


Microbiome datasets are
compositional: and this is
not optional

Greg Gloor

Dep't of Biochemistry
University of Western Ontario

We need to talk more ...



'Donalds' give the best quotes

- There are known knowns.
These are things we know that we know.
- There are known unknowns.
That is to say, there are things that we know we don't know.
- But there are also unknown unknowns. There are things we don't know we don't know.
- **There are also things we think we know that we don't know**



HWHAP

HOUSTON WE HAVE A PROBLEM

- Sequencing data are high-dimensional
 - Therefore statistical analyses can be wildly optimistic
- Sequencing data are sparse
 - Therefore we need to estimate many of our values
- Sequencing data are constant sum
 - Therefore we have compositional data

The biggest problem is -


- Sequencing data are high-dimensional
 - Therefore statistical analyses can be wildly optimistic
- Sequencing data are sparse
 - Therefore we need to estimate many of our values
- Sequencing data are constant sum
 - everything correlates with everything
- **Most of us are unaware of the problems**

Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome


- It has been drawn to our attention that the **methods** described in the main text and the Supplementary Information of this Article have been **considered by some researchers to be insufficient to enable them to identify enterotypes** in their own data sets. Enterotypes were originally defined in this Article (page 177) as “densely populated areas in a multi-dimensional space of community composition” and should not be seen as discrete clusters, but as a way of stratifying samples to reduce complexity. Additionally, the Fig. 2 legend should not imply that between-class analysis is simply a method of visualizing principal component analysis (PCA); rather, it is a supervised rather than an unsupervised analysis of data because it incorporates the outcome of clustering of data. **To simplify enterotype identification in the original and other data sets, we have developed a comprehensive tutorial** at <http://enterotype.embl.de>—which is a website on enterotypes that will be updated as methods improve. We thank Ivica Letunic and Paul Costea from EMBL for setting up the tutorial.

Arumugam, Nature 2011 *Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome*

Let's be clear




vs.



An ‘enterotype’ is not the community

<http://enterotype.embl.de/enterotypes.html>



Following their recipe exactly, we can reproduce the result

But ...

Dropping one or more taxa
shows that the conclusions are
very fragile

Can draw three groups even if
only two present


Even changing how we normalize
the data affects the result

So are enterotypes real? Or an artifact
of the analysis?



Interpreting *Prevotella* and *Bacteroides* as biomarkers of diet and lifestyle

Anastassia Gorvitovskaya¹, Susan P. Holmes^{2*} and Susan M. Huse³



Autism


Microbiota Modulate Behavioral and Physiological Abnormalities Associated with Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Hsiao ... Mazmanian. Cell 155:1451 (2013)

708 citations, top 1% of all social media attention ever

Neurodevelopmental disorders, including **autism spectrum disorder (ASD)**, are defined by core behavioral impairments; however, **subsets of individuals display a spectrum of gastrointestinal (GI) abnormalities**. We demonstrate GI barrier defects and micro- biota alterations in the maternal immune activation (MIA) mouse model that is known to display features of ASD. **Oral treatment of MIA offspring with the human commensal *Bacteroides fragilis*** corrects gut permeability, **alters microbial composition**, and ameliorates defects in communicative, stereotypic, anxiety-like and sensorimotor behaviors. MIA offspring display an altered serum metabolomic profile, and *B. fragilis* modulates levels of several metabolites. Treating naive mice with a metabolite that is increased by MIA and restored by *B. fragilis* causes certain behavioral abnormalities, suggesting that gut bacterial effects on the host metabolome impact behavior. Taken together, these findings support a gut-microbiome-brain connection in a mouse model of ASD and identify a potential probiotic therapy for GI and particular behavioral symptoms in human neurodevelopmental disorders.

Bf did not change the microbiota!




