

## 4 Ancient Astronomy & Trigonometry

Astronomy is one of the most important drivers of mathematical development; *trigonometry* (literally *triangle-measure*) was in large part developed to facilitate astronomical computations.

There are many *practical* benefits to astronomical observation, for instance:

*Calendars* The phases of the moon (whence *month*), the seasons, and the solar year are paramount. Without accurate calendars, food production, gathering and hunting are more difficult: *When* will the rains come? *When* should we plant/harvest? *When* will the buffalo return?

*Navigation* The most basic navigational observation in the northern hemisphere is that the stars appear to orbit *Polaris* (the pole star), thus providing a fixed reference point/direction at night. As humans travelled further, accurate computations became increasingly important.

**Religion and Astrology** In modern times, we distinguish *astronomy* (the science) from *astrology* (how the heavens influence our lives), but for most of human history the two were inseparable. In our light-polluted modern world, it is hard to imagine the significance the night sky held for our ancestors, even those of only 100–200 years ago. Imbuing the heavens with meaning is central to almost all religions, and without the religious/astrological imperative, mathematical and technological development would have been far slower. Here are just a few examples of the the relationship between astronomy, astrology and culture.

- The concept of *heaven* as the domain of the gods, whether explicitly in the sky or simply atop a high mountain (e.g. Olympus in Greek mythology).
- Many ancient structures were constructed in alignment with heavenly objects: e.g.
  - Ancient Egyptians viewed the region around Polaris as their heaven; pyramids included shafts emanating from the burial chamber so that the deceased could ‘ascend to the stars.’
  - Several Mayan temples and observatories appear to be oriented to the solstices (see below). Such alignments can be found elsewhere in the Americas and throughout the world.
  - Venus and Sirius, respectively the brightest planet and star in the night sky, were also important objects of alignment.
- The modern ‘western’ zodiac comes from Babylon, dating to before 1000 BC. A tablet dated to 686 BC describes 60–70 constellations and stars with some aspects that are familiar to modern astrologers, including Taurus, Leo, Scorpio and Capricorn. During the same time-period Chinese and Indian astronomers developed different systems of constellations.<sup>16</sup>
- Calendars mark religious festivals, practices and even the age of the world.
  - The traditional Hebrew calendar dates the beginning of the world to 3760 BC.
  - The Mayan long count calendar dates the creation of the world to 3114 BC.
  - The modern Gregorian calendar arose to facilitate an accurate determination of Easter.
- The *star in the east* associated to the birth of Jesus in Christianity.
- In Islam, one must orient oneself towards Mecca when at prayer; we’ll see how this direction (the *qibla*) may be computed later, but the required data is astronomical.

---

<sup>16</sup>Chinese astronomy has 28 constellations (or *mansions*). As a point of comparison, Taurus corresponds roughly to the Chinese ‘White Tiger of the West’ (*Baihu*, and similar terms in various East-Asian languages).


## 4.1 Astronomical Terminology and Early Measurement

Seasonal variation exists because Earth's axis is tilted approximately  $23.5^\circ$  with respect to the *ecliptic* (sun-earth orbital plane). Summer, in a given hemisphere, is when the earth's axis is tilted towards the sun, resulting in more sunlight and longer days. Astronomically, the seasons are determined by four dates:

*Summer/Winter Solstice* (c. 21<sup>st</sup> June/December) The north pole is maximally tilted towards/away from the sun. *Solstice* comes from a Latin (Roman) term meaning 'sun stationary.' The location of the rising/setting sun changes throughout the year, with the extremes occurring on the solstices. Similarly, if one measures the maximum elevation of the sun each day (the timing is the local definition of *noon*), then the summer solstice represents the date on which the sun is highest in the sky.

*Vernal/Autumnal Equinox* (c. 21<sup>st</sup> March/September) Earth's axis is perpendicular to the sun-earth radius. *Equinox* means *equal night*: day and night both last approximately 12 hours everywhere since Earth's axis passes through the day-night boundary.

