

# Issue XXX: Categories with Families

Namdak Tonpa

15 травня 2025 р.

## Анотація

Martin-Löf Type Theory (MLTT-75), a foundational system for constructive mathematics and programming, can be elegantly formalized using the categorical framework of Categories with Families (CwF), as introduced by Peter Dybjer. This article presents MLTT-75 through the lens of CwFs, defining its syntax as an initial model within a category of models. We outline the core components of the CwF structure, including contexts, types, substitutions, and terms, and illustrate key type formers such as  $\Pi$ -types,  $\Sigma$ -types, and universes. Drawing on the algebraic signature from recent formalizations, we provide a concise yet rigorous exposition suitable for researchers and students of type theory and category theory.

## Зміст

<b>1</b>	<b>Categories with Families</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Визначення . . . . .	2
1.2	Algebraic Signature of MLTT-75 . . . . .	5
1.3	Core Components . . . . .	6
1.4	Context Extension . . . . .	6
1.5	Type Formers . . . . .	6
1.6	$\Pi$ -Types . . . . .	6
1.7	$\Sigma$ -Types . . . . .	7
1.8	Universes . . . . .	7
1.9	Booleans and Identity Types . . . . .	7
1.10	Semantics via the Standard Model . . . . .	7
1.11	Applications . . . . .	8
1.12	Conclusion . . . . .	8

# 1 Categories with Families

Martin-Löf Type Theory, particularly its 1975 formulation (MLTT-75), is a dependent type theory that serves as a foundation for proof assistants like Agda and Coq. Categories with Families, introduced by Dybjer [1], offer a categorical semantics for dependent type theories, modeling contexts as objects, types as presheaves, and terms as sections. This framework captures the algebraic structure of MLTT-75, where the syntax is the initial model in a category of models, and morphisms are structure-preserving maps.

This article formalizes MLTT-75 using CwFs, focusing on its algebraic signature and key type formers. We assume familiarity with basic category theory and type theory, referencing the comprehensive formalization in [2] for technical details.

A Category with Families consists of a category of contexts and substitutions, equipped with presheaves of types and terms, satisfying specific structural properties. Formally, a CwF for MLTT-75 includes:

- **Contexts** ( $\mathcal{C}$ ): A category where objects  $(\Gamma, \Delta)$  represent contexts (sequences of typed variables), and morphisms  $(\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta)$  represent substitutions.
- **Types** ( $\mathbf{Ty}$ ): A presheaf  $\mathbf{Ty} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ , where  $\mathbf{Ty}(\Gamma)$  is the set of types in context  $\Gamma$ , and for  $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ ,  $\mathbf{Ty}(\sigma) : \mathbf{Ty}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ty}(\Gamma)$  denotes type substitution.
- **Terms** ( $\mathbf{Tm}$ ): For each type  $A \in \mathbf{Ty}(\Gamma)$ , a set  $\mathbf{Tm}(\Gamma, A)$  of terms, with a substitution action  $\mathbf{Tm}(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Tm}(\Gamma, A[\sigma])$  for  $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ .
- **Structural Rules**: Identity substitutions ( $\text{id} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ ), composition of substitutions  $(\sigma \circ \delta)$ , and equations like associativity  $((\sigma \circ \delta) \circ \nu = \sigma \circ (\delta \circ \nu))$ .

The syntax of MLTT-75 is the initial CwF, generated by its algebraic signature, which includes type formers and their equations.

## 1.1 Визначення

**Definition 1** (Fam). Категорія  $\mathbf{Fam}$  — це категорія сімей множин, де об'єкти є залежними функціональними просторами  $(x : A) \rightarrow B(x)$ , а морфізми з доменом  $P(A, B)$  і кодоменом  $P(A', B')$  — це пари функцій  $\langle f : A \rightarrow A', g(x : A) : B(x) \rightarrow B'(f(x)) \rangle$ .

**Definition 2** (П-похідність). Для контексту  $\Gamma$  і типу  $A$  позначимо  $\Gamma \vdash A = (\gamma : \Gamma) \rightarrow A(\gamma)$ .

