Deep Learning

Lecture 7: Attention and transformers

Prof. Gilles Louppe
g.louppe@uliege.be

Today

Attention is all you need!

- Encoder-decoder
- Bahdanau attention
- Attention layers
- Transformers
- Applications

Encoder-decoder

Many real-world problems require to process a signal with a sequence structure.

- Sequence classification:
  - sentiment analysis in text
  - activity/action recognition in videos
  - DNA sequence classification
- Sequence synthesis:
  - text synthesis
  - music synthesis
  - motion synthesis
- Sequence-to-sequence translation:
  - speech recognition
  - text translation
  - part-of-speech tagging

—

Given a set $\mathcal{X}$, if $S(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the set of sequences of elements from $\mathcal{X}$,

$$S(\mathcal{X}) = \cup_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}^t,$$

then we formally define:

Sequence classification	$f : S(\mathcal{X}) \to \triangle^C$
Sequence synthesis	$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to S(\mathcal{X})$
Sequence-to-sequence translation	$f : S(\mathcal{X}) \to S(\mathcal{Y})$

In the rest of the slides, we consider only time-indexed signal, although it generalizes to arbitrary sequences.

When the input is a sequence $\mathbf{x} \in S(\mathbb{R}^p)$ of variable length, the historical approach is to use a recurrent **encoder-decoder** architecture that first compresses the input into a single vector v and then uses it to generate the output sequence.





Recurrent encoder-decoder models compress an input sequence $\mathbf{x}_{1:T}$ into a single thought vector v, and then produce an output sequence $\mathbf{y}_{1:T'}$ from an autoregressive generative model

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{h}_t &= \phi(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{h}_{t-1}) \\ v &= \mathbf{h}_T \\ \mathbf{y}_i &\sim p(\cdot|\mathbf{y}_{1:i-1}, v).\end{aligned}$$

—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.



This architecture assumes that the sole vector v carries enough information to generate entire output sequences. This is often challenging for long sequences.

Bahdanau attention



Using the nonvolitional cue based on saliency (red cup, non-paper), attention is involuntarily directed to the coffee.

—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.



Using the volitional cue (want to read a book) that is task-dependent, attention is directed to the book under volitional control.

—



—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.

Attention mechanisms can transport information from parts of the input signal to parts of the output **specified dynamically**.

Under the assumption that each output token comes from one or a handful of input tokens, the decoder should attend to only those tokens that are relevant for producing the next output token.



Attention-based machine translation



—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.

Following Bahdanau et al. (2014), the encoder is specified as a bidirectional recurrent neural network (RNN) that computes an annotation vector for each input token,

$$\mathbf{h}_j = (\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_j, \overleftarrow{\mathbf{h}}_j)$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, T$, where $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{h}}_j$ and $\overleftarrow{\mathbf{h}}_j$ respectively denote the forward and backward hidden recurrent states of the bidirectional RNN.

From this, they compute a new process $\mathbf{s}_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, T'$, which looks at weighted averages of the $\mathbf{h}_j$ where the weights are functions of the signal.

Given $\mathbf{y}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{y}_{i-1}$ and $\mathbf{s}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{s}_{i-1}$, first compute an attention vector

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \mathrm{softmax}_j(a(\mathbf{s}_{i-1}, \mathbf{h}_j))$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, T$, whered a is an attention scoring function, here specified as a one hidden layer $\tanh$ MLP.

Then, compute the context vector from the weighted $\mathbf{h}_j$'s,

$$\mathbf{c}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{T} \alpha_{i,j} \mathbf{h}_j .$$

The model can now make the prediction $\mathbf{y}_i$ as

$$\mathbf{s}_i = f(\mathbf{s}_{i-1}, y_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{c}_i)$$
$$\mathbf{y}_i \sim g(\mathbf{y}_{i-1}, \mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{c}_i),$$

where f is a GRU.

This is context attention, where $\mathbf{s}_{i-1}$ modulates what to look in $\mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_T$ to compute $\mathbf{s}_i$ and sample $\mathbf{y}_i$.


The
agreement
on
the
European
Economic
Area
was
signed
in
August
1992
.
<end>
L'
accord
sur
la
zone
économique
européenne
a
été
signé
en
août
1992
.
<end>

(a)


It
should
be
noted
that
the
marine
environment
is
the
least
known
of
environments
.
<end>
Il
convient
de
noter
que
l'
environnement
marin
est
le
moins
connu
de
l'
environnement
.
<end>

(b)

Attention layers

The attention mechanisms can be defined generically as follows.