The picture shows the orientation of the ecliptic, **Earth's axis** and the **day-night boundary**.



Measurements can now be conducted relative to this set-up.

*Fixed stars* These form the background with respect to which everything else is measured. The *ecliptic* is viewed as the sun's apparent path over the year set against the fixed stars. Planets (*wandering stars*) are seen to move against this background.

*Celestial longitude* Measured from zero to  $360^\circ$  around the ecliptic with  $0^\circ$  at the vernal equinox. One degree thus corresponds approximately to the sun's apparent daily motion. The ecliptic is divided into twelve equal segments: Aries is  $0-30^\circ$  (March to April 21<sup>st</sup>), Taurus is  $30-60^\circ$ , etc.

*Celestial latitude* Measured in degrees north or south of the ecliptic; the sun has latitude zero.


This formulation was largely co-opted by the Greeks after Alexander's conquest of Babylon. The Greeks kept the Babylonian base-60 degrees-minutes-seconds system, which, with some variations, persists to this day.<sup>17</sup>

<sup>17</sup>In modern times latitude and longitude (declination/right-ascension) are measured with respect to Earth's equatorial plane rather than the ecliptic. Such *equatorial co-ordinates* are first known to have been introduced by Hipparchus of Nicaea (below). Right-ascension is typically measured in *hours-minutes-seconds* with 24 hours =  $360^\circ$ . It is also common to use decimals rather than sexagesimal minutes/seconds.

## The Circumference of the Earth

Eratosthenes of Cyrene (pg. 34) performed one of the earliest accurate estimations of the circumference of the earth. His idea was to measure the sun's rays at noon in two different places.

- Syene (modern-day Aswan, Egypt) is approximately 5,000 *stadia* south of Alexandria.
- When the sun is directly overhead at Syene, it is inclined  $7^{\circ}12' = \frac{1}{50} \cdot 360^{\circ}$  at Alexandria.
- Earth's circumference is therefore approximately  $50 \cdot 5,000 = 250,000$  stadia.



Eratosthenes' original calculation is lost, though it was more complicated than the above. From other (shorter) distances, historians have inferred that Eratosthenes' *stadion* was  $\approx 172$  yards, making his approximation for the circumference of the earth  $\approx 24,500$  miles, astonishingly accurate when compared to the modern value of  $\approx 25,000$  miles. Later mathematicians provided other estimates based on alternative locations, but the basic method was the same.

## Modelling the Heavens

Early Greek analysis reflects several assumptions.

- Spheres and circles are perfect, matching the 'perfect design' of the universe. The earth is a sphere and the fixed stars (constellations) lie on a larger 'celestial sphere.' Models should therefore rely on spheres and circles rotating at a constant rate.
- The earth is stationary, so the celestial sphere rotates around it once per day.
- The planets lie on concentric spherical shells also centered on the earth.

When such assumptions are tested by observation, two major contradictions are immediate:

*Variable brightness* The apparent brightness of heavenly bodies, particularly planets, is not constant.

*Retrograde motion* Planets mostly follow the east-west motion of the heavens, though are sometimes seen to slow down and reverse course.

If planets are moving at constant speed around circles centered on the earth, then how can these above be explained? The attempt to produce accurate models while 'saving the phenomena' of spherical/circular motion led to the development of new mathematics.

One of the earliest known models is due to Eudoxus of Knidos (c. 370 BC, pg. 21). Eudoxus developed a concentric-sphere model where each planet and the sun is attached to a separate sphere and where the poles of one are attached to the sphere outside it; the outermost sphere is that of the fixed stars. The motion generated by such a model<sup>18</sup> is highly complex. Eudoxus' approach is capable of producing retrograde motion, but not the variable brightness of stars and planets.

<sup>18</sup>The link is to a very nice flash animation of Eudoxus' model that would have been far beyond Eudoxus' ability to visualize and measure.