**Definition 3** ( $\Sigma$ -охоплення). Для контексту  $\Gamma$  і типу  $A$  маємо  $\Gamma; A = (\gamma : \Gamma) * A(\gamma)$ . Охоплення не є асоціативним:

$$\Gamma; A; B \neq \Gamma; B; A$$

**Definition 4** (Контекст). Категорія контекстів  $\mathcal{C}$  — це категорія, де об'єкти є контекстами, а морфізми — підстановками. Термінальний об'єкт  $\Gamma = 0$  у  $\mathcal{C}$  називається порожнім контекстом. Операція охоплення контексту  $\Gamma; A = (x : \Gamma) * A(x)$  має елімінатори:  $p : \Gamma; A \vdash \Gamma$ ,  $q : \Gamma; A \vdash A(p)$ , що задовольняють універсальну властивість: для будь-якого  $\Delta : \mathbf{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , морфізму  $\gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  і терму  $a : \Delta \rightarrow A$  існує єдиний морфізм  $\theta = \langle \gamma, a \rangle : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma; A$ , такий що  $p \circ \theta = \gamma$  і  $q(\theta) = a$ . Твердження: підстановка є асоціативною:

$$\gamma(\gamma(\Gamma, x, a), y, b) = \gamma(\gamma(\Gamma, y, b), x, a)$$

**Definition 5** (CwF-об'єкт). CwF-об'єкт — це пара  $\Sigma(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fam})$ , де  $\mathcal{C}$  — категорія контекстів з об'єктами-контекстами та морфізмами-підстановками, а  $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fam}$  — функтор, який відображає контекст  $\Gamma$  у  $\mathcal{C}$  на сім'ю множин термів  $\Gamma \vdash A$ , а підстановку  $\gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  — на пару функцій, що виконують підстановку  $\gamma$  у термах і типах відповідно.

**Definition 6** (CwF-морфізм). Нехай  $(\mathcal{C}, T) : \mathbf{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , де  $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Fam}$ . CwF-морфізм  $m : (\mathcal{C}, T) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', T')$  — це пара  $\langle F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}', \sigma : T \rightarrow T'(F) \rangle$ , де  $F$  — функтор, а  $\sigma$  — натуральна трансформація.

**Definition 7** (Категорія типів). Для CwF з об'єктами  $(\mathcal{C}, T)$  і морфізмами  $(\mathcal{C}, T) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', T')$ , для заданого контексту  $\Gamma \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  можна побудувати категорію  $\mathbf{Type}(\Gamma)$  — категорію типів у контексті  $\Gamma$ , де об'єкти — множина типів у контексті, а морфізми — функції  $f : \Gamma; A \rightarrow B(p)$ .

**Definition 8** (Терми та типи). У CwF для контексту  $\Gamma$  терми  $\Gamma \vdash a : A$  є елементами множини  $A(\gamma)$ , де  $\gamma : \Gamma$ . Типи  $\Gamma \vdash A$  є об'єктами в  $\mathbf{Type}(\Gamma)$ , а підстановка  $\gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  діє на типи та терми через функтор  $T$ .

**Definition 9** (Залежні типи). Залежний тип у контексті  $\Gamma$  — це відображення  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Fam}$ , де для кожного  $\gamma : \Gamma$  задається множина  $A(\gamma)$ . У категорії  $\mathbf{Type}(\Gamma)$  залежні типи є об'єктами, а морфізми між  $A$  і  $B$  — це функції  $f : \Gamma; A \rightarrow B(p)$ , що зберігають структуру підстановок.

Martin-Löf Type Theory (MLTT-75) is a dependent type theory with  $\Pi$ -types,  $\Sigma$ -types, Id-types, and additional type formers like  $\top$ , universe types (U), and Bool. Its categorical semantics can be modeled using Categories with Families (CwF), a framework designed to capture contexts, types, terms, and context extension in a unified way [3, ?]. Unlike Grothendieck fibrations or comprehension categories, CwFs use a presheaf of families to represent types and terms, with context comprehension for type dependency. We formalize a CwF model for MLTT-75 in Agda, supporting all specified type formers, based on [3]. Pullback diagrams, styled after Awodey's natural models [6], illustrate the type formers, with constructors on upper arrows and type formers on lower arrows.

A Category with Families (CwF) models dependent type theory by assigning types and terms to contexts, with context comprehension for type dependency.

