

Organization/ Clarity	The paper is well organized, providing clear connections between the sentences in each paragraph and effective transitions from one paragraph to the next. Each claim or point made is fully explained, and the implications behind each claim are fully explored.	The paper possesses some organizational strategy, but has either macro (paragraph to paragraph) or micro (within the paragraphs) level organizational issues. The paper contains some unclear sentences but not enough to impede understanding. Some claims are not fully explained.	The paper does not have a discernable organizational structure. There are few effective transitions from one paragraph to the next and/or no connection between the ideas within each paragraph. The paper contains many unclear sentences, impeding understanding. Not enough of the points or claims are explained.
Plagiarism/ Documentation	All of the author's ideas are properly attributed back to him/her. The paper is clearly a summary of someone else's ideas, not original research or argument. In general, all information from the article is properly cited, according to MLA format.	Most of the author's ideas are properly attributed; however, the summary occasionally lacks proper citations/paraphrasing. Most of the information from the article is properly cited. Paraphrasing is possibly a little too close to the original language in places.	The summary fails to attribute ideas back to the author. There are few citations or acknowledgments of paraphrasing. The paper sounds more like argument or original research than summary. Information is not properly cited according to MLA format.
Quotation	All of the quotes are properly integrated and "framed." Each quote is introduced with an author tag (or other introductory material) and is adequately explained – the reason for its inclusion is made clear. The selected quotes are effective and there are an appropriate number.	The summary contains some "hanging" quotes – ones that are not properly introduced. Quotes occasionally lack proper explanation, but generally seem to fit in the context. Some quote choices are not terribly effective, but quotes are used/introduced correctly. Does not rely too heavily on quotation.	Few if any of the quotes are properly introduced/integrated. Many or all are "hanging." Quotes are insufficiently explained; instead they are dropped in the middle of sentences or paragraphs without adequate contextualization. The summary includes/relies too heavily on quotation.
Mechanics	The paper demonstrates mastery over the basics in sentence completeness, structure, variety, word choice, and punctuation. It maintains a clear and efficient style. There are no (or almost no) typographical errors or misspellings.	The paper displays evidence of good control over mechanics, although some areas may still need sentence level revision. Occasional punctuation errors, vague pronoun references, or unclear modifiers may be problems. Several typographical errors.	The paper demonstrates that mechanics are an area of concern. There may be recurring sentence fragments, comma splices, or word usage errors. Punctuation and/or typographical errors may also be a concern.
Content	The paper addresses all aspects of the content as described in the written assignment.	The paper effectively addresses the main point of the assignment, but is either overly cursory in its treatment of the assignment, or is missing one of the key elements.	Significant elements of the original assignment have not been addressed or fully addressed in the paper.