No significant differences are observed following *B. fragilis* treatment of MIA offspring by PCoA (ANOSIM R = 0.0060 p = 0.4470; Table S3), in microbiota richness (PD: p = 0.2980, Observed Species: p = 0.5440) and evenness (Gini: p = 0.6110, Simpson Evenness: p = 0.5600; Figures 4A, S2A and S2B), or in relative abundance at the class level (Figure S2C). However, evaluation of key OTUs that discriminate adult MIA offspring from controls reveals that *B. fragilis* treatment significantly alters levels of 35 OTUs (Table S2).

If we have 50 taxa that have only 0 or 1 counts across 10 Control and 10 experimental, expect 1 in 50 to partition as shown with the number of OTUs in the system.

No multiple test correction

So we have ...


- Multivariate group differences driven by the most abundant
- Between group univariate differences are usually the rarest



Philosoraptor is confused


Back to basics

A




- DNA or RNA fragments from the environment
- A random sample used to make a library
- A random sample of the library is sequenced and mapped
- This generates a table of counts per feature (OTU, gene) in each sample
- **The number of reads is determined by the machine!**

Random sample of environment



Manitoba 2017

Counting our things



- Example 100 random sample sets



range=1800-2200




range= 8000-12000



range=450-550

HTS is not counting

COUNTING



SEQUENCING





🐯	🐞	🐞	🐞	🐞
🐞	🐞	🐞	🐯	🐞
🐞	🐯	🐞	🐞	🐞
🐞	🐞	🐞	🐞	🐞
🐞	🐞	🐯	🐯	🐞



- Sequencing is a constant-sum operation
 - We only get the number of reads that the machine can deliver
- Any constant sum is equivalent

Gloor, et al. 2016. Ann Epidemiology
Gloor, et al. 2016. Can J. Micro

Effect of a constant sum?



Constant sum of 1000

Constant sum operations:

- Count normalization
- Rarefaction
- Proportion
- percentage, relative abundance
- RNA-seq, metagenomics, tag-sequencing

Gloor, et al. 2016. Ann Epidemiology
Gloor, et al. 2016. Can J. Micro




Fundamental problem

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>
<u>S1</u>	1	2	2	5	5
<u>S2</u>	1.5	4	3	2	20
<u>S3</u>	2	8	1	3	1.5

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>
<u>S1</u>	.067	.133	.133	.333	.333
<u>S2</u>	.049	.131	.098	.066	.656
<u>S3</u>	.129	.516	.065	.194	.097

	<u>A</u>	<u>B</u>	<u>C</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>E</u>
<u>S1</u>	.1	.2	.2	.5	-
<u>S2</u>	.143	.381	.286	.190	-
<u>S3</u>	.143	.571	.071	.214	-

Manitoba 2017



Spurious correlation

- The correlation observed is not the same for the numerical and proportional data
- The correlation changes again when the proportional data are subset
- **this is spurious correlation** and is an unpredictable correlation observed between two variables whenever they share a common denominator (or a constant sum).
- Spurious correlation arises in **compositional data**



Compositional Data


- **Compositional data are logically inconsistent with most tools in common use (Pearson 1897)**
 - correlation, PCA etc.
 - negative binomial, rarefaction,
- **The solution is to work in terms of relative difference (ratios)**
 - Aitchison and others
 - Conceptually similar to qPCR



A univariate intuition






CoDa properties & problems



- **Simplex: one fewer dimensions than datapoints**
 - Scale dependence - hence ‘normalization’
 - Correlation and covariation are unreliable
 - Addition and subtraction are not useful operations
 - Subsetting and aggregating are problematic
 - Sparse data is an issue
- **Problem remains regardless of dimension**
 - It just ‘looks’ OK

Aitchison 1986. Stat. Anal. Comp Data
Pawlowsky-Glahn, 2015. Mod. Anal. CoDa

Only have ratio information



$$X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_D], g_X = \text{geometric mean of } X$$

$$\text{clr}(x) = [\log(x_1/g_X), \log(x_2/g_X), \dots, \log(x_D/g_X)]$$

- Measurements are now logarithms of ratios between parts
 - Abundance is not directly represented
 - Values are now unconstrained, but still on the simplex
- The clr transformation is scale invariant
- Ratios are linearly related
- Must delete, estimate or replace 0 values