Given a context or query vector $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^q$, a key tensor $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$, and a value tensor $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times v}$, an attention layer computes an output vector $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^v$ with

$$\mathbf{y} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{softmax}_i(a(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}_i; \theta))\mathbf{V}_i,$$

where $a : \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar attention scoring function.



—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.

Additive attention

When queries and keys are vectors of different lengths, we can use an additive attention as the scoring function.

Given $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, the additive attention scoring function is

$$a(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{w}_v^T \tanh(\mathbf{W}_q^T \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{W}_k^T \mathbf{k})$$

where $\mathbf{w}_v \in \mathbb{R}^h$, $\mathbf{W}_q \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times h}$ and $\mathbf{W}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times h}$ are learnable parameters.

Scaled dot-product attention

When queries and keys are vectors of the same length d, we can use a scaled dot-product attention as the scoring function.

Given $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the scaled dot-product attention scoring function is

$$a(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{k}}{\sqrt{d}}.$$

For n queries $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, keys $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ and values $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times v}$, the scaled dot-product attention layer computes an output tensor

$$\mathbf{Y} = \underbrace{\text{softmax}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right)}_{\text{attention matrix } \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times v}.$$



$$q^T k = \|q\| \, \|k\| \cos\theta$$

Recall that the dot product is simply a un-normalised cosine similarity, which tells us about the alignment of two vectors.

Therefore, the $\mathbf{QK}^T$ matrix is a similarity matrix between queries and keys.


V
$K^\top$
softmax
Q
$QK^\top$
A
$Y = AV$

—

In the currently standard models for sequences, the queries, keys and values are linear functions of the inputs.

Given the learnable matrices $\mathbf{W}_q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times x}$, $\mathbf{W}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times x'}$, and $\mathbf{W}_v \in \mathbb{R}^{v \times x'}$, and two input sequences $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times x}$ and $\mathbf{X'} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times x'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Q} &= \mathbf{X}\mathbf{W}_q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d} \\ \mathbf{K} &= \mathbf{X'}\mathbf{W}_k^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d} \\ \mathbf{V} &= \mathbf{X'}\mathbf{W}_v^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times v}.\end{aligned}$$

Self-attention

When the queries, keys and values are derived from the same inputs, the attention mechanism is called self-attention.

For the scaled dot-product attention, the self-attention layer is obtained when $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}'$.

Therefore, self-attention can be used as a regular feedforward-kind of layer, similarly to fully-connected or convolutional layers.



CNNs vs. RNNs vs. self-attention







—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.

Layer Type	Complexity per Layer	Sequential Operations	Maximum Path Length
Self-Attention	$O(n^2 \cdot d)$	$O(1)$	$O(1)$
Recurrent	$O(n \cdot d^2)$	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Convolutional	$O(k \cdot n \cdot d^2)$	$O(1)$	$O(log_k(n))$

where n is the sequence length, d is the embedding dimension, and k is the kernel size of convolutions.

A toy example

To illustrate the behavior of the attention mechanism, we consider a toy problem with 1D sequences composed of two triangular and two rectangular patterns. The target sequence averages the heights in each pair of shapes.



—


Without attention
With attention
MSE
1600
1400
1200
1000
800
600
400
200
0
10^0
10^1
10^2
Nb. of epochs

—

We can modify the toy problem to consider targets where the pairs to average are the two right and leftmost shapes.





—

The performance is expected to be poor given the inability of the self-attention layer to take into account absolute or relative positions. Indeed, self-attention is permutation-invariant:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y} &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{softmax}_i \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{K}_i^T}{\sqrt{d}} \right) \mathbf{V}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{softmax}_i \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{K}_{\sigma(i)}^T}{\sqrt{d}} \right) \mathbf{V}_{\sigma(i)}\end{aligned}$$

for any permutation σ of the key-value pairs.



However, this problem can be fixed by providing positional encodings explicitly to the attention layer.

Transformers

Vaswani et al. (2017) proposed to go one step further: instead of using attention mechanisms as a supplement to standard convolutional and recurrent layers, they designed a model, the transformer, combining only attention layers.

The transformer was designed for a sequence-to-sequence translation task, but it is currently key to state-of-the-art approaches across NLP tasks.

Scaled dot-product attention

The first building block of the transformer architecture is a scaled dot-production attention module

$$\text{attention}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)\mathbf{V}$$

where the $1/\sqrt{d_k}$ scaling is used to keep the (softmax's) temperature constant across different choices of the query/key dimension d_k.



