
- Convergence, Almost Sure, 132
- Convergence, In r^{th} Mean, 130
- Convergence, In Absolute Mean, 130
- Convergence, In Distribution, 122
- Convergence, In Law, 122
- Convergence, In Mean Square, 130
- Convergence, In Probability, 125
- Convergence, Strong, 132
- Convergence, Weak, 122
- Convergence, With Probability 1, 132
- Convex, 108
- Countable Additivity, 4
- Counting, Fundamental Theorem of, 11
- Covariance Inequality, 111
- Cumulative Distribution Function, 27
- Cumulative Distribution Function, Joint, 71

- Discrete, 29, 31
- Discrete RV, 72
- Distribution, Sampling, 122

- Equally Likely, 11
- Equivalence, 35
- Equivalent RV, 81
- Euler's Relation, 56
- Event, 1
- Expectation, Conditional, 102
- Expectation, Multivariate, 91
- Expected Value, 42
- Exponential Family in Canonical Form, 119
- Exponential Family, k -Parameter, 119
- Exponential Family, One-Parameter, 119

- Factorial, 12
- Factorization Theorem, 78
- Field, 1
- Fundamental Theorem of Counting, 11

- Geometric Mean, 109

- Hölder's Inequality, 106
- Harmonic Mean, 109

- Identically Distributed, 30
- IID, 81
- Implication, 35
- Inclusion-Exclusion, Principle, 6
- Independent, 19, 77, 78

- Independent Identically Distributed, 81
- Independent, Completely, 20, 77
- Independent, Mutually, 20, 77
- Independent, Pairwise, 19
- Indicator Function, 34
- Induced Probability, 27
- Induction, 6
- Induction Base, 6
- Induction Step, 7
- Inequality, Bonferroni's, 7
- Inequality, Boole's, 9
- Inequality, Cauchy–Schwarz, 95
- Inequality, Chebyshev's, 68
- Inequality, Covariance, 111
- Inequality, Hölder's, 106
- Inequality, Jensen's, 108
- Inequality, Lyapunov's, 69
- Inequality, Markov's, 68
- Inequality, Minkowski's, 107

- Jensen's Inequality, 108
- Joint CDF, 71
- Joint Cumulative Distribution Function, 71
- Joint PDF, 73
- Joint PMF, 72
- Joint Probability Density Function, 73
- Joint Probability Mass Function, 72
- Jump Points, 31

- Khintchine's Weak Law of Large Numbers, 138
- Kolmogorov Axioms of Probability, 4

- L'Hospital's Rule, 52
- Law of Total Probability, 18
- Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, 53
- Leibnitz's Rule, 52
- Levy's Theorem, 60
- Logic, 35
- Lyapunov's Inequality, 69

- Marginal CDF, 74
- Marginal Cumulative Distribution Function, 74
- Marginal PDF, 73
- Marginal PMF, 73
- Marginal Probability Density Function, 73
- Marginal Probability Mass Function, 73
- Markov's Inequality, 68
- MAX, 82
- Mean, 42
- Mean, Arithmetic, 109
- Mean, Geometric, 109
- Mean, Harmonic, 109
- Measurable, 2, 24
- Measurable $L - \mathcal{B}$, 24
- Measurable Functions, 25

- Measurable Space, 4
- MGF, 50
- MIN, 82
- Minkowski's Inequality, 107
- MMGF, 97
- Moivre's Theorem, 56
- Moment Generating Function, 50
- Moment Generating Function, Multivariate, 97
- Moment, k^{th} , 43
- Moment, k^{th} Central, 43
- Moment, k^{th} Factorial, 66
- Moment, Multi-Way, 94
- Moment, Multi-Way Central, 94
- Monotonic, 37
- Multi-Way Central Moment, 94
- Multi-Way Moment, 94
- Multiplication Rule, 18
- Multivariate Expectation, 91
- Multivariate Moment Generating Function, 97
- Multivariate Normal Distribution, 117
- Multivariate RV, 72
- Multivariate Transformation, 85
- Mutually Independent, 20, 77

- Non-Decreasing, 37
- Non-Decreasing, Strictly, 37
- Non-Increasing, 37
- Non-Increasing, Strictly, 37
- Normal Distribution, 112
- Normal Distribution, Bivariate, 112
- Normal Distribution, Multivariate, 117
- Normal Distribution, Standard, 112
- Normal Distribution, Univariate, 112
- Norming Constants, 135

- One-Parameter Exponential Family, 119
- Order Statistic, 89

- Pairwise Independent, 19
- Partition, 18
- PDF, 32
- PDF, Conditional, 75
- PDF, Joint, 73
- PDF, Marginal, 73
- PGF, 66
- PM, 4
- PMF, 31
- PMF, Conditional, 74
- PMF, Joint, 72
- PMF, Marginal, 73
- Power Set, 2
- Principle of Inclusion–Exclusion, 6
- Probability Density Function, 32
- Probability Generating Function, 66
- Probability Mass Function, 31

- Probability Measure, 4
- Probability Space, 4
- Probability, Conditional, 17
- Probability, Induced, 27
- Probability, Total, 18

- Quantifier, 35

- Random Variable, 24
- Random Variable, Complex-Valued, 57
- Random Vector, 24
- Random Vector, n -Dimensional, 71
- RV, 24
- RV, Bivariate, 72
- RV, Continuous, 73
- RV, Discrete, 72
- RV, Equivalent, 81
- RV, Multivariate, 72

- Sample Space, 1
- Sampling Distribution, 122
- Sets, Continuity of, 9
- Singular, 33
- Slutsky's Theorem, 128
- Standard Normal Distribution, 112
- Statistic, 122
- Support, 37

- Total Probability, 18
- Transformation, 36
- Transformation, Multivariate, 85

- Univariate Normal Distribution, 112

- Variance, 43
- Variance-Covariance Matrix, 114

- Weak Law Of Large Numbers, 135
- Weak Law Of Large Numbers, Khintchine's, 138