# Making all equalities equal

# Guilherme Horta Alvares da Silva December 13, 2021

#### Abstract

### 1 Equalities

There are multiple ways of defining equalities in a theorem prover. In the next sections, they will be defined.

#### 1.1 Imports

First, it will be necessary to give some agda arguments:

```
{-# OPTIONS -- cubical -- cumulativity #-} module paper where
```

The cubical flag is necessary because we are using cubical equality, and the cumulativity flag is also necessary for level subtyping,

```
open import Agda. Primitive. Cubical using (I; i0; i1)
```

This library loads Cubical Agda Primitives as the equality interval.

#### 1.2 Martin-Löf Equality

At the begin of Agda and in most theorems proves, equality is given by Martin-Löf's definition:

```
module Martin-Löf \{\ell\} \{A: \operatorname{Set} \ell\} where data \exists \exists (x:A): A \to \operatorname{Set} \ell where refl: x \equiv x
```

This equality is very convenient in proof assistances like Agda because it is possible to pattern match using them:

```
private variable x \ y \ z : A

sym: x \equiv y \rightarrow y \equiv x

sym refl = refl

trans: x \equiv y \rightarrow y \equiv z \rightarrow x \equiv z

trans refl refl = refl
```

But the problem of this equality is that it does not handle extensionality and other axioms very well.

```
module FunExt {\ell \ell '} {A : Set \ell} {B : Set \ell'} where open Martin-Löf funExt-Type = {f g : A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow ((x : A) \rightarrow f x \equiv g x) \rightarrow f \equiv g
```

#### 1.3 Cubical Equality

To solve this problem, Agda adopted cubical type theory that equality is a function from the path to type:

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{module CubicalEquality } \{\ell\} \ \{A: \mathsf{Set} \ \ell\} \ \textbf{where} \\ \textbf{postulate} \\ \textbf{PathP}: (A: \mathsf{I} \to \mathsf{Set} \ \ell) \to A \ \mathsf{i0} \to A \ \mathsf{i1} \to \mathsf{Set} \ \ell \\ \underline{=}_{-}: A \to A \to \mathsf{Set} \ \ell \\ \underline{=}_{-} = \mathsf{PathP} \ \lambda_{-} \to A \end{array}
```

From this equality, I will define reflection, symmetry and extensionality:

The operator  $\sim$  invert the interval. If the interval i goes from i0 to i1, the interval  $\sim i$  goes from i1 to i0.

#### 1.4 Leibniz equality

Leibniz equality is defined in this way: If a is equal to b, then for every propositional P, if P a, then P b. The main idea is that if both values are equal, then they are seen equal for every angle.

```
module LeibnizEquality \{A : Set\} where \underline{\dot{=}}_{-} : A \to A \to Set_{-1} a \doteq b = (P : A \to Set) \to P \ a \to P \ b
```

### 2 Joining all equalities

All equalities have something in common. They are all equal to each other. So it will be defined as a common record that all equalities should have. In the next definition, all equalities are equal to cubical equality:

```
open import Cubical.Foundations.Prelude
open import Cubical.Foundations.Isomorphism
open import Cubical.Foundations.Equiv
open import Cubical.Foundations.Univalence
open import Cubical.Foundations.Function
open import Cubical. Data. Equality
module _{-}\{a \ \ell\} \ \{A : \mathsf{Set} \ a\} \ \mathsf{where}
  \triangleq-Type = A \rightarrow A \rightarrow Set \ell
  private
     \ell_1 = \ell-max a \ell
  private variable
     xyz:A
  record IsEquality (\_\triangleq\_: \triangleq-Type) : Set (\ell-suc (\ell-max a \ell)) where
     constructor eq
     field
         ≜-≡-≡ : let
           x\equiv y: Type \ell_1
           x \equiv y = x \equiv y
           x \triangleq y: Type \ell_1
           x \triangleq y = x \triangleq y
           in _{=} _{\ell} {\ell-suc \ell<sub>1</sub>} x \triangleq y x \equiv y
     =-=-≜ : let
         x\equiv y: Type (\ell-max a \ell)
```