**Epicycles & Eccentric Orbits** Apollonius of Perga (2<sup>nd</sup>/3<sup>rd</sup> C. BC) is most famous for his study of conic sections, but is relevant in this section for developing two models for solar/planetary motion.

In his *eccenter* model, a planetary/solar orbit is a circle (the deferent) whose center is *not* the earth. This straightforwardly addresses the problem of variable brightness since the planet is not a fixed distance from the earth.

The obvious criticism is *why*? What philosophical justification could there be for the eccenter? Eudoxus' model may have been complex and essentially impossible to compute with, but was more in line with the assumptions of spherical/circular motion.


Apollonius' second approach used *epicycles*: small circles attached to the larger deferent circle; you'll be familiar with the resulting curves if you've played with the toy *Spirograph*. An observer at the center sees the apparent brightness change, and potentially observes retrograde motion. In modern language, the motion is parametrized by the vector-valued function

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = R \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega t \\ \sin \omega t \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi t \\ \sin \psi t \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $R, r, \omega, \psi$  are the radii and circular frequencies (rad/s).



Combining these models allowed Apollonius to describe quite complex motion. Calculation was difficult however, requiring finding lengths of chords of various circles from a given angle, and vice versa. It is from this requirement that some of the earliest notions of trigonometry arise.

One might ask why the Greeks didn't make the 'obvious' fix and place the sun at the center of the cosmos. In fact Aristarchus of Samos (c. 310–230 BC) did precisely this, suggesting that the fixed stars were really just other suns at exceptional distance! However, the great thinkers of the time (Plato, Aristotle, etc.) had a very strong objection to Aristarchus' approach: *parallax*.



If Earth moves around the sun, and the fixed stars are really independent objects, then the position of a nearer star should appear to change throughout the year. The angle  $\theta$  in the picture is the *parallax* of the nearer star. Unfortunately for Aristarchus, the Greeks were incapable of observing any parallax.<sup>19</sup> It took 2000 years before the work of Copernicus and Kepler in the 15-1600s forced astronomers to take *heliocentric* models seriously (*Helios* is the Greek sun-god).

<sup>19</sup>The astronomical unit of one *parsec* is the distance of a star exhibiting one arc-second ( $\frac{1}{3600}^\circ$ ) of parallax, roughly 3.3 light-years or  $3 \times 10^{13}$  km, an unimaginable distance to anyone before the scientific revolution. The nearest star to the sun is *Proxima Centauri* at 4.2 light years = 0.77 parsecs: is it any wonder the Greeks rejected the hypothesis?!



## Hipparchus of Nicaea/Rhodes (c. 190–120 BC)

Born in Nicaea (northern Turkey) but doing much of his work on the Mediterranean island of Rhodes, Hipparchus was one of the pre-eminent Greek astronomers. He made use of Babylonian eclipse data to fit Apollonius' eccentric and epicycle models to the observed motion of the moon. As part of this work, he needed to be able to accurately compute chords of circles; his resulting *chord tables* are acknowledged as the earliest tables of trigonometric values.

In an imitation of Hipparchus' approach, we define a function  $\text{crd}$  which returns the length of the chord in a given circle subtended by a given angle. Translated to modern language,

$$\text{crd } \alpha = 2r \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

Hipparchus chose a circle with circumference  $360^\circ$  (in fact he used  $60 \cdot 360 = 21600$  arc-minutes), the result being that  $r = \frac{21600}{2\pi} \approx 57,18$  (written base 60!). Note that this is sixty times the number of *degrees per radian*.<sup>20</sup> His chord table was constructed starting with two obvious values:

$$\text{crd } 60^\circ = r = 57,18 \quad \text{crd } 90^\circ = \sqrt{2}r = 81,2$$

Since (Thales) the large triangle is right-angled, the Pythagorean theorem was used to obtain chords for angles  $180^\circ - \alpha$ . In modern language

$$\text{crd}(180^\circ - \alpha) = \sqrt{(2r)^2 - (\text{crd } \alpha)^2} = 2r\sqrt{1 - \sin^2(\alpha/2)} = 2r \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

Pythagoras was again used to halve and double angles in an approach analogous to Archimedes' quadrature of the circle (pg. 33). We rewrite the argument in this language.

