Issue XLII: The Cosmic Cube

Namdak Tonpa

18 травня 2025 р.

Анотація

The Cosmic Cube is a conceptual framework that organizes various forms of higher category theory, homotopy theory, and type theory along three independent structural axes: strictness, groupoidality, and stability. In this article, we articulate the homotopy-theoretic and computational significance of the cube, map its vertices to familiar categorical and type-theoretic structures, and propose a unifying perspective relevant to both category theorists and type theorists.

Зміст

1		Cosmic Cube	1
	1.1	Axes	2
		Vertices	
		Homotopy-Theoretic Realization	
	1.4	Computational Interpretation	•
_			
		Presheaf Configuration	-
	2.1	Conclusion	•

1 The Cosmic Cube

The development of higher category theory, homotopy type theory (HoTT), and related computational systems reveals a landscape structured by three key dimensions:

- Strictness: distinguishing between strict and weak composition laws.
- Groupoidality: determining whether morphisms are invertible.
- Stability: whether the theory admits additive or stable (symmetric monoidal) structure.

The Cosmic Cube organizes the eight possible combinations of these properties, resulting in a conceptual taxonomy of type theories, logical systems, and homotopy-theoretic models.

1.1 Axes

Each axis of the cube represents a binary structural distinction:

- 1. **Groupoidality**: Passing from general n\$ categorieston\$-groupoids, reflecting the invertibility of morphisms.
- 2. **Strictness**: Moving from weak higher categories to strictly associative and unital structures.
- 3. **Stability**: Enhancing categories with stable or symmetric monoidal structure, reflecting additivity or loop space objects.

1.2 Vertices

Each vertex of the cube corresponds to a combination of the above properties and can be interpreted both categorically and computationally. We describe these as follows:

Configuration	Model
$(\Delta, 2, 1)$	Simply typed λ-calculus (STLC)
$(\Delta, 2, \mathbb{H})$	λ-calculus, resource-sensitive computation
$(\Delta, \mathbb{N}, 1)$	Homotopy Type Theory (HoTT)
$(\Delta, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H})$	Linear HoTT
$(\nabla, 2, 1)$	Modal STLC
$(\nabla, 2, \mathbb{H})$	∞-toposes, QFT
$(\nabla, \mathbb{N}, 1)$	Synthetic Differential Geometry (Modal HoTT)
$(\nabla, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{H})$	Modal Linear HoTT

1.3 Homotopy-Theoretic Realization

The cube also arises naturally from the classification of higher-categorical structures:

- Strict ∞-categories: basic directed homotopy theory.
- Strict ∞ -groupoids: modeled by crossed complexes.
- Stable ∞-groupoids: spectra (e.g., infinite loop spaces).
- Strictly stable strict ∞-groupoids: chain complexes (via Dold-Kan correspondence).

The inclusions among these structures (e.g., from chain complexes to spectra, or from strict to weak groupoids) correspond to forgetful functors or structure-preserving embeddings (e.g., via the nerve, stabilization, or Ω^{∞}).

1.4 Computational Interpretation

From the viewpoint of type theory and programming languages:

- Strictness governs syntactic vs coherent compositions.
- Groupoidality relates to equality vs higher identity types.
- Stability corresponds to additivity or quantum effects.

Thus, the Cosmic Cube serves not only as a classification of categorical models, but also as a blueprint for designing new type theories with specific logical and computational properties.

2 The Presheaf Configuration

As a programming language, type theory may includes following primitives.

- Fibrations (Π, Σ)
- Identification Systems $(=, \equiv, \sim, \approx, \simeq, \cong, \mathsf{Glue})$
- Polynomials (W, M, HIT, CoHIT)
- Modalities $(\mathfrak{I}, \bigcirc, \flat, \sharp)$
- Localizations (Sp, \mathbb{A}^1 , Δ^n , \mathbb{Z}_2)

2.1 Conclusion

The Cosmic Cube provides a unifying language for relating different regions of categorical and homotopical logic. It highlights deep dualities (such as LCCC vs SMC), computational distinctions (classical vs quantum), and modalities (discrete, cohesive, stable) that structure modern type theories and their semantics.

Література

[1] John C. Baez, What n-Categories Should Be Like, , 2002.