```
x \equiv y = x \equiv y
        x \triangleq y = x \triangleq y
        in x \equiv y \equiv x \triangleq y
   ≡-≡-≜ = sym ≜-≡-≡
module _ {_≜_ : ≜-Type} where
   sym-Equality : (\equiv -\equiv -\triangleq : \{x \ y : A\} \rightarrow let
        x\equiv y: Type (\ell-max a \ell)
        x \equiv y = x \equiv y
        x \triangleq y = x \triangleq y
        \mathsf{in}\ x \equiv y \equiv x \triangleq y)
        → IsEquality _≜_
   sym-Equality \equiv -\equiv -\triangleq = eq (sym \equiv -\equiv -\triangleq)
record Equality : Set (\ell-suc (\ell-max a \ell)) where
   constructor eqC
   field
        _≜_ : ≜-Type
        { isEquality } : IsEquality _≜_
EqFromInstance : \{ \triangleq : \triangleq \text{-Type} \} \rightarrow \text{IsEquality} \triangleq \rightarrow \text{Equality}
EqFromInstance inst = eqC _ { inst }
\{ \triangleq_1 - eq : IsEquality _ \triangleq_{1-} \}
   \{ \triangleq 2 - eq : IsEquality _ \triangleq 2 - \}
   \rightarrow \forall \{x \ y\} \rightarrow let
        x \triangleq y : \text{Type } \ell_1
        x \triangleq {}_{1}y = x \triangleq {}_{1}y
        x \triangleq 2y: Type \ell_1
        x \triangleq 2y = x \triangleq 2y
        in \equiv \{\ell \text{-suc } \ell_1\} x \triangleq y x \triangleq y
eqsEqual _{-} { eq \triangleq-\equiv-\equiv _{1} } { eq \triangleq-\equiv-\equiv _{2} } = \triangleq-\equiv-\equiv _{1} • sym \triangleq-\equiv-\equiv _{2}
```

It will be defined for each equality, its instance:

### 2.1 Cubical Equality

The simplest example is the cubical equality hence this equality is already equal to itself.

```
module _{-}\{a\} {A : Set a} where instance = -IsEquality : IsEquality {A = A} _{-}=_{-} = -IsEquality = eq refl = -Equality : Equality {\ell = a} = -Equality = eqC _{-}=_{-}
```

#### 2.2 Martin-Löf equality

The proof of Martin-Löf equality is more difficult, but it is already in Cubical library as p-c.

```
instance
\equivp-IsEquality : IsEquality \{A = A\} \_\equivp\_
\equivp-IsEquality = sym-Equality p-c
\equivp-Equality : Equality \{\ell = a\}
\equivp-Equality = eqC \_\equivp\_
```

#### 2.3 Isomorphism

The isomorphism is an equality between types.

univalencePath is already defined in Agda library, but with  $A \simeq B$  instead of *Lifted*  $(A \simeq B)$ . This change can be done because of the cumulativity flag.

```
instance \simeq-IsEquality: IsEquality \{A = \text{Type } \ell\} \_\simeq-\simeq-IsEquality = sym-Equality univalencePath' \simeq-Equality: Equality \{\ell = \ell\} \simeq-Equality = eqC \_\simeq-
```

#### 2.4 Leibniz Equality

The hardest equality to proof that is equalty is the Leibniz Equality.

```
liftIso: \forall {a b} {A: Type a} {B: Type b} \rightarrow Iso {a} {b} A B \rightarrow Iso {\ell-max a b} {\ell-max a b} A B liftIso {a} f = iso fun inv (\lambda x i \rightarrow rightInv x i) (\lambda x i \rightarrow leftInv x i)
```

This liftlso will be used to lift the Isomorphism to types of the same maximum level of both.

```
where open lso f
```

```
open import leibniz open Leibniz
```

It is importing the definition of Leibniz equality made by [?]. In this work, there is already a proof of the isomorphism between the Leibniz and the Martin-Löf equality.

```
module FinalEquality \{A : Set\} where open MainResult A
\stackrel{\cong}{=} : \forall \{a \ b\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Iso} \ (a \doteq b) \ (a \equiv p \ b)
\stackrel{\cong}{=} = \mathsf{iso} \ \mathsf{j} \ \mathsf{i} \ (\mathsf{ptoc} \circ \mathsf{ji}) \ (\mathsf{ptoc} \circ \mathsf{ij})
```

In Cubical Library, the definition of isomorphism uses cubical equality instead of Martin-Löf equality when we have to proof that  $\forall x \to from \ (to \ x) \equiv x$  and  $\forall x \to to \ (from \ x) \equiv x$ . ptoc is necessary to do this convertion from these equalities.

Using the univalence and liftlso defined previously, it is possible to transform the isormorphism into an equality.

The last pass is to join the three equalities between equalities: Leibniz to Martin-Löf to cubical equality.

## 3 New Equalities types

The equalities used previously were defined using the cubical equality. Now I will define them using other equalities.

Loaded the modules using the levels to be more generic.

I am importing a generic equality to use it to define a more generic equality.

```
record IsEquality _2 (_=: _=-Type') : Set (\ell-suc \ell _1) where constructor eq field _=-_=-_=: let _x=_y: Type \ell _1 _x=_y=_x=_1_y
```

Different from previously definition of IsEquality, the cubical equality defined in the line above was substituted by the more generic equality  $\equiv 1$ .

```
x \triangleq y: Type \ell_1

x \triangleq y = x \triangleq y

in _= _= \{\ell\text{-suc }\ell_1\} x \triangleq y x \equiv y

\equiv _= = _= \triangleq: let

x \equiv y: Type \ell_1

x \equiv y = x \equiv _1 y

x \triangleq y = x \triangleq y

in x \equiv y \equiv x \triangleq y

\equiv _= = _= \triangleq sym \triangleq _= = _=
```

The rest of the definition is the same.

From a more generic defintion of equality, it is easily possible to return to the less generic definition.