Aitchison 1986. Stat. Anal. Comp Data
Pawlowsky-Glahn, 2015. Mod. Anal. CoDa

The 0 in the room

- 0 count can be real – or a non-detect
- Sequencing instruments do not deliver counts
 - Observed value is a single estimate of the probability of observing the value given the sequencing depth and the frequency of the molecule in the sample
- Our approach (ALDEx2)
 - Generate a distribution of probabilities, clr transform, perform analyses and report expected values
 - Dramatically reduces false positives with little or no loss of sensitivity for essentially any seq'omics dataset

But my data are not affected ...

- Transcriptome
 - Remove rRNA, tRNA, snRNA, etc.
 - Or only mRNA, snRNA, tRNA, etc.
 - Different amounts of RNA in the system
- 16S rRNA gene sequencing
 - Primer bias
 - Remove low-counts, singletons, sparsity, chimeras, etc.
 - Total bacterial load varies
 - Asymmetry of occurrence
- Metagenome
 - Total bacterial load varies
 - DNA isolation bias
 - Asymmetry of occurrence




Applying analysis tools based on variance of the ratios between parts


- Outliers
 - CoDaSeq (github.com/ggloor)
- Exploratory data analysis
 - Compositional biplots
- Differential abundance
 - ALDEx2 (Bioconductor)
- Compositional association
 - propr (CRAN)
 - CoDaSeq (github.com/ggloor)




Outliers in gene tag sequencing data




BM



TD



after



Advantages of tools based on variance of the ratios between parts





- Examine variance not abundance
- Easily interpret relationships between samples and features
- Robust to perturbations
 - Exploratory data analysis
 - Differential abundance
 - Compositional association

The gut microbiota of healthy aged Chinese is similar to the healthy young

- Examined gut microbiota of over 1000 inter-generationally healthy Chinese
 - Community collected
 - Processed at Tianyi Health Sciences Institute
- Exclusion/Inclusion criteria:
 - Smoking, drinking, mood disorders, no chronic disease, no prescription medication or antibiotics for last 3 months, no personal or family disease history (cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, metabolic, neurological, respiratory, cancer)
 - Willing to donate sample, parents and grandparents lived to >80 yo (if under age 30)




The gut microbiota of healthy aged Chinese is similar to the healthy young




Imperturbable



min 1% abundance



max 2.5% abundance




Sex independent




OTUs vs. Genera

ALDEx2



OTUs vs. other levels



Association between individual OTUs and group membership generally weaker with larger group

Bacteriodetes and Actinobacteria most cohesive phyla, and in opposite direction. Largely *Bacteroides* + *Prevotella* and *Bifidobacterium* moving the pile.





Fake Correlations!!!



In addition to spurious correlation


False positive -ve correlations because ...
what is the correlation between heads and tails?



False positive +ve correlations
because associations must
maintain a constant ratio ...

The \emptyset metric

propr, CoDaSeq




- 
- $r = 1$
- $\beta = 1$
- $\emptyset = 1 + \beta^2 - 2\beta|r|$
 - $r=1$ when two vectors in same direction
 - $\beta=1$ when the two vectors have the same variance
 - So $\emptyset = 0$ iff $r=2$ & $\beta=1$
 - Collapsing two measures into one number

Lovell, PLoS Comp. Bio. 2015
Erb, Theor. Biosci. 2016

Association

$\phi < 0.23$



Summary

Analysis

Beta Diversity

Clustering

Differential abundance

Correlation

Interpretation

	Standard	CoDa
Beta Diversity	Driven by most abundant taxon or gene	Variance of ratios between taxa or genes
Clustering	Driven by most abundant taxon or gene	Variance of ratios between taxa or genes
Differential abundance	Usually rarest taxon or gene – most variable within and between groups	Variance of ratios between taxa or genes –most variable between groups
Correlation	Just wrong – false positives	Pairs of taxa or genes that have least variance
Interpretation	Seems simple but is not	Seems hard but is not



