# Exercise sheet

Lecturer: Georgios P. Karagiannis

georgios.karagiannis@durham.ac.uk

## Part 1. Elements of convex learning problems

**Exercise 1.**  $(\star)$ Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(w) = g(\langle w, x \rangle + y)$  or some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that: If g is convex function then f is convex function.

**Solution.** Let  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $a \in [0, 1]$ . It is

$$\begin{split} f\left(\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v\right) &= g\left(<\alpha u + (1 - \alpha)v, x > + y\right) \\ &= g\left(<\alpha u, x > + < (1 - \alpha)v, x > + y\right) \\ &= g\left(\alpha\left(< u, x > + y\right) + (1 - \alpha)\left(< v, x > + y\right)\right) \qquad y = \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)y \\ &\leq \alpha g\left(< u, x > + y\right) + (1 - \alpha)g\left(< v, x > + y\right) \\ &= \alpha f\left(u\right) + (1 - \alpha)f\left(v\right) \end{split} \tag{$g$ is convex}$$

**Exercise 2.** (\*)Let functions  $g_1$  be  $\rho_1$ -Lipschitz and  $g_2$  be  $\rho_2$ -Lipschitz. Then, show that, f with  $f(x) = g_1(g_2(x))$  is  $\rho_1\rho_2$ -Lipschitz.

Solution.

$$|f(w_1) - f(w_2)| = |g_1(g_2(w_1)) - g_1(g_2(w_2))|$$

$$\leq \rho_1 |g_2(w_1) - g_2(w_2)|$$

$$\leq \rho_1 \rho_2 |w_1 - w_2|$$

**Exercise 3.**  $(\star)$ Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(w) = g(\langle w, x \rangle + y)$   $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\beta$ -smooth function. Then show that f is a  $(\beta ||x||^2)$ -smooth.

**Hint::** You may use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $\langle y, x \rangle \leq ||y|| \, ||x||$ 

$$f(v) = g(\langle w, x \rangle + y)$$

$$\leq g(\langle w, x \rangle + y) + g'(\langle w, x \rangle + y) \langle v - w, x \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} (\langle v - w, x \rangle)^{2} \qquad (g \text{ is smooth})$$

$$\leq g(\langle w, x \rangle + y) + g'(\langle w, x \rangle + y) \langle v - w, x \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} (\|v - w\| \|x\|)^{2} \quad (Cauchy-Schwatz inequality)$$

$$= f(w) + \langle \nabla f(w), v - w \rangle + \frac{\beta \|x\|^{2}}{2} \|v - w\|^{2}$$

**Exercise 4.** (\*)Show that  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\rho$ -Lipschitz over an open convex set S if and only if for all  $w \in S$  and  $v \in \partial f(w)$  it is  $||v|| \le \rho$ .

**Hint:** You may use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $\langle y, x \rangle \leq ||y|| \, ||x||$ 

**Solution.**  $\Longrightarrow$  Let  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  be  $\rho$ -Lipschitz over convex set  $S, w \in S$  and  $v \in \partial f(w)$ .

- Since S is open we get that there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  such as  $u := w + \epsilon \frac{v}{\|v\|}$  where  $u \in S$ . So  $\langle u w, v \rangle = \epsilon \|v\|$  and  $\|u w\| = \epsilon$ .
- From the subgradient definition we get

$$f(u) - f(w) \ge \langle u - w, v \rangle = \epsilon ||v||$$

• From the Lipschitzness of  $f(\cdot)$  we get

$$f(u) - f(w) \le \rho ||u - w|| = \rho \epsilon$$

Therefore  $||v|| \leq \rho$ .

 $\Leftarrow$  It is for all  $w \in S$  and  $v \in \partial f(w)$  it is  $||v|| \leq \rho$ .