In the picture, we double the angle  $\alpha$ ; plainly  $M$  is the midpoint of  $\overline{AD}$  and  $|DB| = \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha)$ . Since  $\angle BDA = 90^\circ$ , it follows that  $\overline{BD}$  is parallel to  $\overline{OM}$  and so

$$|OM| = \frac{1}{2} |BD| = \frac{1}{2} \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha)$$



Now apply Pythagoras to  $\triangle CMD$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{crd } \alpha)^2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{crd } 2\alpha\right)^2 + \left(r - \frac{1}{2} \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha)\right)^2 & (|CD|^2 = |DM|^2 + |CM|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\text{crd } 2\alpha)^2 + r^2 - r \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\text{crd } 2\alpha)^2 + r^2 - r \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha) + \frac{1}{4}(4r^2 - (\text{crd } 2\alpha)^2) \\ &= 2r^2 - r \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

In modern notation this is one of the double-angle trigonometric identities!

$$4r^2 \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} = 2r^2 - 2r^2 \cos \alpha \iff \cos \alpha = 1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

<sup>20</sup>One radian is the angle subtended by an arc equal in length to the radius of a circle. Hipparchus essentially does this in reverse; the circumference is fixed so that *degree* now measures both subtended angle and circumferential distance.



**Example** To calculate  $\text{crd } 30^\circ$ , we start with  $\text{crd } 60^\circ = r$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{crd } 120^\circ &= \sqrt{4r^2 - r^2} = \sqrt{3}r \\ \implies \text{crd } 30^\circ &= \sqrt{2r^2 - r \text{crd}(180^\circ - 60^\circ)} = \sqrt{2r^2 - \sqrt{3}r^2} = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}}r\end{aligned}$$

In modern language this yields an exact value for  $\sin 15^\circ$ :

$$\text{crd } 30^\circ = 2r \sin 15^\circ \implies \sin 15^\circ = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}}$$

Continuing this process, we obtain  $\text{crd } 150^\circ = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}}r$ , whence

$$(\text{crd } 15^\circ)^2 = 2r^2 - r \text{crd } 150^\circ = (2 - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}})r \implies \text{crd } 15^\circ = \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}}}r$$

Again translating:  $\sin 7.5^\circ = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}}}$ .

By applying this approach, Hipparchus computed the chord of each of the angles  $7.5^\circ, 15^\circ, \dots, 172.5^\circ$ , in steps of  $7.5^\circ$ . Of course everything was an estimate since he had to rely on approximations for square-roots.

All Hipparchus' original work is now lost. We primarily know of his work by reference. In particular, the above method of chords is probably due to Hipparchus, although we see it first in the work of...

**Exercises 4.1.** 1. Calculate  $\text{crd } 150^\circ$ ,  $\text{crd } 165^\circ$ , and  $\text{crd } 172\frac{1}{2}^\circ$  using the method of Hipparchus.

(Leave your answers as a multiple of  $r = \text{crd } 60^\circ$ )

2. *Sirius*, the brightest star in the sky, is 2.64 parsecs (8.6 light-years) from the sun. Use modern trigonometry to find its parallax.
3. The tropic of cancer is the line of latitude (approximately)  $23.5^\circ$  north of the equator marking the locations where the sun is directly overhead at noon on the summer solstice.<sup>21</sup> At the arctic circle on the *winter* solstice, the sun is precisely on the horizon.
  - (a) Explain why the latitude of the arctic circle is  $66.5^\circ$  north.
  - (b) Find the angle the sun makes *above* the horizon at the arctic circle at noon on the summer solstice.
4. Consider the epicycle model where the position vector of a planet is given by