Multi-head attention

The transformer projects the queries, keys and values $h = 8$ times with distinct linear projections to $d_k = 64$, $d_k = 64$ and $d_v = 64$ dimensions respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{multihead}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) &= \text{concat}\left(\mathbf{H}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{H}_h\right) \mathbf{W}^O \\ \mathbf{H}_i &= \text{attention}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{W}_i^Q, \mathbf{K}\mathbf{W}_i^K, \mathbf{V}\mathbf{W}_i^V)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathbf{W}_i^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{model}} \times d_k}, \mathbf{W}_i^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{model}} \times d_k}, \mathbf{W}_i^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\text{model}} \times d_v}, \mathbf{W}_i^O \in \mathbb{R}^{hd_v \times d_{\text{model}}}$$

—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.

Encoder-decoder architecture

The transformer model is composed of:

- An encoder that combines $N = 6$ modules, each composed of a multi-head attention sub-module, and a (per-component) one-hidden-layer MLP, with residual pass-through and layer normalization. All sub-modules and embedding layers produce outputs of dimension $d_{\text{model}} = 512$.
- A decoder that combines $N = 6$ modules similar to the encoder, but using masked self-attention to prevent positions from attending to subsequent positions. In addition, the decoder inserts a third sub-module which performs multi-head attention over the output of the encoder stack.



—

Credits: Dive Into Deep Learning.



The encoders start by processing the input sequence. The output of the top encoder is then transformed into a set of attention vectors $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ that will help the decoders focus on appropriate places in the input sequence.

—

Credits: Jay Alammar, The Illustrated Transformer.



Each step in the decoding phase produces an output token, until a special symbol is reached indicating the transformer decoder has completed its output.

The output of each step is fed to the bottom decoder in the next time step, and the decoders bubble up their decoding results just like the encoders did.

—

Credits: Jay Alammar, The Illustrated Transformer.

In the decoder:

- The first masked self-attention sub-module is only allowed to attend to earlier positions in the output sequence. This is done by masking future positions.
- The second multi-head attention sub-module works just like multi-head self-attention, except it creates its query matrix from the layer below it, and takes the keys and values matrices from the output of the encoder stack.

—

Credits: Jay Alammar, The Illustrated Transformer.

Positional encoding

As each word in a sentence *simultaneously* flows through the encoder/decoder stack, the model itself does not have any sense of position/order for each word.

Positional information is provided through an additive positional encoding of the same dimension d_{model} as the internal representation and is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{PE}_{t,2i} &= \sin\left(\frac{t}{10000^{\frac{2i}{d_{\text{model}}}}}\right) \\ \mathrm{PE}_{t,2i+1} &= \cos\left(\frac{t}{10000^{\frac{2i}{d_{\text{model}}}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

After adding the positional encoding, words will be closer to each other based on the similarity of their meaning and their relative position in the sentence, in the d_{model}-dimensional space.



128-dimensional positonal encoding for a sentence with the maximum lenght of 50. Each row represents the embedding vector.

Machine translation

The transformer architecture was first designed for machine translation and tested on English-to-German and English-to-French translation tasks.

- English-to-German: 4.5M sentence pairs, 37k tokens vocabulary.
- English-to-French: 36M sentence pairs, 32k tokens vocabulary.
- 8 P100 GPUs (150 TFlops, FP16), 0.5 day for the small model, 3.5 days for the large one.



Self-attention layers learned that "it" could refer to different entities, in different contexts.

—

Credits: Transformer: A Novel Neural Network Architecture for Language Understanding, 2017.



Attention maps extracted from the multi-head attention modules show how input tokens relate to output tokens.

—

Credits: Transformer model for language understanding.

Language pre-training

Similar to pre-training computer vision models on ImageNet, language models can be pre-trained for tasks in natural language processing.

Notably, the models can be pre-trained in a unsupervised manner from very large datasets and then fine-tuned on supervised tasks with small data-sets.



GPT, Radford et al. (2018)

—

Credits: Radford et al., Improving Language Understanding by Generative Pre-Training, 2018.

Increasing the training data and the model size leads to significant improvement of transformer language models. These models are now **the largest in deep learning**.



Applications



ChatGPT generates text samples in response to arbitrary inputs.



CLIP: connecting text and images for zero-shot classification (see demo).

—

Credits: Radford et al., 2021.

Vision transformers (ViTs)

—

Credits: Dosovitskiy et al, 2020.



Figure 1. **ImageNet-1K classification** results for • ConvNets and ○ vision Transformers. Each bubble's area is proportional to FLOPs of a variant in a model family. ImageNet-1K/22K models here take $224^2/384^2$ images respectively. We demonstrate that a standard ConvNet model can achieve the same level of scalability as hierarchical vision Transformers while being much simpler in design.



AlphaFold: Highly accurate protein structure prediction.

—

Credits: Jumper et al., 2021.

Decision Transformer: Reinforcement Learning via Sequence Modeling.

—

Credits: Chen et al., 2021.

The end.