

Chapter 1

Introduction

This dissertation describes the implementation and evaluation of an activity classifier using accelerometer data captured simultaneously from a smartphone and a smartwatch.

The classifier using data from both sources outperforms a classifier using only smartphone data, and the classifier that uses only smartphone data outperforms a classifier using only smartwatch data.

1.1 Motivation

Wearable devices are set to become the next big technology trend. Wrist-worn wearables, including smartwatches, formed the majority of the 21m wearable devices sold year. Analysts predict the Apple Watch will sell between 20m and 40m in its first nine months [8].

One of the primary appeals of wearables is their ability to sense. Like smartphones before them, smartwatches will enhance the ability to collect data about people. This data is important to consumers, who purchase specialised wearables to measure activity, sleep patterns and caloric intake. The data's research potential is also laudable — Apple's ResearchKit will allow medical researchers to access data about their patients with greater ease than ever before [6].

Accurate activity classification therefore has many academic and commercial applications. To be marketable, activity classification solutions must use current consumer devices. Though rudimentary activity classification is available on Android

smartphones, an approach that utilises simultaneous collection from a smartphone and smartwatch has not been investigated in any detail.

This dissertation details the implementation of accelerometer data collection using current consumer devices (an Android smartphone and Android Wear smartwatch), classifies a user's activities and compares this classification accuracy to using only smartphone data and using only smartwatch data.

1.2 Challenges

This project requires knowledge of a variety of disparate areas in computer science.

Writing software for mobile devices requires knowledge of their paradigms and nuances. Mobile devices are also subject to battery life and computational power constraints and particular care must be taken to build a solution that works in practice. A project that utilises built-in sensors also requires an understanding of the features and limitations of those sensors and good knowledge in the APIs that are provided to access them.

The sensors also output data at a high rate and care must be taken to correctly handle the performance and concurrency issues that may arise. Storage and transfer of large amounts of raw data, especially on a memory-limited device such as a smartwatch, also requires special consideration.

The data processing aspects of the project will require an understanding of digital signal processing, Fourier methods, artificial intelligence and machine learning, and statistics.

1.3 Related Work

Activity classification using accelerometer data from body-mounted devices is an active area of research. I highlight three papers and discuss their similarity to this problem. Summaries of their work are found in Table 1.1.

Bao *et al.* [2] detect physical activities using five biaxial accelerometers worn on different parts of the body: hip, wrist, ankle, arm and thigh. They find that accuracy is not significantly reduced when using just thigh and wrist accelerometers. Furthermore, recognition rates for thigh and wrist data resulted in the highest

recognition accuracy among all pairs of accelerometers, with over a 25% improvement over the best single accelerometer results. This supports the viability of this project, with the improvement of being able to use triaxial accelerometers found in consumer smartphones and smartwatches.

Long *et al.* [5] use a single triaxial accelerometer placed on the wrist and use it to achieve an 80% activity classification accuracy in five activities. However, only 50% of all cycling is correctly classified. Bao *et al.* achieve an accuracy of $> 92\%$ by using thigh and wrist data. This would suggest that wrist data alone is not sufficient to accurately classify certain types of activity. Cycling requires periodic leg motion (pedalling) while the hands and wrists move comparably little. Many of the features of motion used in activity classification require frequency domain analysis, and so data that contains periodic motion will be easier to recognise.

Atallah *et al.* [1] focus on two important facets of accelerometer-based activity classification: sensor location and useful features. Much like Bao *et al.* they use seven sensors on the chest, arm, wrist, waist, knee, ankle and ear. Of their analysed features, the averaged entropy over three axes, the mean of the pairwise cross-covariance of axes and the energy of a 0.2 Hz window around the main frequency divided by total energy are all highlight as being highly ranked for distinguishing activities. However, this study neglects to use a decision tree classifier in its classification, recommend by both Bao *et al.* and Long *et al.*

	Bao <i>et al.</i> [2]	Long <i>et al.</i> [5]	Atallah <i>et al.</i> [1]
Activities	Walking, sitting & relaxing, standing, watching TV, running, stretching, scrubbing, folding laundry, brushing teeth, riding elevator, carrying items, computer work, eating or drinking, reading, bicycling, strength-training, vacuuming, lying down, climbing stairs, riding escalator	Walking, running, cycling, driving, sports	Lying down, preparing food, eating and drinking, socialising, reading, getting dressed, corridor walking, treadmill walking, vacuuming, wiping tables, corridor running, treadmill running, cycling, sitting down and getting up, lying down and getting up
Features	Mean, energy, correlation, entropy	Standard deviation, entropy, orientation variation	Mean, variance, root mean square, entropy, correlation, range, energy, primary frequency, skewness, kurtosis
Classifiers	Decision table, nearest neighbour, decision tree, naive Bayes	Decision tree, principle component analysis, naive Bayes	K-nearest neigh- bors, naive Bayes
Overall accuracy	84%	80%	N/A