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = R \begin{pmatrix} \cos \omega t \\ \sin \omega t \end{pmatrix} + r \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi t \\ \sin \psi t \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) Suppose  $R = 4$  and  $r = 1$ ,  $\omega = 1$  and  $\psi = 2$ , so that the epicycle rotates twice every orbit. Sketch a picture of the full orbit.
- (b) Suppose that  $\omega, \psi$  are positive constants. Prove that an observer will see retrograde motion if and only if  $r\psi > R\omega$ .  
(Hint: differentiate  $\mathbf{x}'(t)$  and think about its direction)

<sup>21</sup>Syene (pg. 38) is almost exactly on the tropic of cancer.

## 4.2 Ptolemy's *Almagest*

Born in Egypt and living much of his life in Alexandria, Claudius Ptolemy (c. AD 100–170) was a Greek/Egyptian/Roman<sup>22</sup> astronomer and mathematician. Around AD 150, he produced the *Mathematica Syntaxis*, better known as the *Almagest*; the latter term is derived from the Arabic *al-mageisti* (great work), reflecting its importance to later Islamic learning.

The *Almagest* is essentially a textbook on geocentric cosmology. It shows how to compute the motions of the moon, sun and planets, describing lunar parallax, eclipses, the constellations, and elementary spherical trigonometry, probably courtesy of Menelaus (c. AD 100). It contains our best evidence as to the accomplishments of Hipparchus and describes his calculations. The text formed the basis of Western/Islamic astronomical theory through to the 1600s.

**Ptolemy's Calculations** Ptolemy used several innovations to compute more chords and at a far greater accuracy than Hipparchus.

*Initial Data* Ptolemy took  $r = 60$  so that  $\text{crd } 60^\circ = 60$ . He also had more initial data:

$$\text{crd } 90^\circ = 60\sqrt{2}, \quad \text{crd } 36^\circ = 30(\sqrt{5} - 1), \quad \text{crd } 72^\circ = 30\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}}$$

*Halving/Doubling Angles* Ptolemy used what was probably Hipparchus' method:

$$\text{crd}^2 \alpha = 2r^2 - r \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha) = 60(120 - \text{crd}(180^\circ - 2\alpha))$$

$$\text{crd}(180^\circ - \alpha) = \sqrt{(2r)^2 - \text{crd}^2 \alpha} = \sqrt{120^2 - \text{crd}^2 \alpha}$$

with square-roots approximated to the desired accuracy. For example,

$$\text{crd } 30^\circ = \sqrt{60(120 - \text{crd } 120^\circ)} = \sqrt{60(120 - 60\sqrt{3})} = 60\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}} \approx 31;3,30$$

*Multiple-Angle Formula* Ptolemy computed  $\text{crd } 12^\circ = \text{crd}(72^\circ - 60^\circ)$ , then halved this for angles of  $6^\circ$ ,  $3^\circ$ ,  $1.5^\circ$ , and  $0.75^\circ$ . Chords for all integer multiples of  $1.5^\circ$  were computed using addition formula.

*Interpolation* The observation that  $\alpha < \beta \implies \frac{\text{crd } \beta}{\text{crd } \alpha} < \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$  allowed Ptolemy to compute chords for every half-degree to the incredible accuracy of two sexagesimal places. For approximating between half-degrees, his table indicated how much should be added for each arc-minute ( $\frac{1}{60}^\circ$ ). For example, the second line of Ptolemy's table reads

$$1^\circ \quad 1;2,50 \quad ;1,2,50$$

Firstly  $\text{crd } 1^\circ = 1;2,50$  to two sexagesimal places.<sup>23</sup> The third entry says, for example that

$$\text{crd } 1^\circ 5' \approx 1;2,50 + 5(;1,2,50) = 1;8,4,10 \approx 1;8;4$$

To obtain his arc-minute approximations, it is believed that Ptolemy computed his half-angle chords to an accuracy of *five sexagesimal places* (1 part in over 750 million!).