Conclusions

- We only have ratio information
 - Need to recast our questions. How are things changing relative to each other?
 - It takes a shift in thinking to interpret the data correctly
- Variances of the ratios is informative
 - Same features are identified through multivariate ordination, univariate differential abundance, compositional association
 - Compositional PCA \sim ALDEx2 $\sim \emptyset$
- 0 replacement is a theoretical, but not a practical impediment



Reading & Sources



METHODOLOGY | OPEN ACCESS

Unifying the analysis of high-throughput sequencing datasets:
characterizing RNA-seq, 16S rRNA gene sequencing and selective
growth experiments by compositional data analysis

Andrew D Fernandes, Jennifer NS Reid, Jean M Macklaim, Thomas A McMurrough, David R Edgell and Gregory B Gloor

Microbiome 2014 2:15 | DOI: 10.1186/2049-2618-2-15 | © Fernandes et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. 2014

Received: 6 February 2014 | Accepted: 25 March 2014 | Published: 5 May 2014

PLOS COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY

Browse | Publish | About

OPEN ACCESS PEER-REVIEWED

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Proportionality: A Valid Alternative to Correlation for Relative Data

David Lovell , Vera Pawlowsky-Glahn, Juan José Egozcue, Samuel Marguerat, Jürg Bähler

Published: March 16, 2015 • <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004075>

Canadian Journal of Microbiology

Home CSP Journals Books Compilations Open Access Authors

Home > Journals > Canadian Journal of Microbiology > List of Issues > Volume 0, Number 1a, > Compositional analysis

Article

Compositional analysis: a valid approach to analyze microbiome high throughput sequencing data

Gregory B. Gloor, Gregor Reid

Published on the web 12 April 2016.



Annals of Epidemiology

Available online 2 April 2016

In Press, Corrected Proof — Note to users



Review article

It's all relative: analyzing microbiome data as compositions


Gregory B. Gloor, PhD^a, , Jia Rong Wu, BSc^a, Vera Pawlowsky-Glahn, PhD^b, Juan José Egozcue, PhD^c

[Show more](#)

doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.03.003

[Get rights and content](#)

Manitoba 2017




CoDa



Acknowledgments

Canadian Centre for Human Microbiome
and Probiotic Research



Andrew
Fernandes



Jean
Macklaim

Gregor Reid
Jeremy Burton
Michael Vu
Jia Rong Wu





People. Discovery. Innovat

I'D LIKE TO THANK MY DIRECTOR,
MY FRIENDS AND FAMILY, AND—
OF COURSE—THE WRITHING MASS
OF GUT BACTERIA INSIDE ME.

I MEAN, THERE'S LIKE ONE OR
TWO PINTS OF THEM IN HERE;
THEIR CELLS OUTNUMBER MINE!

ANYWAY, THIS WAS A
REAL TEAM EFFORT.



CoDa



Vera Pawlowsky-Glahn
Juan Jose Egozcue



Justin Silverberg

Vigue
vaginal microbiome group initiative
*Advancing Women's Health through
Microbiome Research*
Manitoba 2017



CIHR IRSC



Canadian Institutes
of Health Research


Instituts de recherche
en santé du Canada

We are working in the wrong geometry



- Correlation/covariation
 - Ordination (PCA), clustering, networks
- Subcompositional incoherence
 - Normalization, rarefaction, subsetting, aggregation
- Noise is greatest at low count margin
 - Often ‘most significant’ is least abundant

Exploration: CoDa PCA biplot



- OTU
- A
- B


Data Structure



- Exploratory Tool
 - Samples + Variables after clr
 - SVD is now legal
 - But is on ratios
 - Links are informative
1. Distance from origin \sim SD
 2. Links \sim ratio abundance
 3. Links with multiple tips \sim linear dependence
 4. Cos angle between links \sim correlation of the parts