Table 1.1: Prior work on accelerometer-based activity classification

Chapter 2

Preparation

This chapter details the work done before the main implementation of the project was started. It details the devices chosen to implement this project and the reasons for choosing them. It then discusses the existing libraries and APIs available for those devices and for the required data processing. Finally I describe software engineering techniques used.

2.1 Requirements analysis

2.2 Introduction to signal processing

The output from any accelerometer is a time-series representing its acceleration. Effectively extracting information from this time-series is central to the success of this project. Knowledge of signal processing is therefore critical.

It is essential to capture as much of the movement as possible. Conversion from continuous physical acceleration to a discrete time-series requires sampling. The Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem states that a signal can be exactly reconstructed from its samples if the sample rate is greater than twice the highest frequency of the signal.

The highest frequency of a physical activity is not well defined. The activities I hope to classify will vary in their periodicity. Some, like walking, will be very periodic, while others will have no period at all. Considering common period activities like cycling and walking, I anticipate that the frequencies that best describe

movement will be present in the 0–5Hz range. Graphs of accelerometer readings for each of the activities I attempt to classify are presented in section ??.

Frequency domain analysis

Much of the analysis of the accelerometer readings will be done in the frequency domain. A time domain signal can be converted into the frequency domain using a Fourier transform.

The discrete Fourier transform of a sequence of N complex numbers f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{N-1} is the sequence F_k , defined by:

$$F_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f_n \cdot e^{-2\pi i k n / N}$$

The power spectral density of a signal describes how power is distributed over different frequencies. One method of estimating the power spectral density is to take the square of the absolute value of the Fourier transform component:

$$PSD_k = \|F_k\|^2$$

Noise and filtering

The readings from the accelerometer are subject to noise, exhibited in figure 2.1, which plots readings from the x, y, and z axes during an hour long recording with the phone laying flat on a table.

Figure 2.2 plots the distribution of the magnitude of the acceleration, where the magnitude $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$. The magnitude, which should be a constant $g \approx 9.81\text{ms}^{-2}$, is subject to normally distributed noise.

Figure 2.3 gives a normal probability plot of the same magnitude data. Points on a normal probability plot should form a straight line if they are normally distributed. The straight line of best fit exhibits a coefficient of determination, R^2 , which is very close to 1 and therefore it is very likely that the noise is normally distributed.

Noise can be reduced with the application of a low-pass filter. A low-pass filter attenuates signals with a higher frequency than some cutoff, such as the noise exhibited in the signal.




Figure 2.1: The x, y and z axis readings from an hour long accelerometer recording of the phone laying flat on a table. The readings contain noise.

2.3 Hardware devices

The success of this project depends partly on correct selection and understanding of the devices used to collect data. The devices are both required to contain accelerometers accessible to developers.

Android devices were chosen as Android Wear was the most mature platform for developing with wearable devices at the time. It runs on the widest variety of devices and provides developer access to its sensors.

2.3.1 Smartphone

The smartphone chosen for development was the Google Nexus 5. Smartphone technology has advanced to the point that many Android smartphones are homogeneous with respect to this project — they all continue sufficient processing power, internal memory and an accelerometer capable of recording data.

The Nexus 5 contains a tri-axial accelerometer capable of recording measurements $\pm 2g$ on each axis, where $g \approx 9.81\text{ms}^{-2}$.




Figure 2.2: Histogram of the magnitude $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ of the accelerometer over a one-hour recording while it lies flat on a table, undisturbed. The magnitude should measure $g = 9.81\text{m s}^{-2}$.




Figure 2.3: Histogram of the magnitude $m = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ of the accelerometer over a one-hour recording while it lies flat on a table, undisturbed. The magnitude should measure $g = 9.81\text{m s}^{-2}$.

2.3.2 Smartwatch

The smartwatch chosen for development was the Samsung Galaxy Gear Live, running Android Wear. It pairs to any device running Android 4.4 or higher and communicates over Bluetooth.