• For any  $u \in S$ , it is

$$f\left(w\right)-f\left(u\right)\leq\left\langle v,w-u\right\rangle \qquad \qquad \text{(because }v\in\partial f\left(w\right)\text{)}$$
 (1) 
$$\leq\left\|v\right\|\left\|w-u\right\| \qquad \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality}$$
 
$$\leq\rho\left\|w-u\right\| \qquad \text{because }\left\|v\right\|\leq\rho$$

• Similarly it results  $u, w \in S$ 

$$f(w) - f(u) \le \langle v, u - w \rangle ||v|| \le ||v|| ||u - w|| \le \rho ||u - w||$$

from (1) because w, u can be swaped in (1) as they both are any values in S.

**Exercise 5.** (\*)Let  $g_1(w), ..., g_r(w)$  be r convex functions, and let  $f(\cdot) = \max_{\forall j} (g_j(\cdot))$ . Show that for some w it is  $\nabla g_k(w) \in \partial f(w)$  where  $k = \arg \max_j (g_j(w))$  is the index of function  $g_j(\cdot)$  presenting the greatest value at w.

**Solution.** Since  $g_k$  is convex, for all u

$$g_k(u) \ge g_k(w) + \langle u - w, \nabla g_k(w) \rangle$$

However  $f(u) = \max_{\forall j} (g_j(u)) \ge g_k(u)$  for any j, and  $f(w) = g_k(w)$  at w. Then

$$f(u) \ge g_k(u)$$

$$\ge g_k(w) + \langle u - w, \nabla g_k(w) \rangle$$

$$= f(w) + \langle u - w, \nabla g_k(w) \rangle$$

Then by the definition of the sub-gradient  $\nabla g_k(w) \in \partial f(w)$ 

**Exercise 6.** (\*)Consider the regression learning problem  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Z}, \ell)$  with predictor rule  $h(x) = \langle w, x \rangle$  labeled by some unknown parameter  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , loss function  $\ell(w, (x, y)) = (\langle w, x \rangle - y)^2$ , feature  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , and target  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{X} = \{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\omega| \leq \rho\}$  for some  $\rho > 0$ .

- (1) Show that the resulting learning problem is Convex-Lipschitz-Bounded learning problem.
- (2) Specify the parameters of Lipschitnzess.

**Solution.** According to the definitions given in the lecture:

• Convex-Lipschitz-Bounded Learning Problem  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Z}, \ell)$  with parameters  $\rho$ , and B, is called the learning problem whose the hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  is a convex set, for all  $w \in \mathcal{H}$  it is  $||w|| \leq B$ , and the loss function  $\ell(\cdot, z)$  is convex and  $\rho$ -Lipschitz function for all  $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

I have:

**Convexity:** The function  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $g(a) = a^2$  is convex convex. Eg.  $\frac{d^2}{da^2}g(a) = 1 \ge 0$  is non-negative. The convexity of  $\ell(w, z = (x, y))$  for all z follows as a composition of g with a linear function.

**Lipschitzness:** The function  $g(a) = a^2$  is 1-Lipschitz since It is

$$\left|g\left(a_{2}\right)-g\left(a_{1}\right)\right|=\left|a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}^{2}\right|=\left|\left(a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)\right|\leq2\rho\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)=2\rho\left|a_{2}-a_{1}\right|$$

Hence because  $|x| \le \rho$ , g(a) is  $2\rho^2$ -Lipschitz as a composition.

**Boundness:** The norm of each hypothesis w is bounded by  $\rho$  according to the assumptions. Therefore,

- (1) the learning problem under consideration is a Convex-Lipschitz-Bounded learning problem.
- (2) the parameter of Lipschitzness is  $2\rho^2$ .

**Exercise 7.** (\*) If f is  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and u is a minimizer of f then for any w

$$f(w) - f(u) \ge \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w - u\|^2$$

**Hint::** Use the definition, and set  $\alpha \to 0$ .

Solution.

The following is given as a homework (Formative assessment 1)

**Exercise 8.**  $(\star)$  Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex and  $\beta$ -smooth function.

(1) Show that for  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

$$f(v) - f(w) \in \left(\left\langle \nabla f(w), v - w \right\rangle, \left\langle \nabla f(w), v - w \right\rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| v - w \right\|^2\right)$$

(2) Show that for  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $v = w - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(w)$ , it is

$$\frac{1}{2\beta} \left\| \nabla f\left(w\right) \right\|^{2} \le f\left(w\right) - f\left(v\right)$$

(3) Additionally assume that f(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Show that for  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\|\nabla f(w)\| \le \sqrt{2\beta f(w)}$$

Solution.