Gloor, et al. 2016. Ann Epidemiology
Gloor, et al. 2016. Can J. Micro

Outliers





Yeast transcriptome dataset

- 2 conditions WT, Δ SNF2
 - 48 replicates
 - Tightly controlled conditions
 - All should be very similar
- PCA plot of scores shows two major things
 - The two conditions split
 - There is considerable spread within conditions
- Finding and removing outliers may improve our resolution



Data from Schurch, 2015

Outliers




Yeast transcriptome dataset


- Contribution to total variance?
 - Density shows approximate normal with tails
 - Outliers often defined as those beyond twice mean + IQR
 - Removes 7 SNF2, 5 WT samples
 - Same set as removed by Schurch et al, with a more complex linear combination model of correlation, χ^2 , and fraction of 'bad' gene levels
 - Simple, quick approach



Outliers



Where is the noise?





Variance vs. mean for data on the simplex

- Transcriptome dataset
- Top shows absolute data, with near linear dependence
 - Modeled by negative binomial
 - Lowest and highest counts have least variance
 - 0 is 0!
 - Inflates statistical significance at low count margin
- Bottom shows relative data, non-linear dependence on ratios
 - Follows approximate Dirichlet
 - Lowest and highest counts have least variance, but 0 is 0!
 - Inflates statistical significance at low count margin



Bayesian estimate




Variance vs. mean for data on the simplex

- Top shows point estimate
 - Follows approximate Dirichlet
 - Lowest and highest counts have least variance, but 0 is 0!
 - Inflates statistical significance at low count margin
- Bottom shows Bayesian estimate
 - Probabilities not counts
 - Drawn from Dirichlet
 - Values replaced by estimated probability of observing variable given total
 - Highest counts have least variance
 - Low counts must be very different to be significant at low count margin



Modeling technical variation



Distribution of values in replicate B for replicate A values


- Transcriptome dataset
- True technical variation in black
- Inferred technical variation in red



Gloor et al. 2016. Aus. J. Statistics

Manitoba 2017

Find test values not affected by random sampling




ALDEX2

- Generate posterior estimates of the data consistent with the observed data and the chosen prior(s)
 - Dirichlet instances
- clr transform instances
- Calculate univariate test values on each instance
- Correct for FDR
- **Report the expected value of each test across instances**

Fernandes, et al. 2013. PLoS ONE
Fernandes, et al. 2014. Microbiome



Effect-sizes



- $E = \text{Difference}/\text{Dispersion}$
- SELEX dataset
- Convenient way to summarize univariate differences in multivariate data
- X axis - maximum variation within either group for each feature
- Y axis – Difference between groups for each feature
- Lines indicate effect size boundaries
 - $D=1, V=2 \quad E=0.5$
 - $D=1 \quad V=0.5 \quad E=2$
 - $D=2 \quad V=2 \quad E=1$
- Read the plot in sectors
- Most 16S rRNA sequencing experiments have $E < 1$
- Transcriptome experiments $E > 2$



P-values are not reliable





Yeast transcriptome dataset

- 48 replicates, 2 conditions
- Randomly choose n replicates
- Calculate P and corrected P (q)

‘Truth’ is $q < 0.05$ of 48 replicates

Halsey, Nature Meth. 2015

Effect sizes are stable estimates




Halsey, Nature Meth. 2015

Manitoba 2017

The \emptyset metric

- $\emptyset = 1 + \beta^2 - 2\beta r$

- $x_i = \text{clr}(x_i)$, $x_j = \text{clr}(x_j)$



- $\emptyset_{ij} = (\text{var}(x_i) - \text{var}(x_j)) / (\text{var}(x_i) + \text{var}(x_j))$



Lovell, PLoS Comp. Bio. 2015