## MIT 6.042 - Homework 3

#### Gabriel Chiong

July 2021

### Problem 4.8

*Proof.* We begin on the RHS in propositional form:

$$(A \cap \overline{B}) \cup (B \cap \overline{C}) \cup (C \cap \overline{A}) \cup (A \cap B \cap C)$$

Considering each disjunctive element in turn converting the entire RHS into propositional form, we first rewrite  $A \cap \overline{B} = (A \cap \overline{B}) \cap (C \cup \overline{C}) = (A \cap \overline{B} \cap C) \cup (A \cap \overline{B} \cap \overline{C})$ .

Similarly, we can rewrite  $B \cap \overline{C} = (A \cap B \cap \overline{C}) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap \overline{C})$ . And finally, we can also rewrite  $C \cap \overline{A} = (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cup (\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} \cap C)$ .

Now we can proceed by chain of iff's:

$$\begin{split} &(A \cap \overline{B}) \cup (B \cap \overline{C}) \cup (C \cap \overline{A}) \cup (A \cap B \cap C) \\ &\text{IFF } (A \cap \overline{B} \cap C) \cup (A \cap \overline{B} \cap \overline{C}) \cup (A \cap B \cap \overline{C}) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap \overline{C}) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap \overline{C}) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \\ &\cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap C) \cup (\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} \cap C) \cup (A \cap B \cap C) \end{split}$$

The DNF form of the RHS considers every combination of sets between the three sets A, B, C, since all the cases of 0, 1 and 2 sets included are considered. Since these disjunctive elements together cover all elements in  $A \cup B \cup C$ , the claimed set equality is proven.

#### Problem 4.13

*Proof.* We prove the proposition by contradiction; that is, if  $A \times B$  and  $C \times D$  are disjoint sets, then both A and C, and B and D have common elements.

Two sets are disjoint when their intersection is the empty set (no elements shared). Suppose some arbitrary elements  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ ,  $c \in C$ , and  $d \in D$ . For the sets to be disjoint, the elements of each Cartesian product must be strictly not equal in at least one position within the ordered pair: either  $a \neq c$  or  $b \neq d$ . Since a, b, c, d are arbitrary elements, this must mean that there can be no common elements either between A and C, or B and D. This contradicts our earlier assumption that both A and C, and B and D have common elements. Therefore, either A and C, or B and D must be disjoint.

### Problem 4.14

#### Part (a)

First consider predicate L. Let b, c be any arbitrary elements such that  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ . Then we know the ordered pair  $(b, c) \in L$  since  $L := (A \cup B) \times (C \cup D)$ . Now consider R. The ordered pair (b, c) cannot be in R since  $(b, c) \notin (A \times C)$  or  $(b, c) \notin (B \times D)$ . This counterexample shows  $L \neq R$ .

#### Part (b)

The fourth "iff" statement does not distribute the elements over the OR in the previous "iff" correctly.

#### Part (c)

Continuing the proof after the third "iff" (note the use of the disjunctive form):

```
\begin{split} & \text{IFF } (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D) \\ & \text{IFF } [((A \cap C) \cap (B \cup \overline{B})) \cap (D \cup \overline{D})] \cup [((B \cap D) \cap (A \cup \overline{A})) \cap (C \cup \overline{C})] \\ & \text{IFF } (A \cap B \cap C \cap D) \cup (A \cap \overline{B} \cap C \cap \overline{D}) \cup (A \cap B \cap C \cap D) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap \overline{C} \cap D) \\ & \text{IFF } (A \cap B \cap C \cap D) \cup (A \cap \overline{B} \cap C \cap \overline{D}) \cup (\overline{A} \cap B \cap \overline{C} \cap D) \\ & \text{IFF } ((x,y) \in (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)) \cup ((x,y) \in (A \times C) \cap (x,y) \notin (B \times D)) \\ & \cup ((x,y) \in (B \times D) \cap (x,y) \notin (A \times C)) \end{split}
```

These disjunctive elements are all in the set  $L := (A \cup B) \times (C \cup D)$ . Since  $(x,y) \in (B \times C)$  and  $(x,y) \in (A \times D)$  are also both subsets of L, but not in included in R, we can conclude that  $R \subseteq L$ .

### Problem 4.18

Note, these are real-valued functions on the real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- (a)  $x \to x + 1$ : Bijection.
- (b)  $x \to 2x$ : Bijection.
- (c)  $x \to x^2$ : Neither an Injection nor a Surjection.
- (d)  $x \to x^3$ : Bijection.
- (e)  $x \to \sin x$ : Neither an Injection nor a Surjection.
- (f)  $x \to x \sin x$ : Neither an Injection nor a Surjection.
- (g)  $x \to e^x$ : Injection, but not a Surjection.

#### Problem 4.25

### Part (a)

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose not; that is, suppose that if h is surjective and f is total and injective, then g is injective. By definition, if h is surjective, then  $|A| \geq |C|$ . Also, since f is total and injective,  $|B| \leq |C|$ . For g to be injective, this means that  $|A| \leq |B|$ . But this cannot be true since we established previously that for the antecedent to hold,  $|A| \geq |C| \geq |B|$ . Therefore, our initial proposition must be false, and we have proven that g must be surjective.

#### Part (b)

*Proof.* We proceed by contradiction. Suppose not; that is, suppose that if h is injective and f is total, then g is surjective. By the definition of a surjective function, this means that every point in B has at least one arrow incoming from A. Since f is total, each point in B has at least one arrow mapping to an element in C. This means that there are at least the same or greater number of elements in C than A. This contradicts our earlier assumption which stated that h is injective ( $|A| \ge |C|$ ). Therefore, our initial proposition must be false, and we have proven that g must be injective.

An example of a counterexample that this claim fails when f is not total, is the case when the number of out arrows from B are less than the elements in C. This means that  $|A| \geq |C|$ , which turns h into a surjective function.

#### Problem 4.31

- 1. Injective (definition of injective, simplified).
- 2. Not injective.
- 3. Injective (contrapositive).
- 4. Injective (definition of injective).
- 5. Injective (definition of injective, simplified).
- 6. Not injective.
- 7. Injective (has inverse).
- 8. Not injective.

# Problem 4.37

Labelling each side of the ordered pair  $(i,j) \in A \times B$ , where  $i \in A$  and  $j \in B$ , we enumerate each combination using the bijective function  $(j \cdot n + i)$  to map the ordered pair to a unique nonnegative integer from 0 to mn-1. For example, the first pair  $(0,0) \mapsto 0$  and the last pair  $(n-1,m-1) \mapsto mn-1$ .