**Definition 10** (Category with Families). A *Category with Families* (CwF) consists of:

- A category  $\mathcal{C}$  with a terminal object  $1 \in \mathcal{C}.\text{Ob}$ .
- A presheaf  $\text{Ty} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , assigning to each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}.\text{Ob}$  a set  $\text{Ty}(\Gamma)$  of types, and to each  $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  a function  $\sigma^* : \text{Ty}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty}(\Delta)$ , preserving identities and composition.
- For each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}.\text{Ob}$  and  $A \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma)$ , a set  $\text{Tm}(\Gamma, A)$  of terms, with reindexing: for  $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ , a function  $\text{Tm}(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow \text{Tm}(\Delta, \sigma^* A)$ , preserving identities and composition.
- For each  $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}.\text{Ob}$  and  $A \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma)$ , a context comprehension consisting of:
  - An object  $\Gamma.A \in \mathcal{C}.\text{Ob}$ .
  - A projection morphism  $p_A : \Gamma.A \rightarrow \Gamma$ .
  - A universal term  $q_A \in \text{Tm}(\Gamma.A, p_A^* A)$ .
  - For any  $\Delta \in \mathcal{C}.\text{Ob}$ ,  $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ , and  $t \in \text{Tm}(\Delta, \sigma^* A)$ , there exists a unique  $\langle \sigma, t \rangle : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma.A$  such that  $p_A \circ \langle \sigma, t \rangle = \sigma$  and  $\langle \sigma, t \rangle^* q_A = t$ .

## 1.2 Algebraic Signature of MLTT-75

The CwF for MLTT-75 is defined by an algebraic signature, indexing contexts and types by universe levels to handle predicative universes. We present the core components and type formers, adapted from [2].

```
def algebra : U1 :=  $\Sigma$ 
  — a semicategory of contexts and substitutions:
  (Con: U)
  (Sub: Con  $\rightarrow$  Con  $\rightarrow$  U)
  ( $\diamond$ :  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$   $\Theta$   $\Delta$  : Con), Sub  $\Theta$   $\Delta \rightarrow$  Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Theta \rightarrow$  Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$ )
  ( $\diamond$ -assoc:  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$   $\Theta$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$  : Con) ( $\sigma$ : Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Theta$ ) ( $\delta$ : Sub  $\Theta$   $\Delta$ )
    ( $\nu$ : Sub  $\Delta$   $\Phi$ ), PathP ( $<_{\_}>$ Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Phi$ ) ( $\diamond$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $\nu$  ( $\diamond$   $\Gamma$   $\Theta$   $\Delta$   $\delta$   $\sigma$ ))
    ( $\diamond$   $\Gamma$   $\Theta$   $\Phi$  ( $\diamond$   $\Theta$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $\nu$   $\delta$ )  $\sigma$ ))
  — identity morphisms as identity substitutions:
  (id:  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$  : Con), Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Gamma$ )
  (id-left:  $\Pi$  ( $\Theta$   $\Delta$  : Con) ( $\delta$  : Sub  $\Theta$   $\Delta$ ),
    = (Sub  $\Theta$   $\Delta$ )  $\delta$  ( $\diamond$   $\Theta$   $\Delta$   $\Delta$  (id  $\Delta$ )  $\delta$ ))
  (id-right:  $\Pi$  ( $\Theta$   $\Delta$  : Con) ( $\delta$  : Sub  $\Theta$   $\Delta$ ),
    = (Sub  $\Theta$   $\Delta$ )  $\delta$  ( $\diamond$   $\Theta$   $\Theta$   $\Delta$   $\delta$  (id  $\Theta$ )))
  — a terminal object as empty context:
  ( $\bullet$ : Con)
  ( $\varepsilon$ :  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$  : Con), Sub  $\Gamma$   $\bullet$ )
  ( $\bullet$ - $\eta$ :  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$ : Con) ( $\delta$ : Sub  $\Gamma$   $\bullet$ ), = (Sub  $\Gamma$   $\bullet$ ) ( $\varepsilon$   $\Gamma$ )  $\delta$ )
  (Ty: Con  $\rightarrow$  U)
  ( $\_|\_$ |T:  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  : Con), Ty  $\Delta \rightarrow$  Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Delta \rightarrow$  Ty  $\Gamma$ )
  ( $|id|$ |T:  $\Pi$  ( $\Delta$ : Con) ( $A$ : Ty  $\Delta$ ), = (Ty  $\Delta$ ) ( $\_|\_$ |T  $\Delta$   $\Delta$   $A$  (id  $\Delta$ ))  $A$ )
  ( $| \diamond |$ |T:  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$ : Con) ( $A$  : Ty  $\Phi$ ) ( $\sigma$  : Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$ ) ( $\delta$  : Sub  $\Delta$   $\Phi$ ),
    =P ( $<_{\_}>$ Ty  $\Gamma$ ) ( $\_|\_$ |T  $\Gamma$   $\Phi$   $A$  ( $\diamond$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $\delta$   $\sigma$ ))
    ( $\_|\_$ |T  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  ( $\_|\_$ |T  $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $A$   $\delta$ )  $\sigma$ ))
  — a (covariant) presheaf on the category of elements as terms:
  (Tm:  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$  : Con), Ty  $\Gamma \rightarrow$  U)
  ( $\_|\_$ |t:  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  : Con) ( $A$  : Ty  $\Delta$ ) ( $B$  : Tm  $\Delta$   $A$ )
    ( $\sigma$ : Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$ ), Tm  $\Gamma$  ( $\_|\_$ |T  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $A$   $\sigma$ ))
  ( $|id|$ |t:  $\Pi$  ( $\Delta$  : Con) ( $A$  : Ty  $\Delta$ ) ( $t$ : Tm  $\Delta$   $A$ ),
    PathP ( $<i>$  Tm  $\Delta$  ( $|id|$ |T  $\Delta$   $A$  @  $i$ ))
    ( $\_|\_$ |t  $\Delta$   $\Delta$   $A$   $t$  (id  $\Delta$ ))  $t$ )
  ( $| \diamond |$ |t:  $\Pi$  ( $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$ : Con) ( $A$  : Ty  $\Phi$ ) ( $t$ : Tm  $\Phi$   $A$ )
    ( $\sigma$  : Sub  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$ ) ( $\delta$  : Sub  $\Delta$   $\Phi$ ),
    PathP ( $<i>$  Tm  $\Gamma$  ( $| \diamond |$ |T  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $A$   $\sigma$   $\delta$  @  $i$ ))
    ( $\_|\_$ |t  $\Gamma$   $\Phi$   $A$   $t$  ( $\diamond$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $\delta$   $\sigma$ ))
    ( $\_|\_$ |t  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$  ( $\_|\_$ |T  $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $A$   $\delta$ ) ( $\_|\_$ |t  $\Delta$   $\Phi$   $A$   $t$   $\delta$ )  $\sigma$ ))
```