```
module \_ (Eq_2: Equality \{\_\} \{\ell\} \{A\}) where open Equality Eq_2 renaming (\_\triangleq\_ to \_\equiv_2\_; is Equality to eq _2)
```

I am defining a new generic equality to prove that it is an equality of type 2:

```
eqsEqual 2: let
   x \triangleq y : \text{Type } \ell_1
   x \triangleq y = x \equiv y
  x \triangleq 2y: Type \ell_1
  x \triangleq 2y = x \equiv 2y
   in = \{\ell-suc \ell_1\} x \triangleq 1y x \triangleq 2y
eqsEqual _2 = eqsEqual _{-}\equiv _{1-} _{-}\equiv _{2-}
instance
   \equiv 2-Equality 2: IsEquality 2 \stackrel{\blacksquare}{=} 2-
   \equiv 2-Equality 2 = eq (sym eqsEqual 2)
      where open IsEquality
module _ {_≜_: ≜-Type} where
   sym-Equality _2: (\equiv -\equiv -\triangleq : \{x \ y : A\} \rightarrow let
      x\equiv y: Type \ell_1
      x \equiv y = x \equiv {}_1 y
      x \triangleq y = x \triangleq y
      in x \equiv y \equiv x \triangleq y
      \rightarrow IsEquality <sub>2</sub> \triangleq _
   sym-Equality _2 \equiv - \equiv - \triangleq = eq (sym \equiv - \equiv - \triangleq)
```

Given a symmetric definition of the previous equality, it is easy to prove that it is also an equality of type 2.

#### 3.1 Everything is an equality

In this part, a relation is an equality when it is equal (using a general equality) to a cubical equality.

```
module _ (Eq_3 : \text{Equality } \{A = \text{Set } \ell_1\}) where open Equality Eq_3 renaming (_\(\delta_-\) to _\(\exists _3_-\); is Equality to eq_3) record Is Equality _3 (_\(\delta_- : \delta_-\) Type') : Set (\(\ell\)-suc (\ell_1)) where constructor eq field _{-\infty} = -\infty : (x \triangleq y) \equiv_3 (x \equiv_1 y)
```

With this definition of equality, it is possible to prove that if an equality is equal to a cubical equality, so it is equal (using the general or cubical equality) to the cubical equality.

This is the proof that the symmetric definition of equality is also valid.

```
≡-≡-≜: (x ≡ _1 y) ≡ _3 (x ≜ y)

≡-≡-≜ = let

\alpha _1 = IsEquality.≡-≡-≜ eq _3

\alpha _2 = IsEquality.≜-≡-≡ eq _1

\alpha _3 = IsEquality.≡-≡-≜ ≜-isEquality

in transport \alpha _1 (\alpha _2 • \alpha _3)
```

It is possible to proof that a general equality is an equality from this definition:

```
module _ (Eq_2: Equality {_} {\ell} {\ell} {\Lambda}) where open Equality Eq_2 renaming (_\triangleq_ to _\equiv_2_; isEquality to eq_2) instance =_2-Equality _3: IsEquality _3 _\equiv_2_ =_2-Equality _3 = eq \alpha where open IsEquality eq_3 \alpha: (x \equiv_2 y) \equiv_3 (x \equiv_1 y) \alpha = transport \equiv-\equiv-\triangleq (IsEquality _2.\triangleq-\equiv-\equiv (\equiv_2-Equality _2 (eqC _2=_2_)))
```

If there is a proof of the symmetrical equality, so it is also an equality from this definition:

```
module _{-}\{\_=\_: \triangleq -\text{Type'}\}\ where sym-Equality _3: (\equiv -\equiv -\triangleq: \forall \{x\ y\} \rightarrow (x\equiv_1\ y)\equiv_3 (x\triangleq y)) \rightarrow \text{IsEquality }_3 = \triangleq = \text{eq (let} \alpha_1 = \text{IsEquality}.\equiv -\equiv -\triangleq \text{eq }_3 \alpha_2 = \text{transport } (\text{sym } \alpha_1) \equiv -\equiv -\triangleq \text{in transport } \alpha_1 (\text{sym } \alpha_2))
```

### 4 Using the definitions

The best part of defining all of these stuffs is that it is now easy to proof that Leibniz equality is an equality.

```
module LeibnizFromPEquality {A : Set}} where open Equalities {\ell-zero} {\ell-suc \ell-zero}
= p_{1-} : A \to A \to Set_1
x \equiv p_1 \ y = x \equiv p \ y
```

I redefined this equality because it must be a set of universe one. And because of that, I have to prove again that this is an equality:

With just one line of code, it is possible now to prove that Leibniz equality is an equality from Martin-Löf Equality.

```
leibniz : IsEquality \{A=A\} \_\doteq\_ leibniz = IsEquality \{Eq\ _1= eqC\ _\equiv p_-\} (eq FinalEquality.\doteq\equiv\equiv)
```

### Acknowledgements