Wearable devices not running Android typically run either Tizen, an open-source but not widely adopted operating system — such as the Samsung Galaxy Gear 2 — or a proprietary operating system that does not allow access to the raw accelerometer data, for example the Jawbone Up.

There is more differentiation in smartwatches as there is in smartphones, with them varying not just in screen size but also in screen format (round or rectangular), battery life, charging facilities and sensors. Table 2.1 presents an overview of possible smartwatch devices.

2.4 Libraries and APIs

This project makes use of existing libraries and APIs for the data collection, data handling and classification aspects of the project. Preliminary investigation into each of these areas was conducted.

2.4.1 Android Sensor API

The Android platform Sensor API is implemented using a publisher-subscriber model. Listeners to a particular sensor must be registered and must implement an `onSensorChanged()` method. The `onSensorChanged()` method is called whenever the sensor reports a new value. A `SensorEvent` object is provided, containing a timestamp at which the data was reported together with the new data.

The rate at which `onSensorChanged()` is called is user-‘suggested’; though it can be specified by the user, it can also be altered by the Android system. In practice, this means that the difference in timestamps not constant but is approximately equal to the specified delay. A histogram of timestamp differences for a particular 1 hour recording is given in figure 2.4.

Android provides both acceleration and linear acceration sensors, related by

$$\text{acceleration} = \text{linear acceleration} + \text{gravity}$$

Device	Samsung Galaxy Gear Live	Samsung Galaxy Gear 2	LG G Watch	Sony Smartwatch 3
Operating System	Android Wear	Tizen	Android Wear	Android Wear
Processor	1.2 GHz single-core Qualcomm Snapdragon 400	1.0 GHz dual-core Exynos 3250	1.2 GHz single-core Qualcomm Snapdragon 400	1.2 GHz quad-core ARM A7
Memory	512 MB RAM	512 MB RAM	512 MB RAM	512 MB RAM
Storage	4 GB	4 GB	4 GB	4 GB
Sensors	Touchscreen, Accelerometer, Gyroscope, Compass, Heart Rate Monitor	Touchscreen, Accelerometer, Gyroscope, Heart Rate Sensor, 2 MP Camera	Touchscreen, Accelerometer, Gyroscope, Compass	Touchscreen, Accelerometer, Gyroscope, Compass
Radios	Bluetooth 4.0 Low Energy	Bluetooth 4.0 Low Energy	Bluetooth 4.0 Low Energy	Bluetooth 4.0 Low Energy, GPS, NFC, Wi-Fi
Battery	300 mAh	300 mAh	400 mAh	420 mAh
Notes		Pairs only with Samsung devices		

Table 2.1: An overview of possible smartwatch devices. The Samsung Galaxy Gear Live was the device eventually chosen.




Figure 2.4: Histogram of the differences in successive timestamps of a one hour accelerometer recording from the Nexus 5 smartphone. The sample rate was set to 50 Hz. 0.02002s accounted for 75% of the differences. Thus the actual sample rate is approximately the user-suggested sample rate.

They each provide a timestamp represented as a long and three float values representing the acceleration of each axis in m s^{-2} at that timestamp. Table 2.2 gives a graphical representation of the data returned.

Curiously, the timestamp returned as part of the data is documented only as “The time in nanosecond at which the event happened” [4]. Further exploration reveals that the timestamp is not defined against any particular zero-base, but rather the time since the device was powered on [3, 7]. The implication of this for the project is that while the timestamp can be relied on for intervals between measurements, it cannot be used between different sets of recordings or across devices.

Timestamp	X acceleration	Y acceleration	Z acceleration
ns	m s^{-2}	m s^{-2}	m s^{-2}
Long	Float	Float	Float
2 bytes	1 byte	1 byte	1 byte

Table 2.2: Data from the accelerometer sensor provided to the `onSensorChanged()` method.

2.4.2 ES Sensor Manager

I explored this but didn't end up using it. Should I write about what it is and why I didn't end up using it?

2.4.3 Android Wear Data API

As discussed in section 2.3.2, the only radio present in the Samsung Galaxy Gear Live is Bluetooth. To transfer any recorded data from the watch, it must first be transferred to the paired smartphone. The Android Wearable Data Layer API allows communication between Android handheld and wearable devices. It provides three methods of communication between devices:

- **Data items** provide data storage with automatic syncing;
- **Messages** are good for remote procedure calls but do not carry data;
- **Asset objects** for sending binary blobs of data.

The data layer synchronises data between the handheld and wearable. To do so, the Wearable Data Layer API requires the registration of a listener service, much like the Sensor API. The listener service listens for data layer events, such as the creation of asset objects or when messages are received.