### 1.3 Core Components

The signature includes:

- $\text{Con} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , contexts indexed by universe levels.
- $\text{Ty} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Con } i \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , types in a context at level  $i$ .
- $\text{Sub} : \text{Con } i \rightarrow \text{Con } j \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , substitutions between contexts.
- $\text{Tm} : (\Gamma : \text{Con } i) \rightarrow \text{Ty } j \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , terms of a type in a context.

Structural operations include:

- Identity:  $\text{id} : \text{Sub } \Gamma \Gamma$ .
- Composition:  $\_ \circ \_ : \text{Sub } \Theta \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Theta \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta$ .
- Type substitution:  $\_[_] : \text{Ty } i \Delta \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \text{Ty } i \Gamma$ .
- Term substitution:  $\_[_] : \text{Tm } \Delta A \rightarrow \text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (A[\sigma])$ .

Equations ensure categorical properties, e.g.,  $\text{id} \circ \sigma = \sigma$ ,  $\sigma \circ \text{id} = \sigma$ , and  $A[\text{id}] = A$ .

### 1.4 Context Extension

Contexts can be extended by types:

- Empty context:  $\bullet : \text{Con } 0$ .
- Extension:  $\_ \triangleright \_ : (\Gamma : \text{Con } i) \rightarrow \text{Ty } j \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Con } (i \sqcup j)$ .
- Weakening:  $p : \text{Sub } (\Gamma \triangleright A) \Gamma$ .
- Zeroth de Bruijn index:  $q : \text{Tm } (\Gamma \triangleright A) (A[p])$ .

Substitutions are extended by terms:  $\langle \sigma, t \rangle : \text{Sub } \Gamma (\Delta \triangleright A)$ , with equations like  $p \circ \langle \sigma, t \rangle = \sigma$ .