(1) If  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is  $\beta$ -smooth then it is

$$f(v) \le f(w) + \langle \nabla f(w), v - w \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v - w\|^{2}$$
$$f(v) - f(w) \le \langle \nabla f(w), v - w \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v - w\|^{2}$$

If it is convex then it is

$$f(v) \ge f(w) + \langle \nabla f(w), v - w \rangle$$
$$f(v) - f(w) \ge \langle \nabla f(w), v - w \rangle$$

Together these conditions imply upper and lower bounds

$$f(v) - f(w) \in \left(\left\langle \nabla f(w), v - w \right\rangle, \left\langle \nabla f(w), v - w \right\rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v - w\|^2 \right)$$

(2) For  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $v = w - \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(w)$ , it is

$$f(v) \leq f(w) + \langle \nabla f(w), v - w \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v - w\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{(due to smoothness)}$$

$$\iff f(w) - f(v) \leq f(w) - f(v)$$

$$\iff \langle \nabla f(w), v - w \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|v - w\|_{2}^{2} \leq f(w) - f(v)$$

$$\iff \left\langle \nabla f(w), \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(w) \right\rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \left\| \frac{1}{\beta} \nabla f(w) \right\|_{2}^{2} \leq f(w) - f(v)$$

$$\iff \frac{1}{2\beta} \|\nabla f(w)\|^{2} \leq f(w) - f(v)$$

$$\|\nabla f(w)\|^{2} \leq 2\beta \left(f(w) - f(v)\right)$$

as 
$$f(\cdot) \ge 0$$

$$\left\|\nabla f\left(w\right)\right\|^{2} \leq 2\beta f\left(w\right)$$

(3) From part 2, this is obvious because f(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , as

$$\|\nabla f(w)\|^{2} \le 2\beta f(w) \Leftrightarrow \|\nabla f(w)\| \le \sqrt{2\beta f(w)}$$

The following is given as a homework (Formative assessment 1)

**Exercise 9.**  $(\star)$ Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex function. Assume that  $w^*$  is a minimizer of f i.e.

$$w^* = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{w} \left\{ f\left(w\right) \right\}$$

Show that for any  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  it holds

$$f(w) - f(w^*) \ge \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w - w^*\|^2$$

**Hint:** Use the definition of  $\lambda$ -strongly convex function, properly rearrange it, and ...

**Solution.** We use the definition of  $\lambda$ -strongly convex function; i.e. for all w, u, and  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  we have

$$f(aw + (1 - \alpha)u) \le af(w) + (1 - \alpha)f(u) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\alpha(1 - \alpha)\|w - u\|^{2} \Leftrightarrow \frac{f(aw + (1 - \alpha)u) - f(u)}{\alpha} \le f(w) + f(u) - \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - \alpha)\|w - u\|^{2}$$

For  $u = w^*$  it is

$$\frac{f(aw + (1 - \alpha)w^*) - f(w^*)}{\alpha} \le f(w) + f(w^*) - \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 - \alpha)\|w - w^*\|^2$$

When  $a \to 0$ 

$$\frac{\lambda}{2}\alpha \left(1 - \alpha\right) \left\|w - w^*\right\|^2 \to 0$$

I know that  $w^*$  is the minimizer of f. So 0 is the minimizer of g with  $g(a) = f(aw + (1 - \alpha)w^*)$  hencewhen  $a \to 0$ 

$$\frac{f\left(aw + (1 - \alpha)w^*\right) - f\left(w^*\right)}{\alpha} \to \left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}g\left(a\right)\right|_{a=0}$$

So

$$0 \le f(w) + f(w^*) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w - w^*\|^2$$

which concludes the proof.