2.5 Choice of tools

2.5.1 Programming languages

Java was chosen as it is the native programming language used on Android. Although it is possible to write code for Android in programming languages other than Java, for example by using the Java Native Interface, doing so would not benefit the project. Java is taught in Part 1A and Part 1B of the Computer Science Tripos. The Android SDK builds on principles covered in the course but is complicated by having to manage interactions with the Android operating system.

XML is Android's standard markup language. All user-interface components are written in XML. The project includes a user interface to configure and control the recording of data.

Python 3.4 was chosen as the data processing language due to its easy of use and the strength of its data processing, signal processing and machine learning libraries:

- **NumPy** is a scientific computing library and the basis for the other three libraries below.
- **Pandas** provides extensions to NumPy that enable easier processing of time-series data.
- **SciPy** provides signal processing tools and other statistical features.
- **Scikit Learn** provides machine learning classifiers and utilities to work with them.

All of NumPy, SciPy, Pandas and Scikit Learn are open-source and licensed under the BSD license.

2.5.2 Development Environment

Two powerful IDEs, Android Studio and PyCharm were used for the development of the Android app and the Python data pipeline respectively. Android Studio is available for free from Google, while PyCharm is provided free for educational use by JetBrains. Both include advanced debuggers.

Though the Android SDK contains a device emulator, it runs slowly and cannot simulate sensors. Developing the Android apps is therefore done by connecting them to a computer and running new versions of the code. This also enables access to the device's logs from the development environment. I made extensive use of logging to determine that the program was executing as expected.

2.6 Software engineering techniques

2.6.1 Development methodologies

I used a combination of development methodologies for the project. The data collection apps were developed using a waterfall methodology, while the data processing was developed using an Agile methodology.

Waterfall models are excellent when the end goals of the project are known and can be well specified. The goal of the data collection apps can be easily stated:

write apps for the smartphone and smartwatch that will allow user collection of accelerometer data.

The data processing and machine learning elements of the project required an Agile methodology. The goal here is less well defined — classify activities with the greatest accuracy — and the implementation to achieve the goal is far more experimental.

2.6.2 Version Control and Backups

I used three separate Git repositories for the data collection code, the data processing code and the dissertation respectively. The Git repositories were synced to GitHub at each commit. Version control allowed me to follow a *implement-test-commit* pattern when writing code.

GitHub also served as one method of backup. Each GitHub repository is publicly accessible such that I can continue implementation even if my primary development computer crashed and I was also locked out of my GitHub account. In addition, I backed up periodically to Dropbox and to an external hard drive. The external hard drive backup retained old copies of files when they are updated. This gives four replications of my entire project, with two of these able to access previous versions of the code.

2.7 Summary

In this section I presented:

- an overview of digital signal processing;
- information on the smartphone and smartwatch used;
- details of key APIs used including the Android Sensor API and the Android Wear Data API;
- development tools and software engineering techniques.

Bibliography

- [1] Louis Atallah et al. “Sensor placement for activity detection using wearable accelerometers”. In: *Body Sensor Networks (BSN), 2010 International Conference on*. IEEE. 2010, pp. 24–29.
- [2] Ling Bao and Stephen S Intille. “Activity recognition from user-annotated acceleration data”. In: *Pervasive computing*. Springer, 2004, pp. 1–17.
- [3] *Documentation Enhancement: SensorEvent timestamp*. 26th Apr. 2013. URL: <https://code.google.com/p/android/issues/detail?id=7981> (visited on 28/03/2015).
- [4] Google. *SensorEvent — Android Developers*. URL: <http://developer.android.com/reference/android/hardware/SensorEvent.html> (visited on 28/03/2015).
- [5] Xi Long, Bin Yin and Ronald M Aarts. “Single-accelerometer-based daily physical activity classification”. In: *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 2009. EMBC 2009. Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE. 2009, pp. 6107–6110.
- [6] *ResearchKit for Developers*. 23rd Mar. 2015. URL: <https://developer.apple.com/researchkit/>.
- [7] *SensorEvent timestamp field incorrectly populated on Nexus 4 devices*. 13th June 2013. URL: <https://code.google.com/p/android/issues/detail?id=56561> (visited on 28/03/2015).
- [8] “Wearable technology: The wear, why and how”. In: *The Economist* (14th Mar. 2015). URL: <http://www.economist.com/news/business/21646225-smartwatches-and-other-wearable-devices-become-mainstream-products-will-take-more>.