<sup>22</sup>Ptolemy (Ptolemaeus) is a Greek name, while Claudius is Roman, reflecting the changing cultural situation in Egypt.

<sup>23</sup>This is  $1 + \frac{2}{60} + \frac{50}{60^2} = 1.0472222 \dots = 120 \sin \frac{1.00003625 \dots^\circ}{2}$ , an already phenomenal level of accuracy.

**How did Ptolemy know the values of  $\text{crd } 36^\circ$  and  $\text{crd } 72^\circ$ ?** Everything necessary is in the *Elements*.

**Theorem.** 1. (Thm XIII. 9) In a circle, the sides of a regular inscribed hexagon and decagon are in the golden ratio (this ratio is  $60 : \text{crd } 36^\circ$  in Ptolemy).

2. (Thm XIII. 10) In any circle, the square on an inscribed pentagon equals the sum of the squares on the inscribed hexagon and decagon.

Purely Euclidean proofs are too difficult for us, so here is a way to see things in modern notation.

1. Let  $\overline{AB} = x$  be the side of a regular decagon inscribed in a unit circle with center  $O$ .

$\triangle OAB$  is isosceles with angles  $36^\circ, 72^\circ, 72^\circ$ .

Let  $C$  lie on  $\overline{OB}$  such that  $\overline{AC} = x$ .

Count angles to see that  $\triangle OAB$  and  $\triangle ABC$  are similar, that  $\angle OAC = 36^\circ$  and so  $\overline{OC} = x$ .

Similarity now tells us that

$$x = \frac{1-x}{x} \implies x = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$$

In a circle of radius 60, this gives the exact value

$$\text{crd } 36^\circ = 60x = 30(\sqrt{5}-1)$$

2. Now let  $\overline{AD} = y$  be the side of a regular pentagon inscribed in the same circle. Applying Pythagoras, we see that

$$\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^2 = x^2$$

Since  $x^2 = 1 - x$ , this multiplies out to give Euclid's result

$$y^2 = 1^2 + x^2$$


from which we obtain the exact value

$$\text{crd } 72^\circ = 60y = 30\sqrt{10-2\sqrt{5}}$$

While these values were geometrically precise, Ptolemy used sexagesimal approximations to square-roots to obtain

$$\text{crd } 36^\circ = 37;4,55 \quad \text{crd } 72^\circ = 70;32,3$$

While these are the values stated in his tables, he must have used a far higher degree of accuracy in order to obtain similarly accurate values for other chords.







**Angle-addition** Computation of  $\text{crd}(\alpha \pm \beta)$  was facilitated by versions of the multiple-angle formulae of modern trigonometry.

**Theorem (Ptolemy's Theorem).** Suppose a quadrilateral is inscribed in a circle. Then the product of the diagonals equals the sum of the products of the opposite sides.<sup>24</sup>

*Proof.* Choose  $E$  on  $\overline{AC}$  such that  $\angle ABE \cong \angle DBC$ . Then  $\angle ABD \cong \angle EBC$ . Since  $\angle BAE \cong \angle BDC$  are inscribed angles of the same arc  $\overline{BC}$ , we obtain two pairs of similar triangles:



The proof follows immediately: since  $\frac{|AE|}{|CD|} = \frac{|AB|}{|BD|}$  and  $\frac{|CE|}{|AD|} = \frac{|BC|}{|BD|}$ , we have


$$|AC| |BD| = (|AE| + |CE|) |BD| = |AB| |CD| + |AD| |BC|$$

**Corollary.** If  $\alpha > \beta$ , then

$$120 \text{ crd}(\alpha - \beta) = \text{crd } \alpha \text{ crd}(180^\circ - \beta) - \text{crd } \beta \text{ crd}(180^\circ - \alpha)$$

In modern language, divide out by  $120^2$  to obtain

$$\sin \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} = \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} - \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}$$



*Proof.* If  $|AD| = 120$  is a diameter of the pictured circle, then Ptolemy's Theorem says

$$\text{crd } \alpha \text{ crd}(180^\circ - \beta) = \text{crd } \beta \text{ crd}(180^\circ - \alpha) + 120 \text{ crd}(\alpha - \beta)$$

Similar expressions for  $\text{crd}(\alpha + \beta)$  and  $\text{crd}(180^\circ - (\alpha \pm \beta))$  were also obtained, essentially recovering all versions of the multiple-angle formulae for  $\sin(\alpha \pm \beta)$  and  $\cos(\alpha \pm \beta)$ .