**Exercise 10.** (\*)Show that the function  $J(x;\lambda) = \lambda ||x||^2$  is  $2\lambda$ -strongly convex

**Solution.** We just need to check that for all w, u, and  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  we have

$$J(aw + (1 - \alpha)u; \lambda) \le aJ(w; \lambda) + (1 - \alpha)J(u; \lambda) - \frac{2\lambda}{2}\alpha(1 - \alpha)\|w - u\|^2 \iff \|aw + (1 - \alpha)u\|_2^2 \le a\|w\|_2^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|u\|_2^2 - a(1 - \alpha)\|w - u\|_2^2 \iff 0 \le 0$$

### Part 2. Stochastic learning

Exercise 11.  $(\star)$  Assume a Bayesian model

$$\begin{cases} z_i | w & \stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} f(z_i | w), \ i = 1, ..., n \\ w & \sim f(w) \end{cases}$$

and consider that our objective is the discovery of MAP estimate  $w^*$  i.e.

$$w^* = \arg\min_{\forall w \in \Theta} \left(-\log\left(L_n\left(w\right)\right) - f\left(w\right)\right) = \arg\min_{\forall w \in \Theta} \left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(f\left(z_i|w\right)\right) - \log\left(f\left(w\right)\right)\right)$$

by using SGD with update

$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} + \eta_t \left( \frac{n}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{(t)}} \nabla_w \log \left( f\left(z_j | w^{(t)}\right) \right) + \nabla_w \log \left( f\left(w^{(t)}\right) \right) \right)$$

for some randomly selected set  $\mathcal{J}^{(t)} \subseteq \{1,...,n\}^m$  of m integers from 1 to n via simple random sampling (SRS) with replacement. Show that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{J}^{(t)} \sim \text{simple-random-sampling}} \left( \frac{n}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{(t)}} \nabla_w \log \left( f\left(z_j | w^{(t)}\right) \right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_w \log \left( f\left(z_i | w^{(t)}\right) \right)$$

**Solution.** It is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{J}^{(t)} \sim \mathrm{SRS}} \left( \frac{n}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{(t)}} \nabla_w \log \left( f \left( z_j | w^{(t)} \right) \right) \right) &= \frac{n}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{(t)}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{J}^{(t)} \sim \mathrm{SRS}} \left( \nabla_w \log \left( f \left( z_j | w^{(t)} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{(t)}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{J}^{(t)} \sim \mathrm{SRS}} \left( \nabla_w \log \left( f \left( z_j | w^{(t)} \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{m} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}^{(t)}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_w \log \left( f \left( z_i | w^{(t)} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_w \log \left( f \left( z_i | w^{(t)} \right) \right) \end{split}$$

It is  $E_{\mathcal{J}^{(t)} \sim SRS}\left(\nabla_w \log\left(f\left(z_j|w^{(t)}\right)\right)\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_w \log\left(f\left(z_i|w^{(t)}\right)\right)$  because the expectation is under the probability I get randomly an integer and for the *j*th on the probability is 1/n due to the random scheme. Also  $|\mathcal{J}^{(t)}| = m$ .

**Exercise 12.** (\*) Let  $\{v_t; t = 1, ..., T\}$  be a sequence of vectors. Consider an algorithm producing  $\{w^{(t)}; t = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$  with

$$w^{(1)} = 0$$
$$w^{(t+1)} = w^{(t)} - \eta v_t$$

Show that

(1) it is

$$\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle = \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left\| v_t \right\|^2$$

(2) it is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle = \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2$$

(3) (continue) it is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \le \frac{\|w^*\|^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2$$

### Solution.

(1) It is

$$\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle = \frac{1}{\eta} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, \eta v_t \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t)} - w^* - \eta v_t \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| + \eta^2 \|v_t\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| + \eta^2 \|v_t\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \|v_t\|^2$$

(2) So

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \left\| w^{(1)} - w^* \right\|^2 - \left\| w^{(T+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2$$

(3) So

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \left\| w^{(1)} - w^* \right\|^2 - \left\| w^{(T+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left\| w^{(1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \|w^*\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2 \end{split}$$

**Exercise 13.** (\*) Let  $\{v_t; t = 1, ..., T\}$  be a sequence of vectors. Consider an algorithm producing  $\{w^{(t)}; t = 1, 2, 3, ...\}$  with

$$w^{(1)} = 0$$

$$w^{(t+\frac{1}{2})} = w^{(t)} - \eta v_t$$

$$w^{(t+1)} = \arg\min_{w \in \mathcal{H}} \left( \left\| w - w^{(t+\frac{1}{2})} \right\| \right)$$

for t = 1, ..., T.

