

## EGR 280 Lab 12

### Discussion of Results

#### 1. Part I - Linear

- a. The cross correlation was determined at both 20dB and -10dB. For SNR = 20dB, the peak was found at exactly 2000, which is exactly  $2 * \Delta$ . For SNR = -10dB, however, the peak was found at 1426, which is not very close (note, for other runs at -10dB the peak was at 21, 615, etc.). The maximum noise ratio that still resulted in a decent estimate for the peak was at 9dB, giving a peak at 1997. This maximum noise ratio increased as the sample size increased. The accuracy did not depend on the amount of delay.

#### 2. Part II Sinusoidal

- a. The autocorrelation was determined using different sample sizes, SNRs, and frequencies. Using a frequency of 1Hz, the Ryy signal was quite clean at 20dB for sample sizes of 200, 2000, and 20000. However, using a -10dB SNR and 2000 sample size resulted in indistinguishable data. The maximum noise was found at -2dB, using 2000 samples with a  $\Delta t$  of 0.1s.
- b. At an SNR of -10dB the frequency was changed from 1Hz until the Ryy signal was distinguishable. This required a frequency of 0.01Hz.
- c. Also, the longer data records (although more difficult to see because it was packed into my small screen) was easily more accurate than the smaller data record runs.
- d. In spite of the aforementioned findings, I was never really able to determine my frequency of 1Hz from the Ryy plots. This may have just been my  $t$  axis scaling being off, but I could never figure out why.