### 1.5 Type Formers

MLTT-75 includes several type formers, formalized as follows:

### 1.6 $\Pi$ -Types

Dependent function types are defined by:

- Formation:  $\Pi : (A : \text{Ty } i \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } j (\Gamma \triangleright A) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (i \sqcup j) \Gamma$ .
- Introduction:  $\text{lam} : \text{Tm } (\Gamma \triangleright A) B \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\Pi A B)$ .
- Elimination:  $\text{app} : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\Pi A B) \rightarrow \text{Tm } (\Gamma \triangleright A) B$ .

Equations include  $\beta$ -reduction ( $\text{app } (\text{lam } t) = t$ ) and  $\eta$ -expansion ( $\text{lam } (\text{app } t) = t$ ).

## 1.7 $\Sigma$ -Types

Dependent pair types:

- Formation:  $\Sigma : (A : \text{Ty } i \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Ty } j (\Gamma \triangleright A) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (i \sqcup j) \Gamma$ .
- Introduction:  $\langle u, v \rangle : \text{Tm } \Gamma A \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (B[\text{id}, u]) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (\Sigma AB)$ .
- Projections:  $\text{fst} : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\Sigma AB) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma A$ ,  $\text{snd} : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\Sigma AB) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (B[\text{id}, \text{fst } t])$ .

Equations include  $\text{fst } \langle u, v \rangle = u$ ,  $\text{snd } \langle u, v \rangle = v$ .

## 1.8 Universes

A hierarchy of universes:

- Formation:  $U : (i : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \text{Ty } (i + 1) \Gamma$ .
- Coding:  $c : \text{Ty } i \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma (U i)$ .
- Decoding:  $_ : \text{Tm } \Gamma (U i) \rightarrow \text{Ty } i \Gamma$ .

Equations:  $c \underline{A} = A$ ,  $c \underline{a} = a$ .

## 1.9 Booleans and Identity Types

- Booleans:  $\text{Bool} : \text{Ty } 0 \Gamma$ , with  $\text{true}, \text{false} : \text{Tm } \Gamma \text{Bool}$ , and an eliminator  $\text{if}$ .
- Identity:  $\text{Id} : (A : \text{Ty } i \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma A \rightarrow \text{Tm } \Gamma A \rightarrow \text{Ty } i \Gamma$ , with  $\text{refl} : \text{Tm } \Gamma (\text{Id } A \text{ } u \text{ } u)$  and eliminator  $J$ .

## 1.10 Semantics via the Standard Model

The standard model interprets the CwF in a type theory like Agda, mapping contexts to types, types to type families, and substitutions to functions. For example:

- $\text{Con } i = \text{Set } i$ .
- $\text{Ty } j \Gamma = \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Set } j$ .
- $\text{Sub } \Gamma \Delta = \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ .
- $\text{Tm } \Gamma A = (\gamma : \Gamma) \rightarrow A \gamma$ .

Type formers are interpreted directly, e.g.,  $\Pi AB = \lambda \gamma. (x : A \gamma) \rightarrow B(\gamma, x)$ . This model ensures that all equations hold definitionally, simplifying metatheoretic reasoning.

### 1.11 Applications

The CwF formulation enables concise proofs of metatheoretic properties like canonicity (every closed Bool term is true or false) and parametricity (terms respect type abstractions). These proofs leverage the initiality of the syntax, allowing induction over the algebraic structure.

### 1.12 Conclusion

The Categories with Families framework provides a robust and elegant formalization of MLTT-75, capturing its syntax and semantics as an initial model. By structuring contexts, types, and terms categorically, CwFs facilitate rigorous metatheoretic analysis, making them invaluable for type theory research and implementation in proof assistants.

## Литература

### CwF Models

- [1] P. Dybjer, “Internal type theory,” *International Workshop on Types for Proofs and Programs*, pp. 120–134, Springer, 1995.
- [2] A. Kaposi, A. Kovács, N. Kraus, “Shallow embedding of type theory is morally correct,” *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, 3(POPL), 2, 2019.

### Other Models

- [3] Awodey, S., Frey, J., Speight, S., “Categorical Structures for Type Theory in Univalent Foundations,” arXiv:1907.07562, 2019.
- [4] Hofmann, M., “On the interpretation of type theory in locally cartesian closed categories,” LFCS, 1997.
- [5] Jacobs, B., “Comprehension categories and the semantics of type dependency,” TCS, 1993.
- [6] Awodey, S., “Natural models of homotopy type theory,” MSCS, 2018.