Hint: You can use the following Lemma

(**Projection Lemma**): Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a closed convex set and let v be the projection of w onto  $\mathcal{H}$ ,i.e.

$$v = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \in \mathcal{H}} \|x - w\|^2$$

then for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}$  it is

$$||v - u||^2 \le ||w - u||^2$$

Show that

(1) it is

$$\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \le \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \left\| v_t \right\|^2$$

(2) it is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \le \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2$$

(3) (continue) it is

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \le \frac{\|w^*\|^2}{2\eta} + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2$$

Comment: Above we show that Lemma ?? from "Handout ??: Gradient descent" holds even when a projection step is included. Hence, even if a projection step is included after the update step of the recursion of GD algorithm or the SGD algorithm the analysis in Section ?? in "Handout ??: Gradient descent" holds. Hence, even if a projection step is included after the update step of the recursion of SGD algorithm or the SGD algorithm the analysis in Section ?? in "Handout ??: Stochastic gradient descent" holds.

Solution.

(1) It is

$$\left\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\eta} \left\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, \eta v_t \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t)} - w^* - \eta v_t \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| + \eta^2 \|v_t\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+\frac{1}{2})} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| + \eta^2 \|v_t\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+\frac{1}{2})} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \|v_t\|^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \|v_t\|^2$$

because from the Projection Lemma

$$\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 \le \left\| w^{\left(t + \frac{1}{2}\right)} - w^* \right\|^2$$

(2) So

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \right\rangle &\leq \frac{1}{2\eta} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( -\left\| w^{(t+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \left\| w^{(t)} - w^* \right\| \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \left\| w^{(1)} - w^* \right\|^2 - \left\| w^{(T+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \|v_t\|^2 \end{split}$$

(3) So

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \right\rangle \le \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \left\| w^{(1)} - w^* \right\|^2 - \left\| w^{(T+1)} - w^* \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| v_t \right\|^2$$

$$\le \frac{1}{2\eta} \left\| w^{(1)} - w^* \right\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| v_t \right\|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\eta} \left\| w^* \right\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left\| v_t \right\|^2$$

The following is given as a homework (Formative assessment 2)

**Exercise 14.** (\*) <sup>1</sup>Consider the binary classification problem with inputs  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  where  $\mathcal{X} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||x||_2 \le L\}$  for some given value L > 0, target  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  where  $\mathcal{Y} := \{-1, +1\}$ , and prediction

$$\operatorname{sign}(\xi) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \xi < 0 \\ +1, & \text{if } \xi > 0 \end{cases}$$

 $\pm 1$  means either -1 or +1,  $\mathbb{R}_{+}:=(0,+\infty)$ , and  $\left\Vert x\right\Vert _{2}:=\sqrt{\sum_{\forall j}\left(x_{j}\right)^{2}}$  for the Euclidean distance.

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{We}$  use standard notation

rule  $h_w: \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, +1\}$  with

$$(2) h_w(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(w^{\top}x\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j x_j\right)$$

Let the hypothesis class is

(4) 
$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ x \to w^{\top} x : \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}$$

In other words, the hypothesis  $h_w \in \mathcal{H}$  is parametrized by  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , it receives an input vector  $x \in \mathcal{X} := \mathbb{R}^d$  and it returns the label  $y = \text{sign}(w^\top x) \in \mathcal{Y} := \{\pm 1\}$  where

$$\operatorname{sign}(\xi) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \xi < 0\\ +1, & \text{if } \xi > 0 \end{cases}$$

Consider a loss function  $\ell: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$  with

(5) 
$$\ell(w, z = (x, y)) = \max(0, 1 - yw^{\top}x) + \lambda ||w||_{2}^{2}$$

for some given value  $\lambda > 0$ .