<sup>24</sup>There is some debate as to whether this result is in the *Elements*. Book VI traditionally contains 33 propositions, however some editions append four corollaries, of which Ptolemy's Theorem is the last (Thm VI. D). It is generally considered that the result itself predates Ptolemy.

**Examples** 1. Here is how Ptolemy might have calculated  $\text{crd } 42^\circ$ . Let  $\alpha = 72^\circ$  and  $\beta = 30^\circ$ , then

$$120 \text{ crd } 42^\circ = \text{crd } 72^\circ \text{ crd } 150^\circ - \text{crd } 30^\circ \text{ crd } 108^\circ$$

Since  $\text{crd } 72^\circ = 30\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}}$  is known, and

$$\text{crd } 108^\circ = \text{crd}(180^\circ - 72^\circ) = \sqrt{120^2 - \text{crd}^2 72^\circ} = 30\sqrt{6 + 2\sqrt{5}}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{crd } 42^\circ &= \frac{1}{120} \left( 30\sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}} \cdot 60\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}} - 60\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}} \cdot 30\sqrt{6 + 2\sqrt{5}} \right) \\ &= 15 \left( \sqrt{10 - 2\sqrt{5}} \cdot \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}} - \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}} \cdot \sqrt{6 + 2\sqrt{5}} \right) \approx 43;0,15 \approx 43.0042 \end{aligned}$$

Note all the square-roots which had to be approximated: the construction of the chord-table was truly a gargantuan task, one for which Ptolemy almost certainly had assistance.

2. The *Almagest* also contained many practical examples. Here is one such.


A stick of length 1 is placed in the ground. The angle of elevation of the sun is  $72^\circ$ . What is the length of its shadow?

Ptolemy instructs the reader to draw a picture. The lower isosceles triangle has **base angles**  $72^\circ$ , and the length of the shadow  $\ell$  is marked. Even though the circle does not have radius 60, the ratio of the chords may be computed:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 : \ell &= \text{crd } 144^\circ : \text{crd } 36^\circ \\ \Rightarrow \ell &= \frac{\text{crd } 36^\circ}{\text{crd } 144^\circ} = \frac{30(\sqrt{5} - 1)}{30\sqrt{10 + 2\sqrt{5}}} \approx 0.32491 \end{aligned}$$

This is precisely  $\cot 72^\circ$ , though Ptolemy had no such notion.

Further calculations and examples were far more complex!



**Exercises 4.2.** 1. What are the exact values of  $\sin 36^\circ$  and  $\sin 18^\circ$ ?

2. (a) Rewrite Ptolemy's interpolation formula  $\alpha < \beta \Rightarrow \frac{\text{crd } \beta}{\text{crd } \alpha} < \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$  in terms of the sine function. What facts about  $\frac{\sin x}{x}$  does this reflect?

(b) Find  $\text{crd } 57'$  to two sexagesimal places.

3. Prove the following using Ptolemy's Theorem. What is this in modern language?

$$120 \text{ crd}(180^\circ - (\alpha + \beta)) = \text{crd}(180^\circ - \alpha) \text{ crd}(180^\circ - \beta) - \text{crd } \alpha \text{ crd } \beta$$

4. Calculate the length of a noon shadow of a pole of length 60 using Ptolemy's methods:

(a) On the vernal equinox at latitude  $40^\circ$ .

(b) At latitude  $36^\circ$  north on both the summer and winter solstices.

(Hint: recall Exercise 4.1.3)