Assume there is available a dataset of examples  $S_n = \{z_i = (x_i, y_i); i = 1, ..., n\}$  of size n. Do the following:

(1) Show that the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $f(x) = \max(0, 1 - x)$  is convex in  $\mathbb{R}$ ; and show that the loss (5) is convex.

**Hint:**: You may use Proposition ?? from Handout ??: Elements of convex learning problems.

(2) Show that the loss  $\ell(w, z)$  for  $\lambda = 0$  (5) is L-Lipschitz (with respect to w) when  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  where  $\mathcal{X} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||x||_2 \leq L\}$ .

**Hint::** You may use the definition of Lipschitz function. Without loss of generality, you can consider any  $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $w_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $1 - yw_2^\top x \le 1 - yw_1^\top x$ , and then take cases  $1 - yw_2^\top x > \text{or} < 0$  and  $1 - yw_1^\top x > \text{or} < 0$  to deal with the max.

(3) Construct the set of sub-gradients  $\partial f(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  of the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $f(x) = \max(0, 1 - x)$ . Show that the vector v with

$$v = \begin{cases} 2\lambda w, & yw^{\top}x > 1\\ 2\lambda w, & yw^{\top}x = 1\\ -yx + 2\lambda w, & yw^{\top}x < 1 \end{cases}$$

is  $v \in \partial_w \ell(w, z = (x, y))$ , aka a sub-gradient of  $\ell(w, z = (x, y))$  at w, for any  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

(4) Write down the algorithm of online AdaGrad (Adaptive Stochastic Gradient Descent) with learning rate  $\eta_t > 0$ , batch size m, and termination criterion  $t > T_{\text{max}}$  for some  $T_{\text{max}} > 0$  in

order to discover  $w^*$  such as

(6) 
$$w^* = \arg\min_{\forall w: h_w \in \mathcal{H}} \left( \mathbb{E}_{z \sim g} \left( \ell \left( w, z = (x, y) \right) \right) \right)$$

The formulas in your algorithm should be implemented for the above learning problem and tailored to 2, 4, and 5.

- (5) Use the R code given below in order to generate the dataset of observed examples  $S_n = \{z_i = (x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  that contains  $n = 10^6$  examples with inputs x of dimension d = 2. Consider  $\lambda = 0$ . Use a seed  $w^{(0)} = (0, 0)^{\top}$ .
  - (a) By using appropriate values for m,  $\eta_t$  and  $T_{\text{max}}$ , code in R the algorithm you designed in part 4, and run it.
  - (b) Plot the trace plots for each of the dimensions of the generated chain  $\{w^{(t)}\}$  against the iteration t.
  - (c) Report the value of the output  $w_{\text{adaGrad}}^*$  (any type) of the algorithm as the solution to (6).
  - (d) To which cluster y (i.e., -1 or 1)  $x_{\text{new}} = (1,0)^{\top}$  belongs?

```
# R code. Run it before you run anything else
data_generating_model <- function(n,w) {</pre>
z <- rep( NaN, times=n*3 )
z <- matrix(z, nrow = n, ncol = 3)</pre>
z[,1] \leftarrow rep(1,times=n)
z[,2] \leftarrow runif(n, min = -10, max = 10)
p \leftarrow w[1]*z[,1] + w[2]*z[,2] p \leftarrow exp(p) / (1+exp(p))
z[,3] \leftarrow rbinom(n, size = 1, prob = p)
ind <-(z[,3]==0)
z[ind,3] < -1
x <- z[,1:2]
y <- z[,3]
return(list(z=z, x=x, y=y))
n_obs <- 1000000
w_{true} <- c(-3,4)
set.seed(2023)
out <- data_generating_model(n = n_obs, w = w_true)</pre>
set.seed(0)
z_{obs} \leftarrow out$z #z=(x,y)
x \leftarrow \text{out}
y <- out$y
#z_obs2=z_obs
#z_obs2[z_obs[,3]==-1,3]=0
\#w\_true \leftarrow as.numeric(glm(z\_obs2[,3]^ 1+ z\_obs2[,2],family = "binomial")
)$coefficients)
```

#### Solution.